Sodium Intake, ACE Inhibition, and Progression to ESRD
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ABSTRACT

High sodium intake limits the antihypertensive and antiproteinuric effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors in patients with CKD; however, whether dietary sodium also associates with progression to ESRD is unknown. We conducted a post hoc analysis of the first and second Ramipril Efficacy in Nephropathy trials to evaluate the association of sodium intake with proteinuria and progression to ESRD among 500 CKD patients without diabetes who were treated with ramipril (5 mg/d) and monitored with serial 24-hour urinary sodium and creatinine measurements. Urinary sodium/creatinine excretion defined low (<100 mEq/g), medium (100 to <200 mEq/g), and high (≥200 mEq/g) sodium intake. During a follow-up of >4.25 years, 92 individuals (18.4%) developed ESRD. Among those with low, medium, and high sodium intakes, the incidence of ESRD was 6.1 (95% confidence interval [95% CI], 3.8–9.7), 7.9 (95% CI, 6.1–10.2), and 18.2 (95% CI, 11.3–29.3) per 100 patient-years, respectively (P<0.001). Patients with high dietary sodium exhibited a blunted antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibition despite similar BP among groups. Each 100-mEq/g increase in urinary sodium/creatinine excretion associated with a 1.61-fold (95% CI, 1.15–2.24) higher risk for ESRD; adjusting for baseline proteinuria attenuated this association to 1.38-fold (95% CI, 0.95–2.00). This association was independent from BP but was lost after adjusting for changes in proteinuria. In summary, among patients with CKD but without diabetes, high dietary salt (>14 g daily) seems to blunt the antiproteinuric effect of ACE inhibitor therapy and increase the risk for ESRD, independent of BP control.


Increased urinary protein excretion is a major determinant of progressive renal function loss in participants with CKD. Studies in CKD patients with and without diabetes showed that renoprotective treatments limit GFR decline and progression to ESRD to the extent they lower proteinuria, independent of BP control.1–4 These findings imply that urinary proteins should be reduced as far as possible, ideally to <1 g/d.3

Inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), such as angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), are the antihypertensive drugs that most effectively reduce urinary proteins and slow GFR decline in patients with CKD.1–3,6 The efficacy of treatment, however, is heterogeneous and dependent on inborn7 and environmental8–12 factors. Data in experimental diabetes,13,14 adriamycin nephrosis,15 uninephrectomized rats, or in Munich Wistar rats with spontaneously...
reduced nephron numbers uniformly show that expansion of the sodium pool, with glomerular hyperfiltration and activation of the renal RAS induced by enhanced sodium intake, all contribute to blunt the BP and proteinuria lowering effect of RAS inhibitors. Consistently, observational studies in humans showed that increased dietary sodium intake increases proteinuria and accelerates renal disease progression. However, no study thus far has evaluated the associations between salt intake, proteinuria, and renal disease progression in patients receiving RAS-inhibiting treatment. Hence, in this study, we evaluated the association of sodium intake with proteinuria and progress in patients receiving RAS-inhibiting treatment. Based on the experimental and human evidence discussed above and arose before expectation of outcome data in our patient population.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics
The 500 included participants had a mean of 5.4 ± 2.8 urinary sodium and creatinine measurements over a follow-up of 26.2 ± 15.6 months. Twenty-six patients (5.2%) had only one measurement. Their baseline characteristics were similar to those of patients with more measurements (data not shown). Mean urinary sodium and sodium/creatinine excretion at baseline were 177.6 ± 72.3 mEq/24 h and 139.0 ± 54.9 mEq/g, respectively. On the basis of their average urinary sodium/creatinine excretion during the observation period, 111, 336, and 53 patients were categorized in the low sodium diet (LSD), medium sodium diet (MSD), and high sodium diet (HSD) groups, respectively (Table 1). Sodium intake was a relatively fixed trait because patient distribution to the three sodium intake groups did not change significantly when only baseline urinary sodium/creatinine measurements were considered (P = 0.442). There were more men in the LSD group than in the MSD and HSD groups and primary glomerular diseases were more frequent in the LSD group than in the MSD group. Body mass index, BP, and creatinine clearance at baseline were similar among groups, whereas urinary protein/creatinine and urea/creatinine excretion were significantly lower in the LSD and MSD groups than in the HSD group.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics based on sodium diet groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sodium Diet Group</th>
<th>LSD (n=111)</th>
<th>MSD (n=336)</th>
<th>HSD (n=53)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men, n (%)</td>
<td>100 (90.1)</td>
<td>251 (74.7)</td>
<td>30 (56.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age, yr, mean (SD)</td>
<td>52.0 (14.5)</td>
<td>51.2 (14.8)</td>
<td>56.2 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body surface area, m², mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.39)</td>
<td>1.82 (0.24)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.8 (3.8)</td>
<td>26.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>26.1 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glomerular</td>
<td>68 (61.8)</td>
<td>161 (47.9)</td>
<td>26 (49.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interstitial, polycystic</td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
<td>13 (3.9)</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other, unknown</td>
<td>40 (36.0)</td>
<td>162 (48.2)</td>
<td>24 (45.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP, mmHg, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>systolic BP</td>
<td>142.4 (15.5)</td>
<td>144.5 (18.5)</td>
<td>146.2 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diastolic BP</td>
<td>89.3 (10.1)</td>
<td>88.8 (11.0)</td>
<td>108.0 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatinine clearance, ml/min, mean (SD)</td>
<td>43.8 (18.6)</td>
<td>43.6 (19.7)</td>
<td>40.1 (22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary creatinine excretion, g/d, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary protein excretion, g/d, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary protein/creatinine excretion, g/g, median (IQR)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary urea excretion, mmol/d, mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.6 (11.2)</td>
<td>19.9 (7.6)</td>
<td>18.2 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary urea/creatinine excretion, mmol/g, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.4 (8.5)</td>
<td>15.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>17.4 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary sodium excretion, mEq/d, mean (SD)</td>
<td>121.5 (59.6)</td>
<td>185.2 (61.8)</td>
<td>242.7 (82.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urinary sodium/creatinine excretion, mEq/g, mean (SD)</td>
<td>87.8 (38.2)</td>
<td>140.1 (31.9)</td>
<td>236.5 (64.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range.  
*P < 0.05 versus LSD.  
**P < 0.05 versus MSD.
Relationships between Sodium, Proteinuria, and ESRD

Urinary sodium/creatinine excretion was significantly and positively correlated with urinary protein/creatinine excretion at baseline ($R=0.134$, $P=0.013$) and follow-up ($R=0.182$, $P<0.001$), whereas no correlation was found with BP at baseline ($R=0.005$, $P=0.927$) or during follow-up ($R=0.031$, $P=0.556$). In turn, urinary protein/creatinine ratio at baseline and on subsequent follow-up. Concomitant use of BP lowering medications was similar among groups at baseline, whereas on follow-up there were fewer patients taking diuretic therapy in the LSD group than in the MSD or HSD groups (Table 2).

As observed in the 500 patients receiving ramipril therapy, as well as in the cohort of 172 controls taking non–RAS inhibitor therapy, participants in the HSD group tended to have more proteinuria at baseline and on follow-up than those in the LSD and MSD groups, respectively. In controls, however, there were no appreciable differences in follow-up changes in proteinuria among salt intake groups. Again, BP was similar among groups throughout the whole observation period (Figure 3, left and right panels, respectively).

**Sodium Excretion as a Continuum**

An 100 mEq/g increase in urinary sodium/creatinine ratio was associated with a 1.61-fold (95% CI, 1.15–2.24) increase in ESRD occurrence. This association was independent of age, sex, underlying renal disease, previous inclusion in the REIN or REIN-2 trials, and baseline BP. The significance of the association, however, was partially lost (hazard ratio [HR], 1.38; 95% CI, 0.95–2.00) after adjusting for baseline proteinuria (Table 3).

In the multivariable model adjusted for age, sex, BP, creatinine clearance, and concomitant antihypertensive treatment at baseline and 24-hour urea excretion throughout the whole study period, an 100 mEq/g increase in urinary sodium/creatinine ratio was associated with a 1.67-fold (95% CI, 1.07–2.60) excess risk of progression to ESRD (Table 3). Exploratory analyses showed that the association was independent of changes in BP and antihypertensive co-medication on follow-up. Conversely, the significance of the association (HR, 1.14; 95% CI, 0.72–1.80) was fully lost after adjustment for baseline and follow-up 24-hour urinary protein excretion (Table 3). Similar findings were obtained when urinary sodium/creatinine excretion was considered as a continuous variable (Figure 4). With this approach, unadjusted analyses showed a strong association between urinary sodium excretion and progression to ESRD (model 1, Figure 4). The significance of the association was attenuated when analyses were adjusted for baseline proteinuria (model 2) and was fully lost when adjustments included changes in proteinuria during the follow-up (model 3).

Although similar findings were obtained when urinary sodium excretion was not normalized for concomitant urinary creatinine excretion (Table 3), the creatinine normalized model provided a better fit according to the Bayesian information criteria (1012 versus 1016 for the non-normalized model, using the same patients and measurements in both models).
(HR, 1.30; 95% CI, 1.19–1.41) and follow-up (HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.30–1.47) predicted ESRD progression, independent of sex, age, creatinine clearance, and BP.

**DISCUSSION**

This study has two key findings. First, in humans with non-diabetic CKD who received ACE inhibitor therapy, high salt intake is associated with increased risk of progression to ESRD. Second, the excess risk associated with increased salt exposure seems to be mediated by blunted antiproteinuric effects of ACE inhibitor therapy in this population. Among the 500 study participants, those who had a urinary sodium excretion >200 mEq/g of urinary creatinine had a 2.4- and 3.3-fold higher incidence of ESRD compared with those with a urinary sodium/creatinine excretion between 100 and 200 mEq/g, respectively. Despite a similar BP control, urinary proteins decreased more in patients in the LSD and MSD groups than in those in HSD group. More importantly, in the HSD group, the antiproteinuric effect of ramipril therapy waned over time and urinary protein excretion tended to increase toward baseline values. These findings are consistent with well established evidence that the renoprotective effect of ACE inhibitors or ARBs is largely explained by their effect of reducing urinary proteins, an effect that is limited or even blunted by excess sodium intake. Increased sodium exposure could also explain the “escape phenomenon” observed in previous studies and why it was more frequent in participants who were not taking concomitant diuretic therapy. 

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2. In 500 patients with proteinuric chronic nephropathies taking ramipril therapy, higher salt intake is associated with more proteinuria at baseline and less proteinuria reduction on follow-up, but does not appear to appreciably affect BP control. A and B, respectively, show 24-hour urinary protein excretion and mean arterial pressure during follow-up in patients categorized in LSD (dotted lines), MSD (broken lines), or HSD (continuous lines) groups according to their urinary sodium/creatinine ratio on follow-up. The two upper panels show mean and SEM values, whereas the two lower panels show median changes from baseline.

**Table 2.** Concomitant antihypertensive treatments at baseline and throughout follow-up in patient groups categorized as having been on an LSD, MSD, or HSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline, n (%)</th>
<th>Follow-up, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>MSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Adrenergic agents</td>
<td>33 (29.7)</td>
<td>92 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β blockers</td>
<td>24 (21.6)</td>
<td>81 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel antagonists</td>
<td>25 (22.5)</td>
<td>92 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>40 (36.0)</td>
<td>132 (39.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that patients in the intensified BP control arm of the REIN-2 (which was achieved with felodipine) were classified for this study as receiving calcium channel antagonists as concomitant treatment.

*P<0.05 versus baseline use in the same diet group.

bP<0.05 versus LSD in the same time period.
On average, a 100-mEq increase in daily sodium excretion per gram of creatinine (equivalent to an incremental intake of 125 mEq of sodium or 7 g of salt) increased the risk of ESRD by 61%. This excess risk was independent of age, sex, underlying renal disease, creatinine clearance at inclusion, protein intake, and BP control throughout the observation period, but was no longer significant when the analyses were adjusted for 24-hour urinary protein excretion at inclusion and on follow-up. Conversely, urinary sodium excretion was positively correlated with baseline and follow-up proteinuria.

Figure 3. In 172 patients with proteinuric chronic nephropathies taking non-RAS inhibitor therapy, higher salt intake tends to be associated with more proteinuria, but does not appear to appreciably affect proteinuria reduction on follow-up or BP control. A and B, respectively, show 24-hour urinary protein excretion and mean arterial pressure during follow-up in patients categorized in LSD (dotted lines), MSD (broken lines), or HSD (continuous lines) groups according to their urinary sodium/creatinine ratio on follow-up. The two upper panels show mean and SEM values, whereas the two lower panels show median changes from baseline.

Table 3. Time-dependent Cox model, HRs per 100 mEq/d of urinary sodium excretion and per 100 mEq/g of urinary sodium/creatinine excretion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urinary Sodium Excretion</th>
<th>Urinary Sodium/Creatinine Excretion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>1.35 (0.96–1.89)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univariable adjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>1.35 (0.96–1.90)</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>1.33 (0.95–1.88)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diagnosis</td>
<td>1.37 (0.97–1.93)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>1.34 (0.95–1.88)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proteinuria</td>
<td>1.35 (0.95–1.91)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable adjusted a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without proteinuria</td>
<td>1.67 (1.16–2.39)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including proteinuria</td>
<td>1.36 (0.89–2.06)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for changes during follow-up (time-dependent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>1.67 (1.16–2.42)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proteinuria</td>
<td>1.28 (0.86–1.92)</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe multivariable model was adjusted for age, sex, mean arterial BP at baseline, antihypertensive co-medication at baseline, urinary urea excretion during follow-up, and baseline creatinine clearance.
that, in turn, independently predicted the risk of ESRD progression. Although the numbers of events and patients were too small to formally test the possibility of a significant interaction between urinary sodium excretion, proteinuria, and risk of progression to ESRD, the above findings converge to indicate that the association between salt intake and outcome was largely mediated by the effects of salt exposure on proteinuria. The finding that high sodium intake was associated with more proteinuria already at inclusion was consistent with previous data showing that daily sodium intake enhanced proteinuria in participants not receiving RAS inhibitor therapy.\textsuperscript{18} Independent of BP control, enhanced intrarenal ACE activity has been associated with accelerated renal damage in several experimental models of chronic renal disease\textsuperscript{30} and might explain at least part of the excess proteinuria and renal risk associated with high sodium intake observed in this study.

Average sodium intake approximated 10 g per day, more than two-fold the intake recommended by current guidelines for renal patients.\textsuperscript{31} This is of concern because our data show that even a small increase in salt intake is associated with an incremental risk of ESRD. A daily salt intake $>14$ g (equivalent to $>200$ mEq/g creatinine) was associated with an ESRD rate of 18.2% per 100 patient-years, compared with 7.9% in participants with less salt intake. Previous studies consistently showed the benefits of a low-sodium diet on BP and proteinuria, but provided no information on the harmful consequences of high salt intake on hard clinical end points.\textsuperscript{8–11} These novel findings are relevant to health care providers because prevention strategies aimed to avoid extreme excess in sodium intake—even without dietary restrictions that might affect patient compliance\textsuperscript{32}—would be extremely important to substantially limit the risk of renal disease progression in clinical practice.

**Monitoring Salt Intake**

We categorized our patients according to three ranges of sodium intake that were defined on the basis of cut-off levels similar to those used in previous studies.\textsuperscript{10,11,18,33} In contrast to previous studies that used a single baseline measurement of urinary salt excretion or the average of the measurements on follow-up,\textsuperscript{34} in our time-dependent Cox model we used, for the first time in this clinical setting, a cumulative average of sodium excretion. This is a gold-standard approach to model the relationship between longitudinally measured covariates and a given event\textsuperscript{15} that has been extensively applied in required combined treatment with a diuretic, which was the first-line therapy in both the REIN and REIN-2 studies.\textsuperscript{1–3,19} Addressing why the antiproteinuric and renoprotective effects of ACE inhibitor therapy in participants with high sodium intake were not restored by concomitant diuretic therapy is beyond the purposes of this study. A reasonable speculation is that sodium overload was not fully corrected by diuretic therapy. To note, sodium overload increases ACE activity in renal and vascular tissues, which enhances vascular conversion of AngI to AngII and blunts the effects of ACE inhibition in rats and humans with high sodium intake.\textsuperscript{29} Independent of BP control, enhanced intrarenal ACE activity has been associated with accelerated renal damage in several experimental models of chronic renal disease\textsuperscript{30} and might explain at least part of the excess proteinuria and renal risk associated with high sodium intake observed in this study.

**Figure 4.** In 500 patients with proteinuric chronic nephropathies taking ramipril therapy, the association between salt intake and risk of progression to ESRD is lost when analyses were adjusted for changes in proteinuria on follow-up. The three curves show the association between urinary sodium/creatinine excretion on a continuous scale and ESRD according to three Cox proportional hazards models: unadjusted (model 1), adjusted for baseline proteinuria (model 2), and adjusted for baseline proteinuria and for changes in proteinuria during follow-up (model 3).
cardiovascular studies to assess the risk of events associated with the consumption of certain foods or nutrients. This approach allowed us to reduce within-person data variability and more reliably quantify long-term sodium exposure. In this patient cohort, sodium intake was a relatively fixed trait and few patients appreciably changed their dietary sodium intake during follow-up. Normalizing urinary sodium excretion to concomitant creatinine excretion allowed us to account for erroneous urine collections, but also resulted in an excess of women and older patients in the HSD group that was likely explained by the reduced urinary creatinine excretion in these two populations. Because sodium and protein intake are often correlated, we also adjusted the Cox model for urinary urea excretion as a marker of dietary protein intake. Thus, the association between urinary sodium excretion and ESRD reflected a genuine predictive value of sodium intake unaffected by a confounding effect of concomitant protein intake.

**Strengths and Limitations**

In addition to the use of gold-standard measures to monitor salt intake, this study had two major strengths. First, our analyses considered a hard end point, such as ESRD. Second, the data were obtained from a large and homogenous population prospectively followed and treated according to standardized guidelines in the setting of controlled clinical studies. This enhanced the clinical relevance of the study findings and limited the confounding effect of random fluctuations due to heterogeneous patient characteristics and treatments. This enhanced the reliability of the analysis and the robustness of the findings, despite the relatively small number of patients and events. The findings were further strengthened by evidence that a similar association between sodium exposure and outcomes was observed when urinary sodium excretion was considered as a categorical or a continuous variable. Moreover, the finding that average sodium excretion in our study population was similar to that reported in other observational studies in renal patients or in general population samples enhanced the generalizability of the results. The major limitation of this study is that this was a post hoc analysis of trials originally designed for other purposes. Because of the observational nature of our study, a direct causal relationship between higher salt intake and worse outcome while taking ACE inhibitor therapy cannot be definitely proven. Such an association, however, was not appreciable in controls taking non-RAS inhibitor therapy. Independent of the above, the pathogenic role of excess sodium exposure could be definitely addressed by intervention trials prospectively testing the association of diets with different salt intake on renal disease progression.

Our present observational analysis suggests that in CKD patients receiving ACE inhibitor therapy, high sodium intake is associated with accelerated progression to ESRD, mediated by increased proteinuria but independent of underlying renal disease, BP control, and urea excretion, taken as a marker of dietary protein intake. Avoiding excess sodium exposure may be important to slow renal disease progression and limitations in salt intake are expected to achieve major clinical benefits in this population that will largely offset the small inconveniences of minimal dietary restrictions. Optimal salt intake to optimize renoprotection in the setting of a multimodal approach titrated to urinary proteins and other determinants of renal disease progression needs to be identified in the setting of prospective clinical trials.

**CONCISE METHODS**

**Patients**

Of the 177 patients with proteinuric CKD included between 1992 and 1995 in the REIN trial and randomized to ramipril therapy and the 335 patients included between 1999 and 2003 in the REIN-2 trial all treated with ramipril but not already included in the REIN trial, 500 (97.7%) had at least one measurement of 24-hour urinary sodium excretion and were considered in this analysis. Both trials included participants 18–70 years of age with CKD and persistent proteinuria (urinary protein excretion ≥1 g/24 h for at least 3 months without urinary tract infection or overt heart failure). Full study characteristics and inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed elsewhere. The primary outcome analyzed in both studies was the incidence of doubling of serum creatinine or ESRD. Patients from both studies were recommended a low-sodium diet and a daily protein intake of approximately 0.8 g/kg. No change to diet was introduced during the observation period. Thus, all 500 patients included in this study fulfilled the same selection criteria, had the same recommended diet, and were receiving stable ACE inhibitor therapy with ramipril at the same daily dose (5 mg). The control group was composed of 172 patients from the placebo arm of the REIN study who fulfilled the same selection criteria and had been managed according to the same treatment and monitoring guidelines, but had not received RAS inhibitor therapy. Patients in the REIN and REIN-2 trials provided written informed consent to participate, according to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines. The study protocols were approved by the ethics committee and institutional review board of each of the participating centers.

**Measurements**

The exposure of interest, daily sodium intake, was estimated by measuring 24-hour urinary sodium excretion. To correct for body size and possible collection errors, urinary sodium excretion was normalized to urinary creatinine excretion by calculating the sodium/creatinine ratio from 24-hour urine samples (sodium/creatinine ratio, mEq/g). Urinary urea and protein excretion were normalized to urinary creatinine excretion, as well. BP was measured at randomization and every 3 months thereafter. Creatinine clearance, 24-hour urinary protein, and sodium and urea excretion were measured at randomization, at 3 and 6 months after randomization, and every 6 months thereafter. Baseline data were taken when all participants had completed the 6-week wash-out period from previous ACE inhibitor therapy, that is, at randomization for patients from the REIN trial and at the inclusion visit for those from the REIN-2 trial. Thus, all baseline data were without ACE inhibition and all outcome data were with ramipril (5 mg/d) therapy.
Statistical Analyses
As described in previous similar studies,11,18 we identified patients with a LSD, MSD, or HSD based on urinary sodium/creatinine excretion averaged throughout the study: <100 mEq/g, between 100 mEq/g and 200 mEq/g, and >200 mEq/g (these cut-off levels of 100 mEq/g and 200 mEq/g approximated 125 and 250 mEq/d, equivalent to 7 and 14 g of salt/d, respectively). Consistency of sodium intake was assessed using the Stuart–Maxwell test. Differences in baseline characteristics were determined using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Differences in ESRD incidence rates were tested using the chi-squared test. Differences in short-term changes in proteinuria (percentage values6,10) and BP (absolute values) were tested with Wilcoxon rank-sum tests; subsequent changes were analyzed using a joint modeling approach incorporating survival outcomes40 to account for survivor bias. Antihypertensive co-medication was described; Fisher’s exact test and McNemar’s test were performed for comparisons among groups and time periods, respectively. Survival curves were drawn using the Kaplan–Meier method; the log-rank test was used to assess differences in survival among groups and Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to calculate hazard rates. Nonlinearity was tested by plotting the Martingale residuals.

To reduce within-person data variability and reliably quantify individual sodium exposure, sodium intake was also modeled continuously using time-dependent Cox models, with cumulative average of urinary sodium/creatinine excretion as the independent variable.35,41 The hazard ratio for ESRD was determined per 100 mEq/g increase in sodium/creatinine ratio. Potential confounders included in the Cox models were sex, age, baseline mean arterial BP, use of antihypertensive co-medication at baseline, 24-hour urinary urea excretion during follow-up, creatinine clearance at baseline, and log-transformed 24-hour proteinuria at baseline. For exploratory purposes, we adjusted for changes in mean BP and antihypertensive co-medication during follow-up, and log-transformed 24-hour proteinuria during follow-up in separate Cox models. Correlations between urinary sodium excretion and proteinuria or BP at baseline and during follow-up were analyzed using linear regression; at least two measurements per patient were required. Urinary sodium and urea excretion at the last visit were not considered to avoid an undesirable alteration for sequelae,35 related to an anorectic decrease in nutritional intake just before the start of dialysis in patients progressing to ESRD.42 All analyses were also performed using nonnormalized sodium excretion as an independent variable and the two sodium metrics were compared through Bayesian information criteria.43

All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 2.5.1). All data are presented as mean ± SD unless indicated otherwise. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
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