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A systematic review of diagnostic techniques to determine tissue perfusion in patients with peripheral arterial disease
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) may cause symptoms due to impaired tissue perfusion of the lower extremity. So far, assessment of PAD is mainly performed by determination of stenosis or occlusion in the large arteries and does not focus on microcirculation. Several diagnostic techniques have been recently introduced that may enable tissue perfusion measurements in the lower limb; however, most have not yet been implemented in clinical daily practice. This systematic review provides an overview of these diagnostic techniques and their ability to accurately detect PAD by peripheral tissue perfusion.

Areas covered: A literature search was performed for articles that described a diagnostic technique to determine tissue perfusion in patients with known PAD compared with healthy controls.

Expert opinion: So far, transcutaneous oxygen measurements are most often used to measure tissue oxygenation in PAD patients, but evidence seems too low to define this technique as a gold standard, and implementing this technique for home monitoring is difficult. New potentially suitable diagnostic tests should be non-invasive, contact-free, and quick. Further research is needed for all of these techniques before broad implementation in clinical use is justified, in hospital, and for home monitoring.

1. Introduction

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a common disease [1–4]. The number of people with PAD worldwide has increased by 24% in the past 10 years [5]. Patients with PAD often suffer from decreased mobility, severe pain, lower quality of life, and multiple morbidities. Within 10 years after being diagnosed with PAD, 2% of the patients will undergo major limb amputation [3]. The first symptomatic stage of PAD is usually manifested by intermittent claudication, which progresses into chronic limb-threatening ischemia (CLI) in 15% of these patients. PAD does not progress linearly from claudication to CLI in every patient. Sometimes patients develop CLI without ever having claudication. Accurate and easy accessible diagnostic techniques are necessary for early detection and treatment of PAD.

Many invasive and non-invasive techniques are available for assessing diminished arterial inflow of blood caused by PAD. The ankle/brachial index (ABI) is clinically the most used non-invasive measurement [2,4,6]. Other non-invasive diagnostic methods include Doppler color ultrasound imaging, toe systolic blood pressure, and the treadmill test [7]. Besides non-invasive diagnostics, more invasive techniques using intravenous contrast agents are performed for detection of stenosis or occlusion of the macrovasculature, such as computed tomography angiography (CTA), digital subtraction angiography (DSA), or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). All these techniques, however, focus on blood flow in the larger arteries of the lower extremity and are not able to assess the microcirculation and saturation of peripheral tissue.

Several techniques have been recently introduced that may enable tissue perfusion measurements in the lower extremity. Studies with non-invasive techniques include hyperspectral imaging (HSI), laser Doppler perfusion imaging (LDPI), laser speckle contrast imaging (LSCI), near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy (NIRS), spectrophotometry, transcutaneous oxygen measurements (TcPO2) and vascular optical tomography imaging (VOTI). Other diagnostic techniques using endogenous or exogenous contrast agents include magnetic resonance perfusion imaging (MRI perfusion), contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) and NIR fluorescence imaging with indocyanine green (ICG). All of these techniques focus on assessment of the microcirculation of the skin or muscle in the lower extremity, but act on different mechanisms.

The aim of this systematic review is to provide an overview of diagnostic techniques that are currently available to determine tissue perfusion in patients with PAD and healthy controls. We will discuss the techniques according to their ability...
and quality assessment to detect PAD when tissue perfusion in healthy controls is compared with patients with known PAD.

2. Methods

This systematic review was conducted in accordance to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement [8]. The review protocol was prospectively registered in the PROSPERO database.

2.1. Literature search

Four electronic databases were searched for eligible articles: PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. The search was performed with the help of Medical Subject Headings terms for “peripheral arterial disease”, “diagnostic techniques”, “microcirculation” and “lower extremity”. The complete search strategy is available in Appendix 1. Studies published between January 2009 and January 2019 were considered. Titles and abstracts of the studies were independently reviewed by two authors (KFM, SFK), blinded to the authors and journal titles. Items in which there was disagreement were discussed by the two screeners. Articles considered for inclusion were independently reviewed by the two authors, and consensus was reached for inclusion. If consensus could not be reached, adjudication from a third author (JPdV) was sought.

2.2. Selection criteria

Articles were eligible if they included a diagnostic technique to determine tissue perfusion. Selected studies had to determine tissue perfusion in patients with PAD and compare this to tissue perfusion in healthy controls. The included articles were published in English, included human subjects, and had a full text available.

Exclusion criteria were case reports, case-series studies, studies with fewer than 10 participants, reviews, commentaries, letters to the editor, or conference abstracts. Studies investigating the correlation between tissue perfusion and clinical outcomes without comparison to healthy controls were excluded, as were studies investigating the use of tissue perfusion measurements to determine effect of treatment. Studies using hyperemia and exercise testing before the measurements of tissue perfusion were excluded, except for studies describing baseline measurements before hyperemia or exercise testing.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Study details were extracted from the articles using a predefined abstract form and categorized according to diagnostic tests for measuring tissue perfusion. The following data were extracted: study design, number of patients and healthy controls, the method of assessing PAD, characteristics of the measurement protocol, and outcome. The outcome of interest was the differences in tissue perfusion between PAD patients and healthy controls. The technical properties and clinical application within PAD are described for each technique. Statistical comparison and accuracy of the diagnostic techniques was scored if available in the included studies. Data were collected using Review Manager 5.3. software (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, the Cochrane Collaboration, 2014, Copenhagen, Denmark). Quality assessment of the studies was performed according to the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy studies (QUADAS-2) method [9].

3. Description of techniques and main results

The search resulted in 3129 records, of which 859 were duplicates or irrelevant to the topic. After detailed evaluation of the titles and abstracts, 205 articles were selected for full-text assessment. After assessment of these 205 articles, 20 articles were found to be eligible for inclusion. Of the remainder, 89 were excluded because no comparison was made between PAD and healthy controls, 58 could not be assessed because only an abstract was available, 20 articles were excluded due to the use of hyperemia or exercise testing, and 18 articles for other reasons. The review process is outlined in detail in Figure 1.

Studies are categorized according to the diagnostic technique. In Table 1, data extraction is provided for perfusion measurement techniques that were investigated in more than two studies in the literature. These techniques will be described in more detail in the text. Table 2 summarizes the diagnostic techniques that are described in fewer than two studies. In two articles, Chiang et al. [10] and Pawlaczek et al. [11], not one but two different perfusion measurement techniques are described. Bias and quality assessment with Quadas-2 is shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively.

3.1. CEUS assessment

3.1.1. Technical background

CEUS uses microbubbles to assess the microvascular blood flow in patients with PAD. The microbubbles increase the intensity of Doppler signals from the blood for several minutes after injection and are 1000 times more reflective than body tissue. They can expand and contract through pressure changes of sound waves of the ultrasound beam and vibrate strongly especially at high frequencies [13]. The microbubbles, with a diameter that varies between 1 and 5 µ, cannot penetrate the endothelium but are able to traverse the microcirculation [14].

3.1.2. Application within PAD

Three prospective studies investigated the use of CEUS in PAD, as reported in Table 1. Duerschmied et al. [15], Kundi et al. [14], and Meneses et al. [16] published prospective cohort studies and used different types of microbubble contrast agents. These three studies...
measured muscle perfusion, but on different spots at the lower limb.

Duerschmied et al. [15] analyzed time intensity curves and compared the time to peak (TTP) intensities between 58 healthy controls and 52 patients with PAD. The TTP intensity is the duration from the increase in contrast density until its peak. They showed a significant difference between these two groups (36.9 seconds versus 18.9 seconds, \( p < 0.001 \)).

Kundi et al. [14] included 13 patients with PAD and 8 healthy controls. TTP and peak perfusion (PEAK) at rest were not significantly different between PAD patients and healthy controls.

Meneses et al. [16] determined whole-leg blood flow and vascular conductance in both groups sitting and when supine. Both positions showed no significant difference at rest in PAD patients versus healthy controls.

### 3.2. MRI perfusion

#### 3.2.1. Technical background

MRI is capable of producing three-dimensional detailed anatomic imaging by measuring the interaction between an external magnetic field and protons within the body after applying radiofrequency pulses. MRI perfusion imaging is facilitated by means of exogenous and endogenous contrast agents. Contrast-enhanced perfusion techniques measure the T1 or T2/T2* effect of paramagnetic contrast agents, such as gadolinium, on tissue using T1- or T2-weighted imaging sequences [17].

Arterial spin labeling (ASL), blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) imaging, and intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) are perfusion techniques that do not require administration of an exogenous contrast agent. In ASL, arterial blood is used as an endogenous contrast agent by magnetically labeling the inflowing blood with radiofrequency pulses. Perfusion contrast is given by the difference in magnetization between a labeled and unlabeled control image induced by the exchange of magnetization at tissue level [18]. Because most ASL MRI techniques acquire the perfusion-weighted images at a fixed time after the initial labeling of the arterial blood, it might be that the magnetic label may not reach the imaging plane, leading to an underestimation of peripheral blood flow. BOLD MRI has been based on the paramagnetic properties of deoxyhemoglobin as an intrinsic contrast agent [19]. An increase of tissue perfusion results in a higher oxyhemoglobin and lower deoxyhemoglobin concentration in the small vessels and local microcirculation of PAD patients. IVIM is based...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Patients/healthy controls, No.</th>
<th>Assessment of PAD*</th>
<th>Measurement location</th>
<th>Measurement protocol</th>
<th>Main outcomes</th>
<th>Outcome values**</th>
<th>(PAD patients vs controls)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS)</strong></td>
<td>Duerschmied 2009 [15]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>52/58</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>The proximal and medial third of gastrocnemius and soleus muscle</td>
<td>Supine, 10 min rest. 4.8 mL SonoVue, 4 min after infusion measurements</td>
<td>The median time to peak intensity (TTP) was significantly higher in PAD patients compared with healthy controls</td>
<td>TTP: 36.9 s (19–68) vs. 18.9 s (11–39) p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kundi 2017 [14]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>13/8</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>The level of the calf with the greatest circumference (gastrocnemius muscle)</td>
<td>Supine, measurements directly after injection of 0.75 mL DEFINITY® microbubbles, iU22 System with linear L9-3 Transducer, Philips Inc.</td>
<td>The peak perfusion and time to peak perfusion was not significantly different between PAD patients and healthy controls</td>
<td>Peak perfusion: 20.7 vs. 22.7 p = 0.71 Time to peak: 37 vs. 42.7 p = 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meneses 2018 [16]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Medial gastrocnemius muscle of the greatest leg circumference</td>
<td>Supine and seated, 1.5 mL DEFINITY® microbubbles, 3 min of infusion with 2 min to reach steady state, Philips Diagnostic Ultrasound Systems model iE33, Philips Medical Systems</td>
<td>There were no significant differences between PAD patients and healthy controls</td>
<td>Supine: 2.10 ± 0.78 vs. 1.94 ± 0.65 p = 0.61 Seated: 2.04 ± 0.89 vs. 1.73 ± 0.95 p = 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRI perfusion imaging</strong></td>
<td>Englund 2013 [22]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>Diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Soleus muscle</td>
<td>2 min of scanning, 3T scanner, Siemens Medical Equipment. BOLD and ASL imaging</td>
<td>No statistical comparisons were performed between the groups</td>
<td>Baseline T2* (ms): 21.3 ± 1.07 vs. 22.6 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jiji 2013 [21]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Several regions of interest of 15 mm diameter in the calf muscles</td>
<td>Dynamic contrast enhanced, Injection of 0.1 mM/kg gadolinium, 3 T Trio™ MR scanner, Siemens Healthcare</td>
<td>Resting tissue function SI/sec. was not significantly higher in PAD compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>TF: 0.35 ± 0.71 vs. 0.25 ± 0.18 p = 0.59 Low values, but reproducible (ICC = 0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suo 2018 [23]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>14/10</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Axial plane at the middle of the calf. Anterior compartment, lateral compartment, soleus, and gastrocnemius</td>
<td>Supine, 15 min rest before imaging, 3-T CMR system: HDxt, General Electric Healthcare BOLD, ASL, and IVIM imaging</td>
<td>BOLD T2* (ms) values were significantly lower in patients with PAD compared to age-matched healthy controls</td>
<td>Anterior: 21.9 (13.4–26.8) vs. 25.9 (20.2–27.5) p = 0.002 Lateral: 21.8 (11.5–28.7) vs. 26.6 (21.5–28.3) p = 0.011 Soleus: 22.5 (14.8–26.6) vs. 24.7 (23.4–27.3) p = 0.007 Gastrocnemius: 22.5 (13.5–26.1) vs. 26.7 (23.9–28.3) p = 0.001 Median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS)</strong></td>
<td>Mesquita 2013 [28]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>26/31</td>
<td>Diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Calf muscle</td>
<td>Supine, 2 min measurements, NIRS module, Thorlabs with photomultiplier tubes: PMTs, Hamamatsu Corp.</td>
<td>Oxygen saturation is significantly lower in PAD patients compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>Severe PAD 54.2 (48.6–59.8), mild PAD 53.0 (46.7–59.2) vs. 63.5 (59.6–67.5) p &lt; 0.05 Median (95%CI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Patients/healthy controls, No.</th>
<th>Assessment of PAD*</th>
<th>Measurement location</th>
<th>Measurement protocol</th>
<th>Main outcomes</th>
<th>Outcome values** (PAD patients vs controls)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kagaya 2014 [29]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Several points on the plantar and dorsal side of the foot</td>
<td>Supine, the foot is positioned horizontal, measurements at a depth of 0–10 mm, Near-infrared tissue oximeter monitor: OXY-2, ViOptix Inc.</td>
<td>There were significantly more areas of low oxygen saturation (s\text{O}_2 0%-50%) in PAD patients compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>No absolute values were described. p &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boezeman 2016 [27]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>61/30</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>4 measurement spots: Proximal vastus lateralis, distal vastus lateralis, proximal gastrocnemius lateralis, distal gastrocnemius lateralis</td>
<td>Supine, 30 min rest before measurements, Room temperature: 20°C, INVOS 4100 system, Somanetics Crop</td>
<td>The rS\text{O}_2 values were significantly lower at 4 of 5 measured spots between PAD patients and healthy controls</td>
<td>Biceps: 64% (56%-68%) vs. 69% (66%-75%) Distal vastus lateralis: 51% (37%-59%) vs. 62% (52%-67%) Proximal gastrocnemius lateralis: 49% (34%-56%) vs. 64% (58%-71%) Distal gastrocnemius lateralis: 43% (30%-52%) vs. 65% (53%-72%) All p &lt; 0.001 Median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller 2017 [30]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Medial gastrocnemius muscle</td>
<td>Measurements while seated for 3 min and standing for 1 min, Measurements at 12.5 mm depth, Metmyoglobin oximeter monitor, Fortiori Design</td>
<td>No significant difference in oxygen saturation between PAD patients and healthy controls while seated or standing</td>
<td>No values were described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcutaneous oxygen measurements (Tc\text{P}_\text{O}_2) Pawlaczky-Gabriel 2014 [11]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>216/27</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Supine. Room temperature: 21°C. Measurements after a 15 min rest. Tc\text{P}_\text{O}<em>2/P\text{C}</em>\text{O}_2 module, PF5040 Periflux with Clark electrode, Radiometer</td>
<td>Tc\text{P}_\text{O}_2 was significantly lower in patients with PAD compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>Rutherford 0–1: 19.76 ± 12.20, Rutherford 3–4: 47.54 ± 14.86 vs. 64.30 ± 7.25 both p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiang 2017 [10]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>150/20</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Over the head of the first metatarsal on the plantar side</td>
<td>Supine. Measurements at 15 min, room temperature: 20°-23°C. TCOM system Radiometer</td>
<td>No statistical calculations were performed between the groups</td>
<td>Tc\text{P}_\text{O}<em>2: Rutherford 1–3: 84.2 ± 17.9, Rutherford 4–6: 75.8 ± 22.8 vs. 79.3 ± 13.9 Tc\text{P}</em>\text{O}_2: Rutherford 1–3: 28.3 ± 7.9, Rutherford 4–6:36.0 ± 14.5 vs. 26.4 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovacs 2018 [32]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>120/30</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Dorsum of the index foot near the first and second toes</td>
<td>Supine. Measurements for 15 min with heating of probes to 44°C, Tc\text{P}_\text{O}_2 system: TCM 400, Radiometer</td>
<td>Tc\text{P}_\text{O}_2 values of PAD patients were significantly lower than healthy controls</td>
<td>Tc\text{P}_\text{O}_2: 42 ± 15 vs. 55 ± 9 p &lt; 0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Clinical assessment is performed according to Fontaine or Rutherford classification or claudication complaints, diagnostic tools are ABI or degree of stenosis on CT-angiography. **Outcomes are displayed always in the same order: patients values versus control values. Outcome values are given as a mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise described.
Table 2. Overview of the diagnostic techniques with less than three studies, HSI, LDPM, LSCI, ICG, spectrophotometry and VOTI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Patients/healthy controls, No.</th>
<th>Assessment of PAD*</th>
<th>Measurement location</th>
<th>Measurement protocol</th>
<th>Main outcomes</th>
<th>Outcome values**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperspectral imaging (HSI)</td>
<td>Chin 2011 [38]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort 65/46</td>
<td>Diagnostic tools</td>
<td>9 locations: anterior calf, lateral calf, posterior calf, lateral ankle, medial ankle, dorsal metatarsal, plantar metatarsal, plantar arch, and plantar heel angiosomes</td>
<td>Semi-Fowlers position. OxyVu system, Hypermed</td>
<td>No significant differences in oxyhemoglobin values for all 9 locations. Deoxyhemoglobin values in the plantar angiosomes showed significant differences</td>
<td>DeoxyHb: Metatarsal: 49.7 ± 12.9 vs. 56.0 ± 17.3, p = 0.004 Arch: 45.1 ± 12.6 vs. 51.4 ± 14.7, p = 0.001 Heel: 50.8 ± 14.6 vs. 58.6 ± 16, p = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiang 2017 [10]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort 150/20</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Over the head of the first metatarsal on the plantar side</td>
<td>Supine. Room temperature: 20°-23°C. OxyVu system, Hypermed</td>
<td>Oxyhemoglobin, deoxyhemoglobin and oxygen saturation are stated for PAD and healthy subjects. No statistical calculations are performed between the groups</td>
<td>OxyHb: 76.4 ± 24.4 vs. 100 ± 31.3 DeoxyHb: 80.9 ± 19.8 vs. 71.2 ± 13.2 O2sat: 47.8 ± 10.6 vs. 56.9 ± 8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Doppler perfusion monitoring (LDPM)</td>
<td>Pawlaczyk-Gabriel 2014 [11]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort 216/27</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Dorsum of the foot between second and third metatarsal</td>
<td>Supine. Room temperature: 21°C. After 15 min rest flow measurements. Laser doppler probe: PF 457, Perimed</td>
<td>No significant differences in skin blood flow between PAD patients and healthy volunteers</td>
<td>Rutherford 0–1: 12.05 ± 3.45 and Rutherford 3–4: 12.05 ± 3.45 vs. 12.16 ± 6.80 p = 0.032, p = 0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser speckle contrast imaging (LSCI)</td>
<td>Humeau-Heurtier 2017 [45]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort 34/14</td>
<td>Diagnostic tools</td>
<td>The lower anterior part of the leg</td>
<td>Supine. Room temperature: 23°C. Laser speckle contrast imager: PeriCam PSI System, Perimed</td>
<td>The LSCI values of PAD patients were significantly different compared to controls</td>
<td>p = 0.0294, LSCI values were not mentioned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikuchi 2018 [46]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort 31/23</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Plantar side of the foot, lateral and medial distal metatarsal and 1st and 5th toe</td>
<td>Room temperature of 22°-23°C. LSFG-PIE, Softcare Co.</td>
<td>Beat strength of skin perfusion (BSSP) was significantly lower for PAD limbs compared to non-PAD controls.</td>
<td>Medial foot: 26.3 ± 3.7 vs. 105.0 ± 8.1. Lateral foot: 25.1 ± 3.3 vs. 94.2 ± 7.1. First toe: 16.5 ± 1.9 vs. 87.1 ± 6.7. Fifth toe: 19.0 ± 2.1 vs. 76.7 ± 5.7 for each: p &lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near-infrared fluorescence imaging with indocyanine green (ICG)</td>
<td>Kang 2010 [52]</td>
<td>Clinical pilot study 24/10</td>
<td>Diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Both feet</td>
<td>Supine. 0.16 g/kg injection of ICG. Imaging directly after injection for 5–10 min, Self-designed imaging system</td>
<td>The perfusion rates (%/min) were significantly lower in patients with PAD compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>16.6 ± 8.3%/min vs. 38.1 ± 17.3%/min p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igari 2014 [51]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort 14/9</td>
<td>Diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Dorsum of the foot, chopart to distal metatarsal</td>
<td>Supine. Room temperature: 20°-25°C. 10 min rest before injection of 0.1 mg/kg ICG, imaging for 5 min directly after injection, fluorescence images recorded with infrared camera system: Photodynamic Eye™, Hamamatsu Photonics K.K.</td>
<td>The perfusion rates (%) were significantly lower in patients with PAD compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>T90% max mean: 80.9 ± 50.2 sec T75% max mean: 41.1 ± 21.1 sec T50% max mean: 88.0 ± 50.4 sec R60 sec mean: 81.1% vs. 69.1% All p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrophotometry</td>
<td>Jørgensen 2012 [56]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort 55/4</td>
<td>Clinical assessment</td>
<td>Plantar surface of the first toe</td>
<td>Leg in horizontal position, 2 min of measurements, the micro-lightguide spectrophotometer, LEA Medizintechnik</td>
<td>PAD patients had significantly lower SO2 values compared to healthy subjects</td>
<td>Rutherford 5–6: 59 (4–83), Rutherford 4: 54 (0–80) Rutherford 3–6: 1 (6–93) vs. 82 (69–85) p &lt; 0.05 Median (Range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study year</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Patients/healthy controls, No.</td>
<td>Assessment of PAD*</td>
<td>Measurement location</td>
<td>Measurement protocol</td>
<td>Main outcomes</td>
<td>Outcome values** (PAD patients vs controls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular optical tomography imaging (VOTI) Khalil 2015 [57]</td>
<td>Diagnostic pilot study</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>Clinical assessment and diagnostic tools</td>
<td>Mid-metatarsal level of the foot</td>
<td>Seated upright, rest measurements for 1 min Vascular optical tomographic imaging system created by Lasker et al. [12]</td>
<td>No statistical comparisons were performed between the groups at rest. The average hemoglobin time tracer (HbT) seemed lower in patients with PAD compared to healthy controls</td>
<td>No absolute values were given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Clinical assessment is performed according to Fontaine or Rutherford classification or claudication complaints, diagnostic tools are ABI or degree of stenosis on CT-angiography. **Outcomes values are displayed always in the same order: patients values versus control values. Outcome values are given as a mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise described.
on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) using different b-values to measure the signal intensity of diffusion. Quantitative parameters, such as the diffusion coefficient, pseudodiffusion coefficient, and the relative perfusion fraction, can be calculated [20].

3.2.2. Application within PAD

Three prospective studies were found describing the use of MRI perfusion in PAD, as reported in Table 1. Jiji et al. [21] included 11 patients with PAD (ABI >0.4 and <0.9) and 16
3.3. Near-infrared spectroscopy

3.3.1. Technical background
NIRS is a non-invasive technique to determine muscle tissue oxygenation [24–26]. Wavelengths of red and NIR laser light illuminate the tissue at a depth of 1 to 3 cm, depending on the device used. The devices consist of a laser light source and photo detectors. The light is partly absorbed and scattered by the examined tissue, and the light that is reflected back is recorded by the detectors. NIRS uses the absorption spectra of the tissue chromophores oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin to calculate the tissue saturation. These values can be expressed as tissue oxygen saturation ($StO_2$), regional hemoglobin oxygen saturation ($rSO_2$) or skeletal muscle oxygen saturation ($Smo_2$) [27–30].

3.3.2. Application within PAD
Four prospective cohort studies investigated the use of NIRS in PAD. Mesquita et al. [28] studied NIRS in 26 patients with PAD and 31 healthy controls. PAD assessment was done with ABI, and participants were categorized in a healthy group (ABI >0.9), and two patient groups: mild PAD (ABI >0.7 to <0.9) and severe PAD (ABI >0.3 to <0.7). Results show that $StO_2$ was significantly lower in patients with PAD than in healthy controls (severe PAD: 54.2, mild PAD: 53.0 versus 63.5 in controls; $p < 0.05$).

Kagaya et al. [29] investigated 20 patients with PAD and 20 healthy controls. Assessment of PAD was done according to Rutherford classification. Only patients with Rutherford 4, 5, or 6 were included. Results show significantly lower $StO_2$ values in patients with PAD compared with healthy controls.

Boezeman et al. [27] studied 61 patients with PAD and 30 healthy controls. Assessment of PAD was performed by diagnostic imaging, and afterward, patients were categorized in Fontaine classification 3 or 4. Results showed significantly lower $rSO_2$ at all but one of the examined area’s on the lower extremity and upper arm (Table 1). The area of the proximal part of the upper leg was not suitable for examination because of the thickness of the skin.

Miller et al. [30] included eight patients with PAD and eight healthy controls. PAD was assessed by an ABI <0.9, and all patients were classified as Fontaine 2. The results of this study showed no significant difference in $Smo_2$ between PAD patients and healthy controls.

3.4. Transcutaneous oxygen measurements

3.4.1. Technical background
TcPO$_2$ is a non-invasive imaging method to quantify skin oxygenation. The Clark electrode that is used is based on amperometry and consists of several components: a voltage source, an electron receiver, an electron donor, an electrolyte solution, and a permeable membrane. The electron donor is placed in the electrolyte solution to produce electrons. The electrode can be heated from 37°C to 45°C on the skin surface, which causes capillaries to dilate and oxygen to be released. The higher the oxygen in the tissue, the more oxygen reacts with the electrons at the electron receiver and PO$_2$ can be measured [31]. TcPO$_2$ has been claimed to predict wound healing and assess PAD [10].

3.4.2. Application within PAD
Three prospective cohort studies investigated the use of TcPO$_2$ in PAD. Pawlaczyk et al. [11] included 79 patients with Rutherford classes 0 to 1, 137 patients with Rutherford classes 3 to 4, and 27 healthy controls. TcPO$_2$ was significantly lower in patients with PAD compared with healthy controls (class 0 to
1: 19.76 ± 12.2, class 3 to 4: 47.54 ± 14.86 versus 64.30 ± 7.25 in controls; p < 0.001).

Chiang et al. [10] focused on the comparison of different techniques, including TcPO₂ measurements. This prospective study included 150 PAD patients and 20 healthy controls. The PAD patients were subdivided according to Rutherford classification. TcPO₂ and TcPCO₂ values were stated for PAD patients and healthy controls; however, a statistical comparison between the groups was not performed.

The third included study, by Kovacs et al. [32], showed significantly lower values for PAD patients compared with healthy controls (42 ± 15 versus 55 ± 9; p < 0.005). The authors measured Doppler ultrasound, TcPO₂, and toe pressure at rest and after exercise in 120 patients with PAD and 30 healthy controls.

3.5. Hyperspectral imaging
3.5.1. Technical background
HSI determines spectroscopy values of oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin [33–36]. Wavelengths of visible light illuminate and penetrate the upper 1 to 2 mm of the skin. A spectrometer within the camera collects the light that is reemitted by the skin [37]. From the collected light, data are represented as a hypercube [33]. Each pixel in a hypercube represents the local reflectance spectrum of the tissue at a specific location with a size of 0.1 mm. From these differences in absorption spectra, spectroscopy can be performed to determine oxygenation levels of the tissue.

3.5.2. Application within PAD
Two prospective cohort articles investigating tissue perfusion with the OxyVu Hyperspectral imaging Tissue Oxygenation Mapping System (HyperMed Inc. Memphis, TN) have been published [10,38]. The authors used a skin target with an area of 204 mm² and calculated the oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin values in a region of interest of 1 cm around the target [35,39,40]. Overall oxygen saturation of the skin is calculated as oxy/(oxy+deoxy) × 100%. Chiang et al. [10] compared hyperspectral oxygen saturations with TcPO₂ and TcPCO₂ values and showed a strong relationship between deoxyhemoglobin and TcPCO₂ (p = 0.0001).

3.6. Laser Doppler perfusion monitoring
3.6.1. Technical background
Laser Doppler perfusion monitoring (LDPM) uses NIR laser light with a wavelength of 780 nm to measure tissue perfusion of the skin [11,41]. The penetration depth of the laser is approximately 0.3 to 0.5 mm [42]. The light is scattered or partly absorbed by the skin and subcutaneous tissue. The light that is reflected by the red blood cells will change due to the Doppler effect [43]. The frequency of the light is changed by a specific location with a size of 0.1 mm. From these differences in absorption spectra, spectroscopy can be performed to determine oxygenation levels of the tissue.

3.6.2. Application within PAD
One prospective study has been published using LDPM, as listed in Table 1. Pawlacyzk et al. [11] studies LDPM in 216 patients with PAD and 27 healthy controls. Results showed no significant differences between patients with varying Rutherford degrees and healthy controls.

3.7. Laser speckle contrast imaging
3.7.1. Technical background
LSCI is based on laser light to visualize the microcirculation of the skin [43,45–47]. Light is scattered back from the skin, creating an interference pattern called a laser speckle pattern. The speckle pattern images changes in time, mainly due to the flow of red blood cells in the microcirculation [48]. This leads to blurring of the speckle pattern, which will cause change in laser speckle contrast. The images are recorded, and the blood perfusion can be expressed as an arbitrary unit, PU, or LSCI index [45]. An additional parameter that can be calculated from LSCI is the beat strength of skin perfusion (BSSP). This is an average amplitude of dynamic cutaneous blood flow changes, synchronized with heart beats [46].

3.7.2. Application within PAD
Two prospective studies investigated the use of LSCI in PAD. The details are reported in Table 1. Both studies concluded that LSCI values were significantly lower in PAD patients compared with healthy controls in every measured location on the foot.

3.8. NIR fluorescence imaging with ICG
3.8.1. Technical background
The principle of fluorescence imaging is to illuminate contrast agents, for example ICG with an absorption maximum at 800 nm. The region of interest in a tissue is illuminated with NIR light at a wavelength of 750 to 800 nm while observing with the camera at longer wavelengths (700–900 nm) [49,50].

3.8.2. Application within PAD
Two articles have been published on NIR fluorescence imaging with ICG as a contrast agent. One was a retrospective cohort study [51] and the other a clinical pilot study [52].

Igari et al. [51] included 14 patients with PAD and 9 patients without PAD to evaluate the accuracy of ICG angiography to diagnose PAD. Quantitative parameters, such as the magnitude of intensity from ICG onset to the maximum intensity (Iₘₐₓ) and the half value of the maximum intensity (Iₜₕ₂), showed no significant difference between PAD and controls. However, the time from ICG onset to maximum intensity (Tₘₐₓ) and the time elapsed from the maximum intensity to 90% of the Iₘₐₓ and to 75% of the Iₘₐₓ (Td 90% and Td 75%), and the rate of intensity measured 60 sec after the Tₘₐₓ to the Iₘₐₓ (IR 60 seconds) were significantly different. The authors investigated the accuracy of the diagnostic technique and showed a sensitivity of 82.6% and specificity of 73.3% after setting the highest diagnostic value of Td 90% as the cutoff (25 seconds).

Kang et al. [52] showed a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 90% with a set value of 24.4%/min perfusion rate as the
cutoff. They included 34 participants (10 healthy controls and 24 PAD patients) and found significantly higher values for healthy controls than for PAD patients.

3.9. Spectrophotometry

3.9.1. Technical background
Spectrophotometry, or micro-lightguide spectrophotometry is a non-invasive technique for determining microcirculation in the skin by means of continuous white and laser light [53,54]. The white light that is scattered by the tissue chromophores and the wavelength-dependent absorption spectra are both recorded [55]. The amount of reflected laser light is changed by the movement of red blood cells and collected to determine blood flow. The spectrophotometry determines the oxygen saturation, which is expressed as an arbitrary unit (SO2) [56].

3.9.2. Application within PAD
One prospective cohort study was found in the literature search [56]. Details are provided in Table 1. Results showed that SO2 was significantly lower in patients with PAD than in healthy controls. There were no significant differences between the groups.

3.10. Vascular optical tomography imaging

3.10.1. Technical background
VOTI is a non-invasive, contrast-free imaging modality that uses NIR light to illuminate the tissue of interest. Back-scattered light and light that is transmitted through the foot is captured by a detector. Spatial maps of hemoglobin can be produced, which can be translated to total hemoglobin (HbT) time traces within a region of interest.

3.10.2. Application within PAD
One diagnostic pilot study by Khalil et al. [57] describes the use of VOTI in PAD in a pilot study of 10 PAD patients and 20 healthy controls. No statistical analyses were performed in rest.

4. Discussion
Assessment of microcirculation is essential to diagnose PAD, because symptoms of chronic limb-threatening ischemia are a direct result of insufficient tissue perfusion. However, the decision making in treatment of PAD patients is still mainly based on diagnostics regarding the macrovasculature. Also, the post-treatment follow-up is focused on patency of the large lower limb arteries and less on the status of the microcirculation.

This systematic review provides an overview of the current available diagnostic techniques to determine tissue perfusion in PAD patients and healthy controls. We identified 10 different techniques. Comparing results and drawing firm conclusions is difficult because of substantial heterogeneity in different aspects, such as inclusion criteria, diagnosis and severity of PAD, vascular risk factors, and comorbidity of patients, and the selection of healthy controls. Another limitation is that most of the studies included a (too) small number of patients and controls, except for the studies with focus on TcPO2 measurements. To compare new diagnostic techniques, such as HSI and LSCI with TcPO2 more large prospective cohort trials should be performed. 13 of the 20 studies are at risk of bias when assessing the index test and reference standard. The reason for this is that in these studies no details were provided who performed the index test and reference standard and whether these measurements were performed blinded.

It is also important to consider the different technical working mechanisms of the diagnostic techniques described in this systematic review. Most of these techniques measure the blood flow or blood circulation in a specific region of interest in tissue. Examples are contrast-enhanced ultrasound, MRI perfusion, laser Doppler perfusion monitoring, LSCI, NIR fluorescence imaging with ICG, and spectrophotometry. For the measurement of tissue composition or the concentration of oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin, NIRS, hyperspectral imaging, VOTI, or TcPO2 measurements should be used. Local skin temperature is a result of various physiological factors, such as blood flow, blood circulation, tissue composition and oxygen saturation, and may therefore also indicate tissue perfusion. However, this review was limited to techniques that are indicative for one of these physiological factors. Thermal imaging, which can be measured with various techniques, including microwave radiometry thermometry [58] or infrared thermography [59] is therefore not included in this systematic review.

Another important difference between the diagnostic devices is the penetration depth of the techniques. Most of the techniques enable determination of the superficial skin perfusion such as TcPO2, HSI, NIRS, LDPM, LSCI, Near-infrared fluorescence imaging with ICG, spectrophotometry and VOTI. These perfusion measurements are different from the techniques such as CEUS, MRI and NIRS, that have a greater penetration depth and therefore measure muscle perfusion.

Tissue perfusion at rest in skeletal muscle in the limb is quite low and difficult to measure for most of these techniques. A method to increase flow such as cuff occlusion, hyperemia or exercise can be necessary to increase sensitivity to differences between patients with PAD and healthy controls. However, hyperemia or exercise testing can be performed in many different ways, which lead to an increase in heterogeneity of the diagnostic values to determine tissue perfusion in patients with PAD. Therefore, manuscripts focusing only on measurements under hyperemic conditions or exercise were excluded from this review. Manuscripts including measurements at rest as well as under hyperemic conditions have been included.

TcPO2 is often seen as the gold standard to measure tissue oxygenation. This technique is applied in several medical fields, including pediatric intensive care and diabetic foot ulcers [10,60]. So far, it seems reliable in differentiation between PAD patients and controls, although the total number of included controls was <70. However, TcPO2 also has some limitations; for example, the intra-operator variability of TcPO2 measurements is 10% [10]. Besides, measurements depend on the heating of the Clark electrode, which makes these measurements time-consuming, especially when examination of multiple locations at the lower extremity and foot
are mandatory. Moreover, this technique seems less suitable to be used in home setting, which maybe an important feature given that telemonitoring will increase in next few years, especially in older frail patients.

The other techniques are still in their infancy regarding tissue perfusion determination and have some limitations.

One of the limitations of NIRS is the measurement of locations with more adipose tissue, which can cause inaccurate values [27]. NIRS is also limited by tissue infection and inflammation. Furthermore, outcome values of NIRS are sensitive to both oxygen delivery and oxygen extraction [30]. In PAD, using contact-free methods, such as HSI, LSCI, or MRI, may be preferable, especially when patients have foot ulcers. MR BOLD imaging is the most reliable technique to detect and stratify microvascular function at rest in PAD patients [23]. Disadvantages of BOLD MRI are the limited availability and the high costs [61]. In addition, patients are positioned in a cylindrical tube with high noise levels, which can cause claustrophobia [62]. However, MRI enables visualization of 3D images in contrast to HSI and LSCI, both of which produce a two-dimensional color-coded map to examine the tissue perfusion in the foot [10,46].

HSI and LSCI devices are easy to use and can be applied at the patient’s bedside. One of the limitations of LSCI is the measurement of tissue perfusion at the dorsum of the foot. Most patients have a curvature that causes a reduced reflection of the laser, which results in inaccurate measurements [46]. This is not the case in other techniques such as, CEUS and fluorescence imaging. However, these techniques use a contrast agent, by which the distribution of the contrast agent is dependent on for example, cardiac output [15]. Nevertheless, development of these techniques is highly important to focus on a more accurate diagnostic method to measure tissue perfusion in patients with PAD.

5. Expert opinion

This review evaluated 10 diagnostic techniques for tissue perfusion measurements to determine their ability to diagnose PAD and chronic limb-threatening ischemia. Some of the devices show promising results, but the amount of evidence available is still insufficient to enable the routine implementation of any of the devices in clinical practice at this point.

Before a specific tissue perfusion measurement will be implemented on a large scale, it must meet a number of needs. First, it should determine tissue perfusion precisely and accurately with low intra-observer and inter-observer variability. Next, it should preferably be a noninvasive technique that, is contact free and low in cost. Last, it should be a device that can easily be used in the clinical setting as well as in the home setting. A diagnostic technique that complies with all of these conditions could be used to determine the severity of PAD and would be able to monitor tissue perfusion throughout the total treatment process.

When PAD is diagnosed based on clinical symptoms and a significant stenosis on CTA or MRA, tissue perfusion measurements should additionally be performed to determine the microcirculation, especially around ulcers and ischemic wounds. Tissue perfusion measurements after revascularization are also important, because a technically successful revascularization does not always lead to clinical improvement such as pain relief or ulcer healing.

Home monitoring or telemedicine will evolve over the coming years because of an increasing demand as a result of the aging patient population. The elderly patient population often suffers from cardiovascular diseases, causing frailty and low mobility. Therefore, home monitoring of tissue perfusion with non-invasive devices will become more and more important, not only in patients with PAD but also in patients with diabetes, who are prone to develop foot ulcers. Tissue perfusion measurements with LSCI or HSI could be potentially successful in accomplishing this, because they depend on non-invasive, non-contact measurement. Measurements should be performed in a standardized protocol by care providers to overcome variability and user dependency. It may be an illusion that patients will be able to determine their tissue perfusion themselves at home. However, if wound consultants or general practitioner can measure tissue perfusion in PAD patients the burden to expensive visits to outpatient clinics and hospitals may decrease.

In conclusion, there is a need to determine tissue perfusion in patients suffering with PAD and chronic limb-threatening ischemia. The ideal tissue perfusion measurement technique is non-invasive, with low inter-observer and intra-observer variability, inexpensive, and can be performed throughout the entire peri-procedural process. Continuation of tissue perfusion measurements in the first weeks postintervention at home may be important to evaluate clinical outcomes. So far, evidence is scarce regarding such techniques, and large prospective trials are necessary before tissue perfusion measurements are incorporated in daily vascular practice.
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Appendix

Search strategy PubMed

('Peripheral Arterial Disease'[Mesh] OR 'Peripheral Vascular Diseases'[Mesh:NoExp] OR peripheral arterial disease* [tiab] OR peripheral artery disease* [tiab] OR peripheral occlusive disease* [tiab] OR peripheral vascular disease* [tiab] OR limb ischemia [tiab])

AND


AND


AND


NOT

('animals'[MeSH] NOT 'humans'[MeSH])