Imaging scatterometry and microspectrophotometry of lycaenid butterfly wing scales with perforated multilayers
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We studied the structural as well as spatial and spectral reflectance characteristics of the wing scales of lycaenid butterfly species, where the scale bodies consist of perforated multilayers. The extent of the spatial scattering profiles was measured with a newly built scatterometer. The width of the reflectance spectra, measured with a microspectrophotometer, decreased with the degree of perforation, in agreement with the calculations based on multilayer theory.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Butterflies derive their attractive coloration from the patterning of scales on the wings. The scattering of incident light by the scale structures as well as the wavelength-selective absorption by pigments determines the colour of the scales. The light scattering is usually incoherent when the scattering structures are irregularly organized, but the scattering becomes coherent when there are ordered scale elements, thus giving rise to often striking iridescent colours (Mason 1926, 1927; Ghiradella 1989; Nijhout 1991; Vukusic & Sambles 2003). A particularly interesting butterfly family in this respect is the Lycaenidae, where the male wings generally feature bright structural reflections. The subfamily Polyommatinae have thus obtained their common name, the Blues. Also the males of many species belonging to the Theclinae, the Hairstreaks, have blue wings, but other species display green or silvery iridescences. The Lycaenidae, the Coppers, commonly have a reddish pigmentsary coloration, but also some males have blue or violet iridescent wings (e.g. the Violet Copper, Lycaena helle), or may even display a metallic orange shine (e.g. the Scarce Copper, Lycaena virgaurea; Vigneron et al. 2005).

Butterfly wing scales are leaf-like, long, flattened sacs of dried cuticle. Their lower lamina side (adwing) is often smooth and has no prominent microstructure, while the upper (abwing) lamina is marked by longitudinal ridges, connected by cross-ribs. The cross-ribs are joined to the lower lamina by trabeculae (Ghiradella 1989, 1998). In the body of the structurally coloured wing scales of Lycaenidae, in between both laminae, elaborate structures exist that cause the iridescence.

Extensive anatomical studies of lycaenid wing scales have revealed three different spatial organizations that give rise to structural colours (Tilley & Eliot 2002). In the first type, the so-called Morpho, each ridge is elaborated into lamelae, which together act as a multilayered reflector. In the second type, Urania, multilayers exist in the scale body (Mason 1927). These multilayers often have quite prominent perforations, characteristic of the species (Schmidt & Paulus 1970; Ghiradella 1989; Biro et al. 2007; Ingram & Parker 2008). In a third scale type, the scale body has more complicated three-dimensional architectures (Morris 1975; Ghiradella 1989; Kertész et al. 2006; Biró et al. 2007). For the Green Hairstreak, Callophrys rubi, the three-dimensional structures have recently been concluded to be gyroids (Michielsen & Stavenga 2008). The different scale structures appear to be modifications or elaborations of simpler structures common to all scales (Ghiradella 1989).

A central question is of course which optical mechanisms determine the scales’ spatial and spectral scattering properties, and thus the wing colour. We therefore have performed a combined study, applying scanning electron microscopy (SEM), imaging scatterometry (ISM) and microspectrophotometry (MSP), on a number of lycaenids with scales having perforated multilayers. Calculations using classical multilayer theory suggest that the function of the perforations is to decrease the bandwidth of the reflectance spectra.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Butterflies

The investigated scales were from lycaenid butterflies obtained from Dr K. Arikawa (Sokendai, Hayama, Japan), Dr M. F. Brady (Palmerston, Australia),
Dr I. Osipov (Jamison, PA), or locally captured. We studied only structurally coloured lycaenids. Mounted specimens of the studied species were photographed in the butterfly collection of Naturalis, the National Museum of Natural History (Leiden, The Netherlands). Of the subfamily Polyommatinae, we investigated *Celastrina argiolus* (Holly Blue), *Danis danis* (Large Green-Banded Blue), *Plebejus icarioides* (Boisdulval’s Blue) and *Polyommatus icarus* (Common Blue), and of the subfamily Theclinae we studied *Arhopala amantes* (Large Oak Blue), *Arhopala japonica* (Japanese Blue), *Chrysozephyrus aurorinus*, *Chrysozephyrus brillantinus*, *Hypochrysops delicia* (Moonlight Jewel), *Jalmenus evagoras* (Common Imperial Blue) and *Ogyris amarillis* (Satin Azure) (table 1).

### 2.2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The structurally coloured scales were investigated by SEM, using a Philips XL-30S and XL-30 ESEM. The structurally coloured scales were investigated by SEM, using a Philips XL-30S and XL-30 ESEM. The scattering profile of the single scale was then investigated with a newly developed ISM, which was designed as follows (figure 1). A pinhole illuminated by a xenon lamp creates a narrow aperture beam (approx. 5°), which is focused via an axial hole in an ellipsoidal mirror on an object positioned in the first focal point of the ellipsoidal mirror (M). The scale position is monitored by imaging the scale with lenses L1 and L2 on a camera (C1). The ellipsoid mirror images the scale in the plane of the second focal point (F2), which coincides with the front focal point of lens L1. The scale’s far-field scattering diagram thus is projected in the back focal plane (I) of lens L1, which is subsequently imaged by lens L2 at a second camera (C2). A spatial filter in plane I, together with a diaphragm in the plane of F2, suppresses the light transmitted by the scale. The relationship between the scattering angle ϑ and the distance r in plane I is nonlinear, and therefore the image is corrected for this nonlinearity to obtain a polar scattering diagram.

### 2.3. Imaging scatterometry (ISM)

Single scales were prepared by gently pressing the butterfly wings to a microscope glass slide, which resulted in an assembly of isolated scales, electrostatically attached to the glass. Subsequently, a scale was collected from the slide with the tip of a glass micropipette, produced with an electrode puller, to which a layer of glue was administered (see Giraldo et al. 2008). The scattering profile of the single scale was then investigated with a newly developed ISM, which was designed as follows (figure 1). A pinhole illuminated by a xenon lamp creates a narrow aperture beam.

---

Table 1. Distances of the wing scale ridges, $d_r$, and cross-ribs, $d_c$, and the perforation factor, $p$, of the investigated lycaenid wing scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$d_r$ (µm)</th>
<th>$d_c$ (µm)</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyommatinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Celastrina argiolus</em></td>
<td>1.8–2.1</td>
<td>0.6–0.9</td>
<td>0.28±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Danis danis</em></td>
<td>1.8–2.5</td>
<td>0.9–1.8</td>
<td>0.24±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plebejus icarioides</em></td>
<td>1.5–1.8</td>
<td>0.8–1.9</td>
<td>0.26±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polyommatus icarus</em></td>
<td>1.3–1.7</td>
<td>0.6–0.8</td>
<td>0.35±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theclinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arhopala amantes</em></td>
<td>2.2–2.9</td>
<td>0.8–1.7</td>
<td>0.16±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arhopala japonica</em></td>
<td>2.1–2.7</td>
<td>0.8–1.7</td>
<td>0.27±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysozephyrus aurorinus</em></td>
<td>2.3–2.9</td>
<td>0.6–1.3</td>
<td>0.03±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysozephyrus brillantinus</em></td>
<td>2.4–2.7</td>
<td>0.6–1.4</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hypochrysops delicia</em></td>
<td>1.4–2.0</td>
<td>0.9–2.1</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Jalmenus evagoras</em></td>
<td>2.1–2.6</td>
<td>1.0–1.7</td>
<td>0.07±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ogyris amarillis</em></td>
<td>1.8–2.1</td>
<td>1.5–1.8</td>
<td>0.04±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 1. Diagram of the imaging scatterometer. A light source (S) illuminates a pinhole diaphragm (D), which is imaged by lenses L1 and L3 on a single butterfly scale, positioned in the first focal point (F1) of an ellipsoidal mirror (M). The scale position is monitored by imaging the scale with lenses L1 and L2 on a camera (C1). The ellipsoid mirror images the scale in the plane of the second focal point (F2), which coincides with the front focal point of lens L1. The scale’s far-field scattering diagram thus is projected in the back focal plane (I) of lens L1, which is subsequently imaged by lens L2 at a second camera (C2). A spatial filter in plane I, together with a diaphragm in the plane of F2, suppresses the light transmitted by the scale. The relationship between the scattering angle $\theta$ and the distance $r$ in plane I is nonlinear, and therefore the image is corrected for this nonlinearity to obtain a polar scattering diagram.
Figure 2. Column 1: photographs of the investigated lycaenid species (scale bars, 1 cm). Column 2: polar diagrams of the backward scattering. The circles indicate angles of 30° and 60°, and the bounding circle represents a 90° scattering angle. The dark line at azimuth 270°, e.g. in (h), is the shadow of the horizontally positioned micropipette holding the scale, which is oriented with the longitudinal ridges vertically. The central black spot is due to the spatial filter that blocks the transmitted light. Column 3: scanning electron microscope images of the abwing (upper) side of the scales (scale bar, 2 μm applies to all figures in column 3). Column 4: transmittance (green line) and reflectance (black line) spectra of single scales. The species are ordered according to increasing perforation factor (table 1). (a) Hypochrysops delicia, (b) Chrysozephyrus brillantinus, (c) Chrysozephyrus aurorinus, (d) Ogyris amaryllis, (e) Jalmenus evagoras, (f) Arhopala amantes, (g) Danis danis, (h) Plebejus icarioides, (i) Arhopala japonica, (j) Celastrina argiolus, (k) Polyommatus icarus.
diagrams. A diaphragm in the plane of the second focal point of the mirror blocked the higher orders, so that only light reflected by the scale reached the camera. In the experimental results of figure 2, column 2, the scales were slightly rotated away from the vertical plane so that the centre of the scattering pattern did not coincide with the central black spot of the spatial filter. The angle of rotation was 15–20°, so that the centre of the scattering pattern occurred at 30–40° from the centre of the polar plot.

2.4. Microspectrophotometry (MSP)

Reflectance and transmittance spectra were measured from the isolated, single scales with a microspectrophotometer, which consisted of a xenon light source, a Leitz Ortholux microscope and a fibre optic spectrometer (SD2000, Avantes, Eerbeek, The Netherlands); the microscope objective was an Olympus 20x, NA 0.46 (Stavenga et al. 2006). In the reflectance measurements, a white reflectance standard (Spectralon, Labsphere, North Sutton, NH, USA) served as the reference. Using a diffuser as a reference results for some cases in reflectance peak values larger than 1, because the scale reflection is always distinctly directional. We note here that the reflectance spectra obtained by using a mirror instead of a white diffuser are evenly contaminated, because the investigated scales have scattering patterns that are incongruent with both reference objects. In the transmittance experiments, the aperture of the illumination beam was limited to within the objective aperture, and the scale was removed for the reference measurement. The spectra at the short-wavelength side are restricted to 380 nm owing to the low transmittance in the ultraviolet of the optical elements of the microspectrophotometer. Subsequent to the MSP, the scales were prepared for SEM.

3. RESULTS

Column 1 of figure 2 presents photographs of the dorsal (upper side) wings of the studied lycaenids. All wings prominently feature distinct colours, ranging from the violet to green-yellow and silvery-whitish. The hue in most cases changes notably with the angle of observation, and because the colours are hence structurally based, we investigated the structure of the wing scales with SEM.

Column 3 shows SEM photographs of single scales taken from the structurally coloured wing areas. Prominently visible are the (vertically oriented) longitudinal ridges, which are connected by (horizontally oriented) cross-ribs. The distances of the ridges ($d_r$) and cross-ribs ($d_c$), determined from both light and scanning electron micrographs, distinctly vary among the species (table 1).

The scale bodies, visible through the windows between the ridges and cross-ribs, are filled with more or less perforated lamellae (figure 2, column 3).
We quantified the degree of perforation by calculating the perforation factor, \( p \) (table 1; see §2.2). The species are ordered in figure 2 according to increasing perforation factor.

We measured the spatial scattering properties of single scales with the imaging scatterometer of figure 1. Column 2 of figure 2 presents the obtained scattering diagrams as polar plots. It appears that, for small perforation factors (\( p < 0.1; \) figure 2a–c), the angular extent of the scattered light beam is rather limited, but with an increasing perforation factor the scattering spreads over a larger angle.

We measured the spectral scattering properties with a microspectrophotometer (figure 2, column 4). The aperture of the microscope objective is approximately 24\(^°\), and thus the limited aperture imposes restrictions on the microspectrophotometrical measurements of the reflectance spectra, because part of the backward scattered light will escape capture by the objective. Although this fraction remains small for the scales with a directional reflectivity (figure 2a–d), it is substantial for the white reference standard, which scatters light diffusely. The amplitude of the reflectance spectra hence is strongly exaggerated for the more or less specular scales; we estimate by a factor of approximately 5.

The peak reflectance is closely related to the perforation factor, as shown in figure 3. Of course, the reflectance of a perforated multilayer is inevitably lower than that of a non-perforated multilayer. Furthermore, with increasing perforation scattering occurs over wider angles, so that an increasing part of the scattered light is not collected by the microspectrophotometer.

The transmittance spectra measured simultaneously with the reflectance spectra (figure 2, column 4) show troughs where the reflectance spectra have a peak, as it should be. However, the reflectance and transmittance spectra are not fully complementary because, first, the scales probably contain some absorbing material and, second, part of the transmitted light is lost outside the objective aperture, namely by diffraction.

4. DISCUSSION

We investigated the structure and optical properties of the wing scales of 11 lycaenids (figure 2). The two Chrysophyllum species, *C. aurorinus* and *C. brillantinus*, of figure 2b,c, with yellow-green and blue-green wings, respectively, have very similar scale parameters (table 1). If the specimens indeed belong to one and the same species, as stated by Korshunov & Gorbunov (1995), we have studied 10 lycaenid species.

Transmission electron micrographs in the literature show that many lycaenids have scale bodies with multilayers, consisting of alternating chitin and air layers (Lippert & Gentil 1959; Schmidt & Paulus 1970; Tilley & Eliot 2002; Biro et al. 2007). We determined the perforation factor as the ratio of the missing area and the total area in the top scale layer. Presumably the perforation factor is similar in the various layers (see the inset of figure 3). The number of layers varies among the lycaenid species studied, from three or four layers (*Euamaeus mingas*: Lippert & Gentil 1959; *Plebejus argus* and *Polyommatus coridon*: Schmidt & Paulus 1970; *Celastrina ladon*: Ghiradella 1989) to six or seven layers (*Favonius jzezensis*: Schmidt & Paulus 1970; *Albulina metallicla*: Biro et al. 2007).

In order to assess the effect of the perforation on the reflectance, we have applied standard multilayer theory (MacLeod 1986; Yeh 2005) to a multilayer consisting of chitin and air layers with thickness values \( d_{c} = 0.08 \) and \( d_{a} = 0.10 \) \( \mu \)m, respectively (Schmidt & Paulus 1970; see figure 4e, inset). When the chitin layers are not fully transparent, owing to light-absorbing components, they have a complex refractive index. Vukusic et al. (1999) determined for *Morpho* scales a value of 1.56 for the real component and between 0.505 and 0.065 for the imaginary component (which means that the absorption coefficient is approx. 1.5 \( \mu \)m\(^{-1}\)). A continuous, unperforated layer thus can be assumed to have a refractive index \( n_{e} = 1.56−0.06i \). The holes in the perforated chitin layers are small compared with the wavelength of light, and hence the layers have effectively a lowered refractive index: \( n_{e,0} = n_{e} + (1−p)n_{c} \) (\( n_{c} = 1 \) is the refractive index of air). Slightly more complicated expressions for the effective refractive index exist, but values resulting from these formulae differ only to a very minor extent (Stavenga et al. 2006). The medium between the perforated chitin layers is actually not pure air, owing to small, connecting pillars with an average distance of 0.2 \( \mu \)m (Schmidt & Paulus 1970), but their contribution to the refractive index will be minor, and so the refractive index of the intermediate layers can be taken to be equal to 1.

Figure 4 presents the reflectance, transmittance and absorbance spectra following multilayer theory for the multilayers consisting of air layers in between three perforated chitin layers, with perforation factors 0.2 and 0.4, respectively, assuming a normally incident
light beam. The peak reflectance decreases when the perforation increases as well as when the chitin layers absorb part of the incident light (figure 4a, b). Further-
more, the width of the reflectance band substantially narrows, from approximately 200 nm for an unperforated multilayer \((p = 0)\) to approximately 100 nm when the perforation factor becomes \(p = 0.4\) (cf. Kinoshita et al. 2008). Of course, the absorptance is zero when the imaginary part of the refractive index, \(k\), is zero (figure 4c), but the absorptance is sub-
stantial when \(k = 0.06\) (figure 4f).

Figure 5 presents the reflectance spectra for different numbers of layers. For low values of \(m\), the number of layers with a high refractive index, the reflectance spectra consist of an asymmetrical central band with lower side bands. When \(m\) increases to above \(5\), the central band becomes less asymmetrical and, for unperforated multilayers without absorption \((k = p = 0)\), approaches a top hat (figure 5a; cf. figure 4c in the classical paper of Land 1972; note: in that paper \(p\) is the number of high refractive index layers). For perforated chitin plates, with \(p = 0.4\), the effective refractive index drops from 1.56 to 1.34. The result is that the central band of the reflectance spectrum of the various multilayers reduces in both height and width (figure 5b), but the position of the reflectance peak hardly changes (Land 1972; Kinoshita et al. 2008). Taking absorption into account \((k = 0.06;\) figure 5c,d\) results in reflectance spectra that are overall lower than those of the absorptionless cases; the asymmetry in the central reflectance band for low \(m\)-values persists with increasing \(m\). The effect of absorption on the band-
width appears to be minor (figure 5c,d compared with figure 5a,b, respectively).

Figure 4. (a, b) Reflectance \((R)\), (c, d) transmittance \((T)\) and (e, f) absorptance of a lycaenid scale modelled as a multilayer with three perforated layers of chitin, with perforation factor \(p\) and two intermittent layers of air; a normally incident light flux, \(I\), is assumed. The thickness of the chitin and layers (c and a in the inset of (e)) is 80 and 100 nm, respectively. The chitin is transparent (real refractive index \(n_c = 1.56\) in (a), (c) and (e) and it absorbs (complex refractive index \(n_c = 1.56 - 0.06i\)) in (b), (d) and (f). (a–d), (f) Solid line, \(p = 0\); dashed line, \(p = 0.2\); dotted line, \(p = 0.4\).
The thickness values of the chitin and air layers of our computational examples approximate the values of the perforated scales of the polyommatine *Albulina metallica* (Biró et al. 2007); slightly smaller values are given for various Blues by Schmidt & Paulus (1970); see also Ghiradella (1989). The reflectance peaks following the latter values are shifted towards shorter wavelengths. The comparison of the computed transmittance spectra of figure 4 with the experimental transmittance spectra (figure 2, column 4) suggests that the scales all exhibit absorption, but that their $k$-value may be somewhat smaller than $k=0.06$, the value used in figures 4 and 5. Further calculations of the spectra based on the actual values of the scale structures of specific species have to await the collection of the necessary data with transmission electron microscopy.

We conclude that a multilayer treatment of the perforated lycaenid scales can provide reasonable insight into their reflectance spectra. However, the applied model assumes that the layers are ideal and extended into infinity, and the reflected beam should thus have the same angular spread as the incident beam, as occurs with a mirror. The experimentally observed scattering diagrams show a much wider spatial spread, however. Inhomogeneities in the scales, as there are the ridges, the irregular perforations and the pillar-like connecting structures between the layers, will be the origin of the spread. The scattering diagrams (figure 2, column 2) often have a broader extent in the horizontal than in the vertical direction. This phenomenon is reminiscent of the extreme, line-shaped scattering pattern of *Morpho* scales, created by the scattering ridges (Vukusic et al. 1999; Giraldo et al. 2008; Kinoshita et al. 2008). To illustrate this, figure 6a presents an epi-illumination light-microscopical photograph of a *Chrysozephyrus brillantinus*.
brillantinus scale, where the ridges stand out clearly. (The cross-ribs, easily visible in the SEM photograph of the same scale (figure 6b), are indiscriminable.) We assume that the longitudinally running scale ridges, directed vertically in the scatterometer experiments of figure 2h, column 2, cause the enhanced scattering about the horizontal plane, perpendicular to the ridges.

The scattering diagrams appear not to have a simple relationship with the perforation factor, presumably because several scale properties contribute to the scattering. For instance, the perforation factors of the scales in figure 2h–j are almost identical (table 1), but the scattering diagrams differ. Notably figure 2h, column 3, shows prominent ridges, which will have caused the horizontal spread of the corresponding scattering diagram. Furthermore, although the perforations in figure 2i are more numerous, they are distinctly smaller than those in figure 2j, which will result in different scattering diagrams. We conclude therefore that a more complete description of the scattering diagram will necessitate an elaborate three-dimensional photonic crystal theoretical approach. First, however, we need more accurate anatomical data and realistic values of the absorption coefficients. Also, the spectral data obtained by MSP have to be replaced by angle-dependent spectra. Measurements with our imaging scatterometer (figure 1) to achieve that goal are underway.

As a final insight we would like to add that lycaenids apparently can tune their coloration by subtle changes in their scale structures. The reflectance amplitude increases with the number of scale layers; the reflectance peak wavelength can be shifted by slight changes in the thickness and distance of the layers in the scale interior; the bandwidth of the wing reflectance spectrum can be set with the refractive index contrast, i.e. the spectral bandwidth narrows with an increase in perforation; and the spatial extent of the reflected light depends on the detailed sculpting of the scale, whether the perforations are small or large and regular or irregular, and whether the ridges dominate above the scale layers or not. A more extensive comparative survey could elucidate the existence of evolutionary trends.
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