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The vesicle-inducing protein in plastids 1 (Vipp1) was found to be involved in thylakoid membrane formation in chloroplasts and cyanobacteria. In contrast to chloroplasts, it has been suggested that in cyanobacteria the protein is only tightly associated with the cytoplasmic membrane. In the present study we analyze and describe the subcellular localization and the oligomeric organization of Vipp1 from the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. Vipp1 forms stable dimers and higher-ordered oligomers in the cytoplasm as well as at both the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane. Vipp1 oligomers are organized in ring structures with a variable diameter of 25–33 nm and corresponding calculated molecular masses of ~1.6–2.2 MDa. Six different types of rings were found with an unusual 12–17-fold symmetrical conformation. The simultaneous existence of multiple types of rings is very unusual and suggests a special function of Vipp1. Involvement of diverse ring structures in vesicle formation is suggested.

INTRODUCTION

Structural and functional studies of the thylakoid (photosynthetic) membranes of both cyanobacteria and chloroplasts revealed many of their exclusive features. However, the biogenesis and formation of thylakoid membranes is incompletely understood today. Chloroplast thylakoid membranes evolve from vesicles originating from the chloroplast inner envelope membrane, and the formation of thylakoids results in the generation of a completely separate internal membrane system (Voitknecht and Westhoff, 2001). In contrast, for cyanobacteria it has been a long-standing debate if the internal thylakoid membrane system is physically separated or if it remains connected to the cytoplasmic membrane. Several recent structural studies have, however, indicated that thylakoids are also separated membrane entities in cyanobacteria (Liberton et al., 2006; van de Meene et al., 2006; Nevo et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2007).

For biogenesis of thylakoid membranes many cellular processes, like lipid biosynthesis, membrane formation, protein synthesis in the cytoplasm and/or at a membrane, protein transport, protein translocation, and protein folding have to be organized, chronologically aligned, and controlled. Although for many years genes and proteins specifically involved in formation and biogenesis of thylakoid membranes remained unidentified, in 2001 a protein was described that could be involved in thylakoid membrane biogenesis in plant chloroplasts and cyanobacteria (Kroll et al., 2001; Westphal et al., 2001). The observation that deletion of the open reading frame hcf155 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* resulted in a complete absence of thylakoid membranes indicated that the encoded protein is involved in thylakoid membrane biogenesis. Further analysis has suggested that the protein might be involved in vesicle trafficking between the inner envelope and thylakoid membranes of chloroplasts (Kroll et al., 2001). Consequently, the protein was named vesicle-inducing protein in plastids 1 (Vipp1). Depletion of Vipp1 in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 strongly affected the ability of cyanobacterial cells to form thylakoid membranes (Westphal et al., 2001) and consequently, also in cyanobacteria Vipp1 appears to be involved in thylakoid membrane formation. A Vipp1 depletion strain of *A. thaliana* is deficient in photosynthesis, and the defects appeared to be caused by dysfunction of the entire photosynthetic electron transfer chain (Aseeva et al., 2007). On depletion of Vipp1 in cells of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 a decrease in paired thylakoid membranes has been observed together with a significant reduction of active trimeric photosystem 1 centers (Fuhrmann et al., 2009). Although chloroplast Vipp1 was found to be localized in both the thylakoid and inner envelope membrane (Li et al., 1994; Kroll et al., 2001), the cyanobacterial protein has been suggested to be exclusively bound to the cytoplasmic membrane (Westphal et al., 2001).

Vipp1 shows a high degree of sequence similarity to the phage shock protein A (PspA) from *Escherichia coli* and other
Vipp1 Structure

Synecochocystis PCC 6803 cells were grown and harvested as described above. A cell pellet obtained from a 10-mL cell suspension was washed three times with EM buffer (50 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$/NaHPO$_4$, pH 7.0). The ultrastructural and immunocytochemical (immunogold) investigations were described as described previously (Gathmann et al., 2008).

On purification Vipp1 was dialyzed against 0 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, 75 mM NaSCN, and 50 mM NaCl. Samples of purified Vipp1 were negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate (UA) or 4% methylamine tungstate (MT) on glow-discharged carbon-coated copper grids. Images were recorded with a Gatan 4K slow-scan charge-coupled device camera (Pleasanton, CA) on a Philips CM12 electron microscope (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) equipped with a LaB$_6$ tip operated at 120 kV, using Grace software for semiautomated specimen selection and data acquisition (Oostergetel et al., 1998). The final magnification was $>80,000$, with a pixel size (after binning the images) of $3.75$ Å at the specimen level.

About 1400 electron micrographs were recorded from a specimen stained with MT. In total 13,943 single-particle projections representing top views were selected and extracted from 1402 electron micrographs, recorded from an MT-stained specimen, by a reference-based automated particle selection procedure incorporated in GRIP (Groningen Image Processing) from these micrographs. From the UA-stained specimen, 600 electron micrographs were hand selected, and 625 single particles of side views were selected and extracted by hand.

Single-particle analysis was performed with the GRIP software package on a PC. The single-particle projections (144 × 144-pixel frame for the top views and 160 × 160-pixel frame for the double-side ring views) were subjected to multireference alignment and reference-free alignment procedure, multivariate statistical analysis, and hierarchical ascendant classification (van Heel et al., 2000). Finally, two-dimensional projection maps of Vipp1 top views were calculated from the best resolved classes, which represented $15\%$ of the whole data. For the final two-dimensional projection maps of the Vipp1 side views, all (80% of the) selected single particles were used to calculate averages from the best resolved classes.

Circular Dichroism Measurements

The secondary structure of Vipp1 was determined by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. CD spectra were measured with an Jasco J-810 CD spectrometer (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) in the 190–350-nm range every 1 nm at a scan speed of 200 nm min$^{-1}$ in a cuvette with a 1-mm path length at a protein concentration of 0.125 mg/ml in 10 mM Na phosphate buffer (pH 7.5). The bandwidth was set to 1 nm.

**GALLEX Analyses of the In Vivo Oligomerization in E. coli**

The GALLEX system was used to determine homotypic interactions of Vipp1 in E. coli. Therefore, the *vipp1* gene was amplified by PCR from genomic *Synechocystis* DNA using the following primers: vipp3'-GALLEX: 5'-tggctggctgagagattttgctggagtggttgagttgaggagtctggactg-3' and vipp5'-5'-tattggcatggattttgcggaggatatgcggtgg-3', and the resulting vipp1 fragment was subsequently ligated to the plasmid pLexA (Schneider and Engelman, 2003) after restriction digestion of plasmid plLexA (Schneider and Engelman, 2003) after restriction digestion of pSU101 cells (Dmitrova et al., 1998) were transformed with the resulting plasmid pLexA-SynVipp1 as well as with the control plasmids. Growth of the cells and GALLEX measurements were done exactly as described in detail in Schneider and Engelman (2003).
RESULTS
Subcellular Localization of Vipp1 in Synechocystis
Vipp1 was found to bind to the inner envelope as well as to thylakoid membranes in chloroplasts from *Pisum sativum* and *A. thaliana* (Li et al., 1994; Kroll et al., 2001), whereas it has been proposed that in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 Vipp1 is localized exclusively at the cytoplasmic membrane (Westphal et al., 2001). Because chloroplasts and cyanobacteria share a common ancestor this different localization appeared surprising, thus we have revisited the subcellular localization of Vipp1 in *Synechocystis*. To visualize the subcellular localization of Vipp1 in *Synechocystis* cells, we have used EM together with immunogold labeling. As can be seen in Figure 1A the preimmune serum did not cross-react with any protein from *Synechocystis*, whereas the raised anti-Vipp1 serum showed a clear cross-reactivity with a single protein. Furthermore, when ultrathin sections of *Synechocystis* cells were also probed with the preimmune serum no gold particle was found on the EM micrographs (Figure 1B). Taken together, these control experiments clearly show that neither the preimmune serum nor the secondary antibodies cross-react unspecifically with any *Synechocystis* protein different from Vipp1. On the other hand, when ultrathin sections of *Synechocystis* cells were probed with the antibody directed against Vipp1 from *Synechocystis*, the protein was localized at different places within the entire *Synechocystis* cell (Figure 1C). Although most gold particles were found close to membranes, they were not exclusively localized in close proximity to the cytoplasmic membrane but also were close to thylakoid membranes within the cells, which is in good agreement with the described Vipp1 localization in *Pisum* and *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts. About two-third of all gold particles were found in close proximity to membranes, whereas one-third of the gold particles appeared to indicate a localization of (soluble) Vipp1 in the cytoplasm (n = 80). To further test if Vipp1 is exclusively membrane bound in *Synechocystis*, we have examined total cellular *Synechocystis* extract as well as isolated membranes and soluble *Synechocystis* proteins by Western blot analysis. As can be seen in Figure 1D, Vipp1 is mainly associated with membranes but also found in the soluble protein fraction, which indicates a dynamic equilibrium between membrane-bound and soluble Vipp1 in *Synechocystis*. However, in line with earlier reports the majority of Vipp1 was found to be membrane associated. In the soluble protein fraction a Vipp1 double band was detected by Western analyses, the exact nature of which is elusive. However, a Vipp1 double band has been described in most studies with Vipp1 so far (Kroll et al., 2001; Aseeva et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005), and this band most likely represents a proteolytic fragment of the full-length Vipp1. To further exclude that the observed fraction of soluble Vipp1 was not simply caused by the release of Vipp1 from membranes during isolation, we further analyzed *Synechocystis* cell growth under light-activated photoheterotrophic growth conditions, where growth does not depend on photosynthesis but solely on glucose (Anderson and McIntosh, 1991). Under these growth conditions *Synechocystis* cells contain only very few internal thylakoid membranes (Figure 1E). When ultrathin sections of *Synechocystis* cells grown under such conditions are analyzed with the anti-Vipp1 antibody (Figure 1E), we found a large fraction of Vipp1 not associated with any internal membranes, which further supports the above-mentioned observations with photoautotrophically grown cells.

Taken together, we conclude that, although a large fraction of Vipp1 is bound to membranes, the protein also exists in a soluble form which indicates a dynamic equilibrium between membrane-bound and soluble Vipp1. The observed fraction of soluble Vipp1 was not simply caused by the release of Vipp1 from membranes during isolation, as demonstrated by the above-mentioned control experiments using the preimmune serum. To further test if Vipp1 is exclusively membrane bound in *Synechocystis*, we have examined total cellular *Synechocystis* extract as well as isolated membranes and soluble *Synechocystis* proteins by Western blot analysis. As can be seen in Figure 1D, Vipp1 is mainly associated with membranes but also found in the soluble protein fraction, which indicates a dynamic equilibrium between membrane-bound and soluble Vipp1 in *Synechocystis*. However, in line with earlier reports the majority of Vipp1 was found to be membrane associated. In the soluble protein fraction a Vipp1 double band was detected by Western analyses, the exact nature of which is elusive. However, a Vipp1 double band has been described in most studies with Vipp1 so far (Kroll et al., 2001; Aseeva et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005), and this band most likely represents a proteolytic fragment of the full-length Vipp1. To further exclude that the observed fraction of soluble Vipp1 was not simply caused by the release of Vipp1 from membranes during isolation, we further analyzed *Synechocystis* cell growth under light-activated photoheterotrophic growth conditions, where growth does not depend on photosynthesis but solely on glucose (Anderson and McIntosh, 1991). Under these growth conditions *Synechocystis* cells contain only very few internal thylakoid membranes (Figure 1E). When ultrathin sections of *Synechocystis* cells grown under such conditions are analyzed with the anti-Vipp1 antibody (Figure 1E), we found a large fraction of Vipp1 not associated with any internal membranes, which further supports the above-mentioned observations with photoautotrophically grown cells.

Taken together, we conclude that, although a large fraction of Vipp1 is bound to membranes, the protein also exists in a soluble form which indicates a dynamic equilibrium between membrane-bound and soluble Vipp1. The observed fraction of soluble Vipp1 was not simply caused by the release of Vipp1 from membranes during isolation, as demonstrated by the above-mentioned control experiments using the preimmune serum.
Oligomerization of Vipp1 in Synechocystis

To analyze the oligomeric organization of Vipp1 from Synechocystis in more detail, we have separated soluble as well as membrane-bound and/or associated protein complexes and subsequently performed BN-PAGE and Western blot analyses. As can be seen in Figure 2, after separation of membrane-associated proteins and protein complexes a Vipp1 species with an apparent molecular mass of ~66 kDa was detected as well as a higher-ordered Vipp1 oligomer, which hardly entered the BN gel. These apparent molecular masses correspond to a Vipp1 dimer and a large Vipp1 oligomer with a high molecular mass (>1 MDa), although the exact mass cannot be resolved by BN-PAGE analysis. Interestingly, when soluble proteins from Synechocystis were analyzed by BN-PAGE, only the dimeric Vipp1 population was detected. Because this might indicate that the large Vipp1 oligomers form exclusively at membranes, we further analyzed the oligomeric state of Vipp1 by size exclusion chromatography (SEC). This milder technique more likely preserves oligomeric structures. In line with the BN-PAGE analysis a Vipp1 population with a molecular mass of ~66 kDa (dimer) as well as a large Vipp1 oligomer with masses ranging from 1.6 MDa to ~2 MDa were identified (Figure 3).

Vipp1 Structure

Figure 3. Analysis of soluble and membrane-bound proteins from Synechocystis by SEC. Membrane proteins were solubilized with 1.5% DDM and subsequently separated on a Biosept 54000 SEC column (Phenomenex). Identical volumes of individual SEC protein fractions were applied to a 12% SDS gel, and proteins were subsequently analyzed by Western blot analyses. In both the soluble and membrane-bound protein fraction Vipp1 was found as a dimer as well as an oligomer with a molecular mass of ~2 MDa.

In contrast to the BN-PAGE analysis, the higher-ordered Vipp1 oligomers were found in both the soluble as well as in the membrane protein fraction. These data indicate that Vipp1 forms higher-ordered oligomers in Synechocystis, and there may exist a dynamic equilibrium between monomers, dimers, and higher-ordered oligomers.

However, because the presented in vitro analyses were performed with protein fractions isolated from Synechocystis cells, the question arose if Vipp1 also forms oligomers within living cells. To test the oligomerization propensity of Vipp1 in a growing cell culture, we have used the GALLEX system (Schneider and Engelman, 2003). In this system a protein of interest is fused to the DNA-binding domain of the E. coli LexA protein. Oligomerization of a protein brings (at least) two LexA DNA-binding domains together, and only a dimeric LexA DNA-binding domain can properly bind to a promoter/operator region in the chromosome of an E. coli reporter strain. DNA binding subsequently represses the activity of a reporter gene expressing the β-galactosidase, and, thus, interaction of proteins can be followed by measuring the activity of the reporter. This LexA-based system has initially been used with soluble proteins (Dmitrova et al., 1998; Daines and Silver, 2000) but has subsequently been modified for membrane localized proteins (Schneider and Engelman, 2004a; Prodo¨hl et al., 2005, 2007). To evaluate the determined Vipp1 oligomerization propensities properly, we have also analyzed interaction of the transmembrane helix of the human glycophorin A (GpA) wild-type protein as well as of a mutated GpA helix carrying the G83I substitution, which significantly lowers the interaction propensity of the helix (Schneider and Engelman, 2003; Finger et al., 2006).

The results of the GALLEX measurements are shown in Figure 4. Based on these results, Vipp1 forms stable oligomers in a living cell, and compared with GpA, which forms a stable helix dimer, Vipp1 has a rather strong oligomerization propensity. For all expressed chimeric proteins we found similar expression levels when tested with an anti-LexA antibody. It has to be noted at this stage, however, that although the GALLEX assay allows a meaningful description of a proteins general oligomerization propensity the measurement does not allow drawing any conclusion about the exact oligomeric state of the protein.

Taken together the above presented results strongly suggest that the Synechocystis Vipp1 forms stable oligomers with a mass of ~2 MDa that exist in vivo as nonbound and membrane-bound complexes.

Heterologous Expression of Vipp1

The above described measurements of Vipp1 oligomerization in E. coli cells have indicated that Vipp1 can be expressed as a stable oligomer in E. coli. Thus, to purify large amounts of the protein for further structural studies, we heterologously expressed the Synechocystis Vipp1 in E. coli cells. As can be seen in Figure 5, after expression of Vipp1 the protein was found to be mainly associated with membranes. Furthermore, as in Synechocystis a small pool of soluble Vipp1 was identified. To subsequently analyze these oligomeric structures in more detail, we have purified the protein from E. coli cell extracts (membranes and supernatant) without using any detergent or denaturant, because it has been suggested that the use of detergents can modify the...
Vipp1 structure, resulting in nonnative structural alterations (Standar et al., 2008). On purification we have analyzed the secondary structure of Vipp1 by CD spectroscopy. Computational analysis of the Vipp1 amino acid sequence with the program Jpred3 (Cole et al., 2008) predicts that 80% of the protein is α-helical, and many regions are predicted to have a high propensity to form coiled-coil structures (Figure 6A). The CD spectra of the purified Synechocystis Vipp1 is shown in Figure 6B and deconvolution of the spectra with the program CDSSTR (Compton and Johnson, 1986; Manavalan and Johnson, 1987; Sreerama and Woody, 2000; Whitmore and Wallace, 2004, 2008) predicts that 83% of Vipp1 is α-helical, which is in very good agreement with the computational prediction.

Interestingly, the ratio of the molar ellipticity at 222 nm to the molar ellipticity at 208 nm was found to be >1, which is indicative for formation of higher-ordered coiled coil structures (Monera et al., 1993). Analyses of purified Vipp1 by BN-PAGE in combination with SEC (Figure 7) showed the same organization of Vipp1 into dimers and higher-ordered oligomers as has been observed before in Synechocystis cells (Figures 2 and 3).

Oligomeric Structure of Vipp1

To further investigate the structure of the Vipp1 oligomers, we have characterized the purified protein fraction by single particle EM analysis. As can be seen in Figure 8, Vipp1 forms large ring structures with different diameters between 25 and 33 nm. The Vipp1 ring structures are composed of distinct units each with a small protein density at the inside and a notable “spike” protruding from the outside (Figure 8A). The presence of the spikes facilitates an accurate determination of the rotational symmetry of the various types of rings. Interestingly, Vipp1 was found to form (at least) six different types of rings with 12–17-fold rotational symmetry (Figure 8, B and C).

Occasionally, two Vipp1 rings become stacked. These double rings were only seen in side-view position (Figure 9D–H). The stacked double rings have a slight variation in diameter, which is between 29 and 34 nm (Figure 9, D–H, horizontal direction). This can be attributed to the above described variation in ring sizes. As expected, the height of the single rings (22 nm, half of the vertical direction) is uniform.

From its shape and dimensions, the mass of a protein complex can be roughly estimated. The 17-fold symmetrical ring has a volume of ~25,000 nm³, compatible with a mass of 1.5–2.5 MDa. A mass of ~2 MDa was also actually measured. Because the smallest building block of each ring is the Vipp1 monomer with a molecular mass of ~32 kDa and because the ring structures most likely consist of Vipp1 tetramers, rings with 12–17 tetrameric units would result in predicted masses of ~1500–2200 kDa. To further assess the nature of the Vipp1 building units shaping the various Vipp1 rings, we have analyzed Vipp1 oligomers by BN-PAGE after mild SDS denaturation of the Vipp1 rings. Addition of gradually increasing concentrations of SDS to Vipp1 dissolved in 5 mM DDM resulted in the formation of mixed DDM/SDS micelles and gradual disassembly of the Vipp1 oligomers.

At SDS concentrations above 4 mM the large oligomer disappears, whereas dimeric and tetrameric Vipp1 species appear, as well as a small fraction of octameric Vipp1 (Figure 10). At increasing SDS concentrations the higher-ordered oligomers disassemble completely, and only the dimeric
Vipp1 remains stable. Thus, in line with the above presented observations Vipp1 forms stable dimers in solution. Therefore, we propose that the repeating unit for a Vipp1 ring is a dimer of Vipp1 dimers. This implies, e.g., for the ring with N = 12 spikes that 24 Vipp1 dimers are involved in formation of the oligomer, with each spike representing a dimer. However, because the spikes facing inside the protein have an electron density different that of the spikes facing to the outside, we suggest that the Vipp1 oligomer is built up by an alternating interaction of Vipp1 dimers, potentially in an antiparallel manner. The side views of the Vipp1 rings indicate that there is no clear separation within distinct domains in the vertical direction (Figure 9, D–H). Because the rings have a height of ~22 nm, this means that more than half of the Vipp1 monomer must exist in an extended α-helical conformation, spanning the entire height of the complex, which is 22 nm.

DISCUSSION

Subcellular Localization of Vipp1

In the present study we have analyzed the subcellular localization and structural organization of Vipp1 from the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. For Vipp1 from A. thaliana, Pisum sativum, and Synechocystis, it has been suggested that the proteins are exclusively membrane bound (Li et al., 1994; Kroll et al., 2001; Westphal et al., 2001). Although for the chloroplast Vipp1 a dual localization at both the inner envelope membrane as well as at the thylakoid membrane has been described (Kroll et al., 2001), for Synechocystis it has been suggested that the protein binds exclusively to the cytoplasmic membrane and not to thylakoids (Westphal et al., 2001). This observation was somewhat unexpected because of the common evolutionary origin of cyanobacteria and chloroplasts and of the proposed function of the protein. If Vipp1 is involved in a vesicular transfer system between the inner membrane systems in chloroplasts and cyanobacteria, one would expect to find the protein localized at both inner membranes as in chloroplasts. In line with the described subcellular localization in chloroplasts the immunogold analysis presented in Figure 1 strongly suggest that cyanobacterial Vipp1 is also attached to both the cytoplasmic and the thylakoid membrane. Thus, in cyanobacteria the protein could be involved in an interlinked communication between the two internal membrane systems as well. However, in contrast to earlier observation a fraction of soluble, non-bound Vipp1 was also identified in Synechocystis. Although the majority of Vipp1 appears to be tightly attached to membranes in Synechocystis, a soluble protein population is also present, and, as the membrane-bound fraction, soluble Vipp1 also forms higher-ordered oligomers. This observation is in excellent agreement with observations described earlier for PspA from E. coli. In E. coli PspA exists in a soluble and in a membrane-bound form (Brissette et al., 1990), and a dynamic equilibrium between these two stages is suggested to trigger the activity of the protein (Elderkin et al., 2002; Hankamer et al., 2004). However, it is also possible that cytoplasmic oligomerization of Vipp1 is just a maturation step before membrane binding or even a prerequisite for this.

Structural Organization of Vipp1

Analysis of soluble as well as membrane-bound proteins from Synechocystis by BN-PAGE indicates that in Synechocystis Vipp1 exists as a stable dimer as well as oligomers with a molecular mass of ~31 MDa. Thus, the protein appears to form larger oligomers than its homologue PspA from E. coli. Interestingly, our BN-PAGE analyses indicated that the oligomers were present only in the membrane protein fraction and not in the soluble protein fraction, which indicates that the membrane-bound oligomer is more stable than the soluble one. To further characterize the oligomeric organization of the Synechocystis Vipp1, we have subsequently analyzed in addition to the observed ring structures, a few string-like open ring structures were found (Figure 9, A–C), and, in addition, a very small part of the entire population of Vipp1 rings was found to form longer rods (Figure 9D).
the protein organization by SEC, and dimeric Vipp1 as well as a Vipp1 population with a significantly higher molecular mass was identified in the soluble as well as in the membrane-bound protein fractions from *Synechocystis*. The molecular mass of the larger oligomer was estimated to be \( \approx 2 \) MDa. Formation of rod-like structures with molecular masses \( \geq 2 \) MDa, as described in Liu *et al.* (2007), was not observed, and, thus, the described results strongly suggest that—at least the *Synechocystis*—Vipp1 does not form larger oligomeric assemblies.

After expression of the *Synechocystis* Vipp1 in *E. coli* the monomeric protein strongly interacts and forms higher-ordered oligomers (Figures 5 and 7). Analysis of the purified protein by SEC demonstrated that also the isolated protein forms large oligomeric structures with a molecular mass of \( \approx 2 \) MDa, as observed in *Synechocystis* before. Because an impact of detergent on the structural organization of PspA from *E. coli* has been emphasized (Standar *et al.*, 2008), it has to be mentioned that the protein was purified without any detergent. Because the protein can be well purified and, thus, effectively washed off the membranes by this method, this observation may indicate that Vipp1 is not very tightly bound to the internal membranes, at least not in *E. coli*. This might be caused by the different lipid composition of the *E. coli* membranes compared with the *Synechocystis* membranes. However, as also the homologous protein PspA from *E. coli* was purified by a similar method after homologous expression this protein appears also not to bind tightly to membranes (Standar *et al.*, 2008). Weak membrane
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**Figure 8.** Single-particle image analysis and classification of a set of 15,000 Vipp1 rings. (A) A gallery of single-particle projections in top-view position. (B) Class-sums of nonsymmetrized projection maps of rings with different rotational symmetry. On average, for each projection map \( \approx 200-300 \) projections were summed. (C) Same rings corresponding to the frames of B, with imposed rotational symmetries. Space bar, 10 nm.
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**Figure 9.** EM nonfrequent types of Vipp1 structures. (A–C) open rings formed by Vipp1. (D–H) Classification of sandwiched Vipp1 rings in side-view position. For each class-sum \( \approx 50-100 \) projections were summed. (I) Overview of rarely occurring rod-like association of Vipp1 rings. In the middle of the frame some individual rings are visible. Space bar for all frames, 50 nm.
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**Figure 10.** Gradual disassembly of the \( \approx 2 \) MDa Vipp1 oligomer. Vipp1 was dissolved in buffer in the presence of 5 mM DDM, and the indicated amounts of SDS were added to form mixed micelles. After incubation at room temperature for 10 min, protein complexes were separated on a 4.5–16% BN polyacrylamide gel, and the gel was subsequently silver-stained. The positions of the Vipp1 dimer (D), the tetramer (T), and of higher organized oligomers (O) are indicated.
attachment could thus be a common feature of PspA/Vipp1 proteins.

EM shows that Vipp1 monomers can form various higher-ordered structures, and the BN-PAGE analysis shown in Figure 10 suggests that these structures are build up by accumulation of multiple dimeric Vipp1 species. Numerous Vipp1 proteins laterally associate to form small strings (Figure 9, A–C), which eventually close to form Vipp1 rings. The strings shown in Figure 9, A–C, could either represent assembly intermediates or an early step during Vipp1 ring degradation. In either case the strings clearly indicate an organization of Vipp1 by lateral accumulation of multiple monomers. Occasionally, two Vipp1 rings can further interact to form a double-ring structure (Figure 9, D–H). It is appealing to speculate that both Vipp1 rings interact with a rather hydrophobic surface, which is otherwise involved in membrane binding. Single-particle EM analysis of purified Vipp1 showed formation of various homooligomeric Vipp1 ring structures with different diameters. Actually, Vipp1 is to our knowledge rather special to make such a variety of rings, which means that there must be a special function linked to it, as will be discussed below. A similar diversity of ring structure with different compositions of the building units has—to our knowledge—only been described so far for the IsiA complex from Synechocystis (Boekema et al., 2001; Kouril et al., 2003, 2005; Yeremenko et al., 2004).

It is also interesting to compare the Vipp1 rings with those of the structural and functional homologous PspA protein. The Vipp1 rings have a 12–17-fold symmetry and a diameter of 25–33 nm, whereas the PspA rings are uniform with a ninefold symmetrical configuration (Hankamer et al., 2004). If we extrapolate to a hypothetical Vipp1 ring with ninefold symmetry, this ring would have a diameter of 22 nm. This is close to the actual PspA ring diameter of 20 nm, if we take into account that the latter ring has a rather smooth surface because it lacks spikes like Vipp1 has. The PspA rings were considered to be composed of tetrameric building blocks to form uniform rings with a molecular mass of ~1 MDa (Hankamer et al., 2004). This equals the size of half of the Vipp1 rings, which can be explained by the fact that the ring height is only 8.5 nm. This difference in the height of the PspA rings versus the Vipp1 rings indicates that packing of the PspA and Vipp1 monomers in the two rings must be different. Although Vipp1 is C-terminally prolonged when compared with PspA, oligomerization of the Vipp1 monomers depends only on the PspA-like N-terminal domains (Aseeva et al., 2004). Thus, the C-terminal extension of Vipp1 is most likely not directly involved in formation of the ring structures. Because of this, the N-terminal core domain of PspA and Vipp1 must pack differently. Although only ~25% of the PspA amino acid residues must form an extended α-helix to span the height of the PspA ring (8 nm), ~50% of Vipp1 has to form an extended α-helix to span 22 nm. For both proteins a domain of ~140 amino acids of the N-terminal region is predicted to form an α-helix with a high propensity for coiled-coil formation. For Vipp1 this predicted α-helical domain of 140 amino acids would have the right length to account for the determined height of the rings of 22 nm, if present as one giant extended α-helix. In the case of PspA this helix is most likely folded as a hairpin and may form an α-helix bundle that would result in an increase of the PspA ring width. Different structural organization of PspA and Vipp1 might also indicate small differences in the respective protein functions, which would, e.g., explain why Synechocystis contains PspA as well as Vipp1.

It has to be noted that we did not observe cage-like structures as described before for the PspA from E. coli. Because for the preparation of the Synechocystis Vipp1 no detergent was used the suggested major impact of detergent on the PspA structure (ring vs. cage structures) should be revisited.

The observed diverse ring structures of Vipp1 could be involved in vesicle formation in cyanobacteria and chloroplasts. It is intriguing to speculate that Vipp1 assembled into a ring around the neck of a forming budding vesicle, similar to dynamin in eukaryotic cells.

Stepwise release of individual Vipp1 units results in formation of rings with smaller diameters, which would bring the membranes into a close contact, resulting in membrane fusion and pitching off of a vesicle. If Vipp1 performs such a dynamin-like function, the protein will most likely recruit other proteins that are, for example, involved in initial membrane bending and vesicle fission. Proteins involved in regulating the controlled Vipp1 (dis)assembly could involve Hsp70 chaperones and co-chaperones, as discussed recently (Liu et al., 2007).

Taken together, we show here that Synechocystis Vipp1 forms various oligomeric rings with different monomer stoichiometries. Although some of these rings exist in the cytoplasm of Synechocystis in a soluble form, most Vipp1 oligomers are tightly attached to both the thylakoid and the cytoplasmic membrane of the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Formation of promiscuous Vipp1 ring structures could play a critical role in vesicle formation in cyanobacteria and chloroplasts.
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