

## University of Groningen

### Measurement issues in political economy

Leertouwer, E.

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2002

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Leertouwer, E. (2002). *Measurement issues in political economy*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. s.n.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# Measurement Issues in Political Economy

**Erik Leertouwer**

**Research School  
Systems, Organisation  
and Management**



Printed by: Ridderprint Offset

ISBN 90-5335-009-8

© 2002, Erik Leertouwer

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system of any nature, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying or recording, without prior written permission of the publisher.

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

MEASUREMENT ISSUES IN POLITICAL ECONOMY

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de  
Economische Wetenschappen  
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
op gezag van de  
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. Zwarts,  
in het openbaar te verdedigen op  
donderdag 17 oktober 2002  
om 14.15 uur

door

Erik Christian Leertouwer

geboren op 4 september 1971  
te Groningen

Promotores: Prof. dr. J. de Haan  
Prof. dr. T.J. Wansbeek

Beoordelingscommissie: Prof. dr. P.A. Bekker  
Prof. dr. S.C.W. Eijffinger  
Prof. dr. M. Paldam

# Measurement Issues in Political Economy

Erik Leertouwer

# Acknowledgements

Writing this thesis has not been an easy process, but a trajectory consisting of numerous ups and downs. At times I thought it would never be completed. The fact that it has, and is lying here now, has largely been due to the support of a lot of people.

Paul Bekker gave me the opportunity to become a PhD student in the first place. Although the course of the project and the contents of the thesis have turned out very different from the original proposal, he has always remained supportive, for which I'm very grateful. Many thanks go to Jakob de Haan for his willingness to join the project. Moreover, his enthusiasm, creativity and personal style of supervision have inspired me greatly and have provided a stimulating working environment, as is clear from the amount of joint research in this thesis. Many thanks also to Tom Wansbeek for being the stable factor in the project. His support, input, ideas and suggestions have been extremely valuable.

I am indebted to the members of the *beoordelingscommissie*, Paul Bekker, Sylvester Eijffinger and Martin Paldam, for reading the manuscript and assessing it favourably. Many chapters have been written with other people. Apart from those already mentioned, I'd like to thank Jan-Egbert Sturm and Erik Meijer. Erik has also provided extensive LaTeX-assistance. Special thanks go to Philipp Maier, who partnered the research that turned out to be a new beginning. In that context I must also mention the *European Public Choice Society*. Their appreciation of the research with Philipp has been a huge encouragement.

My *paranimfen* Sonja Rispens and Arjan Ruijs have always been there to share the good and the bad times with me. I am proud that, as well as respected colleagues, they have become dear friends. Thanks also go to Csilla Horváth and Mirjam Koster for all the talks, lunches and cups of coffee.

Many colleagues on the second floor, at the faculty, SOM, the SOM office, the PhD committee and other universities have provided an environment of pleasure as well as work. Especially, I'd like to mention Bjørn Volkerink, Bert

Smid, Bart Los, Frederieke ten Kleij, Erik Talens, Bart Boon, Maurice Bun and Suwarni Bennink-Bambang Oetomo.

I feel very blessed to have many loving friends, who have contributed to this thesis each in their own way. Jaap has been a true inspiration, Eamonn and Frank have set examples, Cornelia has shown an alternative. Without being able to name everyone, I'd like to also mention Arno, Filip, Diane, Esther, Moraan, Henk, Janneke, Marc, René and Marcel. You have a special place in my heart.

And, last but not least, a big thank you to my parents who have stood by me at every step of the way. Without their unconditional love and support, this thesis could not have been written. I hope it won't be the last one.



# Contents

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Aim of the study . . . . .	1
1.2 Measurement issues . . . . .	1
1.3 Outline . . . . .	3
<b>2 Methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Latent variables . . . . .	5
2.2 Measurement error in a single regressor: the CALS estimator . . .	11
2.3 An exact test for dynamic panel data models . . . . .	13
2.3.1 Testing for dynamics . . . . .	14
2.3.2 Testing for unit roots . . . . .	17
<b>3 Measuring central bank independence: a latent variables approach</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	19
3.2 Indicators of CBI . . . . .	20
3.3 The factor analysis model . . . . .	22
3.4 Empirical findings . . . . .	26
3.5 Is CBI related to inflation? . . . . .	27
3.6 Conclusions . . . . .	33
<b>4 Who creates political business cycles: should central banks be blamed?</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	35
4.2 When do political business cycles occur? . . . . .	36
4.2.1 Electoral pressure on the economy . . . . .	36
4.2.2 Institutional constraints . . . . .	40
4.3 Country-specific tests . . . . .	45

4.4	Panel data estimation . . . . .	50
4.4.1	Testing for dynamics and unit roots . . . . .	50
4.4.2	Estimation results . . . . .	52
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	55
4.A	Data sources . . . . .	57
<b>5</b>	<b>How to use indicators of ‘corporatism’ in empirical applications</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	59
5.2	Indicators of ‘corporatism’ . . . . .	61
5.3	Latent variables approach . . . . .	62
5.4	Results of the factor analysis . . . . .	63
5.5	Using ‘corporatism’ in an empirical application . . . . .	67
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	71
5.A	Indicators of labour market institutions and their properties . . . . .	72
5.B	Selection of the indicators . . . . .	72
<b>6</b>	<b>How inflation-averse are central banks?</b>	<b>79</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	79
6.2	Possible indicators of conservativeness . . . . .	80
6.3	Conservativeness in the 1980s . . . . .	81
6.4	Conservativeness in the 1990s . . . . .	85
6.5	Conservativeness in an empirical model . . . . .	87
6.6	Conclusions . . . . .	89
<b>7</b>	<b>Does economic freedom contribute to growth?</b>	<b>91</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	91
7.2	Aggregation of components of economic freedom . . . . .	92
7.3	Robustness analysis . . . . .	98
7.4	Results . . . . .	100
7.5	Conclusions . . . . .	103
7.A	Control variables . . . . .	104
<b>8</b>	<b>Concluding remarks</b>	<b>105</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>109</b>
	<b>Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)</b>	<b>116</b>

# List of Tables

3.1	Indicators of CBI . . . . .	22
3.2	Indicators of CBI excluding conservativeness . . . . .	23
3.3	Correlations between the five CBI indicators . . . . .	23
3.4	Factor analysis estimation results . . . . .	26
3.5	CBI scores of the FS indicator . . . . .	28
3.6	Estimation results for a simple bivariate regression model of inflation . . . . .	29
3.7	Estimation results for regressions for inflation including control variables . . . . .	30
3.8	$\bar{R}^2$ values of the regressions for inflation including control variables, using different CBI indicators . . . . .	31
3.9	CALS estimates of the FS indicator . . . . .	32
3.10	Scores of the FS indicator for different decades . . . . .	33
4.1	Presence of national constraints . . . . .	41
4.2	Participation in fixed exchange rate systems . . . . .	42
4.3	Presence of international constraints . . . . .	43
4.4	National and international constraints . . . . .	44
4.5	Country-specific tests . . . . .	47
4.6	Results for Austria using the German interest rate as dependent variable . . . . .	48
4.7	Wald-test for Japan . . . . .	48
4.8	Results for Japan using an 18 month pre-election dummy . . . . .	49
4.9	Results in case of a national constraint . . . . .	49
4.10	Results for the panel regression . . . . .	54
4.11	Availability of short-term interest rates . . . . .	57
5.1	Unrotated factor loadings . . . . .	64
5.2	Rotated factor loadings . . . . .	65
5.3	Factor scores . . . . .	66

5.4	Regression results for inflation and unemployment . . . . .	70
5.5	Indicators of labour market institutions . . . . .	74
5.6	Values of the indicators . . . . .	75
5.7	Correlations between measures of corporatism * 100% . . . . .	76
5.8	Empirical application of the indicators . . . . .	77
5.9	Specific properties of the countries . . . . .	78
6.1	Correlations between the indicators * 100% . . . . .	82
6.2	Estimation results for the factor analysis of the period 1980-1989 .	83
6.3	Predicted factor scores . . . . .	84
6.4	Estimation results of the two-factor model . . . . .	86
6.5	Predicted factor scores and corresponding country rankings . . .	87
6.6	The impact of conservativeness on unemployment . . . . .	88
6.7	CALS estimates of the indicator of conservativeness . . . . .	88
7.1	Sensitivity of the weighting scheme to the selection of countries .	95
7.2	Correlations between the indicators * 100% . . . . .	96
7.3	Unrotated standardized solution of PCA . . . . .	97
7.4	Standardized solution of PCA using Oblimin rotation . . . . .	98
7.5	Rankings of economic freedom (1980) . . . . .	99
7.6	Estimated coefficients ( <i>t</i> -values) for the regression model . . . . .	101
7.7	Description of the control variables . . . . .	104

# List of Figures

2.1	Path diagram of a factor analysis model with 1 factor and 3 indicators . . . . .	7
2.2	Scree plot of a factor analysis . . . . .	10
3.1	Path diagram of the proposed model . . . . .	25
4.1	Distribution of $\hat{\gamma}_{FE}^*$ (y-axis) against the value of $\hat{\gamma}_{FE}^*$ (x-axis) under $H_0 : \gamma = 0$ . . . . .	52
4.2	Distribution of $\hat{\gamma}_{FE}^{**}$ (y-axis) against the value of $\hat{\gamma}_{FE}^{**}$ (x-axis) under $H_0 : \gamma = 1$ . . . . .	53
5.1	Scree plot of the factor analysis . . . . .	63
5.2	Unrotated factor loadings . . . . .	65
5.3	Rotated factor loadings . . . . .	66
5.4	Values of coordination and organizational power of labour . . . . .	67
6.1	Path diagram of the factor analysis for the 1980s. . . . .	82
6.2	Path diagram of the factor analysis for the 1980s and 1990s. . . . .	85
7.1	Scree plot of the components and eigenvalues . . . . .	96
7.2	Histogram using the PC1 index . . . . .	101
7.3	Histogram using the Heckelman-Stroup index . . . . .	102
7.4	Histogram using the equal impact indicator of Gwartney et al. . . . .	102

