

University of Groningen

Sex determination meltdown upon biological control introduction of the parasitoid *Cotesia rubecula*?

de Boer, Jetske G.; Kuijper, Bram; Heimpel, George E.; Beukeboom, Leo W.

Published in:
Evolutionary Applications

DOI:
[10.1111/j.1752-4571.2012.00270.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2012.00270.x)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

de Boer, J. G., Kuijper, B., Heimpel, G. E., & Beukeboom, L. W. (2012). Sex determination meltdown upon biological control introduction of the parasitoid *Cotesia rubecula*? *Evolutionary Applications*, 5(5), 444-454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2012.00270.x>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.




Figure S1. A histogram of the 50,000 simulated values for the proportion of diploid males for a single female with $\text{id}=\text{M-7}$ using parameters $n_{\text{loci}} = 1$; $s = 0.19$. The grey line depicts the smoothed density function $f_{\text{M-7}}$ obtained by `approxfun()`. The actual datapoint of the proportion of diploid males for female M-7 is 0 out of 7 diploid offspring (dashed vertical line). The likelihood for the current parameters is $f_{\text{M-7}}(0 \mid 1, 0.19) = 0.295$.




Figure S2. Total likelihood value for different values of diploid male survival s and the number of CSD loci, n_{loci} . Likelihood is maximized for $s=0.69$ and $n_{\text{loci}}=2$.




Figure S3. The histogram depicts the distribution q of 5,000 likelihood ratio test values for simulated data $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, when the null hypothesis $n_{\text{loci}}=1, s=0.19$ holds true. This is compared to the actual likelihood ratio for the experimentally observed dataset \mathbf{x} , which amounts to a value beyond the distribution q . As such, the likelihood ratio test in Table 3 in the main text results in a significance value $p=0.000$




Figure S4. Predicted (black histogram) and observed (green histogram) proportions of diploid males per generation for each of the three models that had the highest likelihoods in Figure S2. Distributions with multiple loci are predicted to be wider in generation two, which matches the data. In contrast, the single locus distribution becomes narrower, as the proportion of diploid males is always 0.5 for each individual mother, whereas the proportion of diploid males is more variable in a multilocus model due to more possibilities of segregation of different CSD alleles in different mothers during the BS generation. MS: mother-son (generation 1), BS: brother-sister (generation 2).




Figure S5. Scatter plots showing the absence of a relationship between the proportion of diploid males and (A) diploid family size, and (B) the number of dead hosts.