

University of Groningen

Immediate Carotid Artery Stenting or Deferred Treatment in Patients With Tandem Carotid Lesions Treated Endovascularly for Acute Ischaemic Stroke

van Elk, Theodora; Maes, Louise; van der Meij, Anne; Lemmens, Robin; Uyttenboogaart, Maarten; de Borst, Gert J; Zeebregts, Clark J; Nederkoorn, Paul J

Published in:
Ejves vascular forum

DOI:
[10.1016/j.ejvsf.2023.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvsf.2023.12.002)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2024

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van Elk, T., Maes, L., van der Meij, A., Lemmens, R., Uyttenboogaart, M., de Borst, G. J., Zeebregts, C. J., & Nederkoorn, P. J. (2024). Immediate Carotid Artery Stenting or Deferred Treatment in Patients With Tandem Carotid Lesions Treated Endovascularly for Acute Ischaemic Stroke. *Ejves vascular forum*, 61, 31-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvsf.2023.12.002>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

REVIEW

Immediate Carotid Artery Stenting or Deferred Treatment in Patients With Tandem Carotid Lesions Treated Endovascularly for Acute Ischaemic Stroke

Theodora van Elk ^{a,*}, Louise Maes ^{b,c}, Anne van der Meij ^{d,e}, Robin Lemmens ^{b,c}, Maarten Uyttenboogaart ^a, Gert J. de Borst ^f, Clark J. Zeebregts ^g, Paul J. Nederkoorn ^d

^a Department of Neurology, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

^b Department of Neurology, University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^c Department of Neurosciences, Experimental Neurology, KULeuven - University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^d Department of Neurology, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^e Department of Neurology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands

^f Department of Surgery (Division of Vascular Surgery), University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

^g Department of Surgery (Division of Vascular Surgery), University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

Fifteen to 20% of patients with an acute ischaemic stroke have a tandem lesion defined by the combination of an intracranial large vessel thrombo-embolic occlusion and a high grade stenosis or occlusion of the ipsilateral internal carotid artery. These patients tend to have worse outcomes than patients with isolated intracranial occlusions, with higher rates of disability and death. The introduction of endovascular thrombectomy to treat the intracranial lesion clearly improved the outcome compared with treatment with intravenous thrombolysis alone. However, the best treatment strategy for managing the extracranial carotid artery lesion in patients with tandem lesions remains unknown. Current guidelines recommend carotid endarterectomy for patients with transient ischaemic attack or non-disabling stroke and moderate or severe stenosis of the internal carotid artery, within two weeks of the initial event, to prevent major stroke recurrence and death. Alternatively, the symptomatic carotid artery could be treated by endovascular placement of a stent during endovascular thrombectomy (EVT). This would negate the need for a second procedure, immediately reduce the risk of stroke recurrence, increase patient satisfaction, and could be cost effective. However, the administration of dual antiplatelet therapy could potentially increase the risk of symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage in patients with acute ischaemic stroke. Randomised controlled trials evaluating the efficacy and safety of immediate carotid artery stenting during EVT in acute stroke patients with tandem lesions are currently ongoing and will impact the current guidelines regarding the treatment of patients with acute ischaemic stroke due to these tandem lesions.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society for Vascular Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Article history: Received 23 October 2023, Revised 24 November 2023, Accepted 14 December 2023,

Keywords: Acute ischaemic stroke, Carotid artery, Carotid artery stenting, Endovascular thrombectomy, Large vessel occlusion, Tandem lesion

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 24–46% of acute ischaemic strokes are caused by occlusion of a large proximal intracranial cerebral artery, a so called large vessel occlusion (LVO).¹ Patients with LVOs usually have a large area of hypoperfusion, resulting in severe neurological deficits. LVOs can develop through various mechanisms: (1) embolism resulting from atherosclerotic plaque rupture in extracranial arteries leading to occlusion of an intracranial vessel (artery to artery embolism), (2) cardio-embolic events related to cardiac disease such as atrial fibrillation, (3) intracranial atherosclerosis with secondary thrombosis, and (4) other causes

such as dissection or cryptogenic causes of vessel occlusion.² The presence of an LVO is associated with 4.5 fold increased odds of death (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.7–7.3) and a threefold reduction in the odds of good outcome compared with patients without an LVO.³

ACUTE RE-PERFUSION TREATMENTS

In 1995, the field of acute ischaemic stroke treatment was revolutionised with the introduction of intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (IV-tPA), which dissolves the thrombus.⁴ IV-tPA remained the primary treatment paradigm for approximately two decades until 2015, when

* Corresponding author. University Medical Centre Groningen t.a.v. Neurologie AB51, Postbus 30.001, 9700RB Groningen, the Netherlands.

E-mail address: t.van.elk@umcg.nl (Theodora van Elk).

2666-688X/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society for Vascular Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvsf.2023.12.002>



Figure 1. Diagram of tandem lesion with a large vessel occlusion and an ipsilateral carotid artery stenosis of atherosclerotic origin.

multiple randomised clinical trials (RCTs)^{5–9} demonstrated the efficacy and safety of endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) for the treatment of LVOs. EVT is an endovascular procedure in which the clot causing hypoperfusion is mechanically removed through aspiration or stent retrieval. A pooled meta-analysis of these five RCTs demonstrated that EVT more than doubles the odds of good functional outcome compared with standard therapy alone, without any significant difference in death or risk of haemorrhage at 90 days.¹⁰ The number needed to treat to improve the degree of disability measured with the modified Rankin scale (mRS) score in one patient by at least one point is 2.6.¹⁰

Since then, additional clinical trials have shown the efficacy of EVT in addition to standard medical care for patients with acute ischaemic stroke due to LVO, leading to improved outcomes when EVT is performed within either six hours,^{5,8,9,11,12} eight hours,⁷ or 12 hours⁶ of symptom onset. Thereafter, clinical trials have demonstrated that the time window could be further extended to up to 24 hours after symptom onset in patients with favourable mismatch patterns: either a mismatch between infarct size and clinical deficit, perfusion lesion (suggestive of a large penumbra), or presence of collateral flow observed on computed tomography angiography.^{13–15} Even patients presenting with large core volumes can still benefit although, generally, these patients have worse outcomes than patients with smaller ischaemic infarcts at baseline.¹⁶ The subsequent expansion of the EVT treatment time window has drastically improved the prognosis for patients with LVOs.

TANDEM LESIONS

Tandem lesions are here defined as the combination of an intracranial LVO and a concomitant stenosis >50% or occlusion in the ipsilateral extracranial carotid artery, depicted in [Figure 1](#). These lesions occur in approximately 15–20% of patients experiencing an acute ischaemic stroke. Tandem lesions are most often caused by atherosclerosis, although they can also result from arterial dissection, carotid web, or the presence of a floating thrombus.

Patients with tandem lesions have higher rates of disability and death than patients with isolated intracranial occlusions. Treatment with IV-tPA alone results in favourable outcomes in only 30% of patients, with mortality rates up to 50%.¹⁷ Low recanalisation rates are most likely due to increased clot burden and the stenosis creating low flow which impedes the delivery of thrombolytic agents to the intracranial thrombus.¹⁸ However, despite these limitations, endovascular treatment of tandem lesions clearly improves outcomes compared with treatment with intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) alone. This was demonstrated in the HERMES collaboration meta-analysis, where the treatment effect of EVT in patients with tandem lesions was similar to that of patients with isolated intracranial occlusions, resulting in a favourable functional outcome in 46% of patients.¹⁰

Nevertheless, the best treatment strategy for managing the extracranial atherosclerotic carotid artery lesion in patients with a tandem lesion remains unknown. Current guidelines recommend carotid endarterectomy (CEA) or carotid artery stenting (CAS) for patients with stroke and moderate or severe stenosis of the internal carotid artery within two weeks of the initial event to prevent stroke recurrence.^{19,20} For disabling stroke, best medical management alone is often chosen while forgoing CEA or CAS. However, the introduction of EVT has enabled the simultaneous treatment of the carotid artery stenosis during the EVT procedure, thus avoiding a deferred secondary invasive procedure. The safety and efficacy of this treatment strategy, CAS during EVT, is currently a matter of debate.

CAROTID ARTERY STENTING

CAS during EVT improves cerebral blood flow and has the potential to immediately reduce the risk of recurrent stroke. Some interventional radiologists even claim that stenting is necessary to perform a successful intracranial thrombectomy.²¹ Compared with CEA, CAS is less invasive and could increase patient satisfaction. CAS during EVT could potentially decrease healthcare costs by avoiding a second procedure. However, in the acute setting it is difficult to predict how a patient will recover from the index event. Consequently, a proportion of patients who do not recover well, may have received futile stenting as typically no CEA or CAS would be considered in patients with remaining severe disabling symptoms.

The optimal timing of stenting during EVT remains unclear. The carotid artery lesion can be treated before the intracranial thrombectomy, i.e., the antegrade approach,

Table 1. Summary of registry articles and systematic reviews outlining functional outcomes, rates of successful re-perfusion, incidence of symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage and all cause mortality rates when endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) is combined with carotid artery stenting (CAS).

Article	Registry	Good outcome (mRS 0–2)	Successful reperfusion (mTICI 2b–3)	Symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage	All cause mortality
<i>CAS vs. no CAS</i>					
<i>Sivan-Hoffman et al.</i> ³⁰	11 studies <i>n</i> = 237	44% (33–55) (10 studies)	81% (73–89)	7% (2–13) (8 studies)	13% (8–20) (10 studies)
<i>Sadeh-Gonik et al.</i> ³¹	13 studies <i>n</i> = 590	50% (42–59)	75% (69–81)	8% (6–11)	16% (11–22)
<i>Gory et al.</i> ³²	<i>n</i> = 395	52.2% (47.2–57.1)	76.7% (72.5–80.9)	5.3% (3.1–7.6)	13.2% (9.8–16.6)
<i>Jadhav et al.</i> ²⁶	<i>n</i> = 147	68.5% vs. 42.2% (<i>p</i> = .003)	87.0% vs. 83.7% (<i>p</i> = .79)	2.9 vs. 0% (<i>p</i> = .51)	12.3% vs. 10.9% (<i>p</i> = 1.0)
<i>Dufort et al.</i> ¹⁸	21 studies <i>n</i> = 1635	OR 1.43 (1.07–1.91)		OR 1.41 (0.91–2.19)	OR 0.80 (0.50–1.28)
<i>Anadani et al.</i> ³⁴	Pooled analysis TITAN and ETIS <i>n</i> = 603 (CAS <i>n</i> = 341)	aOR 1.09 (1.01–1.19)	aOR 1.19 (1.11–1.27)	aOR 1.05 (0.99–1.09)	aOR 0.99 (0.93–1.04)
<i>Collette et al.</i> ³⁵	<i>n</i> = 344 (CAS <i>n</i> = 169) MR CLEAN registry	OR 1.32 (0.88–1.98)	OR 0.91 (0.60–1.36)	OR 0.61 (0.26–1.41)	
<i>CAS vs. balloon angioplasty</i>					
<i>Hellegering et al.</i> ²⁹	21 studies <i>n</i> = 1758	OR 1.99 (1.35–2.93)		OR 0.48 (0.16–1.45)	OR 1.27 (0.73–2.19)
<i>Zevallos et al.</i> ²²	34 studies <i>n</i> = 3911	OR 1.95 (1.24–3.05)	OR 1.89 (1.26–2.83)	OR 1.31 (0.62–1.27)	OR 0.79 (0.50–1.27)
<i>IVT vs. no IVT</i>					
<i>Anadani et al.</i> ³³	TITAN registry <i>n</i> = 205	62% vs. 51% (<i>p</i> = .15)	82% vs. 80% (<i>p</i> = .86)	5% vs. 8% (<i>p</i> = .54)	8% vs. 20% (<i>p</i> = .017)

Data are presented as %, OR, or aOR with 95% CI as indicated in the table.

CI = confidence interval; EVT = endovascular thrombectomy; CAS = carotid artery stenting; aOR = adjusted odds ratio; mRS = modified Rankin scale; mTICI = modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction.

improving access to the intracerebral occlusion, but delaying intracranial recanalisation. A possible disadvantage of the antegrade approach is the potential snagging of a retrievable stent in the already deployed carotid stent. Treating the intracranial occlusion first, i.e., the retrograde approach, allows for the rapid restoration of perfusion to the affected territory. This potentially increases the likelihood of a favourable outcome.^{22,23} However, this approach carries the risk of distal embolisation following initial successful re-perfusion. Another possible complication during the procedure is the development of bradycardia and hypotension due to activation of the baroreceptors in the carotid artery wall, which might increase final infarct core.

Immediate CAS during EVT necessitates the initiation of dual antiplatelet treatment to prevent in stent thrombosis in the months following stent placement. The need for dual antiplatelet therapy is often stated as an argument to avoid immediate CAS because of the feared risk of secondary haemorrhagic transformation of the recently infarcted brain tissue. Additionally, the rare complication of cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome could lead to an increased risk of intracranial haemorrhage.²⁴ The rate of intracranial haemorrhage varies greatly between retrospective studies: some suggest higher rates,²⁵ others, including the STRATIS and TITAN registries, suggest no excess risk of bleeding in patients treated

with immediate CAS during EVT.^{26–28} Consensus regarding the antithrombotic management for carotid stent placement in the setting of endovascular stroke treatment is lacking, leading to variation in antithrombotic regimens.

Despite these concerns, systematic reviews, retrospective case series and patient registries have shown an association between performing CAS during EVT and successful reperfusion as well as improved 90 day functional outcome, without differences in mortality rate,^{18,22,26,29–35} as shown in Table 1. The absence of data from robust RCTs hampers firm recommendations about optimal management, as reflected in the recent European Society of Vascular Surgery guideline.³⁶

TRIALS OF CAROTID ARTERY STENTING DURING ENDOVASCULAR THROMBECTOMY

There are currently four ongoing prospective randomised trials: the EASI-TOC trial in Canada (NCT04261478), the Thrombectomy in Tandem lesion (TITAN) trial (NCT03978988) in France, the Proximal Internal Carotid Artery Acute Stroke Secondary to Tandem or Local Occlusion Thrombectomy Trial (PICASSO) trial (NCT05611242) in the United States, and the Carotid Artery Stenting during Endovascular treatment of acute ischaemic Stroke (CASES) trial in The Netherlands and Belgium (ISRCTN14956654).

CASES TRIAL

CASES is a phase 3 international multicentre RCT with open label treatment and blinded outcome assessment (PROBE design) and a non-inferiority design. This clinical trial will be performed among thrombectomy capable stroke centres in The Netherlands and Belgium and is funded by governmental funding agencies (ZonMW/KCE). Patients with a computed tomography angiogram proven intracranial LVO in the anterior circulation and a symptomatic proximal ipsilateral carotid artery stenosis (>50%) or occlusion of presumed atherosclerotic origin will be randomised to either immediate CAS during EVT or to a deferred treatment strategy according to the current guidelines (CEA, CAS, or best medical management) and depending on the functional recovery of the patient. The primary endpoint is functional outcome at 90 days, assessed by the ordinal score on the mRS. Secondary outcomes include excellent functional outcome (mRS 0–1), good functional outcome (mRS 0–2), stroke severity measured with the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale at 24 hours and at five to seven days, infarct volume at 24 hours, recurrence of ischaemic events, carotid re-occlusion, symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage, mortality rate, and the quality of life at 90 days. By evaluating efficacy and safety of immediate CAS during EVT of patients with acute stroke with tandem lesions, it is hoped to demonstrate non-inferiority of the immediate CAS approach, which would impact current guidelines regarding treatment recommendations in patients with acute ischaemic stroke due to tandem lesions. See <http://www.cases-trial.eu/> for more information on the CASES trial.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

FUNDING

None.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

R. Lemmens is a Senior Clinical Investigator of FWO.

REFERENCES

- Rennert RC, Wali AR, Steinberg JA, Santiago-Dieppa DR, Olson SE, Pannell JS, et al. Epidemiology, natural history, and clinical presentation of large vessel ischemic stroke. *Clin Neurosurg* 2019;**85**:S4–8.
- Kasab SA, Holmstedt CA, Jauch EC, Schrock J. Acute ischemic stroke due to large vessel occlusion. *Emerg Med Rep* 2018;**39**: 2.
- Smith WS, Lev MH, English JD, Camargo EC, Chou M, Johnston SC, et al. Significance of large vessel intracranial occlusion causing acute ischemic stroke and TIA. *Stroke* 2009;**40**: 3834–40.
- National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1995;**333**:1581–7.
- Berkhemer OA, Fransen PSS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, Yoo AJ, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**372**: 11–20.
- Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, Thornton J, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**372**: 1019–30.
- Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, de Miquel MA, Molina CA, Rovira A, et al. Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**372**:2296–306.
- Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, Diener H-C, Levy EI, Pereira VM, et al. Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**372**:2285–95.
- Campbell BCV, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, Dewey HM, Churilov L, Yassi N, et al. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**372**:1009–18.
- Goyal M, Menon BK, Van Zwam WH, Dippel DWJ, Mitchell PJ, Demchuk AM, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet* 2016;**387**:1723–31.
- Bracard S, Ducrocq X, Mas JL, Soudant M, Oppenheim C, Moulin T, et al. Mechanical thrombectomy after intravenous alteplase versus alteplase alone after stroke (THRACE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2016;**15**:1138–47.
- Mocco J, Zaidat OO, Von Kummer R, Yoo AJ, Gupta R, Lopes D, et al. Aspiration thrombectomy after intravenous alteplase versus intravenous alteplase alone. *Stroke* 2016;**47**:2331–8.
- Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S, Christensen S, Tsai JP, Ortega-Gutierrez S, et al. Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging. *N Engl J Med* 2018;**378**:708–18.
- Nogueira RG, Jadhav AP, Haussen DC, Bonafe A, Budzik RF, Bhuva P, et al. Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct. *N Engl J Med* 2018;**378**: 11–21.
- Olthuis SGH, Pirson FAV, Pinckaers FME, Hinsenveld WH, Nieboer D, Ceulemans A, et al. Endovascular treatment versus no endovascular treatment after 6–24 h in patients with ischaemic stroke and collateral flow on CT angiography (MR CLEAN-LATE) in The Netherlands: a multicentre, open-label, blinded-endpoint, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2023;**401**:1371–80.
- Palaiodimou L, Sarraj A, Safouris A, Magoufis G, Lemmens R, Sandset EC, et al. Endovascular treatment for large-core ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled clinical trials. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2023;**94**:781–5.
- Kim YS, Garami Z, Mikulik R, Molina CA, Alexandrov AV. Early recanalization rates and clinical outcomes in patients with tandem internal carotid artery/middle cerebral artery occlusion and isolated middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Stroke* 2005;**36**: 869–71.
- Dufort G, Chen BY, Jacquin G, Keezer M, Labrie M, Rioux B, et al. Acute carotid stenting in patients undergoing thrombectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2021;**13**:141–5.
- Bonati LH, Kakkos S, Berkefeld J, de Borst GJ, Bulbulia R, Halliday A, et al. European Stroke Organisation guideline on endarterectomy and stenting for carotid artery stenosis. *Eur Stroke J* 2022;**6**: I-XVII.
- AbuRahma AF, Avgerinos ED, Chang RW, Darling 3rd RC, Duncan AA, Forbes TL, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery clinical practice guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease. *J Vasc Surg* 2022;**75**:4S–22S.

- 21 Jacquin G, Poppe AY, Labrie M, Daneault N, Deschaintre Y, Gioia LC, et al. Lack of consensus among stroke experts on the optimal management of patients with acute tandem occlusion. *Stroke* 2019;**50**:1254–6.
- 22 Zevallos CB, Farooqui M, Quispe-Orozco D, Mendez-Ruiz A, Dajles A, Garg A, et al. Acute carotid artery stenting versus balloon angioplasty for tandem occlusions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2022;**11**:e022335.
- 23 Eker OF, Bühlmann M, Dargazanli C, Kaesmacher J, Mourand I, Gralla J, et al. Endovascular treatment of atherosclerotic tandem occlusions in anterior circulation stroke: technical aspects and complications compared to isolated intracranial occlusions. *Front Neurol* 2018;**9**:1046.
- 24 Huibers AE, Westerink J, de Vries EE, Hoskam A, den Ruijter HM, Moll FL, et al. Editor's Choice — cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome after carotid artery stenting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2018;**56**:322–33.
- 25 Heck DV, Brown MD. Carotid stenting and intracranial thrombectomy for treatment of acute stroke due to tandem occlusions with aggressive antiplatelet therapy may be associated with a high incidence of intracranial hemorrhage. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2015;**7**:170–5.
- 26 Jadhav AP, Zaidat OO, Liebeskind DS, Yavagal DR, Haussen DC, Hellinger FR, et al. Emergent management of tandem lesions in acute ischemic stroke: analysis of the STRATIS registry. *Stroke* 2019;**50**:428–33.
- 27 Papanagiotou P, Haussen DC, Turjman F, Labreuche J, Piotin M, Kastrup A, et al. Carotid stenting with antithrombotic agents and intracranial thrombectomy leads to the highest recanalization rate in patients with acute stroke with tandem lesions. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2018;**11**:1290–9.
- 28 Zhu F, Labreuche J, Haussen DC, Piotin M, Steglich-Arnholm H, Taschner C, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation after thrombectomy for tandem occlusions. *Stroke* 2019;**50**:516–9.
- 29 Hellegering J, Uyttenboogaart M, Bokkers RPH, Moumni M El, Zeebregts CJ, van der Laan MJ. Treatment of the extracranial carotid artery in tandem lesions during endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Transl Med* 2020;**8**:1278.
- 30 Sivan-Hoffmann R, Gory B, Armoiry X, Goyal M, Riva R, Labeyrie PE, et al. Stent-retriever thrombectomy for acute anterior ischemic stroke with tandem occlusion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol* 2017;**27**:247–54.
- 31 Sadeh-Gonik U, Tau N, Friehmann T, Bracard S, Anxionnat R, Derelle AL, et al. Thrombectomy outcomes for acute stroke patients with anterior circulation tandem lesions: a clinical registry and an update of a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Eur J Neurol* 2018;**25**:693–700.
- 32 Gory B, Haussen DC, Piotin M, Steglich-Arnholm H, Holtmannspötter M, Labreuche J, et al. Impact of intravenous thrombolysis and emergent carotid stenting on reperfusion and clinical outcomes in patients with acute stroke with tandem lesion treated with thrombectomy: a collaborative pooled analysis. *Eur J Neurol* 2018;**25**:1115–20.
- 33 Anadani M, Spiotta AM, Alawieh A, Turjman F, Piotin M, Haussen DC, et al. Emergent carotid stenting plus thrombectomy after thrombolysis in tandem strokes. *Stroke* 2019;**50**:2250–2.
- 34 Anadani M, Marnat G, Consoli A, Papanagiotou P, Nogueira RG, Siddiqui A, et al. Endovascular therapy of anterior circulation tandem occlusions: pooled analysis from the TITAN and ETIS registries. *Stroke* 2021;**52**:3097–105.
- 35 Collette SL, Rodgers MP, van Walderveen MAA, Compagne KCJ, Nederkoorn PJ, Hofmeijer J, et al. Management of extracranial carotid artery stenosis during endovascular treatment for acute ischaemic stroke: results from the MR CLEAN Registry. *Stroke Vasc Neurol* 2023;**8**:229–37.
- 36 Naylor AR, Rantner B, Ancetti S, de Borst GJ, De Carlo M, Halliday A, et al. Editor's Choice — European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2023 clinical practice guidelines on the management of atherosclerotic carotid and vertebral artery disease. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2023;**65**(7–111):53–4.