



## **Acknowledgements**

It has been a long and tumultuous road from the conception to the completion of this book. It would, perhaps, never have evolved beyond being on its way, and surely would not have crystallized in its present form had it not been for professor Frank Ankersmit. After two years of trouble and fruitless toil, he took over the overall supervision of my research project and directed it into its proper course. A music lover, but most of all a daring thinker and an inspiring theorist of the sublime, he was there every step of the way. Learned, lively, and unceasingly interested, he would read my drafts within days after submission, teach me the ins and outs of philosophical thought, and generously share insights. He liked to stimulate intense debates that found their way into the text, constantly encouraged me to formulate my own position in the current debate on the sublime, and generally directed me beyond my limited scope. More than a mere doctoral supervision, his involvement has triggered and nourished an intellectual development that I will benefit from for a long time to come. I am grateful in every possible way and hope to continue our collaboration in the future.

With his expertise in the field of philosophy, music, and music aesthetics, professor John Neubauer's guidance and support has been crucial. Inspired by his book on the emancipation of music from language, and his contributions to our NGE music philosophy group, I was happy to benefit from John's extensive knowledge and open, creative mind. He has saved me from more than one lapse, thoroughly edited my writing, and in the midst of his own, huge research project always found the time for extensive discussions of my chapters. Enthusiastic but careful, he has guided me through eighteenth-century theories of musical expression, Kant, aesthetics ideas, Beethoven, Hoffmann, Schopenhauer, Nietzsche, Lyotard – the line is virtually endless. Especially valuable to me was a long-afternoon discussion about Nietzsche, *Rausch*, music, and traumatic experience, which set off a speedy completion of the book.

Professor Arjo Vanderjagt was there from the beginning. In fact, without him this research project would not even have existed. I thoroughly enjoyed the monthly meetings of the Groningen history of ideas group, to which he so generously invited me, and which versed me in such diverse topics as Descartes, cheese, the history of science, Anselmus, my grandfather, and knick-knacks. From the beginning, too, he was confident that the project would come to a good ending, and never ceased to show his support. Due to his extensive knowledge across the entire range of philosophy as well as his critical mind, I always felt confident once Arjo had given the green light. We found each other in a shared preference for Burke and travelling, as well as the music of Dufay. Particularly insightful for me were our discussions about Burke, Kant, Otto, and Lyotard – Arjo's suggestions always found their way into the text. I am thankful for his encouragement and his willingness to conduct me ahead in the field.

Professor Wessel Krul has been my proverbial rock in both troubling and enthusiastic times. He refereed the cultural-historical aspects of the book, but it turned out that he had an almost encyclopaedic knowledge of Burke, eighteenth-century music, and just about any topic in the history of art. He saved me from quite a few slips, provided me with one creative suggestion after another, and taught me to write with more ironic distance. Especially inspiring were our talks about the general make-up of the book, which for long had posed a serious problem. Sharp but never insistent he co-directed the book into its present form. With humour, he took it on himself to defend Kant from my attacks, presenting him as a good burger and an entertaining table host, rather than a philosopher of totalitarian ideas and sternly autonomous subjects. He effectively deconstructed my attacks in the process. Wessel also took it on himself to stand by me in difficult situations and pull me out of many despairing visions, always being open, helpful, and dependable. I am grateful both to him and his wife Josine – without their support and interest I would not have found the energy to continue.

Professor Wil Verhoeven formally entered the project in its later stage. In a way, however, it could never have started without him. I am indebted to Wil for an education of a very special kind, which has taught me all the aspects of (creative) textual analysis, critical theory, and a particular liking for discarded, un- or de-canonized texts. I could not have embarked on this project without his prior teachings in Romanticism, Gothic novels and postmodernism – and perhaps most of all without his prior drills in academic writing in English. He has helped me through the application process, continued to show his interest while I worked on the sublime, prepared glorious dinners at his home, and included my papers in almost every conference he organized. I am thankful that, in the midst of all his activities, he accepted to referee the manuscript – it made full circle.

Next, I thank Sander van Maas, who changed my life and my outlook on the musically sublime in the process. I am indebted to him more than he knows, with our daily – or rather, nightly – white-wine-and-chocolate talks about music, sound, timbre, Lyotard, Derrida, aporetics, and his lectures on theological (music) aesthetics. He has taught me a healthy suspicion of faith in art, and the way such faith tends to saturate present-day analyses and theories of art, yet gave me a whole lot of other faith.

My years in Groningen would never have been the same without my fellow junior researchers Eric Jorink, Eelke Muller, and Jan-Hein Furnée. Not only their intellectual inspiration but most of all their personal friendship changed what I feared would be a rather lonesome time into an exciting, inspiring, and intense period that I would never have missed. Sabien(os) Engelenburg and Gerdien Blom were my neighbours. Living in-between them was both fun, reassuring, and sobering. I also greatly benefited from my friendship with Harold van Dijk and Nico van der Sijde, with whom I could endlessly debate music and philosophy respectively. Barend(os) van Heusden has been a cherished friend, in Holland as well as in Mexico, and always showed me, so to speak, a light at the end of the tunnel. The interest and

support of Patrick Everhard and Anne Wolff has been of vital significance to me over the last years.

The Rudolf Agricola Institute of Groningen University not only made possible the financial realization of this research project but also provided a fertile intellectual basis. I particularly thank Klaas van Berkel – for whose interference I am still grateful – Amanda Gilroy, Helen Wilcox, Huub Hermans, Joost van Baak, Henk van Veen, Herman Ridderbos, Hans Mooij, Gillis Dorleijn, Caroline Ayers, Els Jongeneel, and Marijke Wubbolts. I also wholeheartedly thank the entire staff of the lending counter of the University Library – I have been a nightmare to them, yet they forgave me.

At Utrecht, I am specially grateful to Harald Hendrix, who has opened up doors that would otherwise have remained firmly shut. In this respect I also thank Liedeke Plate, Frank Brandsma, Joost Kloek, Joachim von der Thüsen, Jeroen Bons, and Yvonne van der Valk for welcoming me in their midst. In Amsterdam, I am happy to have found generous and stimulating colleagues in Ann Rigney, Dick Schram, and Hans van Stralen.

From my friends, I first of all thank Aniek Quarles van Ufford-Van Waning. With her care and wit she has seen me through a lot, and has been so anxious about the completion of the book that she personally made me a plan to stick by. In the end, she stopped asking about it. Femke Bierman has been a constant and indispensable friend, even though due to this book I was, for a long time, quite uninteresting and uninterested. Her selfsame and critical mind has directed me through actual and proverbial jungles. Céline Brouwer-'t Hart has stood by me during the entire process, never failing to show her interest, enlightening me with her knowledge about art, and including me so warmly in her family. I have a very special thanks for my 'paranimf' or 'second' Willemijn Arends. As a true travel-companion, she met me mid-way through her studies in philosophy. Genuinely interested in the idea of the sublime, and adventurous in spirit and travel plans, her friendship has been dear and helpful to me.

I thank Ronald and Thil van Maas, Olga and Henk Dippel for their very hearty welcome and concern – Ronald's house in France was the perfect place of recuperation. Supporting Sander, they have supported me as well. Next, I thank Sanderijn van Gorp, whose enthusiasm and friendship never seems to die, Lodewijk de Mol van Otterloo, Annette de Mol van Otterloo, Mariet Voûte, and Mariet's special dogs. Lodewijk was wound up with the project from its very beginnings, and with Annette and Mariet has contributed substantially to the concretisation of the book. I also thank Mr. Citroen for his professional guidance, which has been effective in an almost imperceptible way. Lastly, Simon Zuidema of 'Het Huis' in Groningen has surpassed all our expectations.

Finally, I thank my family. My cousin Esther Kövi is my sounding board, whose strength and musical talent I greatly admire. More than once, she and her sister Erszike have helped me with musical analyses and performance issues. My sister Jantien, a practitioner of the natural sublime, has attended numerous lectures and discussion meetings, got a little tired of my story about the Kantian sublime, and has been generally exemplary in her track record of

mountain climbing. I thank her for her energy to organize everything I could not. My sister Gerda did me the honour of naming her daughter after me, researched the American pictorial sublime, and thus opened up unknown areas to me. She designed the cover of the book, and with Jaap Remijn has backed me up in many vital ways. I thank them for their perpetual encouragement.

Without my parents, this book would definitely never have materialized. My mother taught me everything about music, even before I was born, as well as a deep-rooted optimism and determinism that kept the book going. She attended many lectures, supplied me with the crucial books for the project, and generally has been a firm, stimulating, and humorous basis I could not have done without. With her usual decisiveness, she even performed the miracle of coming back to life. I thank her for everything and perhaps most of all for her unflinching confidence in me. My father's energetic, enterprising, and imaginative nature has been a constant influence. Skiing in Switzerland, he imagined we skied in the icescape of *Frankenstein*, and taking us skating in Friesland he taught us about the landscape infinite at an early age. With his passion for Russian literature, psychoanalytic thought, history, and old songs, he has given me a fruitful basis. With a typically generous gesture, he has taken all the load off my shoulders in the entire organization and realization of my 'degree day'. I thank him and commemorate his father who has had his bearing on me in an unsuspected way.