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Surface roughness is a key parameter for judging the performance of a given material's surface quality for its electronic application. A powerful tool to measure surface roughness is 3D laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSM), which will allow you to assess roughness and compare production and finishing methods, and improve these methods based on mathematical models.

Focus on creating high-conductivity electronic devices with minimal power loss using laser scanning microscopy is an effective tool to discern a variety of roughness parameters.
Investigating the Electromechanical Behavior of Unconventionally Ferroelectric Hf$_{0.5}$Zr$_{0.5}$O$_2$-Based Capacitors Through Operando Nanobeam X-Ray Diffraction
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Understanding various aspects of ferroelectricity in hafnia-based nanomaterials is of vital importance for the development of future nonvolatile memory and logic devices. Here, the unconventional and weak electromechanical response of epitaxial La$_{0.67}$Sr$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$/Hf$_{0.5}$Zr$_{0.5}$O$_2$/La$_{0.67}$Sr$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ ferroelectric capacitors is investigated, via the sensitivity offered by nanobeam X-ray diffraction experiments during application of electrical bias. It is shown that the pristine rhombohedral phase exhibits a linear piezoelectric effect with piezoelectric coefficient ($|d_{33}|$) = 0.5–0.8 pmV$^{-1}$. It is found that the piezoelectric response is suppressed above the coercive voltage. For higher voltages, and with the onset of DC conductivity throughout the capacitor, a second-order effect is observed. The work sheds light into the electromechanical response of rhombohedral Hf$_{0.5}$Zr$_{0.5}$O$_2$ and suggests its (un)correlation with ferroelectric switching.

1. Introduction

For more than a decade now, hafnia-based nanomaterials are justifiably among the most studied materials in the field of ferroelectrics and microelectronics, both from a fundamental and an application perspective.[1,2] Hafnia and its compounds show a unique type of ferroelectricity that becomes robust at the nanoscale,[3] unlike traditional perovskite ferroelectrics that suffer from depolarization effects at reduced dimensions.[4] The discovery of ferroelectricity in hafnia, combined with its silicon compatibility, initiated a new wave of studies for novel ferroelectric memories and logic nanodevices.[5,6]
Understanding the stabilization of a ground-state ferroelectricity at the nanoscale, as well as the physical mechanism behind ferroelectric switching in this peculiar ferroelectric, has proved far from trivial. The most usually reported phase of ferroelectric hafnia is a metastable polar orthorhombic (o)-phase, while a polar rhombohedral (r)-phase has been stabilized under certain conditions when \( \text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3 \) (LSMO)-buffered \( \text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2 \) (HZO) is grown on \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) (STO) substrates.\(^7\) Interestingly, as demonstrated by integrated differential phase contrast scanning transmission electron microscopy (iDPC STEM), this r-phase lacks the polar/nonpolar sublayer structure that has been reported to be responsible for the flat-phonon band and, thus, for the dipolar localization that possibly gives rise to unconventional, noncooperative, switching behavior of ferroelectric hafnia.\(^9\)

Furthermore, the remnant polarization in this phase arising from cooperative, switching behavior of ferroelectric hafnia.\(^{[9]}\) Nevertheless, macroscopic ferroelectric characterization on 5-nm thick r-phase samples showed very large switching currents corresponding to having a remnant polarization of \( \approx 35 \mu \text{Ccm}^{-2} \), comparable to or larger than those observed in o-phase hafnia.\(^{[10]}\) This discrepancy has been resolved through in-situ electron microscopy experiments that clearly showed that polarization switching is intertwined with oxygen vacancy migration, and is different from normal ferroelectrics.\(^{[8,11]}\) In other words, ferroelectric switching in hafnia is driven by polarization switching with electrochemical origins. We will refer to this as electrochemical polarization. Whether the crystal polarization is decoupled to electrochemical polarization or uncorrelated to it is still an open question.

Conventional ferroelectric switching (crystal polarization switching) is accompanied by an electromechanical response, characterized by butterfly-like strain versus electric field loops. Understanding the electromechanical response of unconventional hafnia-based ferroelectrics can give more insights into the origins of ferroelectricity. However, despite the massive interest in the ferroelectric properties of hafnia-based materials, the explorations on electromechanical behavior are still in the nascent stages. Electrostrain measurements on thick polycrystalline \( \text{La}_2\text{HfO}_5 \) films reported by Schenk et al. show a classic ferroelectric butterfly loop, with the upturns in the strain curve occurring at the coercive field, and a positive piezoelectric coefficient \( d_{13} \approx 77 \text{pmV}^{-1} \).\(^{[12]}\) Recent theoretical and experimental works reported that a negative longperiodic piezoelectric coefficient is possible in the orthorhombic phase of \( \text{HfO}_2 \), a behavior that is usually found in organic ferroelectrics.\(^{[13–15]}\) More interestingly, first-principles simulations predict that the sign of the piezoelectric coefficient \( d_{13} \) can be reversed by tuning the local environment without affecting the global polar o-phase symmetry or switching its polarization.\(^{[12]}\)

Point defects and defect-migration-induced giant electrostriction have recently gained tremendous attention in sister compounds of hafnia such as doped ceria and bismuth oxide.\(^{[16,17]}\) “Giant” electrostriction has been first reported in 20% Gd-doped CeO\(_2\) in 2012. The response of electroactive defect complexes in nodule concentrations to an external electric field, and their corresponding elastic dipoles result in substantial long-range electrostriction.\(^{[18,19]}\) Such an effect is second order in nature or electrostrictive. Glinchuk et al.\(^{[20]}\) suggest through a Landau–Ginzburg–Devonshire framework that such an electrostrictive effect can even create a switchable chemical polarization in hafnia-based materials. The switching characteristics of r-phase hafnia have similar defect-migration-based origins.\(^{[8]}\) Thus, it is paramount to understand the electromechanical behavior of these systems, and enquire further into the origin of the observed switching currents in hafnia-based systems, and their possible coupling or decoupling with the crystal polarization.

In this report, we present results from synchrotron diffraction experiments during application of external bias on \( \text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3 / \text{Hf}_{0.5}\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{O}_2 / \text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3 \) capacitors grown epitaxially on (001)-oriented \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) substrates. By following the evolution of the diffraction peaks of our materials hand-in-hand with a bursting voltage scheme, we probe the electrostrain behavior of the thin films at various applied fields. We show that r-phase HZO exhibits only a linear piezoresponse at voltages even larger than the coercive voltage. A second-order response appears at much higher voltages where leakage or DC conductivity is significant. Our work shows that electrochemical polarization is uncorrelated with crystal polarization. We ascribe the second-order response to effects such as Joule heating and defect migration.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Electrical Precharacterization and Crystalline Coherence

LSMO/HZO/LSMO capacitors compatible with interprender-biasing experiments were fabricated using photolithography-based techniques (see Experimental Section). Figure 1a depicts the positive up/negative down (PUND)-corrected current response as a function of the applied voltage, showing the characteristic polarization-switching peaks, as previously identified for this system.\(^{[7]}\)

Figure 1b shows representative X-ray diffraction (XRD) specular diffractograms before (virgin) and after (cycled) the synchrotron experiment, including several cycles of voltage biasing. The XRD spectra show clearly a well-defined (001) Bragg peak from the bottom LSMO layer at \( \theta = 20.76^\circ \), the (111) peak from the HZO layer at \( \theta = 26.60^\circ \), and the (110) peak from the top LSMO layer at \( \theta = 28.96^\circ \). The presence of well-defined intensity oscillations (Laue oscillations) reveals the highly crystalline coherence of the system. Oscillations with two different periods can be observed: the short period around the (001) LSMO Bragg peak is relative to a thickness of around 35 nm, while the larger period originates from the 5-nm thick HZO (111) layer. The top layer of LSMO (also 35-nm thick) is highly oriented along the pseudocubic (p.c.) direction \(<110>_{\text{p.c.}}\). A good simulation of the diffractograms was obtained using a model proposed by Lichtensteiger,\(^{[21]}\) based on the kinematic theory. The as-deposited samples show a crystallographic polarization pointing toward the top LSMO layer (or “polarization up,” see ref. [8]). We note, however, that the subsequent experiments were performed after “prepoling” the device with a voltage pulse of \(+3\) V.
2.2. Operando Diffraction Experiment

A diffraction experiment while applying an electrical bias was performed at the diffraction endstation of the NanoMAX beamline of MAX IV Laboratory in Lund, using a 100-nm diameter beam and a wavelength of 1.379 Å. The setup of the experiment is graphically illustrated in Figure 1c. Triangular voltage waveforms varying from $-V_{\text{max}}$ to $V_{\text{max}}$ of 97 Hz were applied in 50–60 successive bursts, always grounding the bottom electrode. Structural data were acquired every millisecond on a 2D detector aligned at $\theta = 26.60^\circ$, which is the (111) Bragg peak of HZO. In this setup, any HZO (111) lattice parameter variation translates into a subtle movement of the Bragg peak along the vertical axis on the 2D detector. The instantaneous displacement current was simultaneously measured using an electrometer capable of detecting nA currents.

The signal on the detector image contains two contributions (Figure 1e): a sharp central peak, which arises from the crystal truncation rod of the coherent heterostructure, and a broad diffuse intensity (along the $\theta$ axis) that is generated by the HZO film and relates to the lattice spacing of the HZO (111) planes ($d_{111}$). We followed in situ the evolution of the diffuse intensity during the application of the triangular voltage waveform, Figure 2a,b, for a repeated number of bursts, shows a single peak at 97 Hz, corresponding to the drive voltage frequency, confirming that the XRD effectively captures a lattice variation caused by the applied voltage. We note that the linear behavior is observed for all voltage amplitudes below and up to 2 V (Figure S2, Supporting Information); however, at 2.8 V, the peak at 97 Hz in the FT disappears, indicating almost no change in the HZO (111) lattice parameter with voltage. These results are uniform across the device area, reproducible, and do not depend on the sample history.

To quantify the changes in the lattice parameter of HZO, we performed a linear fit of the lattice constant versus voltage curves and estimated $|d_{111}|$ at various maximum voltages as shown in Figure 2c. Within the fitting error, we...
observe that $|d_{33}|$ does not change significantly up to 2 V and ranges between 0.5 and 0.8 pmV$^{-1}$. At 2.4 V, $|d_{33}|$ decreases to 0.3 pmV$^{-1}$ and becomes zero at 2.8 V. It is important to note that the observed reduction in $|d_{33}|$ for $V_{\text{max}}>2$ V occurs when the current switching peak starts becoming apparent in the displacement current, as observed in the $I$–$V$ characteristics that were simultaneously measured during the burst experiment (Figure 2a,b). Note that, when $V_{\text{max}}$ is above the coercive voltage, in the range 2–2.8 V, the device does not show conventional ferroelectric butterfly-type strain response, rather still shows a simple linear weak piezoelectric response. Imprint effects could cause an asymmetric response in conventional ferroelectrics,[22] but we do not see any evidence of imprint in our P-E loops. These observations suggest that the ferroelectric switching in our samples is unconventional in nature.

For even higher voltages (>2.8 V), the electromechanical response of HZO shows both a first- and a significant second-order behavior (Figure 3), as clearly revealed by the appearance of two peaks, at 97 Hz and at 194 Hz in the Fourier transform. Repeated burst measurements at the same voltage show the repeatability of this response. It is important to note that the onset of this second-order behavior is uncorrelated from the ferroelectric switching. This correlates, however, with the leakage behavior at large fields ($V_{\text{max}}=3.2$ V and above) and increases with increasing leakage. We confirmed this by measuring the electromechanical response of damaged highly leaky capacitors that still show the 194 Hz peak in the Fourier transform (Figure 3).

2.3. Discussion-Model and Physical Behavior

Our results suggest two distinct regimes of electromechanical response in our devices. At low fields, we observe a negative linear response, consistent with a weak piezoelectric response of a weakly polar $r$-phase. However, as the amplitude of the applied bias increases, the electromechanical response decreases and it is strongly reduced when the applied bias is large enough to complete the polarization-switching process. Surprisingly, for applied voltages higher than the coercive voltage, only a small
linear behavior is observed (i.e., Figure S2, Supporting Information, for an applied bias of 2.4 V). This clearly shows that the electromechanical response is unlike that of conventional ferroelectrics, which show the onset of second-order behavior corresponding to the crystal polarization-switching kinetics of domain nucleation and growth. The second-order response observed in our samples occurs at much larger voltages ($V_{\text{max}} > 2.8$ V), where leakage becomes significant. Leakage-induced second-order strain effects include Joule heating and nonclassical electrostriction (through ion migration) and these mechanisms can explain the high-field behavior of our devices.[16,23]

We use first-principles techniques to compute the intrinsic piezoresponse of the $r$-phase HZO (see Experimental Section). We obtain a value of $d_{33} = -76$ pm/V for a strain-state that has a positive polarization of 1.01 $\mu$C/cm$^2$ along the rhombohedral axis. The selected strain state corresponds to the defect-free $R3m$ phase, with the lattice parameters measured experimentally. Our earlier electron microscopy studies indicate, however, that our samples indeed are stabilized in a low-strain $R3m$ phase albeit with oxygen vacancies.[7] Without considering the presence of oxygen vacancies, the $R3m$ phase has a very low crystal polarization, as calculated from DFT.[7] Inclusion of oxygen vacancies allowed us to estimate the crystal polarization values $\approx -9$ $\mu$C/cm$^2$.[8] The full elastic and piezoelectric tensors computed from first-principles here are thus on defect-free $R3m$ phase, and are provided in Tables S1–S3 (Supporting Information).

We would like to note that quantifying the piezoelectric behavior of the $R3m$ phase in the selected strain of $\delta_{11} = 3$ Å using our methods is far from trivial. The negative piezoelectric coefficient calculated from our perturbative (accurate) methods here may look contradictive to our previous “finite-difference” (rough) estimates of a positive $d_{33}$ of ref. [7]. We found that this inconsistent behavior arises due to the competition of nonstandard and nontrivial coupling modes controlling the improper ferroelectricity of this phase. Elaborating a physically meaningful mechanism of piezoelectricity of the $R3m$ phase in small strains, as it was the case for the $o$-phase for example in ref.[15], falls out of the scope of the current study and we refer to a future work (Dutta et al., in progress). At any rate, our calculations do yield an intrinsic anomalous longitudinal piezoresponse. The amplitude of the computed effect is about seven times larger than the measured one, and it should be considered an upper limit corresponding to an ideal (infinite, periodic) film where size effects are not considered.

Additionally, let us note that it is unclear how to compute the extrinsic contribution to the piezoresponse from atomistic simulations; this would crucially depend on the particular extrinsic mechanism (such as the migration of oxygen vacancies) considered, and falls beyond the scope of this work. As a result, we remain agnostic about the exact sign of $d_{33}$ in our experimental defective $R3m$ samples.

Our previous experiments[8] revealed that polarization switching is intertwined with oxygen vacancies migration, and has electrochemical origins. This work further shows evidence that the electromechanical response is decoupled from ferroelectric switching, supporting unconventional origins of ferroelectricity in these samples. Furthermore, any effect related to ionic migration cannot be neglected from the electromechanical response in the high voltage regime, above the coercive field. For instance, defects and ionic migration can give rise to nonclassical piezoelasticity and electrostriction, as found in sister fluorite compounds of hafnia such as Gd-doped CeO$_2$[16,19,23] and (Y, Nb)-stabilized Bi$_2$O$_3$[7].

3. Conclusions

We investigated the electromechanical behavior of $r$-phase HZO via operando synchrotron studies using high-flux nano-focused X-ray beam diffraction. At low fields, our results revealed a linear piezoresponse with $|d_{33}| \approx 0.5–0.8$ pm/V, which is related to intrinsic piezoelectricity in rhombohedral HZO. Even above the coercive voltage, we still observe only first-order
response, albeit weakened further, and the absence of a conventional ferroelectric butterfly loop. We also show that leakage directly correlates with the second-order strain response (at even high voltages) with possible origins in Joule heating or ion migration. Our findings clearly lend credence to the previous works that show that ferroelectricity in r-phase HZO is unconventional and driven by oxygen vacancy migration and electrochemistry.

4. Experimental Section

Growth: The materials La$_2$Sr$_3$MnO$_7$ (30 nm)/Hf$_{0.5}$Zr$_{0.5}$O$_2$ (6 nm)/La$_2$Sr$_3$MnO$_7$ (30 nm) were grown on (001)-oriented SrTiO$_3$ substrates by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) as described elsewhere.[2] Device Fabrication: The fabrication flow diagram of the devices can be found elsewhere.[3] Square capacitors with an area of 50 µm x 50 µm were patterned using standard photolithography and Ar-ion milling. The capacitors were electrically connected to Ti/Au contacting pads, while their surface was kept optically clear to be probed with the nanobeam. The contacting pads were then Au wire bonded to chip carriers.

Macroscopic Electrical Characterization: The capacitors were electrically tested in the lab prior the synchrotron experiment using the standard PUND (positive-up-negative-down) measurement scheme (inset of Figure 1a). Triangular pulses of 1 kHz in frequency and 3.5 V in amplitude were used, with a delay time of 250 µs in between each pulse. The data presented in Figure 1a is the PUND-corrected data: the current amplitude were used, with a delay time of 250 µs of Figure 1a). Triangular pulses of 1 kHz in frequency and 3.5 V in amplitude were used, with a delay time of 250 µs in between each pulse. The data presented in Figure 1a is the PUND-corrected data: the current response during the up (down) pulse was subtracted from the current response of the positive (negative) pulse, resulting in a current response that contained only the displacement current. A Keithley 4200A-SCS Pulse Measurement Unit (PMU) was used for the measurement.

In-Situ Biasing Burst Diffraction Experiment: The operando experiments were performed at the NanoMAX beamline of the MAX IV Laboratory in Lund, Sweden.[4] Following a synchronisation scheme developed elsewhere,[5] beam of wavelength 1.379 Å and a beam size of 100 nm were used. A burst voltage scheme was used consisting of triangular pulses of 97 Hz frequency while acquiring X-ray images and current measurements at 1 kHz. A R&S HMF2550 pulse generator was used for the formation of the biasing train, and an electrometer (ALBA) was used to measure the current, while the bias was sampled with a PandABox (Quantum Detectors) acquisition unit. A two-dimensional Merlin detector (Quantum Detectors) was used, which was at a fixed position during the bursting experiment, corresponding to the 29 angle of the main Bragg peaks of the films.

First-Principles Calculations: Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).[6,7] For the exchange-correlation functional, the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof formula for solids (PBEsol)[8] of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used. The atomic cores were treated within the projector-augmented wave approach,[9] considering the following states explicitly: 5p, 6s, and 5d for Hf, 4s, 4p, 4d, and 5s for Zr; and 2s and 2p for O. An 800 eV energy cutoff was used for the plane-wave basis set. Brillouin zone integrals were computed in a 4 x 4 x 1 Gamma-centered k-point grid corresponding to a simulation supercell of 72 atoms. The hafnium and zirconium atoms were arranged in alternating layers along the [111] rhombohedral direction in order to preserve the R3m symmetry of the r-phase. The d$_{111}$ lattice spacing was fixed to the experimentally observed value of 2.99 Å, and the structure was allowed to relax under this constraint until residual forces fell below 0.01 eV Å$^{-1}$. The piezoresponse tensor was computed using density functional perturbation theory (DFPT)[10] as readily implemented in VASP. The elastic tensor (which the authors used to obtain the piezoelectric strain tensor d from the DFPT-computed piezoelectric stress tensor e) was obtained using a finite-differences scheme, with each degree of freedom perturbed by 0.015 Å.

The polarization was computed using the Berry phase approach within the modern theory of polarization.[11]
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