

University of Groningen

Membrane fusion of influenza and chikungunya viruses

Blijleven, Jelle

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Blijleven, J. (2018). *Membrane fusion of influenza and chikungunya viruses: Mechanisms inferred from single-particle experiments*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

References

1. Buchmann JP, Holmes EC. Cell walls and the convergent evolution of the viral envelope *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 79 (2015). doi:10.1128/MMBR.00017-15.
2. Woudenberg T et al. Effectiveness of early measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination among 6-14-month-old infants during an epidemic in the netherlands: An observational cohort study *J Infect Dis* 215 (2017). doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw586.
3. Deeks SG et al. The end of AIDS: HIV infection as a chronic disease *Lancet* 382 (2013). doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61809-7.
4. WHO. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Available at URL: <http://www.who.int/csr/sars/en/>.
5. WHO. Ebola virus disease. Available at URL: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs103/en/>.
6. Fredericks AC, Fernandez-Sesma A. The burden of dengue and chikungunya worldwide: Implications for the southern united states and california *Ann Glob Health* 80 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.aogh.2015.02.006.
7. Graham BS. Advances in antiviral vaccine development *Immunol Rev* 255 (2013). doi:10.1111/imr.12098.
8. Palese P. Influenza: Old and new threats *Nat Med* 10 (2004). doi:10.1038/nm1141.
9. Kumar B et al. The emerging influenza virus threat: Status and new prospects for its therapy and control, *Arch Virol* 163 (2018). doi:10.1007/s00705-018-3708-y.
10. Killingley B, Nguyen-Van-Tam J. Routes of influenza transmission *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 7 Suppl 2 (2013). doi:10.1111/irv.12080.
11. Esposito S. 100 years since the 1918 influenza pandemic *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 14 (2018). doi:10.1080/21645515.2018.1446591.
12. Petrova VN, Russell CA. The evolution of seasonal influenza viruses *Nat Rev Microbiol* 16 (2018). doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2017.118.
13. Sautto GA et al. Towards a universal influenza vaccine: Different approaches for one goal *Viol J* 15 (2018). doi:10.1186/s12985-017-0918-y.
14. Li TC et al. Clinical implications of antiviral resistance in influenza *Viruses* 7 (2015). doi:10.3390/v7092850.
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Geographic distribution of chikungunya virus. Available at URL: <https://www.cdc.gov/chikungunya/geo/index.html>.
16. Tsetsarkin KA et al. A single mutation in chikungunya virus affects vector specificity and epidemic potential, *PLoS Pathog* 3 (2007). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.
17. Kraemer MU et al. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors *aedes aegypti* and *ae. albopictus* *Elife* 4 (2015). doi:10.7554/eLife.08347.
18. Paixao ES et al. Zika, chikungunya and dengue: The causes and threats of new and re-emerging arboviral diseases *BMJ Glob Health* 3 (2018). doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000530.
19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 3D influenza transparent key pieces large. Available at URL: https://www.cdc.gov/flu/images/h1n1/3D_Influenza_transparent_key_pieslice_lrg.gif.
20. Noda T. Native morphology of influenza virions *Front Microbiol* 2 (2012). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2011.00269.
21. Voss JE et al. Glycoprotein organization of chikungunya virus particles revealed by X-ray crystallography, *Nature* 468 (2010). doi:10.1038/nature09555.
22. Li L et al. Structural changes of envelope proteins during alphavirus fusion, *Nature* 468 (2010). doi:10.1038/nature09546.
23. Smith TJ et al. Putative receptor binding sites on alphaviruses as visualized by cryoelectron microscopy, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 92 (1995). doi:10.1073/pnas.92.23.10648.
24. Ashbrook AW et al. Residue 82 of the chikungunya virus E2 attachment protein modulates viral dissemination and arthritis in mice, *J Virol* 88 (2014). doi:10.1128/JVI.01672-14.
25. Jolly CL, Sattentau QJ. Attachment factors *Adv Exp Med Biol* 790 (2013). doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-7651-1_1.
26. Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. Receptor binding and membrane fusion in virus entry: The influenza hemagglutinin, *Annu Rev Biochem* 69 (2000). doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.69.1.531.
27. van Duijl-Richter MKS et al. Early events in chikungunya virus infection - from virus cell binding to membrane fusion, *Viruses* 7 (2015). doi:10.3390/v7072792.
28. Chernomordik LV, Kozlov MM. Mechanics of membrane fusion, *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 15 (2008). doi:10.1038/nsmb.1455.
29. Sanchez-San Martin C et al. Dealing with low pH: Entry and exit of alphaviruses and flaviviruses, *Trends Microbiol* 17 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.tim.2009.08.002.
30. Otterstrom JJ et al. Relating influenza virus membrane fusion kinetics to stoichiometry of neutralizing antibodies at the single-particle level, *PNAS* 111 (2014). doi:10.1073/pnas.1411755111.

31. Ivanovic T, Harrison SC. Distinct functional determinants of influenza hemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion, *eLife* 4 (2015). doi:10.7554/eLife.11009.
32. Ivanovic T et al. Influenza virus membrane fusion by cooperative fold-back of stochastically induced hemagglutinin intermediates, *eLife* 2 (2013). doi:10.7554/eLife.00333.
33. Zhang Y, Dudko OK. Statistical mechanics of viral entry, *Phys Rev Lett* 114 (2015). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.018104.
34. Kim IS et al. Mechanism of membrane fusion induced by vesicular stomatitis virus G protein, *PNAS* 114 (2017). doi:10.1073/pnas.1618883114.
35. Jahn R, Scheller RH. SNAREs — engines for membrane fusion, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 7 (2006). doi:10.1038/nrm2002.
36. Rizo J, Xu J. The synaptic vesicle release machinery, *Annu Rev Biophys* 44 (2015). doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-060414-034057.
37. Scheller RH. In search of the molecular mechanism of intracellular membrane fusion and neurotransmitter release, *Nat Med* 19 (2013). doi:10.1038/nm.3339.
38. Harrison SC. Viral membrane fusion, *Virology* 479 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.virol.2015.03.043.
39. Kielian M. Mechanisms of virus membrane fusion proteins, *Annu Rev Virol* 1 (2014). doi:10.1146/annurev-virol-031413-085521.
40. Martens S, McMahon HT. Mechanisms of membrane fusion: Disparate players and common principles, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 9 (2008). doi:10.1038/nrm2417.
41. Demonbreun AR et al. Membrane fusion in muscle development and repair, *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 45 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.10.026.
42. Podbilewicz B. Virus and cell fusion mechanisms, *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 30 (2014). doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122422.
43. Roos WH et al. Physical virology, *Nat Phys* 6 (2010). doi:10.1038/NPHYS1797.
44. Horimoto T, Kawaoka Y. Influenza: Lessons from past pandemics, warnings from current incidents, *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3 (2005). doi:10.1038/nrmicro1208.
45. Edinger TO et al. Entry of influenza A virus: Host factors and antiviral targets, *J Gen Virol* 95 (2014). doi:10.1099/vir.0.059477-0.
46. White JM, Whittaker GR. Fusion of enveloped viruses in endosomes, *Traffic* 17 (2016). doi:10.1111/tra.12389.
47. Cohen FS. How viruses invade cells, *Biophys J* 110 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2016.02.006.
48. Ciechonska M, Duncan R. Reovirus FAST proteins: Virus-encoded cellular fusogens, *Trends Microbiol* 22 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.08.005.
49. Vanderlinden E, Naesens L. Emerging antiviral strategies to interfere with influenza virus entry, *Med Res Rev* 34 (2014). doi:10.1002/med.21289.
50. Vigant F et al. Broad-spectrum antivirals against viral fusion, *Nat Rev Microbiol* 13 (2015). doi:10.1038/nrmicro3475.
51. Varkouhi AK et al. Endosomal escape pathways for delivery of biologicals, *J Control Release* 151 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2010.11.004.
52. Chernomordik LV, Kozlov MM. Protein-lipid interplay in fusion and fission of biological membranes, *Annu Rev Biochem* 72 (2003). doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161504.
53. Nikolaus J et al. The pathway to membrane fusion through hemifusion, *Curr Top Membr* 68 (2011). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385891-7.00001-5.
54. Markvoort AJ, Marrink SJ. Lipid acrobatics in the membrane fusion arena, *Curr Top Membr* 68 (2011). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385891-7.00011-8.
55. Fuhrmans M et al. Mechanics of membrane fusion/pore formation, *Chem Phys Lipids* 185 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2014.07.010.
56. Aeffner S et al. Energetics of stalk intermediates in membrane fusion are controlled by lipid composition, *PNAS* 109 (2012). doi:10.1073/pnas.1119442109.
57. Kasson PM, Pande VS. Control of membrane fusion mechanism by lipid composition: Predictions from ensemble molecular dynamics, *PLoS Comput Biol* 3 (2007). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030220.
58. Kawamoto S et al. Coarse-grained molecular dynamics study of membrane fusion: Curvature effects on free energy barriers along the stalk mechanism, *J Chem Phys* 143 (2015). doi:10.1063/1.4933087.
59. Lentz BR, Lee J. Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-mediated fusion between pure lipid bilayers: A mechanism in common with viral fusion and secretory vesicle release? *Mol Membr Biol* 16 (1999).
60. Mirjanian D et al. Splaying of aliphatic tails plays a central role in barrier crossing during liposome fusion, *J Phys Chem B* 114 (2010). doi:10.1021/jp1055182.
61. Ryham RJ et al. Calculating transition energy barriers and characterizing activation states for steps of fusion, *Biophys J* 110 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2016.01.013.

62. Smirnova YG et al. Solvent-exposed tails as prestalk transition states for membrane fusion at low hydration, *J Am Chem Soc* 132 (2010). doi:10.1021/ja910050x.
63. Salditt T, Aeffner S. X-ray structural investigations of fusion intermediates: Lipid model systems and beyond, *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 60 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.06.014.
64. Nikolaus J et al. Direct visualization of large and protein-free hemifusion diaphragms, *Biophys J* 98 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2009.11.042.
65. Zhao W et al. Hemi-fused structure mediates and controls fusion and fission in live cells, *Nature* 534 (2016). doi:10.1038/nature18598.
66. Chlanda P et al. The hemifusion structure induced by influenza virus haemagglutinin is determined by physical properties of the target membranes, *Nat Microbiol* 1 (2016). doi:10.1038/NMICROBIOL.2016.50.
67. Gui L et al. Visualization and sequencing of membrane remodeling leading to influenza virus fusion, *J Virol* 90 (2016). doi:10.1128/JVI.00240-16.
68. Oelkers M et al. SNARE-mediated membrane fusion trajectories derived from force-clamp experiments, *PNAS* 113 (2016). doi:10.1073/pnas.1615885113.
69. Otterstrom JJ, van Oijen AM. Visualization of membrane fusion, one particle at a time, *Biochemistry* 52 (2013). doi:10.1021/bi301573w.
70. Campelo F et al. Helfrich model of membrane bending: From gibbs theory of liquid interfaces to membranes as thick anisotropic elastic layers, *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 208 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.cis.2014.01.018.
71. Helfrich W. Elastic properties of lipid bilayers: Theory and possible experiments, *Z Naturforsch C* 28 (1973).
72. Kuzmin PI et al. A quantitative model for membrane fusion based on low-energy intermediates, *PNAS* 98 (2001). doi:10.1073/pnas.121191898.
73. Kozlovsky Y, Kozlov MM. Stalk model of membrane fusion: Solution of energy crisis, *Biophys J* 82 (2002).
74. Kozlovsky Y et al. Stalk phase formation: Effects of dehydration and saddle splay modulus, *Biophys J* 87 (2004). doi:10.1529/biophysj.103.038075.
75. Dror RO et al. Biomolecular simulation: A computational microscope for molecular biology, *Annu Rev Biophys* 41 (2012). doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-042910-155245.
76. Ingolfsson HI et al. The power of coarse graining in biomolecular simulations, *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Mol Sci* 4 (2014). doi:10.1002/wcms.1169.
77. Kasson PM et al. Ensemble molecular dynamics yields submillisecond kinetics and intermediates of membrane fusion, *PNAS* 103 (2006). doi:10.1073/pnas.0601597103.
78. Smirnova YG et al. Free-energy calculation methods for collective phenomena in membranes, *J Phys D Appl Phys* 48 (2015). doi:10.1088/0022-3727/48/34/343001.
79. Mori T et al. Molecular dynamics simulations of biological membranes and membrane proteins using enhanced conformational sampling algorithms, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1858 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.12.032.
80. Kozlov MM et al. Protein-driven membrane stresses in fusion and fission, *Trends Biochem Sci* 35 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2010.06.003.
81. Kozlov MM et al. Mechanisms shaping cell membranes, *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 29 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2014.03.006.
82. Gerl MJ et al. Quantitative analysis of the lipidomes of the influenza virus envelope and MDCK cell apical membrane, *J Cell Biol* 196 (2012). doi:10.1083/jcb.201108175.
83. Reddy T et al. Nothing to sneeze at: A dynamic and integrative computational model of an influenza A virion, *Structure* 23 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.str.2014.12.019.
84. Sampaio JL et al. Membrane lipidome of an epithelial cell line, *PNAS* 108 (2011). doi:10.1073/pnas.1019267108.
85. Marrink SJ, Mark AE. The mechanism of vesicle fusion as revealed by molecular dynamics simulations, *J Am Chem Soc* 125 (2003). doi:10.1021/ja036138+.
86. Tahir MA et al. Solvent-exposed lipid tail protrusions depend on lipid membrane composition and curvature, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1858 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2016.01.026.
87. Risselada HJ et al. Expansion of the fusion stalk and its implication for biological membrane fusion, *PNAS* 111 (2014). doi:10.1073/pnas.1323221111.
88. Kozlovsky Y et al. Lipid intermediates in membrane fusion: Formation, structure, and decay of hemifusion diaphragm, *Biophys J* 83 (2002).
89. Risselada HJ et al. Free energy landscape of rim-pore expansion in membrane fusion, *Biophys J* 107 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.08.022.
90. Cohen FS, Melikyan GB. The energetics of membrane fusion from binding, through hemifusion, pore formation, and pore enlargement, *J Membr Biol* 199 (2004). doi:10.1007/s00232-004-0669-8.
91. Leikina E, Chernomordik LV. Reversible merger of membranes at the early stage of influenza hemagglutinin-mediated fusion, *Mol Biol Cell* 11 (2000).
92. Wu Z et al. Dilation of fusion pores by crowding of SNARE proteins, *eLife* 6 (2017). doi:10.7554/eLife.22964.

93. Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. Coiled coils in both intracellular vesicle and viral membrane fusion, *Cell* 95 (1998). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81710-9.
94. Lazarowitz SG et al. Influenza virus structural and nonstructural proteins in infected cells and their plasma membranes, *Virology* 46 (1971). doi:10.1016/0042-6822(71)90084-5.
95. Klenk HD et al. Activation of influenza A viruses by trypsin treatment, *Virology* 68 (1975). doi:10.1016/0042-6822(75)90284-6.
96. Carr CM, Kim PS. A spring-loaded mechanism for the conformational change of influenza hemagglutinin, *Cell* 73 (1993). doi:10.1016/0092-8674(93)90260-W.
97. Wilson IA et al. Structure of the haemagglutinin membrane glycoprotein of influenza virus at 3 Å resolution, *Nature* 289 (1981). doi:10.1038/289366a0.
98. Bullough PA et al. Structure of influenza haemagglutinin at the pH of membrane fusion, *Nature* 371 (1994). doi:10.1038/371037a0.
99. Chen J et al. N- and C-terminal residues combine in the fusion-pH influenza hemagglutinin HA(2) subunit to form an N cap that terminates the triple-stranded coiled coil, *PNAS* 96 (1999).
100. Weis W et al. Structure of the influenza virus hemagglutinin complexed with its receptor, sialic-acid, *Nature* 333 (1988). doi:10.1038/333426a0.
101. Nobusawa E et al. Comparison of complete amino-acid-sequences and receptor-binding properties among 13 serotypes of hemagglutinins of influenza A viruses, *Virology* 182 (1991). doi:10.1016/0042-6822(91)90588-3.
102. Daniels RS et al. Fusion mutants of the influenza virus hemagglutinin glycoprotein, *Cell* 40 (1985). doi:10.1016/0092-8674(85)90157-6.
103. Epanand RM. Fusion peptides and the mechanism of viral fusion, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1614 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0005-2736(03)00169-X.
104. Cross KJ et al. Composition and functions of the influenza fusion peptide, *Protein Peptide Lett* 16 (2009).
105. Sauter NK et al. Hemagglutinins from 2 influenza virus variants bind to sialic-acid derivatives with millimolar dissociation constants: A 500-mhz proton nuclear magnetic-resonance study, *Biochemistry* 28 (1989). doi:10.1021/bi00447a018.
106. Ni F et al. Structural insights into the membrane fusion mechanism mediated by influenza virus hemagglutinin, *Biochemistry* 53 (2014). doi:10.1021/bi401525h.
107. Maeda T, Ohnishi S. Activation of influenza virus by acidic media causes hemolysis and fusion of erythrocytes, *FEBS Lett* 122 (1980). doi:10.1016/0014-5793(80)80457-1.
108. Huang RTC et al. Influenza viruses cause hemolysis and fusion of cells, *Virology* 110 (1981). doi:10.1016/0042-6822(81)90030-1.
109. Lagache T et al. Stochastic model of acidification, activation of hemagglutinin and escape of influenza viruses from an endosome, *Front Phys* 5 (2017). doi:10.3389/fphy2017DOC25.
110. Ruigrok RWH et al. Conformational changes in the hemagglutinin of influenza virus which accompany heat-induced fusion of virus with liposomes, *Virology* 155 (1986). doi:10.1016/0042-6822(86)90210-2.
111. Carr CM et al. Influenza hemagglutinin is spring-loaded by a metastable native conformation, *PNAS* 94 (1997). doi:10.1073/pnas.94.26.14306.
112. White JM, Wilson IA. Anti-peptide antibodies detect steps in a protein conformational change - low-pH activation of the influenza virus hemagglutinin, *J Cell Biol* 105 (1987). doi:10.1083/jcb.105.6.2887.
113. Garcia NK et al. Dynamic changes during acid-induced activation of influenza hemagglutinin, *Structure* 23 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.str.2015.02.006.
114. Leikina E et al. Reversible stages of the low-pH-triggered conformational change in influenza virus hemagglutinin, *EMBO J* 21 (2002). doi:10.1093/emboj/cdf559.
115. Fontana J et al. Structural changes in influenza virus at low pH characterized by cryo-electron tomography, *J Virol* 86 (2012). doi:10.1128/JVI.06698-11.
116. Gething MJ et al. Studies on the mechanism of membrane fusion - site-specific mutagenesis of the hemagglutinin of influenza virus, *J Cell Biol* 102 (1986). doi:10.1083/jcb.102.1.11.
117. Wiley DC, Skehel JJ. The structure and function of the hemagglutinin membrane glycoprotein of influenza virus, *Annu Rev Biochem* 56 (1987). doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.56.1.365.
118. Thoennes S et al. Analysis of residues near the fusion peptide in the influenza hemagglutinin structure for roles in triggering membrane fusion, *Virology* 370 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.virol.2007.08.035.
119. Lin X et al. Lowered pH leads to fusion peptide release and a highly dynamic intermediate of influenza hemagglutinin, *J Phys Chem B* 120 (2016). doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b06775.
120. Zhou Y et al. Exploring the early stages of the pH-induced conformational change of influenza hemagglutinin, *Proteins* 82 (2014). doi:10.1002/prot.24606.
121. Di Lella S et al. Modulation of the pH stability of influenza virus hemagglutinin: A host cell adaptation strategy. *Biophys J* 110 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2016.04.035.

122. Godley L et al. Introduction of intersubunit disulfide bonds in the membrane-distal region of the influenza hemagglutinin abolishes membrane fusion activity, *Cell* 68 (1992). doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90140-8.
123. Kemble GW et al. Intermonomer disulfide bonds impair the fusion activity of influenza virus hemagglutinin, *J Virol* 66 (1992).
124. Barbey-Martin C et al. An antibody that prevents the hemagglutinin low pH fusogenic transition, *Virology* 294 (2002). doi:10.1006/viro.2001.1320.
125. Bizebard T et al. Structure of influenza virus hemagglutinin complexed with a neutralizing antibody, *Nature* 376 (1995). doi:10.1038/376092a0.
126. Mair CM et al. A histidine residue of the influenza virus hemagglutinin controls the pH dependence of the conformational change mediating membrane fusion, *J Virol* 88 (2014). doi:10.1128/JVI.01704-14.
127. Wang W et al. Intermonomer interactions in hemagglutinin subunits HA1 and HA2 affecting hemagglutinin stability and influenza virus infectivity, *J Virol* 89 (2015). doi:10.1128/JVI.00939-15.
128. Qiao H et al. Specific single or double proline substitutions in the "spring-loaded" coiled-coil region of the influenza hemagglutinin impair or abolish membrane fusion activity, *J Cell Biol* 141 (1998). doi:10.1083/jcb.141.6.1335.
129. Stegmann T et al. The HA2 subunit of influenza hemagglutinin inserts into the target membrane prior to fusion, *J Biol Chem* 266 (1991).
130. Tsurudome M et al. Lipid interactions of the hemagglutinin HA2 NH₂-terminal segment during influenza-virus-induced membrane fusion, *J Biol Chem* 267 (1992).
131. Wild CT et al. Peptides corresponding to a predictive alpha-helical domain of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41 are potent inhibitors of virus infection, *PNAS* 91 (1994). doi:10.1073/pnas.91.21.9770.
132. Melikyan GB et al. Membrane-anchored inhibitory peptides capture human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41 conformations that engage the target membrane prior to fusion, *J Virol* 80 (2006). doi:10.1128/JV.80.7.3249-3258.2006.
133. Muñoz-Barroso I et al. Dilation of the human immunodeficiency virus-1 envelope glycoprotein fusion pore revealed by the inhibitory action of a synthetic peptide from gp41, *J Cell Biol* 140 (1998). doi:10.1083/jcb.140.2.315.
134. Lee KK et al. Capturing a fusion intermediate of influenza hemagglutinin with a cholesterol-conjugated peptide, a new antiviral strategy for influenza virus, *J Biol Chem* 286 (2011). doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.254243.
135. Park HE et al. Leash in the groove mechanism of membrane fusion, *Nat Struct Biol* 10 (2003). doi:10.1038/nsb1012.
136. Borrego-Díaz E et al. Completion of trimeric hairpin formation of influenza virus hemagglutinin promotes fusion pore opening and enlargement, *Virology* 316 (2003). doi:10.1016/j.virol.2003.07.006.
137. Stegmann T et al. Effects of low pH on influenza virus - activation and inactivation of the membrane fusion capacity of the hemagglutinin, *J Biol Chem* 262 (1987).
138. Weber T et al. Evidence for H⁺-induced insertion of influenza hemagglutinin HA2 N-terminal segment into viral membrane, *J Biol Chem* 269 (1994).
139. Wharton SA et al. Electron microscopy of antibody complexes of influenza virus hemagglutinin in the fusion pH conformation, *EMBO J* 14 (1995).
140. Huang Q et al. Energetics of the loop-to-helix transition leading to the coiled-coil structure of influenza virus hemagglutinin HA2 subunits, *Proteins* 74 (2009). doi:10.1002/prot.22157.
141. Calder LJ, Rosenthal PB. Cryomicroscopy provides structural snapshots of influenza virus membrane fusion, *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 23 (2016). doi:10.1038/nsmb.3271.
142. Chen J et al. Structure of the hemagglutinin precursor cleavage site, a determinant of influenza pathogenicity and the origin of the labile conformation, *Cell* 95 (1998). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81771-7.
143. Seo J, Cohen C. Pitch diversity in alpha-helical coiled coils, *Proteins* 15 (1993). doi:10.1002/prot.340150302.
144. Chang D et al. Membrane interaction and structure of the transmembrane domain of influenza hemagglutinin and its fusion peptide complex, *BMC Biol* 6 (2008). doi:10.1186/1741-7007-6-2.
145. Lai AL, Freed JH. The interaction between influenza HA fusion peptide and transmembrane domain affects membrane structure, *Biophys J* 109 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.10.044.
146. Lin X et al. Order and disorder control the functional rearrangement of influenza hemagglutinin, *PNAS* 111 (2014). doi:10.1073/pnas.1412849111.
147. Donten ML et al. pH-jump induced leucine zipper folding beyond the diffusion limit, *J Phys Chem B* 119 (2015). doi:10.1021/jp511539c.
148. Krumbiegel M et al. Kinetics of the low pH-induced conformational changes and fusogenic activity of influenza hemagglutinin, *Biophys J* 67 (1994).

149. Huang Q et al. Early steps of the conformational change of influenza virus hemagglutinin to a fusion active state: Stability and energetics of the hemagglutinin, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1614 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0005-2736(03)00158-5.
150. Boonstra S et al. Computation of hemagglutinin free energy difference by the confinement method *J Phys Chem B* 121 (2017). doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09699.
151. Marti DN et al. Fast folding of the HIV-1 and SIV gp41 six-helix bundles, *J Mol Biol* 336 (2004). doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2003.11.058.
152. Jiao J et al. Kinetically coupled folding of a single HIV-1 glycoprotein 41 complex in viral membrane fusion and inhibition, *PNAS* 112 (2015). doi:10.1073/pnas.1424995112.
153. Feng Li et al. Energetics and dynamics of SNAREpin folding across lipid bilayers, *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 14 (2007). doi:10.1038/nsmb1310.
154. Gao Y et al. Single reconstituted neuronal SNARE complexes zipper in three distinct stages, *Science* 337 (2012). doi:10.1126/science.1224492.
155. Apellaniz B et al. The three lives of viral fusion peptides, *Chem Phys Lipids* 181 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2014.03.003.
156. Kasson PM et al. Atomic-resolution simulations predict a transition state for vesicle fusion defined by contact of a few lipid tails, *PLOS Comput Biol* 6 (2010). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000829.
157. Larsson P, Kasson PM. Lipid tail protrusion in simulations predicts fusogenic activity of influenza fusion peptide mutants and conformational models, *PLOS Comput Biol* 9 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002950.
158. Lorieau JL et al. The complete influenza hemagglutinin fusion domain adopts a tight helical hairpin arrangement at the lipid:Water interface, *PNAS* 107 (2010). doi:10.1073/pnas.1006142107.
159. Ghosh U et al. Closed and semiclosed interhelical structures in membrane vs closed and open structures in detergent for the influenza virus hemagglutinin fusion peptide and correlation of hydrophobic surface area with fusion catalysis, *J Am Chem Soc* 137 (2015). doi:10.1021/jacs.5b04578.
160. Smrt ST et al. The influenza hemagglutinin fusion domain is an amphipathic helical hairpin that functions by inducing membrane curvature, *J Biol Chem* 290 (2015). doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.611657.
161. Risselada HJ et al. Line-tension controlled mechanism for influenza fusion, *PLOS ONE* 7 (2012). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038302.
162. Fuhrmans M, Marrink SJ. Molecular view of the role of fusion peptides in promoting positive membrane curvature, *J Am Chem Soc* 134 (2012). doi:10.1021/ja207290b.
163. Han X et al. Membrane structure and fusion-triggering conformational change of the fusion domain from influenza hemagglutinin, *Nat Struct Biol* 8 (2001). doi:10.1038/90434.
164. D'Agostino M et al. Steric hindrance of SNARE transmembrane domain organization impairs the hemifusion-to-fusion transition, *EMBO Rep* 17 (2016). doi:10.15252/embr.201642209.
165. Leikina E et al. Influenza hemagglutinins outside of the contact zone are necessary for fusion pore expansion, *J Biol Chem* 279 (2004). doi:10.1074/jbc.M401883200.
166. Kemble GW et al. Lipid-anchored influenza hemagglutinin promotes hemifusion, not complete fusion, *Cell* 76 (1994). doi:10.1016/0092-8674(94)90344-1.
167. Melikyan GB et al. GPI-anchored influenza hemagglutinin induces hemifusion to both red blood cell and planar bilayer membranes, *J Cell Biol* 131 (1995). doi:10.1083/jcb.131.3.679.
168. Armstrong RT et al. The transmembrane domain of influenza hemagglutinin exhibits a stringent length requirement to support the hemifusion to fusion transition, *J Cell Biol* 151 (2000). doi:10.1083/jcb.151.2.425.
169. Stegmann T et al. Kinetics of pH-dependent fusion between influenza virus and liposomes, *Biochemistry* 24 (1985). doi:10.1021/bi00334a006.
170. Bundo-Morita K et al. Estimation by radiation inactivation of the size of functional units governing sendai and influenza virus fusion, *Biochemistry* 26 (1987). doi:10.1021/bi00393a040.
171. Hoekstra D et al. Characterization of the fusogenic properties of sendai virus - kinetics of fusion with erythrocyte membranes, *Biochemistry* 24 (1985). doi:10.1021/bi00339a005.
172. Morris SJ et al. Kinetics of pH-dependent fusion between 3T3 fibroblasts expressing influenza hemagglutinin and red blood cells - measurement by dequenching of fluorescence, *J Biol Chem* 264 (1989).
173. Stegmann T et al. Intermediates in influenza induced membrane fusion, *EMBO J* 9 (1990).
174. Ramalho-Santos J et al. A common mechanism for influenza virus fusion activity and inactivation, *Biochemistry* 32 (1993). doi:10.1021/bi00062a006.
175. Niles WD, Cohen FS. Single event recording shows that docking onto receptor alters the kinetics of membrane fusion mediated by influenza hemagglutinin, *Biophys J* 65 (1993).
176. Ellens H et al. Fusion of influenza hemagglutinin-expressing fibroblasts with glycophorin-bearing liposomes - role of hemagglutinin surface density, *Biochemistry* 29 (1990). doi:10.1021/bi00493a027.

177. Melikyan GB et al. The fusion kinetics of influenza hemagglutinin expressing cells to planar bilayer membranes is affected by ha density and host-cell surface, *J Gen Physiol* 106 (1995). doi:10.1085/jgp.106.5.783.
178. Markovic I et al. Synchronized activation and refolding of influenza hemagglutinin in multimeric fusion machines, *J Cell Biol* 155 (2001). doi:10.1083/jcb.200103005.
179. Dobay MP et al. How many trimers? modeling influenza virus fusion yields a minimum aggregate size of six trimers, three of which are fusogenic, *Mol Biosyst* 7 (2011). doi:10.1039/c1mb05060e.
180. Bentz J. Minimal aggregate size and minimal fusion unit for the first fusion pore of influenza hemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion, *Biophys J* 78 (2000).
181. Danieli T et al. Membrane fusion mediated by the influenza virus hemagglutinin requires the concerted action of at least three hemagglutinin trimers, *J Cell Biol* 133 (1996). doi:10.1083/jcb.133.3.559.
182. Blumenthal R et al. Dilation of the influenza hemagglutinin fusion pore revealed by the kinetics of individual cell-cell fusion events, *J Cell Biol* 135 (1996). doi:10.1083/jcb.135.1.63.
183. Günther-Ausborn S et al. Role of hemagglutinin surface density in the initial stages of influenza virus fusion: Lack of evidence for cooperativity, *J Virol* 74 (2000). doi:10.1128/JVI.74.6.2714-2720.2000.
184. Schreiber S et al. Stochastic simulation of hemagglutinin-mediated fusion pore formation, *Biophys J* 81 (2001).
185. Lakadamyali M et al. Visualizing infection of individual influenza viruses, *PNAS* 100 (2003). doi:10.1073/pnas.0832269100.
186. Brandenburg B, Zhuang X. Virus trafficking - learning from single-virus tracking, *Nat Rev Microbiol* 5 (2007). doi:10.1038/nrmicro1615.
187. Hamilton BS et al. Influenza virus-mediated membrane fusion: Determinants of hemagglutinin fusogenic activity and experimental approaches for assessing virus fusion, *Viruses* 4 (2012). doi:10.3390/v4071144.
188. Floyd DL et al. Single-particle kinetics of influenza virus membrane fusion, *PNAS* 105 (2008). doi:10.1073/pnas.0807771105.
189. Costello DA et al. Influenza virus-membrane fusion triggered by proton uncaging for single particle studies of fusion kinetics, *Anal Chem* 84 (2012). doi:10.1021/ac3006473.
190. Wessels L et al. Rapid membrane fusion of individual virus particles with supported lipid bilayers, *Biophys J* 93 (2007). doi:10.1529/biophysj.106.097485.
191. Yang S et al. HIV gp41-mediated membrane fusion occurs at edges of cholesterol-rich lipid domains, *Nat Chem Biol* 11 (2015). doi:10.1038/NCHEMBO.1800.
192. Kapanidis AN et al. Alternating-laser excitation of single molecules, *Acc Chem Res* 38 (2005). doi:10.1021/ar0401348.
193. Floyd DL et al. Analysis of kinetic intermediates in single-particle dwell-time distributions, *Biophys J* 99 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2010.04.049.
194. Costello DA et al. Variations in pH sensitivity, acid stability, and fusogenicity of three influenza virus H3 subtypes, *J Virol* 89 (2015). doi:10.1128/JVI.01927-14.
195. Dudko OK. Decoding the mechanical fingerprints of biomolecules, *Q Rev Biophys* 49 (2015). doi:10.1017/S0033583515000220.
196. Doms RW et al. Membrane fusion activity of the influenza virus hemagglutinin: The low pH-induced conformational change, *J Biol Chem* 260 (1985).
197. Brandenburg OF et al. The HIV-1 entry process: A stoichiometric view, *Trends Microbiol* 23 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.tim.2015.09.003.
198. White JM et al. Structures and mechanisms of viral membrane fusion proteins: Multiple variations on a common theme, *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 43 (2008). doi:10.1080/10409230802058320.
199. Schoch C, Blumenthal R. Role of the fusion peptide sequence in initial stages of influenza hemagglutinin-induced cell fusion, *J Biol Chem* 268 (1993).
200. Batishchev OV et al. pH-dependent formation and disintegration of the influenza A virus protein scaffold to provide tension for membrane fusion, *J Virol* 90 (2016). doi:10.1128/JVI.01539-15.
201. Treanor JJ. Prospects for broadly protective influenza vaccines, *Vaccine* 33 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.08.053.
202. Krammer F. The quest for a universal flu vaccine: Headless HA 2.0, *Cell Host Microbe* 18 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.003.
203. Stegmann T et al. Effects of low pH on influenza virus - activation and inactivation of the membrane fusion capacity of the hemagglutinin, *J Biol Chem* 262 (1987).
204. Puri A et al. Conformational changes and fusion activity of influenza virus hemagglutinin of the H2 and H3 subtypes - effects of acid pretreatment, *J Virol* 64 (1990).
205. Tatulian SA, Tamm LK. Reversible pH-dependent conformational change of reconstituted influenza hemagglutinin, *J Mol Biol* 260 (1996). doi:10.1006/jmbi.1996.0402.

206. Russier M et al. Molecular requirements for a pandemic influenza virus: An acid-stable hemagglutinin protein, *PNAS* 113 (2016). doi:10.1073/pnas.1524384113.
207. Chao LH et al. Sequential conformational rearrangements in flavivirus membrane fusion, *eLife* 3 (2014). doi:10.7554/eLife.04389.
208. Chlanda P, Zimmerberg J. Protein-lipid interactions critical to replication of the influenza A virus, *FEBS Lett* 590 (2016). doi:10.1002/1873-3468.12118.
209. Garcia NK, Lee KK. Dynamic viral glycoprotein machines: Approaches for probing transient states that drive membrane fusion, *Viruses-Basel* 8 (2016). doi:10.3390/v8010015.
210. Englander SW et al. Protein folding—How and why: By hydrogen exchange, fragment separation, and mass spectrometry, *Annu Rev Biophys* 45 (2016). doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-062215-011121.
211. Munro JB et al. Conformational dynamics of single HIV-1 envelope trimers on the surface of native virions, *Science* 346 (2014). doi:10.1126/science.1254426.
212. Woodside MT, Block SM. Reconstructing folding energy landscapes by single-molecule force spectroscopy, *Annu Rev Biophys* 43 (2014). doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-051013-022754.
213. Herrmann A, Sieben C. Single-virus force spectroscopy unravels molecular details of virus infection, *Integr Biol* 7 (2015). doi:10.1039/c5ib00041f.
214. Shroff H et al. Biocompatible force sensor with optical readout and dimensions of 6 nm3, *Nano Lett* 5 (2005). doi:10.1021/nl050875h.
215. Ovchinnikov V et al. A simplified confinement method for calculating absolute free energies and free energy and entropy differences, *J Phys Chem B* 117 (2013). doi:10.1021/jp3080578.
216. Valsson O et al. Enhancing important fluctuations: Rare events and metadynamics from a conceptual viewpoint, *Annu Rev Phys Chem* 67 (2016). doi:10.1146/annurev-physchem-040215-112229.
217. Perez A et al. Advances in free-energy-based simulations of protein folding and ligand binding, *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 36 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2015.12.002.
218. Bljiljeven JS et al. Mechanisms of influenza viral membrane fusion, *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 60 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.07.007.
219. Boonstra S. Computational studies of influenza hemagglutinin, Dissertation University of Groningen: Groningen (2017). Available at URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/11370/0f95f54b-1333-41ca-b5fc-d56a473fcd6c>.
220. Costello DA et al. Single particle assay of coronavirus membrane fusion with proteinaceous receptor-embedded supported bilayers, *Biomaterials* 34 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.06.034.
221. Ekiert DC et al. A highly conserved neutralizing epitope on group 2 influenza A viruses, *Science* 333 (2011). doi:10.1126/science.1204839.
222. Brandenburg B et al. Mechanisms of hemagglutinin targeted influenza virus neutralization, *PLoS One* 8 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080034.
223. Hsu H et al. Viral fusion efficacy of specific H3N2 influenza virus reassortant combinations at single-particle level, *Sci Rep* 6 (2016). doi:10.1038/srep35537.
224. Leung JY et al. Replication of alphaviruses: A review on the entry process of alphaviruses into cells, *Adv Virol* 2011 (2011). doi:10.1155/2011/249640.
225. Powers AM et al. Evolutionary relationships and systematics of the alphaviruses, *J Virol* 75 (2001). doi:10.1128/JVI.75.21.10118-10131.2001.
226. Enserink M. Infectious diseases. chikungunya: No longer a third world disease, *Science* 318 (2007). doi:10.1126/science.318.5858.1860.
227. Schwartz O, Albert ML. Biology and pathogenesis of chikungunya virus, *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8 (2010). doi:10.1038/nrmicro2368.
228. Tomasello D, Schlegelhauf P. Chikungunya and dengue autochthonous cases in europe, 2007-2012, *Travel Med Infect Dis* 11 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2013.07.006.
229. Enserink M. Infectious diseases. crippling virus set to conquer western hemisphere, *Science* 344 (2014). doi:10.1126/science.344.6185.678.
230. Fischer M et al. Notes from the field: Chikungunya virus spreads in the americas - caribbean and south america, 2013-2014, *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 63 (2014).
231. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CHIKUNGUNYA information for vector control programs. Available at URL: http://www.cdc.gov/chikungunya/pdfs/CHIKV_VectorControl.pdf.
232. Sourisseau M et al. Characterization of reemerging chikungunya virus, *PLoS Pathog* 3 (2007). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030089.
233. Burt FJ et al. Chikungunya: A re-emerging virus, *Lancet* 379 (2012). doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60281-X.
234. Kucharz EJ, Cebula-Byrska I. Chikungunya fever, *Eur J Intern Med* 23 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2012.01.009.
235. Thiberville SD et al. Chikungunya fever: Epidemiology, clinical syndrome, pathogenesis and therapy, *Antiviral Res* 99 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.06.009.

236. Kielian M et al. Alphavirus entry and membrane fusion, *Viruses* 2 (2010). doi:10.3390/v2040796.
237. Strauss JH, Strauss EG. The alphaviruses: Gene expression, replication, and evolution, *Microbiol Rev* 58 (1994).
238. Vancini R et al. Alphavirus genome delivery occurs directly at the plasma membrane in a time- and temperature-dependent process, *J Virol* 87 (2013). doi:10.1128/JVI.03412-12.
239. Lescar J et al. The fusion glycoprotein shell of semliki forest virus: An icosahedral assembly primed for fusogenic activation at endosomal pH, *Cell* 105 (2001). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00303-8.
240. Wahlberg JM et al. The heterodimeric association between the membrane proteins of semliki forest virus changes its sensitivity to low pH during virus maturation, *J Virol* 63 (1989).
241. Wahlberg JM, Garoff H. Membrane fusion process of semliki forest virus. I: Low pH-induced rearrangement in spike protein quaternary structure precedes virus penetration into cells, *J Cell Biol* 116 (1992).
242. Gibbons DL et al. Multistep regulation of membrane insertion of the fusion peptide of semliki forest virus, *J Virol* 78 (2004).
243. Sanchez-San Martin C et al. A stable prefusion intermediate of the alphavirus fusion protein reveals critical features of class II membrane fusion, *Cell Host Microbe* 4 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.chom.2008.10.012.
244. Wengler G et al. During entry of alphaviruses, the E1 glycoprotein molecules probably form two separate populations that generate either a fusion pore or ion-permeable pores, *J Gen Virol* 85 (2004).
245. Harrison SC. Viral membrane fusion, *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 15 (2008). doi:10.1038/nsmb.1456.
246. Lu YE et al. The cholesterol requirement for sindbis virus entry and exit and characterization of a spike protein region involved in cholesterol dependence, *J Virol* 73 (1999).
247. Nieva JL et al. Membrane fusion of semliki forest virus requires sphingolipids in the target membrane, *EMBO J* 13 (1994).
248. Smit JM et al. Low-pH-dependent fusion of sindbis virus with receptor-free cholesterol- and sphingolipid-containing liposomes, *J Virol* 73 (1999).
249. White J, Helenius A. pH-dependent fusion between the semliki forest virus membrane and liposomes, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 77 (1980).
250. Bron R et al. Membrane fusion of semliki forest virus in a model system: Correlation between fusion kinetics and structural changes in the envelope glycoprotein, *EMBO J* 12 (1993).
251. Glomb-Reinmund S, Kielian M. The role of low pH and disulfide shuffling in the entry and fusion of semliki forest virus and sindbis virus, *Virology* 248 (1998). doi:10.1006/viro.1998.9275.
252. Bernard E et al. Endocytosis of chikungunya virus into mammalian cells: Role of clathrin and early endosomal compartments, *PLoS One* 5 (2010). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011479.
253. Gay B et al. pH-dependent entry of chikungunya virus into aedes albopictus cells, *Infect Genet Evol* 12 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2012.02.003.
254. Sanchez-San Martin C et al. Cross-inhibition of chikungunya virus fusion and infection by alphavirus E1 domain III proteins, *J Virol* 87 (2013). doi:10.1128/JVI.00814-13.
255. Tsetsarkin KA et al. Chikungunya virus adaptation to aedes albopictus mosquitoes does not correlate with acquisition of cholesterol dependence or decreased pH threshold for fusion reaction, *Virology* 438 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.virol.2011.07.036.
256. Brandenburg OF et al. Different infectivity of HIV-1 strains is linked to number of envelope trimers required for entry, *PLoS Pathog* 11 (2015). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004595.
257. Pal R et al. Pyrene phospholipid as a biological fluorescent probe for studying fusion of virus membrane with liposomes, *Biochemistry* 27 (1988).
258. Waarts BL et al. Reversible acid-induced inactivation of the membrane fusion protein of semliki forest virus, *J Virol* 79 (2005). doi:10.1128/JVI.79.12.7942-7948.2005.
259. Hinterdorfer P et al. Reconstitution of membrane fusion sites. A total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy study of influenza hemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion, *J Biol Chem* 269 (1994).
260. Imai M et al. Membrane fusion by single influenza hemagglutinin trimers: Kinetic evidence from image analysis of hemagglutinin-reconstituted vesicles, *J Biol Chem* 281 (2006). doi:10.1074/jbc.M600902200.
261. Melikyan GB et al. Imaging individual retroviral fusion events: From hemifusion to pore formation and growth, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102 (2005). doi:10.1073/pnas.0501864102.
262. Niles WD, Cohen FS. Fusion of influenza virions with a planar lipid membrane detected by video fluorescence microscopy, *J Gen Physiol* 97 (1991).
263. Hoekstra D et al. Fluorescence method for measuring the kinetics of fusion between biological membranes, *Biochemistry* 23 (1984).
264. Kielian MC, Helenius A. Role of cholesterol in fusion of semliki forest virus with membranes, *J Virol* 52 (1984).
265. Klimjack MR et al. Membrane and protein interactions of a soluble form of the semliki forest virus fusion protein, *J Virol* 68 (1994).
266. Mooney JJ et al. Interaction of sindbis virus with liposomal model membranes, *J Virol* 15 (1975).

267. Umashankar M et al. Differential cholesterol binding by class II fusion proteins determines membrane fusion properties, *J Virol* 82 (2008). doi:10.1128/JVI.00975-08.
268. Wahlberg JM et al. Membrane fusion of semliki forest virus involves homotrimers of the fusion protein, *J Virol* 66 (1992).
269. Chatterjee PK et al. Novel mutations that control the sphingolipid and cholesterol dependence of the semliki forest virus fusion protein, *J Virol* 76 (2002).
270. Waarts BL et al. Sphingolipid and cholesterol dependence of alphavirus membrane fusion. Lack of correlation with lipid raft formation in target liposomes, *J Biol Chem* 277 (2002). doi:10.1074/jbc.M206998200.
271. Ahn A et al. The fusion peptide of semliki forest virus associates with sterol-rich membrane domains, *J Virol* 76 (2002).
272. Moesby L et al. Sphingolipids activate membrane fusion of semliki forest virus in a stereospecific manner, *Biochemistry* 34 (1995).
273. Samsonov AV et al. Effects of membrane potential and sphingolipid structures on fusion of semliki forest virus, *J Virol* 76 (2002).
274. Gibbons DL et al. Conformational change and protein-protein interactions of the fusion protein of semliki forest virus, *Nature* 427 (2004). doi:10.1038/nature02239.
275. Ross RW. A laboratory technique for studying the insect transmission of animal viruses, employing a bat-wing membrane, demonstrated with two african viruses, *J Hyg (Lond)* 54 (1956).
276. Bottcher CSF. A rapid and sensitive submicro phosphorus determination, *Ann Chim Acta* 24 (1961). doi:10.1016/0003-2670(61)80041-X.
277. Laine R et al. Chemical composition of semliki forest virus, *Intervirology* 1 (1973).
278. van der Schaar HM et al. Characterization of the early events in dengue virus cell entry by biochemical assays and single-virus tracking *J Virol* 81 (2007). doi:10.1128/JVI.00300-07.
279. Kolter T, Sandhoff K. Lysosomal degradation of membrane lipids, *FEBS Lett* 584 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2009.10.021.
280. van Meer G et al. Membrane lipids: Where they are and how they behave, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 9 (2008). doi:10.1038/nrm2330.
281. Thompson BS et al. A therapeutic antibody against west nile virus neutralizes infection by blocking fusion within endosomes *PLoS Pathog* 5 (2009). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000453.
282. Nollert P et al. Lipid vesicle adsorption versus formation of planar bilayers on solid surfaces, *Biophys J* 69 (1995). doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(95)80014-7.
283. Bonizzoni M et al. The invasive mosquito species *Aedes albopictus*: Current knowledge and future perspectives *Trends Parasitol* 29 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.pt.2013.07.003.
284. Reiter P et al. *Aedes albopictus* as an epidemic vector of chikungunya virus: Another emerging problem? *Lancet Infect Dis* 6 (2006). doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70531-X.
285. Weaver SC, Forrester NL. Chikungunya: Evolutionary history and recent epidemic spread, *Antiviral Res* 120 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2015.04.016.
286. Jose J et al. A structural and functional perspective of alphavirus replication and assembly, *Future Microbiol* 4 (2009). doi:10.2217/fmb.09.59.
287. Hoornweg TE et al. Dynamics of chikungunya virus cell entry unraveled by single-virus tracking in living cells *J Virol* 90 (2016). doi:10.1128/JVI.03184-15.
288. van Duijl-Richter MK et al. Chikungunya virus fusion properties elucidated by single-particle and bulk approaches, *J Gen Virol* 96 (2015). doi:10.1099/vir.0.000144.
289. Cao S, Zhang W. Characterization of an early-stage fusion intermediate of sindbis virus using cryoelectron microscopy, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110 (2013). doi:10.1073/pnas.1301911110.
290. Zheng Y et al. The domain I-domain III linker plays an important role in the fusogenic conformational change of the alphavirus membrane fusion protein, *J Virol* 85 (2011). doi:10.1128/JVI.00596-11.
291. Clayton AM. Monoclonal antibodies as prophylactic and therapeutic agents against chikungunya virus *J Infect Dis* 214 (2016). doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw324.
292. Pal P et al. Development of a highly protective combination monoclonal antibody therapy against chikungunya virus, *PLoS Pathog* 9 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003312.
293. Pal P et al. Chikungunya viruses that escape monoclonal antibody therapy are clinically attenuated, stable, and not purified in mosquitoes, *J Virol* 88 (2014). doi:10.1128/JVI.01032-14.
294. Sun S et al. Structural analyses at pseudo atomic resolution of chikungunya virus and antibodies show mechanisms of neutralization, *Elife* 2 (2013). doi:10.7554/eLife.00435.
295. Kielian M, Helenius A. pH-induced alterations in the fusogenic spike protein of semliki forest virus. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 101 (1985).

296. Selvarajah S et al. A neutralizing monoclonal antibody targeting the acid-sensitive region in chikungunya virus E2 protects from disease, *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 7 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002423.
297. Smith SA et al. Isolation and characterization of broad and ultrapotent human monoclonal antibodies with therapeutic activity against chikungunya virus, *Cell Host Microbe* 18 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.chom.2015.06.009.
298. Fox JM et al. Broadly neutralizing alphavirus antibodies bind an epitope on E2 and inhibit entry and egress *Cell* 163 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.10.050.
299. Jin J et al. Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies block chikungunya virus entry and release by targeting an epitope critical to viral pathogenesis *Cell Rep* 13 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.11.043.
300. Kaufmann B et al. Neutralization of west nile virus by cross-linking of its surface proteins with fab fragments of the human monoclonal antibody CR4354 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107 (2010). doi:10.1073/pnas.1011036107.
301. Zeng X et al. Residue-level resolution of alphavirus envelope protein interactions in pH-dependent fusion, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112 (2015). doi:10.1073/pnas.1414190112.
302. Gibbons DL et al. Visualization of the target-membrane-inserted fusion protein of semliki forest virus by combined electron microscopy and crystallography, *Cell* 114 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00683-4.
303. van der Borg G et al. Single-particle fusion of influenza viruses reveals complex interactions with target membranes, *J Phys Condens Matter* (2018). doi:10.1088/1361-648X/aabc21.