

University of Groningen

Early detection of patient deterioration in patients with infection or sepsis

Quinten, Vincent

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Quinten, V. (2019). *Early detection of patient deterioration in patients with infection or sepsis*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Chapter 4

Sepsis: beyond mortality.

PUBLISHED AS

Quinten VM, van Meurs M, Ligtenberg JJ, ter Maaten JC. Prehospital antibiotics for sepsis: beyond mortality? *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 168–70.

In the Lancet Respiratory Medicine, Nadia Alam and colleagues assessed prehospital administration of intravenous ceftriaxone 2000 mg in addition to usual care (fluid resuscitation and supplementary oxygen) in the ambulance for patients with suspected sepsis in the randomized controlled PHANTASi trial¹. Unfortunately, this early intervention did not lead to improved sepsis survival compared with patients receiving usual care alone. Fewer patients died in the study (8% across both arms) than was predicted (40%) based on epidemiological studies at the time of the trial design. As is commonly known, mortality from sepsis has substantially decreased in recent decades, and in fact, the low mortality rate of PHANTASi exceeds that from our previous cohort study (4%) in our emergency department².

In an accompanying comment, Jean-Louis Vincent argued that the low severity of illness of the patients included in PHANTASi made it difficult to show an effect of prehospital antibiotics on mortality³. Although we agree with this argument, the patients included in this well designed trial matched the mix of sepsis severity and percentage of admissions to intensive care in our emergency department cohort. Therefore, we disagree with Vincent that only patients with signs of organ dysfunction—i.e., with sepsis according to the Sepsis-3 definitions—might benefit from early antibiotics⁴. Furthermore, we disagree that the PHANTASi trial reinforces the fact that timing of antibiotics is not very important in patients with infection. In a separate study, investigators showed that 22% of patients presenting at an emergency department with suspected sepsis without signs of organ dysfunction developed organ dysfunction within 48 h of admission despite antibiotic and supportive treatment⁵. Previously, we noted that 4% of patients with uncomplicated sepsis needed to be admitted to an intensive-care unit, and such patients would probably benefit from early administration of antibiotics². Alam and colleagues showed that the number of patients readmitted to hospital after 28 days was significantly lower in the intervention group with prehospital antibiotics, but could not explain the reason for this difference¹. We speculate that early antibiotics might attenuate the development of organ failure during a patient's hospital stay, and suggest that the time has come to make a shift from mortality towards (early) signs of organ failure as a marker and endpoint for future emergency department-based sepsis research. There is more to life than death alone.

REFERENCES

- 1 Alam N, Oskam E, Stassen PM, *et al.* Prehospital antibiotics in the ambulance for sepsis: a multicentre, open label, randomised trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 40–50.
- 2 Quinten VM, Van Meurs M, Wolffensperger AE, Ter Maaten JC, Ligtenberg JJM. Sepsis patients in the emergency department: Stratification using the Clinical Impression Score, Predisposition, Infection, Response and Organ dysfunction score or quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score? *Eur J Emerg Med* 2018; 25: 328–34.
- 3 Vincent JL. Antibiotic administration in the ambulance? *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 5–6.
- 4 Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour C, *et al.* The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc* 2016; 315: 801–10.
- 5 Glickman SW, Cairns CB, Otero RM, *et al.* Disease progression in hemodynamically stable patients presenting to the emergency department with sepsis. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010; 17: 383–90.

PART II