Synthesis and thermal decomposition of a pyridylene-bridged bis-β-diketiminate magnesium hydride cluster†
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Reaction of \( \text{PYR} \cdot (\text{MgBu})_2 \), in which \( \text{PYR} \) is 2,6-\( \{\text{DIPP}\} \)NC(Me)CHC(\text{Me})N-\( \text{C} \)-pyridine and DIPP is 2,6-\( \{\text{IPr} \} \)-phenyl, with (DIPP)NH\( \text{H} \)\( \text{BH} \)\( \text{H} \) gave \( \text{PYR} \cdot [\text{MgNH}(\text{DIPP})\text{BH}]_2 \) (56%) which was characterized by crystal structure determination. Addition of THF resulted in β-H elimination and formation of \( \text{PYR} \cdot [\text{MgNH}(\text{DIPP})\text{BH}]\text{THF} \) (57%), likewise characterized by crystal structure determination. Conversion of the second amidoborane anion in \( \text{H}^- \) could not be achieved. Reaction of \( \text{PYR} \cdot (\text{MgBu})_2 \) with Ph\( \text{SiH}_3 \) gave \( \text{PYR} \cdot (\text{MgH})_2 \), which crystallized as a dimer. The structure of \( \text{PYR} \cdot (\text{MgH})_2 \) shows an 8-membered ring of \( \text{Mg}^2 \) and \( \text{H}^- \) ions. Thermal decomposition at 130 °C releases one equivalent of \( \text{H}_2 \), i.e. 50% of the expected value. Nucleophilic attack at the para-position and reduction of the pyridylene bridge might explain reduced \( \text{H}_2 \) release.

Introduction

Transition metal hydride complexes play an important role in the broader context of organometallic chemistry and have paved the way for the early development of homogeneous transition metal catalysis. The extended class of late main group metal hydrides compounds (e.g. alanes, boranes, stannanes) is well-established and heavily used as reducing agents in organic synthesis. The early main group metal hydrides, however, suffer from their highly polar character, which results in ionic crystal structures, \( \text{MH}_n \) and \( \text{MH}_3 \), with very high lattice energies. The latter are an obstacle in the synthesis of magnesium hydride compounds. The former has been shown to have unusual coordination chemistry.22

It can bridge a dimeric unit symmetrically with long, but not −1 charged ligand systems, respectively. The challenge of selectively synthesizing early main group metal hydride complexes was recently taken on, and the field is rapidly expanding.4-15 This is especially due to rewarding contributions of the field to early main group metal catalysis and hydrogen storage.11,14

In light of this, δ-diketiminate complexes of magnesium hydride (Scheme 1) have been shown to function as molecular models for the hydrogen storage system: MgH\(_2\) ⇄ Mg + \( \text{H}_2 \)\(_2\). Apart from the magnesium hydride dimer \([\text{DIPP} \cdot \text{acac}] \cdot \text{MgH}_2 \) (Scheme 1), larger magnesium hydride clusters based on bridged δ-diketiminate ligands were introduced.11,14 The ligand with direct connection of δ-diketiminate units gave the tetrannuclear magnesium hydride cluster \([\text{NN} \cdot \text{MgH}_2]_2 \).14 Using a para-phenylene spacer gave a larger octannuclear cluster in which MgH\(_2\) is incorporated: \([\text{PARA} \cdot \text{MgH}_2]_2[\text{MgH}_2]_2 \) (Scheme 1).11 It was shown that these magnesium hydride complexes are stable towards thermal decomposition when dissolved in aromatic solvents. However, in the solid state, thermal decomposition with release of the expected amount of \( \text{H}_2 \) is observed. As predicted by theoretical calculation,21 the hydrogen release temperatures (120–200 °C) are much lower than that for bulk \( \text{MgH}_2 \) (>300 °C) and increase with the cluster size (Scheme 1).14 Hawai, the low-valent products after \( \text{H}_2 \) elimination could not be characterized. Also, reversibility has so far not been observed.

In continuation, we extend our investigations with the 2,6-pyridylene bridged δ-diketiminate ligand (PYR). This ligand has been shown to have unusual coordination chemistry.22-24 It can bridge a dimeric unit symmetrically with long, but not negligible pyridine–metal contacts (i) in Scheme 2. This leads to significant strain: the N–C–N angles in PYR-(MgBu\(_2\)) of circa 109° are much smaller than the idealized 120° value for sp\(^2\) hybridization. Also asymmetric coordination modes (ii) have been observed. Due to essential free rotation of the pyridylene unit in respect of the β-diketiminate NCCCN planes, another geometry with non-classic hydrogen C–H⋯N bonding is feasible (iii). As the bimetallic complexes PYR-(ZnBu\(_2\)) were found to be completely inactive in CO\(_2\)/epoxide copolymeriza-
tion, it was reasoned that such a geometry might block metal-metal communication and synergistic effects.

The pyridylene bridge in PYR is potentially a strongly coordinating donor that also may assist in incorporation of additional metal units: a magnesium hydride complex like (iv) could be envisioned. Here, we evaluate the magnesium hydride chemistry of the PYR ligand.

Results and discussion

We recently reported a convenient high-yield method for the synthesis of [(DIPPnacnac)MgH]₂ by thermally induced β-H elimination in the magnesium amidoborane precursor (DIPPnacnac)MgNH(iPr)BH₃.²³ The analogue bimetallic complex PYR-[MgNH(iPr)BH₃]₂ can be prepared according to Scheme 3, but thermal decomposition led to formation of a BNBN-product, presumably through an hydride intermediate.²³ We found that, in case of a 2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃ substituent (DIPP) on N, the fate of thermal decomposition is different.

PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH₃]₂ was prepared by careful deprotonation of H₂N(DIPP)BH₃ by PYR-(Mg₄Bu)₂ at −78 °C. The low temperature is essential and prevents catalytic decomposition of H₂N(DIPP)BH₃ in [(DIPP)NH]₂BH and BH₃, as reported earlier.²⁵ The product, which could be isolated in the form of yellow block-like crystals (56%), has been characterized by crystal structure determination (Fig. 1a, Table 1). Its structure is similar to that of PYR-[MgNH(iPr)BH₃]₂ and shows a near C₂-symmetric complex with two B,N-bridging amidoborane anions. Their Mg⋯H, Mg⋯B and Mg⋯N distances average 2.10(2) Å, 2.546(2) Å and 2.126(1) Å, respectively, and are similar to those in the iPr-substituted complex.²³

The pyridylene bridge is symmetrically bridging the two Mg ions with rather long distances of 2.7–2.8 Å.

¹H NMR signals for PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH₃]₂ in toluene-d₈ are, at room temperature, rather broad. Cooling the sample to −50 °C gives a set of sharp signals which allow for unambiguous NMR characterization. The NH and BH₃ groups show broad ¹H NMR signals at 3.13 ppm and 2.28 ppm, respectively. The iPr groups in the ligand give rise to four doublet and two septet resonances and the same was found for the iPr groups in the NH(DIPP)BH₃⁻ ion.

In contrast to a toluene solution of (DIPPnacnac)MgNH-(DIPP)BH₃, which decomposes into (DIPPnacnac)MgN(DIPP) = BH₂ and H₂ at forced conditions (120 °C, 24 hours),²³
a toluene solution of PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH3] already decomposes slowly at room temperature. A singlet 1H NMR signal at 4.45 ppm indicates H2 formation. 11B NMR spectra suggest formation of [(DIPP)NH]2BH and the BH4− ion, but well-defined products could not be isolated.

Interestingly, addition of minor amounts of THF to a benzene solution of PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH3]2 initiated a fast decomposition reaction and resulted in precipitation of yellow crystals (Scheme 3). Structural characterization of the product revealed the occurrence of a single β-H elimination: PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH3]2(MgH)·THF. The crystal structure (Fig. 1b, Table 1) shows a binuclear molecule with symmetrical bridging of H− between the Mg2+ centers: 193(3) Å and 1.95(2) Å. The remaining amidoborane anion binds only to Mg1. This unsymmetrical charge distribution of anions is balanced by strong coordination of the pyridylene bridge to Mg2 (2.199(2) Å) and an additional THF ligand.

1H NMR signals can be assigned unambiguously: the amidoborane part shows a broad BH3 signal at 1.58 ppm and a quartet for NH at 2.61 ppm (3J(H,H) = 3.4 Hz) whereas the bridging H− gives a singlet at 3.21 ppm.

The mechanism for the here observed THF-induced β-H elimination is subject of speculation. In light of the fact that the preliminary step for β-hydrogen elimination generally requires a low-coordinate metal center with an agostic β-H⋯metal contact,26 addition of THF should rather prevent such process. Lewis-base induced β-H eliminations are rare.27

We propose the following reaction sequence (Scheme 4): (i) coordination of THF results in slippage of a bridging amido-
borane ion to terminal coordination and a BH₃ group bridging two Mg²⁺ centers (in fact this intermediate has been observed earlier in the form of the NN-[MgNH-(DIPP)BH₃]₂(THF) complex), ii) (ii) is followed by β-H elimination and formation of the product, (iii) the remaining DIPP[NH] = BH₂ decomposes to [(DIPP)NH]BH (detected by ¹¹B NMR) and BH₃ (detected in the form of BH₄⁻ by ³¹B NMR). The last step might go through an intermediate [(DIPP)NH]BH[(DIPP)-NHBH₃]⁻ anion. Similar intermediates have been isolated in high main group metal and transition metal chemistry.²⁵,²⁸,¹¹

The very mild nature of this Lewis-base induced hydride formation, which is smooth at room temperature, posed the question whether a second H⁻ elimination might open a new route for preparation of magnesium hydride complexes. Unfortunately, addition of larger amounts of THF (or using THF as the solvent) did not trigger further β-H elimination. Slowly increasing the temperature of the THF solution led to H₂ formation, presumably by reaction of the Mg–H function with [[(DIPP)NH]BH, and PYR-(MgH)₂ could not be isolated.

Therefore, we chose the slower but more effective phenylsilane route:⁸,⁹ reaction of a PYR-(MgBu)₂ solution in benzene with two equivalents of PhSiH₃ gave the product at 60 °C after three days, which could be crystallized from hexane (54%). The crystal structure of the dimer [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ shows a near C₂-symmetric tetranuclear cluster without exact crystallographic symmetry (Fig. 1c, Table 1) but with some similarity to [NN-(MgH)₂]₂.¹⁴ Although composition and connectivity are similar, the arrangement of Mg ions in [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ is strongly distorted from the Mg₄ tetrahedron found in [NN-(MgH)₂]₂. Whereas in the latter Mg...Mg distances vary from 3.030(1) to 3.586(1) Å, those in [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ are longer and show larger variation: 3.410(1)–4.293(1) Å. This flattened Mg₄ geometry is undoubtedly due to the large span width of the pyridylene bridged PYR ligand and results in an 8-membered ring of alternating Mg²⁺ and H⁻ ions. However, the average Mg–H distances (H atoms have been located and were refined) are very similar in both structures: [NN-(MgH)₂]₂, 1.808(9) and [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂, 1.82(2), but are naturally subject to a large error margin. The pyridylene N atoms are asymmetrically bridging the Mg²⁺ ions with weaker weakly-bound (2.614(2)/2.542(2) Å) and longer essentially non-bonding (3.353(2)/3.407(2) Å) distances. Attempts to incorporate additional MgH₂ into the cluster (cf. iv) in Scheme 2) by reaction of PYR-(MgBu)₂ with excess PhSiH₃ failed.

The cluster [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ contains three different hydride positions (H₁, H₃/H₄ and H₂). In case fast pyridyl-Mg₁/Mg₂ and pyridyl-Mg₄/Mg₃ switching takes place, at least two different hydride positions are present (H₁/H₂ and H₃/H₄). ¹H NMR spectra of [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ in toluene-d₈, however, only show one singlet for the H⁻ ligands. Cooling of the solution to −75 °C did not result in decoalescence. This strongly contrasts with the dynamic behaviour observed for [NN-(MgH)₂]₂ below room temperature the singlet hydride signal decoalesces in two triplets.¹⁴ We presume that for [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ the solid state structure is maintained in solution but fast dynamics of ligand coordination geometries result in equal hydride positions: a time-averaged structure with symmetrically bridging pyridylene units and flat PYR ligands would be S₄ symmetric. This is in agreement with the observation of two iPr doublet signals and one iPr heptet signal. Although a monomeric PYR-(MgH)₂ structure with bridging H⁻ ligands (type i in Scheme 2) cannot be ruled out, cf. PYR-(MgBu)₂, in apolar solvents it seems the least stable option (especially if one considers the short Mg–H bond distances of 1.8 Å).

The thermal decomposition of yellow crystals of [PYR-(MgH)₂]₂ was investigated by stepwise heating in a thermo-
stated air-bath. At 130 °C the color changed via yellow-orange to dark red. Gas quantification by pumping the gas quantitatively into a calibrated burette of a Töpler pump system indicated the release of 1.1 ± 0.1 mol-equivalents of gas per \([\text{PYR}}\text{(MgH)}_2]\). Extended heating did not result in more gas elimination. The gas was proven to be \(\text{H}_2\): (i) it does not condense in liquid \(\text{N}_2\) but is fully converted to condensable water after leading it over \(\text{CuO}\) at 300 °C; (ii) after leading the gas in deuterated \(\text{THF}\), a clear \(^1\text{H}\) NMR resonance could be observed at 4.55 ppm which is the chemical shift for \(\text{H}_2\) in this solvent.\(^{18}\)

Thermal \(\text{H}_2\) desorption from the \([\text{PYR}}\text{(MgH)}_2]\) cluster differs from the earlier reported results for \([\text{NN}}\text{(MgH)}_2]\): hydrogen is released at the significantly lower temperature of 130 °C (vs. 175 °C) and only 50% of the theoretical hydrogen content could be detected (vs. full conversion). This is likely due to the fact that pyridine is susceptible towards nucleophilic addition of \(\text{H}^+\) in ortho- or para-positions to form a de aromatized amide. Proposed nucleophilic attack at the para-position (Scheme 3) explains reduced \(\text{H}_2\) formation (Scheme 3). Although one of the amidoborane anions in \([\text{DIPP}}\text{BH}_3]\) could be converted into \(\text{H}_2\) at 4.55 ppm which is the chemical shift for \(\text{H}_2\) in this solvent.\(^{18}\)

Conclusions

The magnesium hydride chemistry with the 2,6-pidylene bridged bis-\(\beta\)-diketiminate ligand, \(\text{PYR}\), has been investigated. Although one of the amidoborane anions in \([\text{PYR}}\text{MgNH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3]\) could be converted into \(\text{H}^+\) by a THF-induced \(\beta\)-H elimination, such a transformation could not be achieved for \([\text{NN}}\text{(MgH)}_2]\); instead, a \(\text{H}^+\) elimination, such a transformation could not be achieved for \([\text{NN}}\text{(MgH)}_2]\); instead, decomposition into various undefined species.

Experimental

General

All experiments were carried out using standard Schlenk-techniques and freshly dried solvents. The following compounds were prepared according to the literature: \([\text{PYR}}\text{H}_2]\), \([\text{PYR}}\text{Mg(nBu)}_2]\), \([\text{DIPP}}\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_3]\). NMR spectra were measured using a Bruker DPX300 and DRX500 spectrometer. Crystals were measured using a Siemens Smart diffractometer with APEXII area detector system.

Syntheses

\([\text{PYR}}\text{MgNH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3]\): 408 mg (0.542 mmol) \([\text{PYR}}\text{Mg(nBu)}_2]\) was dissolved in 10 mL of toluene and the solution was cooled to −78 °C in an \(\text{iPrOH}/\text{CO}_2\) cooling bath. At this temperature, a solution of 207 mg (1.08 mmol) \([\text{DIPP}}\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_3]\) in 2 mL of toluene was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred and the cooling bath slowly warmed to room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated to half its volume and slowly cooled to −27 °C. The product \([\text{PYR}}\text{MgNH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3]\) was crystallized in the form of large yellow blocks.

Yield: 310 mg, 0.304 mmol, 56%. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for \(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{9}\text{BH}_2\text{Mg}_{2}\text{N}_{2}\): \(M = 1018.69\): C 74.28, H 9.20; found C 74.48, H 9.00.

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR (500 MHz, toluene-\(d_8\), −40 °C): \(\delta = 0.19 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 0.62 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 0.86 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 0.95 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 1.19 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 1.25 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 1.42 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 1.44 (s, 6 \text{H, Me backbone}), 1.49 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{H, } \text{iPr}), 1.98 (s, 6 \text{H, Me backbone}), 2.28 (br, 6 \text{H, } \text{BH}_3\), 2.64 (sept, \(\frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{H, iPr}), 2.81 (sept, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{H, iPr}), 3.17 (br, 2 \text{H, NH}), 3.17 (sept, \(\frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{H, iPr}), 3.59 (sept, \(\frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{H, iPr}), 4.79 (s, 2 \text{H, H backbone}), 6.34 (d, \frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{H, aryl}), 6.78 (d, \(\frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{H, aryl}), 6.94 (t, \(\frac{3}{J} J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{H, aryl}), 6.97−7.07 (m, 8 \text{H, aryl}), 7.12 (m, 2 \text{H, aryl}), 7.12 (m, 2 \text{H, aryl}), 7.12 (m, 2 \text{H, aryl}).

\(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR (125 MHz, toluene-\(d_8\), 20 °C): \(\delta = 118.0 (br)\).

\(\text{PYR}}\text{Mg(H)}\text{NH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3\) (THF): 200 mg (0.196 mmol) \([\text{PYR}}\text{Mg(H)}\text{NH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3\) (THF) was dissolved in 3.5 mL of THF. After three hours, yellow crystalline blocks of \([\text{PYR}}\text{Mg(H)}\text{NH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3\) (THF) were separated. The product was isolated by centrifugation, the mother liquor was removed and subsequently washed with 2.0 mL of hexane and shortly dried in high vacuum. Yield of \([\text{PYR}}\text{Mg(H)}\text{NH(DIPP)}\text{BH}_3\) (THF): 85 mg, 0.081 mmol, 41%. Note that, instead of one THF, three equivalents of THF are included. This is due to co-crystallized non-binding THF ligands (see below). The mother liquor of the reaction mixture gave another crop of the microcrystalline...
product: the solvents were removed under high vacuum and the remaining solid was washed with 2.0 mL of hexane and dried under high vacuum. The combined yields of **PYR-[Mg(H)(DIPP)BH$_3$]$_2$(THF)** are 117 mg, 0.112 mmol, 57%. Crystals for crystal structure determination were obtained by recrystallization from a 5/1 benzene–THF mixture. The constitution is **PYR-[Mg$_2$(H)NH(DIPP)BH$_4$]$_2$(THF)**; two disordered benzene molecules instead of THF molecules cocrystallized. Elemental analysis for the first batch of well-defined crystals (% calc for C$_{63}$H$_{93}$BMg$_2$N$_{11}$O$_2$: M$_r$ = 1045.90) C 72.35, H 9.35; found C 72.34, H 9.16. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d$_8$, 20 °C): δ = 1.06 (d, $^3$J(H,H) = 6.3 Hz, 12H, iPr NH), 1.08 (br, 12H, iPr), 1.11 (d, $^3$J(H,H) = 6.4 Hz, 12H, iPr), 1.58 (br, 3H, BH$_3$), 1.63 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 1.78 (m, 12H, THF), 2.13 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 2.61 (q, 1H, $^3$J(H,H) = 3.4 Hz, 1H, NH), 2.95 (sept, $^3$J(H,H) = 6.4 Hz, 2H, iPr NH), 3.09 (br, 4H, iPr), 3.21 (s, 1H, MgH), 3.62 (m, 12H, THF), 4.78 (s, 2H, H backbone), 6.12 (br, 1H, aryl), 6.59 (br, 2H, aryl NH), 6.69 (d, $^3$J(H,H) = 6.7 Hz, 2H, aryl NH), 7.00–7.12 (m, 7H, aryl). $^{13}$C NMR (data taken from 2D spectra, 75 MHz, THF-d$_8$): 8.1 (d, $^3$J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, 12H, iPr), 1.16 (d, $^3$J(H,H) = 7.6 Hz, 12H, iPr), 1.57 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 1.82 (s, 6H, Me backbone), 2.88 (s, 2H, MgH), 3.14 (sept, $^3$J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 4H, iPr), 4.79 (s, 2H, H backbone), 6.12 (d, $^3$J(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 2H, aryl), 6.99–7.03 (m, 6H, aryl) 7.03 (t, $^3$J(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 1H, aryl). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, benzene-d$_6$, 20 °C): 24.6 (Me backbone), 24.6 (iPr), 24.7 (Me backbone), 25.0 (iPr), 28.6 (iPr), 99.7 (backbone), 111.3 (aryl), 124.1 (aryl), 125.7 (aryl), 138.1 (aryl), 142.4 (aryl), 146.1 (aryl), 162.5 (aryl), 164.0 (aryl), 170.8 (backbone).

**Crystal structure determinations**

The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97)$^{13}$ and refined with SHELXL-97.$^{34}$ All geometry calculations and graphics were performed with PLATON.$^{35}$

**PYR-[MgH(DIPP)BH$_3$]$_2$**. Measurement at −170 °C (MoKα), formula [(C$_{63}$H$_{93}$BMg$_2$N$_{11}$O$_2$), M$_w$ = 1280.97], monoclinic, α = 24.0463(16) Å, b = 13.4013(10) Å, c = 22.4206(15) Å, β = 103.909(4)°, V = 8059.9(9) Å$^3$, space group P2$_1$/c, Z = 4, $\rho$calc = 1.056 g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu$(MoKα) = 0.090 mm$^{-1}$, 83 576 measured reflections, 15 303 independent reflections ($R_{int}$ = 0.077), 15 303 reflections observed with I > 2σ(I), $\theta_{max}$ = 25.8°, R = 0.0487, wR$_2$ = 0.1165, $\text{GOF} = 0.94$, 1228 parameter, min/max residual electron density $−0.24+/+0.43$ e Å$^{-3}$. Heavily disordered solvent molecules, 3.5 molecules of benzene were relatively well-ordered and refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms, except those of cocrystallized benzene molecules, were found in the difference-Fourier map and were refined isotropically. Hydrogen atoms on the benzene molecules were placed on idealized calculated positions.

**PYR-[Mg(H)(DIPP)BH$_4$]$_2$(THF)**. Measurement at −156 °C (MoKα), formula [(C$_{55}$H$_{81}$BMg$_2$N$_6$O$_3$), M$_w$ = 901.69], orthorhombic, a = 13.4280(7) Å, b = 20.4076(10) Å, c = 21.3571(10) Å, V = 5852.65(5) Å$^3$, space group P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$, Z = 4, $\rho$calc = 1.023 g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu$(MoKα) = 0.080 mm$^{-1}$, 20 850 measured reflections, 10 889 independent reflections ($R_{int}$ = 0.038), 9207 reflections observed with I > 2σ(I), $\theta_{max}$ = 26.5°, R = 0.0480, wR$_2$ = 0.1260, $\text{GOF} = 1.06$, 621 parameter, min/max residual electron density $−0.24+/+0.61$ e Å$^{-3}$. Two cocrystallized molecules of heavily disordered benzene were treated by the SQUEEZE procedure incorporated in PLATON (214 Å$^2$, 98 e$^-$).$^{15}$ The hydride and hydrogens on N and B were found in the difference-Fourier map and refined isotropically. All other hydrogen atoms have been placed on calculated positions and were refined in a riding mode. The correct handedness of the chiral unit cell has been checked by refinement of the Flack parameter to 0.01 with esd 0.18.

**PYR-(MgH)$_2$**. Measurement at −170 °C (MoKα), formula [(C$_{78}$H$_{106}$Mg$_4$N$_{10}$), M$_w$ = 1280.97], monoclinic, a = 24.0463(16) Å, b = 13.4013(10) Å, c = 22.4206(15) Å, β = 103.909(4)°, V = 8059.9(9) Å$^3$, space group P2$_1$/c, Z = 4, $\rho$calc = 1.056 g cm$^{-3}$, $\mu$(MoKα) = 0.090 mm$^{-1}$, 83 576 measured reflections, 15 303 independent reflections ($R_{int}$ = 0.077), 15 303 reflections observed with I > 2σ(I), $\theta_{max}$ = 25.8°, R = 0.0487, wR$_2$ = 0.1165, $\text{GOF} = 0.94$, 1228 parameter, min/max residual electron density $−0.24+/+0.43$ e Å$^{-3}$. Heavily disordered cocrystallized solvent was treated by the SQUEEZE procedure incorporated in PLATON (290 Å$^2$, 52 e$^-$).$^{15}$ One of the iPr groups shows rotational disorder over two positions that were refined isotropically. Hydrogen atoms were found in the difference-Fourier map and were refined isotropically, except for those on the disordered iPr group. The latter were placed on idealized positions and refined in a riding mode.

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC 992444–992446 for **PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH$_3$](MgH)$_2$**/THF, **PYR-[MgNH(DIPP)BH$_4$]$_2$**, and **PYR-[MgH]$_2$**, respectively.

**Acknowledgements**

The DFG is gratefully acknowledged for financial support. Prof. R. Boese and D. Bläser are thanked for measuring X-ray data and H. Bandmann for measuring the 500 MHz NMR spectra.
Notes and references