

University of Groningen

**Transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor at various concentrations of 1,1,1-trichloroethane, acetate and sulfate**

deBest, JH; Jongema, H; Weijling, A; Doddema, HJ; Janssen, DB; Harder, W

*Published in:*  
Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology

*DOI:*  
[10.1007/s002530051073](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530051073)

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
1997

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

deBest, JH., Jongema, H., Weijling, A., Doddema, HJ., Janssen, DB., & Harder, W. (1997). Transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor at various concentrations of 1,1,1-trichloroethane, acetate and sulfate. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 48(3), 417-423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530051073>

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## ORIGINAL PAPER

J. H. de Best · H. Jongema · A. Weijling  
H. J. Doddema · D. B. Janssen · W. Harder

## Transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor at various concentrations of 1,1,1-trichloroethane, acetate and sulfate

Received: 22 January 1997 / Received revision: 12 May 1997 / Accepted: 19 May 1997

**Abstract** Biotransformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane ( $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ ) was observed in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor under conditions of both sulfate reduction and methanogenesis. Acetate (1 mM) served as an electron donor.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was completely converted up to the highest investigated concentration of 10  $\mu\text{M}$ . 1,1-Dichloroethane and chloroethane were found to be the main transformation products. A fraction of the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was completely dechlorinated via an unknown pathway. The rate of transformation and the transformation products formed depended on the concentrations of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , acetate and sulfate. With an increase in sulfate and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  concentrations and a decrease in acetate concentration, the degree of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  dechlorination decreased. Both packed-bed reactor studies and batch experiments with bromoethanesulfonic acid, an inhibitor of methanogenesis, demonstrated the involvement of methanogens in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation. Batch experiments with molybdate showed that sulfate-reducing bacteria in the packed-bed reactor were also able to transform  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ . However, packed-bed reactor experiments indicated that sulfate reducers only had a minor contribution to the overall transformation in the packed-bed reactor.

### Introduction

1,1,1-Trichloroethane  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  is a ubiquitous contaminant in groundwater, mainly because of accidental

spills in industrial processes. Because the compound is toxic for both man and animals, remediation of contaminated sites is necessary. Microbial remediation could be an attractive clean-up technique if rapid degradation can be achieved. However,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  is one of the chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons that are difficult to degrade biologically. So far, its dechlorination has not been described under aerobic or denitrifying conditions. Only Oldenhuis et al. (1989) have reported the partial conversion of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  to trichloroethanol by *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b under aerobic conditions. Transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  in anaerobic continuous-flow systems has been reported under both sulfate-reducing and methanogenic conditions (Bouwer and McCarty 1983; Bouwer and Wright 1988; Cobb and Bouwer 1991; Vogel and McCarty 1987a; Wrenn and Rittmann 1996). Transformation only occurred after a long acclimatization period (10–12 weeks) and was only investigated at  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  concentrations below 1  $\mu\text{M}$ . Also the involvement of methanogenic and/or sulfate-reducing bacteria in the transformation was not established.

1,1-Dichloroethane ( $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$ ) has been found to be the main product of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  biotransformation (Egli et al. 1987, 1988; Gälli and McCarty 1989a, b; Parsons et al. 1985; Vogel and McCarty 1987a) but conversion to chloroethane ( $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ ) (Parsons and Lage 1985; Vogel and McCarty 1987a) and complete dechlorination to  $\text{CO}_2$  (Vogel and McCarty 1987a), acetic acid (Gälli and McCarty 1989a) and unknown products (Gälli and McCarty 1989a) was also detected. Transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  occurs through reductive dechlorination. The pathway of complete dechlorination is not yet clear.

The aim of this study was to explore the potential of complete  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  dechlorination, in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor under methanogenic conditions, of concentrations as high as 1.3 mg/l (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ). For the application of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  biotransformation in the treatment of contaminated groundwaters it is important to obtain more information about the effect of important

J. H. de Best (✉) · H. Jongema · A. Weijling  
H. J. Doddema · W. Harder  
TNO Environmental Technology and Process Engineering  
Division, Department of Environmental Biotechnology,  
P.O. Box 342, 7300 AH Apeldoorn, The Netherlands  
Tel.: + 31 55-5493096  
Fax: + 31 55-5493410

D. B. Janssen  
Department of Biochemistry, University of Groningen,  
Groningen, The Netherlands

process parameters on the transformation. Therefore, the effects of varying sulfate and acetate (primary substrate) concentrations on the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  were investigated. For a better understanding of these effects, the role of methanogenic and sulfate-reducing bacteria in the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was studied.

## Materials and methods

### Packed-bed reactor studies

The experiments were performed in an upflow packed-bed reactor (glass, height 32 cm, inside diameter 4.42 cm, volume 492 ml) (Fig. 1) packed with polyurethane foam particles ( $5 \times 5 \times 6$  mm; Bayer B. V., Mijdrecht, The Netherlands) mixed with digested sludge (20 v/v%) from the wastewater treatment plant Kralingseveer (Rotterdam, The Netherlands). The packed-bed reactor was wrapped with aluminum foil to prevent growth of phototrophs.

The reactor was continuously fed with an anaerobic non-sterile mineral medium containing (mg/l)  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  (8),  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (3.6),  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (40),  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (26.6),  $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (101.6),  $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (62.6), resazurine (1). From a trace element solution, 0.125 ml/l was added. The trace element solution contained (mg/l)  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (2800),  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$  (50),  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (118.3),  $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (50),  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (92.8), EDTA (500),  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  (50),  $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{27} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (50),  $\text{CoCl}_2$  (27.3),  $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (91.6), 1 ml HCl (37%). The medium was continuously purged with a mixture of  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  (99.5%/0.5%) (Hoek Loos B. V., Dieren, The Netherlands) to remove all oxygen.

The medium (pH  $7.3 \pm 0.2$ ) was pumped into the packed-bed reactor by means of a peristaltic pump with Marprene tubing (Watson Marlow, England). All other tubing was either Viton or Teflon.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , acetate and  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}$  (42  $\mu\text{M}$ , to maintain reducing conditions) were added to the medium as a concentrated solution at the influent of the packed-bed reactor with a syringe pump (Fig. 1). The hydraulic retention time in the packed-bed was 24 h. All experiments were carried out at 25 °C.

### Batch culture studies

Experiments were done with the following medium (g/l):  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (0.43),  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.53),  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (0.3),  $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.12),  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.13) resazurine (0.0005). The medium also contained 1 ml trace element solution (see above) and 1 ml vitamin

solution. The vitamin solution contained (mg/l): biotin (2), folic acid (2), riboflavin (5), thiamine (5), cyanocobalamin (5), nicotinamide (5), *p*-aminobenzoic acid (5).

The medium was purged with a mixture of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$  (0.5:99.5 v/v%, 700 ml/min) for 30 min. After 15 min,  $\text{Na}_2\text{S} \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (67 mg/l) and  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (100 mg/l) were added. The medium was transferred to 120-ml bottles (brown glass) in an anaerobic glove-box. Each bottle contained 60 ml medium and was closed with Teflon-lined butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp seals.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  (5.8  $\mu\text{M}$ ) and acetate (1000  $\mu\text{M}$ ) were added as concentrated solutions.

All batch cultures were inoculated with 2 ml liquid phase taken from the packed-bed reactor (1 ml liquid phase from sample port 2 and 1 ml from sample port 3; Fig. 1). The cultures were incubated on a shaker (100 rpm) in a canted position (90°) at 25 °C. In sterile control batch cultures, there was no transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  and acetate (data not shown).

### Inhibitor studies

To investigate the role of methanogenic, acetogenic and sulfate-reducing microorganisms in the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , three inhibitors were used. After complete transformation of the first amount of added  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , vancomycin (0.14 mM), 2-bromoethanesulfonic acid (6 mM) or molybdate (2 mM) was added to the batch cultures together with more  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  (5.8  $\mu\text{M}$ ) and acetate (500  $\mu\text{M}$ ).

### Analytical methods

$\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  were quantified by headspace gas chromatography using a headspace sampler. Liquid samples (100–1000  $\mu\text{l}$ ) were injected in 10-ml headspace autosampler vials with Teflon-lined butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp seals. The final volume was adjusted to 2 ml with demineralized water. The vials were analyzed using a Hewlett-Packard 19395A headspace autosampler connected to an HRGC 5300 Carlo Erba gas chromatograph equipped with an electron-capture detector and a CP-Sil 5CB column (length 25 m, inner diameter 0.53 mm, film thickness 2  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Chrompack, The Netherlands). Helium (16 ml/min) served as a carrier gas for the headspace sampler. The gas chromatograph had the following settings: injection temperature, 200 °C; oven temperature, 35 °C; detector temperature, 300 °C. The flow rate of the carrier gas (helium) in the column was 20 ml/min. The detector make-up gas was nitrogen. The detector signal was processed with the Mosaic chromatography data system (Chrompack, Bergen op Zoom, The Netherlands). A four-point curve was used for calibration.

Carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide and methane concentrations were routinely analyzed on a Varian 3700 gas chromatograph and flame ionization detector after separation on a Carboxplot P7 column (length 12.5 m, inner diameter 0.53 mm, film thickness 25  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Chrompack, Bergen op Zoom, The Netherlands) and reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  by a methanizer at 400 °C (Varian, Houten, The Netherlands). The carrier gas was helium (40 ml/min). Injector, oven and detector temperatures were set at 280 °C, 50 °C and 280 °C respectively. Liquid samples (2 ml) were injected in 10-ml headspace autosampler vials with Teflon-lined butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp seals and equilibrated at 80 °C for 45 min. A 50- $\mu\text{l}$  sample of the headspace gas was injected into the gas chromatograph by hand with a 100- $\mu\text{l}$  Hamilton gas- and liquid-tight syringe. A four-point calibration curve was used for quantification.

Sulfate was determined by ion chromatography. Liquid samples were centrifuged (14 000 g for 10 min) and injected in 2-ml screw-cap vials with Teflon-lined silicone liners. The vials were sampled (50  $\mu\text{l}$ ) with a Marathon-XT autosampler (Spark Holland, Emmen, The Netherlands) and the samples injected into a Dionex DX-100 ion chromatograph (Dionex, Breda, The Netherlands) equipped with a conductivity detector, thermal stabilizer and ASRS




Fig. 1 Schematic presentation of packed-bed reactor

suppressor. Sulfate was separated on an IONPAC AG9-SC guard column and IONPAC AG9-SC anion column (Dionex, Breda, The Netherlands).  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (1.7 mM)/ $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (1.8 mM) was used as effluent at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. The detector signal was processed with the Maestro chromatography data system (Chrom-pack, Bergen op Zoom, The Netherlands). A ten-point calibration curve was used.

Acetate concentrations were determined with an enzymatic test combination (Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany) based on the formation of NADH (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH Biochemica 1989). NADH formation was measured by the increase in absorbance at 340 nm on a JASCO 7800 UV/VIS spectrophotometer.

## Chemicals


All chemicals were obtained from commercial companies.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  were obtained from Fluka.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  and sodium acetate were obtained from Janssen Chimica. Vancomycin and sodium molybdate were purchased from Sigma. 2-Bromoethanesulfonic acid was obtained from Aldrich. Calibration gases were obtained from AGA (carbon dioxide, methane).

## Results

Transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor inoculated with digested sludge was studied to establish whether its complete dechlorination under methanogenic conditions was possible. Before the addition of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , the packed-bed reactor was operated for 2 weeks with acetate (1 mM) as the sole substrate. After 2 weeks, 75% of the added acetate was utilized. Methane production (0.62 mM) indicated that 62% of the acetate added was converted by methanogens. The presence of methanogens was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy according to the method described by Doddema and Vogels (1978). Sulfate-reducing bacteria utilized 13% of the added acetate for the complete reduction of all available sulfate (0.13 mM). Although no sulfate was added, about 0.13 mM was present in the influent of the packed-bed reactor, probably because of (microbial) oxidation of sulfide by traces of oxygen in the influent.

When  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  (0.75  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was added to the packed-bed reactor it took 7 days until its complete breakthrough. This period of time is a result of initial sorption of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  to polyurethane foam, the carrier material of the packed-bed reactor. This sorption was taken into account when steady-state samples were collected. A steady state was characterized by a constant degree of removal of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  for a period of 14 days after at least seven hydraulic retention times.

Seven days after complete breakthrough of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , its transformation started and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  was found to be the only transformation product. Two days later,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  was also detected. During the next 20 days, the concentration of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  in the effluent decreased until  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was completely recovered as the monochloro derivative. Subsequently, the concentration of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  in the effluent of the bioreactor also decreased. In a steady state, 62.5% of the added  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was recovered as  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  (Fig. 2A) while 38.5% was



**Fig. 2** Effect of 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA) concentration on its transformation (A) and the substrate conversion (B) in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor. Chlorinated ethanes in the effluent of the reactor are expressed as the percentage of trichloroethane in the influent. Error bars standard deviations on two to five measurements taken within 7 days.  $\text{Tot}_{\text{out}} = \text{TCA}_{\text{out}} + \text{DCA}_{\text{out}} + \text{CA}_{\text{out}}$  (DCA 1,1-dichloroethane, CA chloroethane)

converted to unknown products. These results indicate that part of the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was probably mineralized, or completely converted to ethane by reductive dechlorination.

## Transformation at different 1,1,1-trichloroethane concentrations

The transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  in the packed-bed reactor was studied in a concentration range from 1.3  $\mu\text{M}$  to 10  $\mu\text{M}$  under starting conditions. At all concentrations tested,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was completely transformed to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  and unknown products (Fig. 2A). Up to a  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  concentration of 2.5  $\mu\text{M}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  was found to be the only chlorinated transformation product. At higher initial concentrations,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  was also detected. With an increase in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  concentration, the percentage recovered as chlorinated intermediates also increased, while the percentage of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformed to unknown products decreased. At the highest concentration tested,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was nearly completely converted to the dichloro and monochloro derivatives.


Up to a  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  concentration of  $4.5 \mu\text{M}$ , acetate ( $1 \text{ mM}$ ) was utilized to an extent of about 75% by both methanogenic and sulfate-reducing bacteria (Fig. 2B). Methane was produced ( $0.529 \text{ mM}$ ) and sulfate ( $0.055 \text{ mM}$ ) completely reduced. At higher concentrations, sulfate was still completely removed, but less acetate was metabolized. This was caused by a decrease in methanogenic activity, as evident from a decrease in methane production. These results indicate that methanogenic activity is probably inhibited by  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  at concentrations higher than  $4.5 \mu\text{M}$ . This is close to the inhibition level between  $6 \mu\text{M}$  and  $15 \mu\text{M}$  that was reported by Vargas and Ahlert (1987) for semi-batch culture studies with a mixed anaerobic culture.

#### Effect of sulfate concentration on the transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane

The effect of the sulfate concentration on  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  removal was tested by increasing the sulfate content of the influent of the packed-bed reactor in four steps from  $0.06 \text{ mM}$  to  $0.95 \text{ mM}$ . Under the starting conditions,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  ( $2.5 \mu\text{M}$ ) was completely degraded to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  and unknown products (Fig. 3A); 70% of the added acetate ( $1 \text{ mM}$ ) was utilized. Sulfate-reducing bacteria utilized  $0.06 \text{ mM}$  and methanogenic bacteria converted  $0.61 \text{ mM}$  to methane (Fig. 3B).

Up to a concentration of  $0.33 \text{ mM}$ , sulfate had no significant effect on the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  (Fig. 3A). At higher concentrations, sulfate clearly influenced its transformation.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  again was found to be a transformation product and the concentration of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  in the effluent of the packed-bed reactor decreased, indicating that the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  became less complete. At a sulfate concentration of  $0.95 \text{ mM}$ , the degree of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  removal decreased rapidly. After 7 days of operation at a sulfate concentration of  $0.95 \text{ mM}$ , only 56.5% of the added  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was transformed.  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  ( $0.72 \mu\text{M}$ ) was found to be the only transformation product. No formation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  occurred. To prevent complete loss of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ -transforming capacity, the sulfate concentration was decreased to the original concentration of  $0.06 \text{ mM}$  before a steady state was reached.

During the increase in sulfate concentration in the influent of the packed-bed reactor, the amount of sulfate reduced increased from  $0.055 \text{ mM}$  at an influent sulfate concentration of  $0.060 \text{ mM}$  to  $0.85 \text{ mM}$  at an influent sulfate concentration of  $0.95 \text{ mM}$  (Fig. 3B). At the same time, methane production by methanogenic bacteria decreased from  $0.61 \text{ mM}$  to  $0.09 \text{ mM}$ . These results indicate that sulfate-reducing bacteria were not involved in the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ . Methanogenic bacteria probably play a role in the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  since the decrease of its transformation coincided with the decrease of methane production by methanogenic bacteria.




**Fig. 3** Effect of sulfate concentration on the transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA) (A) and acetate conversion (B) in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor. Error bars standard deviations on two to five measurements taken within 7 days

#### Effect of acetate concentration on 1,1,1-trichloroethane transformation

The effect of the electron donor concentration on  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation was tested in a range from  $0.25 \text{ mM}$  to  $1 \text{ mM}$ . The packed-bed reactor was first operated under starting conditions at a  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  concentration of  $10 \mu\text{M}$ , and it was mainly converted to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  ( $3.8 \mu\text{M}$ ) and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  ( $5.2 \mu\text{M}$ ) (Fig. 4A). About 10% was converted to unknown products. Acetate ( $1 \text{ mM}$ ) was utilized to 23% by methanogens and sulfate-reducing bacteria (Fig. 4B).

In the range studied, the acetate concentration did not have a significant effect on the transformation (Fig. 4A).  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation remained complete at all times. However, the acetate concentration had a profound effect on the transformation products formed. At lower acetate concentrations, less  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was converted to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  while the concentration of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  in the effluent of the packed-bed reactor increased. The changes in the transformation products formed coincided with a decrease in methanogenic activity (Fig. 4B). Again, this indicates that methanogenic bacteria were involved in the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ . Sulfate reduction ( $0.06 \text{ mM}$ ) did not change and remained complete at all times.



**Fig. 4** Effect of acetate concentration on 1,1,1-trichloroethane (*TCA*) transformation (**A**) and acetate conversion (**B**) in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor

#### Transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in batch cultures

The results presented above indicate that methanogenic bacteria and sulfate-reducing bacteria were both present in the packed-bed reactor. The involvement in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation of these two bacterial groups and of acetogenic bacteria was investigated by adding specific inhibitors to batch cultures. First, a  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ -degrading microbial population was cultivated in the absence of inhibitors. The cultures were inoculated with liquid from the packed-bed reactor. In all batch cultures, transformation started within 1 week. After 23 days,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  (5.83  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was completely converted. Acetate (1000  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was utilized to an extent of 55%–60% and converted to about 580  $\mu\text{M}$  methane. When  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was completely

transformed, it was added again together with acetate (500  $\mu\text{M}$ ). At the same time specific inhibitors were added (Table 1).

2-Bromoethanesulfonic acid, an inhibitor of methanogenesis (Distefano et al. 1992), completely inhibited  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation and methane production. This confirms the findings in the packed-bed reactor that methanogenic bacteria were involved in the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ .

In the presence of molybdate, an inhibitor of sulfate reduction (Smith and Klug 1981),  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation was partly inhibited. Molybdate also had an effect on the ratio of transformation products that were formed. More  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was converted to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  (87% compared to 71% in the absence of inhibitors). This means that sulfate-reducing bacteria could be involved in the transformation, although no significant reduction of sulfate was detected in any of the batch cultures.

Vancomycin is an inhibitor of cell wall synthesis in gram-positive eubacteria and was used to inhibit acetogenic bacteria (Distefano et al. 1992). Vancomycin did not affect the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ . This means that acetogenic bacteria, as described by Egli et al. (1988), and *Clostridia*, as described by Gälli and McCarty (1989a, b), were not responsible for the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation observed in our packed-bed reactor.

#### Discussion

In this paper,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation in an anaerobic packed-bed reactor, in which both sulfate reduction and methanogenesis occurred, is described. In the range (0.75–10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) studied, over 95% degradation was obtained under standard conditions (0.1 mM sulfate and 1 mM acetate).  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  were found to be the main transformation products. Part of the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was converted to unknown non-chlorinated products. This is the first report of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation in a continuous-flow reactor with  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  as the main transformation product (above 90%). Vogel and McCarty (1987a) also described  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  as a transformation product in a continuous-flow reactor, but this only accounted for 5% of the transformation products formed, whereas over 90% was transformed to

**Table 1** Effect of inhibitors on the transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane in batch cultures. – Disappearance, + formation ( $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  1,1,1-trichloroethane;  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  1,1-dichloroethane; UP unknown products; BES 2-bromoethanesulfonic acid)

Inhibitors	Chlorinated hydrocarbons			Substrate conversion		
	$\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	UP ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$\text{CH}_4$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )
None	-5.8	+4.0	+1.8	-350	+135	-15.0
Molybdate	-2.5	+2.2	+0.3	-167	+147	-16.7
Vancomycin	-5.0	+3.7	+1.3	-183	+157	-6.7
BES	0	0	0	0	+20	+33.3

$\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$ . We observed that up to 90% of added  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was transformed to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ .

The results of the batch experiments with inhibitors and of the packed-bed reactor studies suggest that methanogenic bacteria are involved in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation. In the packed-bed reactor, both higher sulfate concentrations and lower acetate concentrations inhibited the transformation. This inhibition coincided with a decrease in methanogenic activity. Inhibition of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation by sulfate has not been reported before, but there are several reports of the inhibition of dechlorination of other chlorinated compounds by sulfate (Sufflita et al. 1988; Kuhn et al. 1990; Sharak Genther et al. 1989; Kohring et al. 1989; Gibson and Sufflita 1986). In all cases, the inhibition of dechlorination activity was caused by the inhibition of methanogenic activity. Cobb and Bouwer (1991) reported no effect of sulfate on the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  in a biofilm reactor. However, sulfate was only added at very low concentrations (0.1 mM) at an acetate concentration (electron donor) of 1 mM. Under these conditions, no inhibition of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation was observed in our packed-bed reactor, since methanogenesis was not suppressed. Wrenn and Rittmann (1996) also reported no effect of sulfate on  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation in a methanogenic biofilm reactor even at a sulfate/formate ratio of 1. However, in these experiments no time for adaptive changes in the microbial population was allowed.

Batch experiments with molybdate indicated that sulfate-reducing bacteria in the packed-bed reactor could also transform  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ , as observed before by Egli et al. (1987) for *Desulfobacterium autotrophicum*. However, the effect of both acetate and sulfate concentrations on  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformation showed that sulfate-reducing bacteria only accounted for a minor percentage of the transformation in the packed-bed reactor.

Transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  in the packed-bed reactor mainly occurred through reductive dechlorination to the di- and monochloro derivatives (Fig. 5). The percentage of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  transformed to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  depended on the methanogenic activity. With an increase in methanogenic activity, the extent of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  dechlorination also increased. This suggests that its transformation by methanogens in the packed-bed reactor is a cometabolic process with no benefit for the organisms.

Part of the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was converted to unknown products. For its transformation to products other than  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  there are two possibilities: either  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ , when formed, was further converted or  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  was transformed via other initial reactions (Fig. 5).  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  can undergo both biotic transformation to ethene or ethane (pathways 3 and 4; Belay and Daniels 1987; Vogel and McCarty 1987a) and abiotic transformation to ethanol (pathway 5; Vogel and McCarty 1987b). Three pathways have been described for the transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  via other initial re-




Fig. 5 Pathways for the transformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane (deduced from Vogel and McCarty 1987). Transformations that have been reported are shown with solid lines. Proposed pathways are shown with dotted lines. *A* Abiotic transformations. *TCA* 1,1,1-trichloroethane; *DCA* 1,1-dichloroethane; *CA* chloroethane; *VC* vinyl chloride; *DCE* 1,1-dichloroethene

actions. First, it can be converted to non-volatile halo-carbons according to pathways 6, 7 and 8 (Gälli and McCarty 1989a). We did occasionally test for halogenated acetic acids but never detected any of these compounds. The second pathway has been described by Gälli and McCarty (1989a). They found transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  to acetic acid by a *Clostridium* sp. (pathway 1). Finally it can undergo abiotic transformation to 1,1-dichloroethene (pathway 2), which can be further degraded (Gälli and McCarty 1989b; Vogel and McCarty 1987a, b). We never detected any 1,1-dichloroethene in the packed-bed reactor as expected, since the first-order rate coefficient for abiotic 1,1-dichloroethene formation is only  $0.0024 \text{ day}^{-1}$  (Gälli and McCarty 1989b). Our results suggest that part of the  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  in the packed-bed reactor was converted to non-chlorinated products. It is not yet clear via which pathway complete dechlorination of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  occurred and to which products it was converted.

Our results suggest that  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  removal by methanogens is a feasible option, provided that sulfate can be removed and a sufficient amount of suitable electron donor is added. Complete dechlorination occurred, but usually the di- and monochloro derivatives accumulated as undesirable transformation products. Sequential anaerobic/aerobic transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  now seems a feasible option for its complete mineralization, since both  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  can be degraded under aerobic conditions (Oldenhuis et al. 1989; Scholtz et al. 1987).  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  transformation under oxic conditions is much slower than  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  transformation and appears to be a cometabolic process (Vogel et al. 1987; McCarty and Semprini 1994). Therefore, a packed-bed reactor that would completely transform  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  to

$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  and not form any  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHCl}_2$  is of great interest. Further research will focus on the possibilities of complete transformation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$  to  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$  under methanogenic conditions and the mechanism of this transformation in methanogens.

**Acknowledgements** This work was financed by a grant IOP91225 from the Dutch IOP Environmental Biotechnology program.

## References

- Belay N, Daniels L (1987) Production of ethane, ethylene, and acetylene from halogenated hydrocarbons by methanogenic bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 53: 1604–1610
- Boehringer Mannheim GmbH Biochemica (1989) Methods of biochemical analysis and food analysis: using test combinations. Boehringer Mannheim Co., Mannheim, Germany
- Bouwer EJ, McCarty PL (1983) Transformations of 1- and 2-carbon halogenated aliphatic organic compounds under methanogenic conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 45: 1286–1294
- Bouwer EJ, Wright JP (1988) Transformations of trace halogenated aliphatics in anoxic biofilm columns. *J Contaminant Hydrol* 2: 155–169
- Cobb GD, Bouwer EJ (1991) Effects of electron acceptors on halogenated organic compound biotransformation in a biofilm column. *Environ Sci Technol* 25: 1068–1074
- Distefano TD, Gossett JM, Zinder SH (1992) Hydrogen as an electron donor for dechlorination of tetrachloroethene by an anaerobic mixed culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 58: 3622–3629
- Doddema HJ, Vogels GD (1978) Improved identification of methanogenic bacteria by fluorescence microscopy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 36: 752–754
- Egli C, Scholtz R, Cook AM, Leisinger T (1987) Anaerobic dechlorination of tetrachloromethane and 1,2-dichloroethane to degradable products by pure cultures of *Desulfobacterium* sp. and *Methanobacterium* sp. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 43: 257–261
- Egli C, Tschan T, Scholtz R, Cook AM, Leisinger T (1988) Transformation of tetrachloromethane to dichloromethane and carbon dioxide by *Acetobacterium woodii*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 54: 2819–2824
- Gälli R, McCarty PL (1989a) Biotransformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloromethane, and tetrachloromethane by a *Clostridium* sp. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 55: 837–844
- Gälli R, McCarty PL (1989b) Kinetics of biotransformation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane by *Clostridium* sp. strain TCAIIB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 55: 845–851
- Gibson SA, Suffita JM (1986) Extrapolation of biodegradation results to groundwater aquifers: reductive dehalogenation of aromatic compounds. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 52: 681–688
- Kohring G-W, Zhang X, Wiegel J (1989) Anaerobic dechlorination of 2,4-dichlorophenol in freshwater in the presence of sulfate. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 55: 2735–2737
- Kuhn EP, Townsend GT, Suffita JM (1990) Effect of sulfate and organic carbon supplements on reductive dehalogenation of chloroanilines in anaerobic aquifer slurries. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 56: 2630–2637
- McCarty PL, Semprini L (1994) Ground-water treatment for chlorinated solvents. In: Norris RD, Hinchee RE, Brown R, McCarty PL, Semprini L, Wilson JT, Kampbell DH, Reinhard M, Bouwer EJ, Borden RC, Vogel TM, Thomas JM, Ward CH, Matthews JE (eds) Handbook of bioremediation. Lewis, Boca Raton, Fla, pp 87–116
- Oldenhuis R, Vink RLJM, Janssen DB, Witholt B (1989) Degradation of chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons by *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b expressing soluble methane monooxygenases. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 55: 2819–2826
- Parsons F, Lage G (1985) Chlorinated organics in simulated groundwater environments. *J Am Water Works Assoc* 77: 52–59
- Parsons F, Barrio-Lage G, Rice R (1985) Biotransformation of chlorinated organic solvents in static environments. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 4: 739–742
- Scholtz R, Schmuckle A, Cook AM, Leisinger T (1987) Degradation of eighteen 1-monohaloalkanes by *Arthrobacter* sp. strain HA1. *J Gen Microbiol* 133: 267–274
- Sharak Genter BR, Price WA, Pritchard PH (1989) Anaerobic degradation of chloroaromatic compounds in aquatic sediments under a variety of enrichment conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 55: 1466–1471
- Smith RL, Klug MJ (1981) Electron donors utilized by sulphate reducing bacteria in eutrophic lake sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 42: 116–121
- Suffita JM, Gibson SA, Beeman RE (1988) Anaerobic biotransformations of pollutant chemicals in aquifers. *J Ind Microbiol* 3: 179–194
- Vargas C, Ahlert RC (1987) Anaerobic degradation of chlorinated solvents. *J Water Pollut Control Fed* 59: 964–968
- Vogel TM, McCarty PL (1987a) Abiotic and biotic transformations of 1,1,1-trichloroethane under methanogenic conditions. *Environ Sci Technol* 21: 1208–1213
- Vogel TM, McCarty PL (1987b) Rate of abiotic formation of 1,1-dichloroethane from 1,1,1-trichloroethane in groundwater. *J Contam Hydrol* 1: 299–308
- Vogel TM, Criddle CS, McCarty PL (1987) Transformation of halogenated aliphatic compounds. *Environ Sci Technol* 21: 722–736
- Wrenn BA, Rittmann BE (1996) Evaluation of a model for the effects of substrate interactions on the kinetics of reductive dehalogenation. *Biodegradation* 7: 49–64