

University of Groningen

The disappearance of a significant other

Lenferink, Lonneke Ingrid Maria

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Lenferink, L. I. M. (2018). *The disappearance of a significant other: Consequences and care*. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

2

Toward a better understanding of psychological symptoms in people confronted with the disappearance of a loved one: A systematic review

Lenferink, L.I.M., De Keijser, J., Wessel, I., De Vries, D., & Boelen, P.A. (in press). Toward a better understanding of psychological symptoms in people confronted with the disappearance of a loved one: A systematic review. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*. doi: 10.1177/1524838017699602

ABSTRACT

Objective The disappearance of a loved one is claimed to be the most stressful type of loss. The present review explores the empirical evidence relating to this claim. Specifically, it summarizes studies exploring the prevalence and correlates of psychological symptoms in relatives of missing persons, as well as studies comparing levels of psychopathology in relatives of the disappeared and the deceased.

Method Two independent reviewers performed a systematic search in Psychinfo, Web of Science, and Medline, which resulted in 15 studies meeting predefined inclusion criteria. Eligible studies included quantitative peer-reviewed articles and dissertations that assessed psychopathology in relatives of missing persons.

Results All reviewed studies were focused on disappearances due to war or state terrorism. Prevalence rates of psychopathology were mainly described in terms of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression and varied considerably among the studies. Number of experienced traumatic events and kinship to the missing person were identified as correlates of psychopathology. Comparative studies showed that psychopathology levels did not differ between relatives of missing and deceased persons.

Conclusions The small number of studies and the heterogeneity of the studies limits the understanding of psychopathology in those left behind. More knowledge about psychopathology post-disappearance could be gained by expanding the focus of research beyond disappearances due to war or state terrorism.

Keywords: missing persons, trauma, depression, grief, stress

Systematic reviews have shown that the death of a significant other can lead to serious mental health issues, including depression, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and complicated grief (Kristensen, Weisæth, & Heir, 2012; Lobb et al., 2010; van Denderen, de Keijser, Kleen, & Boelen, 2015). One of the risk factors for developing psychological symptoms following the loss of a significant other is the type of loss (Kristensen et al., 2012; van Denderen et al., 2015). Unnatural, sudden, and violent losses, such as homicide and suicide are associated with increased risk of psychopathology (Boelen, de Keijser, & Smid, 2015; Currier, Holland, & Neimeyer, 2006).

A unique type of loss is the disappearance of a loved one, also referred to as an ‘ambiguous loss’² or ‘unconfirmed loss’ (Boss, 1976; Powell, Butollo, & Hagl, 2010). Disappearances of persons affect thousands of people around the world yearly, especially in the context of war and/or state terrorism³ (i.e., acts of cruelty conducted by a state against its own people Aust, 2010, p. 265). A frequently cited assumption (e.g., Betz & Thorngren, 2006; Heeke & Knaevelsrud, 2015) originating from family stress theories (Betz & Thorngren, 2006) and family systems theories (Carroll, Olson, & Buckmiller, 2007), is that “Ambiguous loss is the most stressful loss because it defies resolution and creates confused perceptions about who is in or out of a particular family” (Boss, 2004, p. 553).

Recently, Heeke and Knaevelsrud (2015) presented a brief overview of seven quantitative studies focusing on psychopathology after the disappearance of a loved one due to war and state terrorism. They concluded that: a) PTSD, depression, and complicated grief symptoms are common following the disappearance of a loved one and b) these symptoms are more severe compared to symptoms observed in people confronted with the death of a loved one. However, there are some limitations that preclude firm conclusions. From a methodological perspective, the review may not give a complete and valid overview of the existing literature, because it lacked a systematic approach (e.g., no systematic search strategy, specifics about study selection

-
1. We use the term “complicated grief” throughout the paper to denote a pattern of adaptation to the death/disappearance of a significant other “that involves the presentation of certain grief-related symptoms at a time beyond that which is considered adaptive” (Lobb et al., 2010, p. 674). See Lobb et al. (2010, p. 674) for examples of grief-related symptoms. In previous studies the terms “prolonged grief disorder” or “traumatic grief” were used interchangeably to refer to complicated grief. In recent literature, grief-related distress may also be referred to as “persistent complex bereavement disorder” in accord with the fifth edition of the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (APA, 2013).
 2. Boss distinguished two types of ambiguous loss: the first denotes when the loved one is physically present, but psychologically absent (e.g., due to dementia) and the second when the loved one is psychologically present, but physically absent (e.g., when someone is reported as missing). Note that within this review the term ambiguous loss refers to the physical disappearance of a loved one.
 3. There is no consensus on the definition of state terrorism (Aust, 2010). Within this paper we use “disappearances due to state terrorism” to refer to disappearances that are probably caused by political repression. Studies included in this review that referred to ‘political repression’ in their text were referred to as ‘state terrorism’ in the current review. Studies that referred to ‘war’ in their text were referred to as ‘disappearance due to war’ in the current review.

criteria, and quality assessment of the reviewed studies). Furthermore, the evidence does not unequivocally support Heeke and Knaevelsrud's (2015) conclusions. For instance, indices of psychopathology were only significantly higher among relatives of missing persons compared to relatives of deceased persons in three out of five comparative studies (Powell et al., 2010; Quirk & Casco, 1994; Zvizdic & Butollo, 2001). Moreover, in these three studies some but not all indices of psychopathology differed significantly. All in all, Boss' (1976, 2004) claim that the disappearance of a loved one is the most stressful type of loss does not seem to rest on a solid empirical basis.

The current review provides a systematic overview of the scientific research on psychological symptoms in people confronted with the disappearance of a loved one. Our review complements Heeke and Knaevelsrud's (2015) review in that we used a systematic approach, in order to prevent selection bias of the reviewed studies and to guarantee replicability. Given the large number of people who are confronted with a disappearance due to war and state terrorism, it is important to give a systematic overview of current state of the literature regarding psychological symptoms in relatives of missing persons. This may contribute, among others, to 1) knowledge about the nature and severity of psychopathology in relatives of missing persons, 2) the identification of risk factors for psychopathology, and 3) directions for future research. In the following, we address three objectives. First, we aimed to summarize the studies examining prevalence rates of psychological symptoms in relatives of missing persons. Secondly, we sought to describe correlates of psychological symptoms. Our third goal was to enumerate the results of studies exploring differences in severity of psychopathology among relatives of disappeared people compared to relatives of deceased people.

METHOD

Inclusion criteria

Quantitative studies published in peer-reviewed academic journals and dissertations of which the abstract is indexed in scientific literature databases were included. The studies needed to report about psychological symptoms in spouses, family members and/or friends of missing persons. A missing person is defined as: "Anyone whose whereabouts is unknown whatever the circumstances of disappearance. They will be considered missing until located and their well-being or otherwise established" (Association of Chief Police Officers, 2010, p.15).

An article was excluded if it (1) was a qualitative or case study, (2) did not include data of participants (e.g., a literature overview), (3) was focused on participants of whom a relative had returned after a period of disappearance, (4) was focused on ambiguous loss in terms of being physically present but psychologically absent (e.g., dementia patients; cf. Boss, 1976), or (5) concerned relatives of persons whose loved one was absent, but not missing (e.g., foster care). A

protocol of the review can be obtained in the PROSPERO register (Lenferink, de Keijser, Boelen, & Wessel, 2015). The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, Altman, & PRISMA group, 2009).

Search strategy


Three topics structured the search terms: 1) missing persons, 2) people who are left behind, and 3) psychological symptoms. Because of the different words that can be used for each of the three search topics we entered multiple search terms (at least 12 per topic) to be as complete as possible. See Appendix Figure A1 for the search terms. Three electronic literature databases (Psychinfo, Web of Science, and Medline) were searched in June 2015. No date or language restrictions were applied in the search strategy.

Study selection

The consecutive steps for the selection of studies that were performed independently by two reviewers are displayed in Figure 1. In sum, the search terms in three databases resulted in 770 hits. After removal of duplicates the remaining articles were screened first by title, second by abstract, and lastly by full-text based upon the in- and exclusion criteria. Finally, the raters screened the reference lists of the eligible studies ($n = 17$) for additional studies meeting the inclusion criteria. As for interrater reliability, the percentages of absolute agreement between the raters ranged from 81% to 92%. In case of disagreement, consensus was reached through discussion. The databases were again searched in March 2016 for recently added literature, which resulted in zero eligible studies.

Two dissertations (Boss, 1976; Munczek, 1996) initially deemed eligible for inclusion were eventually excluded from the current review, because reading the full-text revealed that they provided the basis for published articles that were already included (Boss, 1977; Munczek & Tuber, 1998). Likewise, one study (Hagl, Rosner, Butollo, & Powell, 2014) was excluded because it appeared to be a clinical trial of which the relevant data were reported in another study (Powell et al., 2010) that was already included in the review. In addition, a language barrier necessitated discarding a study published in Croatian after including it based upon the abstract (Bek, Buzov, & Bilić, 2001).

Figure 1. Study selection



Synthesis of results

First, current and/or lifetime prevalence rates of psychopathology in relatives of missing persons are described for each study. The prevalence rates reflect the percentages of participants scoring beyond the established clinical threshold of the specific measure used. Second, results of correlation analyses or regression analyses, *t*-, Chi-square and /or *F*-tests to assess correlates of psychological symptoms are summarized. Third, Cohen's *d* effect sizes were computed for the studies that compared the severity of psychological symptoms between relatives of missing persons and relatives of deceased persons. According to Cohen (1988) effect sizes of $d = 0.2$ to 0.5 are small, $d = 0.5$ to 0.8 medium, and $d \geq 0.8$ large.

Quality assessment of the included studies

Performing a quality assessment of observational studies in systematic reviews is one of the criteria in the PRISMA guidelines for reporting systematic reviews (Moher et al., 2009). We assessed the quality of the included studies using the Systematic Assessment of Quality in Observational Research (SAQOR; Ross et al., 2011), a checklist that is developed for (Ross et al., 2011) and previously used in quality assessment of psychiatric observational studies (e.g., Kohrt et al., 2014).

The SAQOR evaluates the quality of studies based on meeting criteria in six domains: (i) Sample, (ii) Control/Comparison Group, (iii) Quality of Exposure/Outcome Measurements, (iv) Follow-Up, (v) Distorting Influences, and (vi) Reporting Data. Each domain consists of multiple criteria. The domain is rated as “adequate”, “inadequate”, “unclear”, or “not applicable” based on the frequency of fulfilled criteria of the subsequent domain. An overall quality rating – high, moderate, low, or very low - of each study is then determined based on frequency of adequate domains. As recommended by the authors of the tool (Ross et al., 2011) and consistent with authors using it (e.g., Kohrt et al., 2014) we slightly adapted the SAQOR to fit our specific population (see Appendix B for more details).

RESULTS

Quality assessment

Three studies met SAQOR criteria for high quality (Heeke, Stammel, & Knaevelsrud, 2015; Pérez-Sales, Durán- Pérez, & Herzfeld, 2000; Zvidic & Butollo, 2001), six studies for moderate quality (Baraković, Avdibegović, & Sinanović, 2013, 2014; Campbell & Demi, 2000; Navia & Ossa, 2003; Powell et al., 2010; Reisman, 2003), two studies for low quality (Basharat, Zubair, & Mujeeb, 2014; Munczek & Tuber, 1998), and four studies for very low quality (Boss, 1977, 1980; Clark, 2001; Quirk & Casco, 1994). See Appendix B for more details about the quality assessment of the 15 studies. The four very low quality studies are not addressed in the following.

Characteristics of the included studies

All 11 low to high quality studies used a cross-sectional design. The sample sizes varied from 14 to 225 relatives of missing persons. Two studies (Baraković et al., 2013, 2014) relied on a sample of women ($n = 120$) whose male family member disappeared during the war in Bosnia Herzegovina 15 to 18 years earlier. Another sample included women from Bosnia Herzegovina ($n = 56$) whose husbands disappeared during the war on average 7 years earlier (Powell et al., 2010). A fourth study included a sample of adolescents ($n = 201$) whose fathers had been missing since 3 to 4 years in the context of war in Bosnia Herzegovina (Zvidic & Butollo, 2001). Two studies were conducted in the United States of America among a small sample of family members of men listed as Missing-In-Action (MIA; Campbell & Demi, 2000) ($n = 20$) or Prisoner Of War (POW; Reisman, 2003) ($n = 14$) for over 25 years ago. Two studies were executed among relatives of disappeared persons in Colombia; one due to state terrorism 13 years earlier ($n = 73$; Heeke et al., 2015) and another due to economic extortive kidnapping ($n = 46$; Navia & Ossa, 2003). Navia and Ossa (2003) did not provide information on the amount of time that had passed since the disappearance. In Chile, Pérez-Sales et al. (2000) studied people ($n = 75$) whom a relative disappeared due to state terrorism more than

20 years earlier. Children ($n = 16$) whose fathers disappeared 9 years ago, on average, due to state terrorism were the subjects of a study in Honduras (Munczek & Tuber, 1998). The last study was focused on Pakistani family members ($n = 225$) who lived for 1 to 9 years with the disappearance of a loved one due to state terrorism (Basharat et al., 2014).

Prevalence of psychological symptoms (objective 1)

In four unique samples, described in five articles, prevalence rates of psychological symptoms were reported (Baraković et al., 2013, 2014; Heeke et al., 2015; Navia & Ossa, 2003; Pérez-Sales et al., 2000). Due to the heterogeneity of the studies (e.g., studies varied in terms of instruments used to assess symptoms and in terms of ethnic background of study samples), the prevalence rates of psychological symptoms are reported separately for each study. See Table 1 for a summary of the characteristics and the main findings of the studies.

The two studies of Baraković et al. (2013, 2014) relied on the same sample and showed current self-rated prevalence rates of 88% for depression, 65% for mild to severe anxiety complaints, 56% for PTSD, and 43% for somatic complaints. Heeke et al.'s (2015) study showed that 69% reported current depression, 67% PTSD, and 23% complicated grief based on interviews. Interview-based current prevalence rate of PTSD was 39% in a study of Navia and Ossa (2003). A final study reported considerably lower interview-based current and lifetime prevalence rates of PTSD (1%/3%), depression (3%/17%), anxiety (1%/5%), and complicated grief (7%/27%) (Pérez-Sales et al., 2000).

Table 1. The characteristics of the studies

Citation and quality	Country of study	Sample description	Outcomes of interest	Measures	Prevalence rates of psychopathology	Correlates of psychological symptoms
Baraković et al., 2013 Moderate	Bosnia Herze- govina	120 women with and 40 without a missing family member due to war 15-18 years earlier	Depression; Anxiety; Somatic symptoms	Beck Depression Inventory; Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; Somatic Symptom Index	88% current mild - severe depression; 65% current mild to severe anxiety symptoms	Women with a missing son experienced the most severe depression, anxiety, and somatic symptoms compared to women with a missing husband, father, or brother
Baraković et al., 2014 Moderate	Bosnia Herze- govina	See Baraković et al., (2013)	Anxiety; Depression; PTSD	Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; Beck Depression Inventory; Harvard Trauma Questionnaire	56% current PTSD	Women with a missing son or husband experienced more severe PTSD symptoms compared to women with a missing father or brother; number of experienced traumatic events was significantly associated with increased levels of PTSD, depression, and anxiety
Basharat et al., 2014 Low	Pakistan	225 persons with a missing family member due to state terrorism 1-9 years earlier	Anxiety; Depression; Stress; Coping strategies	Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale; Brief COPE	-	Being female, older, a spouse of a missing person, and inclined to use emotion-focused coping strategies were associated with increased levels of depression, anxiety, and stress; subjects whose loved one disappeared 1-3 years ago were significantly more distressed than those whose loved one disappeared 3 to 6 years or 6 to 9 years ago, but the latter group was significantly more distressed than those whose loved one disappeared 3.1 to 6 years ago

Table 1 (continued). The characteristics of the studies

Citation and quality	Country of study	Sample description	Outcomes of interest	Measures	Prevalence rates of psychopathology	Correlates of psychological symptoms
Campbell & Demi, 2000 Moderate	United States of America	20 adult children of men listed as MIA over 25 years earlier	PTSD; Complicated grief; Family functioning	Impact of Event Scale; Bereavement Experience Questionnaire Short Form; Family Hardiness Index	-	Gender and age were not associated with complicated grief and PTSD; sense of family 'control' and 'commitment' were negatively associated with PTSD avoidance; sense of family 'control' and 'challenge' were negatively associated with complicated grief
Heeke et al., 2015 High	Colombia	73 family members/friends of disappeared persons on average 13.4 (<i>SD</i> = 6.9) years earlier and 222 family members/friends of killed persons on average 12.1 (<i>SD</i> = 7.3) years earlier due to state terrorism	Depression; Extent of hope; Complicated grief PTSD	Hopkins Symptom Checklist - Depression subscale; Single item developed; Clinical Structured Interview for Prolonged Grief Disorder; PTSD Checklist - civilian version	69% current depression; 67% current PTSD; 23% current complicated grief	Gender, age, years of education, and time since loss were not associated with complicated grief; number of experienced traumatic events was positively associated with complicated grief, the association disappeared when partialling out PTSD and depression; extent of hope that the missing loved one is still alive explained unique proportion of the variance in complicated grief

Table 1 (continued). The characteristics of the studies

Munczek & Tuber, 1998	Honduras	16 children whose fathers disappeared on average 112 months earlier and 11 children whose fathers were killed on average 49 months earlier in the context of state terrorism	PTSD; Depression; Anxiety	Post-traumatic stress reaction checklist child version; Child Behavior Inventory	-
Navia & Ossa, 2003	Colombia	46 family members of victims of economic extortion kidnapping for unknown duration and 113 whose relative was released for 2-15 months	Family coping strategies; Family functioning; General psychological distress; PTSD	Family Coping Oriented Personal Evaluation Scale; Family Assessment Device; Global Severity Index of the Symptom Checklist-90-R; Clinician Administered PTSD Scale-DX	39% current PTSD
Moderate					Family coping strategies (e.g., seeking spiritual support and avoidance) were not associated with PTSD and general psychological distress. Three aspects of family functioning (family roles, behavior control, and general family functioning) were positively associated with general psychological distress

Table 1 (continued). The characteristics of the studies

Citation and quality	Country of study	Sample description	Outcomes of interest	Measures	Prevalence rates of psychopathology	Correlates of psychological symptoms
Pérez-Sales et al., 2000	Chile	75 family members of enforced disappeared persons and 44 family members of persons killed in the context of state terrorism more than 20 years earlier	PTSD; Depression; Complicated grief; Anxiety disorders	Psychiatric State Examination (10th edition)	1%/5% current and lifetime anxiety disorders; 3%/17% current and lifetime depression; 7%/27% current and lifetime complicated grief; 1%/3% current and lifetime PTSD	-
Powell et al., 2010	Bosnia Herzegovina	56 women whose husband appeared and whose husband were killed in war on average 7.4 years earlier	General psychological distress; Complicated grief; PTSD	General Health Questionnaire subscales somatic symptoms, anxiety, insomnia, social dysfunction, and depression; UCLA Grief Inventory; Impact of Event Scale	-	Not prewar or wartime stressors, but postwar stressors were uniquely associated with complicated grief and depression next to type of loss
Moderate						
Reisman, 2003	United States of America	14 adult children of men listed as MIA/POW and 70 adult children of men listed as KIA over 25 years earlier	PTSD	Impact of Event Scale	-	-
Moderate						

Table 1 (continued). The characteristics of the studies

Zvidic & Butollo, 2001	Bosnia Herze-govina	201 adolescents whose father disappeared, 208 whose father was killed, and 407 adolescents of the control group all in the context of war 3-4 years earlier	Depression	Birleson depression scale for children	-	Number of experienced traumatic events was associated with increased depression levels
High						

Note. In the third column of the table, time since disappearance is reported as was done in the respective studies; Not all studies reported mean and standard deviation (SD) of the time since disappearance; MIA = Missing In Action; POW = Prisoners Of War; KIA = Killed In Action; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder; - = not applicable, because the study did not report prevalence rates (based on established criteria) or correlates of psychopathology.

Correlates of psychological symptoms among relatives of the disappeared (objective 2)

Gender. Three studies examined whether psychopathology levels varied as a function of gender (Basharat et al., 2014; Campbell & Demi, 2000; Heeke et al., 2015). To begin with, Basharat et al. (2014) found that females were significantly more stressed ($d = 0.41$), depressed ($d = 0.52$), and anxious ($d = 0.38$) than males. A second study reported that gender was not significantly associated with complicated grief severity (Heeke et al., 2015). A third study reported that gender was unrelated to severity of complicated grief and PTSD (Campbell & Demi, 2000).

Age. Three studies explored the association between age and psychological symptoms (Basharat et al., 2014; Campbell & Demi, 2000; Heeke et al., 2015). The first showed that older participants were significantly more generally distressed than younger participants (Basharat et al., 2014). Two further studies reported non-significant associations between age and psychopathology in terms of complicated grief and PTSD (Campbell & Demi, 2000; Heeke et al., 2015).

Kinship. In two samples the difference in severity of psychopathology according to type of kinship was examined (Baraković et al., 2013, 2014; Basharat et al., 2014). Women with a missing son experienced significantly higher levels of PTSD, depressive, anxiety, and somatic symptoms compared to women with a missing husband, brother, or father (Baraković et al., 2013, 2014). Basharat et al.'s (2014) study showed that spouses were significantly more distressed than parents and siblings. In addition, parents were significantly more distressed than siblings.

Time since disappearance. The association between time since disappearance and psychopathology was studied twice (Basharat et al., 2014; Heeke et al., 2015). Basharat et al. (2014) showed that participants whose loved one disappeared 1-3 years earlier reported significantly higher levels of general distress than those whose loved one disappeared 3 to 9 years ago. However, in the same study, participants whose loved one disappeared 6 to 9 years ago experienced significantly higher levels of general distress than participants whose loved one disappeared 3 to 6 years ago. Heeke et al.'s (2015) study reported a negative correlation ($r = -.31$, $p < .01$) between time since disappearance (in months) and complicated grief severity, but time since disappearance was not a significant predictor of complicated grief after controlling for other variables (e.g., depression).

Educational level. The association between educational level and psychopathology was only examined by Heeke et al. (2015). They found education (in terms of number of years of education) to be unrelated to complicated grief.

Number of experienced traumatic events. Four studies assessed the number of traumatic events the relatives of missing persons had been exposed to (Baraković et al., 2014; Heeke et al., 2015; Powell et al., 2010; Zvizdic & Butollo, 2001). Baraković et al. (2014) found an increase in the number of experienced traumatic events to be associated with increased levels of depression (r

= .61, $p < 0.001$), PTSD ($r = .58, p < 0.001$), and anxiety ($r = .44, p < 0.001$). Zvizdic and Butollo (2001) found that more exposure to several war-related events (e.g., loss of home) and postwar-related events (e.g., family problems) were both also associated with depression ($r = .26, p < .01$ and $r = .26, p < .01$). A third study performed 11 regression analyses with type of loss (disappearance versus death), number of prewar, wartime, and postwar stressors as predictors and several psychological symptoms as outcome variables. Number of postwar, but not prewar or wartime stressors were associated with one of the complicated grief subscales (defined as traumatic grief) ($t = 3.03, p < .01$) and depression ($t = 2.37, p < .01$) next to type of loss (Powell et al., 2010). Finally, Heeke et al.'s (2015) study showed that an increase in the number of experienced traumatic events was associated with complicated grief ($r = .30, p < .01$); this association disappeared, however, when gender, severity of PTSD, and depression were partialled out.

Family functioning. Two studies examined the association between perceived functioning of the family and psychopathology (Campbell & Demi, 2000; Navia & Ossa, 2003). Navia and Ossa (2003) found no significant associations between five family coping strategies (e.g., seeking spiritual support and passive appraisal) and PTSD and general psychological distress in a subgroup ($n = 18$) of their sample. This subgroup included one family member per missing person in order to handle the within-family clustering effect. In addition, within the same subgroup of their sample a significant association was found between general psychological distress and aspects of family functioning (i.e., family roles ($r = .52, p < .05$, i.e., the way in which family members allocate responsibilities), behavior control ($r = .52, p < .05$, i.e., the way in which families provide clear standards and rules of behavior), and general family functioning ($r = .50, p < .05$)). A higher score on family functioning was indicative of unhealthier family functioning. No significant association was found between PTSD and family functioning. Campbell and Demi (2000) reported that individuals experienced less PTSD symptoms of the avoidance cluster when they felt their family was cooperative in solving problems ($r = -.47, p < .05$) and is in control over dealing with adverse life events ($r = -.49, p < .05$). Furthermore, individuals reported less complicated grief when they viewed their families as active in managing challenging situations ($r = -.50, p < .05$) and in control over dealing with these situations ($r = -.62, p < .05$).

Coping strategies. The association between use of coping strategies and psychopathology was examined in only one study (Basharat et al., 2014). That study showed that greater use of emotion-focused coping strategies (e.g., seeking sympathy from others) was associated with increased levels of depression ($r = .38, p < .001$), anxiety ($r = .24, p < .001$), and stress ($r = .41, p < .001$). Greater use of problem-focused coping strategies (e.g., thinking about dealing with the problem) was associated with decreased levels of depression ($r = -.48, p < .001$), anxiety ($r = -.35, p < .001$), and stress ($r = -.26, p < .01$).

Extent of hope that the missing person is alive. The association between extent of hope that the loved one is still alive and psychopathology was explored in one study. Extent of hope explained 5% of the variance in complicated grief above gender, depression and PTSD severity, number of experienced traumatic events, and time since disappearance (Heeke et al., 2015).

Summary of the results of correlational studies. No clear conclusions can be drawn about the association between psychopathology and gender or age. The results with respect to the association between kinship and psychopathology were consistent across two samples. More specifically, spouses and parents of missing persons seem to be the most affected compared to siblings. Based on one study, years of education was unrelated to complicated grief. The two studies that explored the association between time since disappearance and symptom severity yielded contrasting results. The results of four studies indicated that number of traumatic events that people had been exposed to was significantly associated with increased levels of psychopathology. Two studies assessed the association between family functioning and psychological symptoms and found inconsistent results. Using emotion-focused coping strategies more frequently and problem-focused less frequently coping strategies were related to higher psychopathology levels based on a single study. One study showed a positive association between extent of hope that the loved one is still alive and psychopathology.

Disappearance versus death (objective 3)

Six studies, all cross-sectional studies, compared relatives of disappeared to deceased persons, all in the context of state terrorism or war. Homicide was the cause of death in all cases, with an exception of the study of Heeke et al. (2015) of which 93% of the deceased persons were victims of homicide and the other 7% died due to another reason related to state terrorism (e.g., illness). A summary of the studies is offered in Table 2.

PTSD. In four studies the severity or prevalence of PTSD symptoms did not differ significantly between relatives of victims of disappearance to relatives of homicide victims (Heeke et al., 2015; Munczek & Tuber, 1998; Powell et al., 2010; Reisman, 2003). A fifth study showed that lifetime prevalence rate of PTSD since the loss was significantly higher among homicidally bereaved individuals (9.0%) than individuals confronted with the disappearance of a family member (1.3%) (Pérez-Sales et al., 2000).

Depression. One study showed that spouses of men who disappeared had significantly more severe depressive symptoms than homicidally bereaved spouses (Powell et al., 2010). This difference had a medium effect size ($d = 0.67$). Another study reported that those of whom a loved one disappeared also experienced significantly higher depression levels than homicidally bereaved individuals ($d = 0.22$) (Zvizdic & Butollo, 2001). Three other studies did not find significant

differences in severity or prevalence of depression between relatives of victims of disappearance or homicide (Heeke et al., 2015; Munczek & Tuber, 1998; Pérez-Sales et al., 2000).

Anxiety. Anxiety symptom severity did not differ significantly between children or spouses of men who were victims of disappearance or homicide (Munczek & Tuber, 1998; Powell et al., 2010). Lifetime prevalence rates of anxiety disorders since the loss was significantly higher for the relatives of the disappeared (5.3%) compared to the homicidally bereaved (0.0%) (Pérez-Sales et al., 2000).

Complicated grief. Women whose husbands disappeared reported significantly higher levels of “traumatic grief” compared to homicidally bereaved women (Powell et al., 2010). This difference can be interpreted as a medium effect ($d = .79$). Within the same study no significant differences were found between groups in terms of “existential grief” (Powell et al., 2010). It remains unclear in this paper how traumatic and existential grief were defined and how they differed from each other. A second study also failed to show significant differences in severity or prevalence of complicated grief between relatives of the disappeared compared to homicidally bereaved family relatives (Heeke et al., 2015). Pérez-Sales et al. (2000) reported non-significant differences in prevalence rates of complicated grief between family members of persons who disappeared or were victims of homicide.

Social dysfunction and somatic symptoms. One study examined the differences in severity of social dysfunction and somatic symptoms between wives whose husbands disappeared or were victims of homicide. No significant differences were shown for the two groups (Powell et al., 2010).

Summary of the results of comparative studies. In sum, results across six studies, conducted in the context of war or state terrorism, indicated that relatives of disappeared persons and relatives of homicide victims overall did not significantly differ in severity or prevalence rate of psychopathology. Only four out of 24 comparisons across 6 studies yielded significantly higher levels of severity or prevalence of psychological symptoms in the disappeared group compared to the homicide group and these differences had a small to medium effect size (see Table 2).

Table 2. Effect size estimates for differences in psychological symptoms between relatives of victims of disappearance and homicide

Citation	Description of the sample of relatives of enforced disappeared persons	Description of the comparison group of homicidally bereaved relatives	Outcomes	Significantly higher levels of psychopathology for disappeared group	Effect size (<i>Cohen's d</i>)	Significantly higher current/lifetime prevalence rates for disappeared group
Heeke et al., 2015	73 family members and friends of enforced disappeared persons	222 family members and friends of deceased persons (93% were homicidally bereaved)	Depression PTSD Complicated grief	N N N	-0.02 0.01 0.07	N N N
Munczek & Tuber, 1998	16 children of enforced disappeared fathers	11 children whose father was a homicide victim	Depression PTSD Anxiety	N N N	- - -	N N N
Pérez-Sales et al., 2000	75 family members of disappeared persons	44 family members of homicide victims	Depression PTSD Complicated grief Anxiety disorders	N N N N	- - - -	N/N N/N N/N N/Y
Powell et al., 2010	56 female spouses of victims of disappearance	56 female spouses of homicide victims	Depression PTSD Normal grief Traumatic grief Existential grief Social dysfunction Somatic symptoms Anxiety and insomnia	Y N N Y N N N N	0.67 0.08 0.17 0.79 0.27 0.22 -0.05 0.13	
Reisman, 2003	14 adult children of men listed as MIA/POW	70 adult children of men listed as KIA	PTSD	N	0.21	

Table 2 (continued). Effect size estimates for differences in psychological symptoms between relatives of victims of disappearance and homicide

Zvidic & Butollo, 2001	201 adolescents whose father disappeared	208 adolescents whose father was a homicide victim	Depression	Y	0.22
------------------------	--	--	------------	---	------

Note. N = no; Y = yes; - = the study did not report means, standard deviations, and/or other estimates that are necessary to compute the effect size; empty cells represent studies that did not compare mean scores or prevalence rates of psychopathology between the two groups; MIA = missing-in-action; POW = prisoner of war; KIA = killed-in-action; PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder.

DISCUSSION

The present study reviewed research relevant to Boss' (2004) frequently cited claim that the disappearance of a loved one is more traumatic than other types of loss. The first aim was summarizing the results regarding prevalence rates of psychological symptoms in relatives of missing persons. Prevalence rates of psychopathology were mainly described in terms of PTSD and depression and varied widely across a small number of studies (i.e., 1 to 67% for PTSD, 3 to 88% for depression, 1 to 65% for anxiety, 7 to 23% for complicated grief, and 43% for somatic complaints). Several things stand out from this summary of prevalence rates. Only five out of 11 studies assessed prevalence rates of psychological symptoms. Furthermore, these five studies varied in (a) instruments used to assess psychopathology (e.g., none of the studies used the same instrument), (b) ethnic background of study samples, (c) composition of study samples (e.g., female family members or children of missing persons and relatives of missing persons due to war versus due to state terrorism), and (d) methodological quality. Therefore, no general conclusive statements can be made about which psychological symptoms are most common among relatives of missing persons.

The second aim was exploring correlates of psychological symptoms in relatives of missing persons. Compared to other background characteristics, the type of kinship as correlate of psychopathology yielded the most consistent results. Spouses and parents of missing persons seem to be the most affected compared to siblings. However, only two studies explored the association between type of kinship and psychopathology. The association between other demographic variables – gender, age, educational level, and time since disappearance – and psychopathology was conflicting. The results of these correlational studies are roughly in accord with earlier studies among bereaved individuals. Reviews (Kristensen et al., 2012; Lobb et al., 2010; Stroebe & Schut, 2001) on grief-related distress indicate that the relationship between gender, educational level, age, and time since loss on the one hand and psychopathology on the other hand is conflicting. The relationship between kinship to the deceased and psychopathology is more consistent and indicates that parents and spouses are most strongly affected by a loss.

It should be noted that our systematic review does not warrant drawing firm conclusions about the association between background characteristics and psychopathology because, taken together, the evidence is not sufficient. The composition of the majority of the study samples precluded the examination of the association between some of the background characteristics and psychopathology. For example, some samples consisted of solely females, which precluded studying the association between psychopathology and gender (Baraković et al., 2013, 2014; Powell et al., 2010). Others only included one type of kinship (e.g., children of missing persons) and therefore did not allow study the potential differences in severity of psychopathology across

different familial relationships (Campbell & Demi, 2000; Munczek & Tuber 1998; Powell et al., 2010; Reisman, 2003; Zvidic & Butollo, 2001).

Furthermore, four studies (Baraković et al., 2014; Heeke et al., 2015; Powell et al., 2010; Zvidic & Butollo, 2001) yielded evidence that the number of traumatic events participants had experienced is associated with increased levels of psychological symptoms. This is in line with previous trauma research indicating that cumulative trauma heightens the risk of development of PTSD (e.g., Johnson & Thompson, 2008; Kolassa et al., 2010; Wilker et al., 2015). More specifically, several studies showed that being exposed to traumatic events and the loss of a loved one heightens the risk of development of complex psychopathological symptom patterns characterized by comorbid symptoms of complicated grief, PTSD, and depression (cf. Mutabaruka, Séjourné, Bui, Birmes, Chabrol, 2012; Nickerson et al., 2014). Relatives of missing persons with comorbid symptoms may benefit most from interventions targeting different types of symptoms, such as brief eclectic psychotherapy and cognitive behavioral therapy (cf. Smid et al., 2015).

It has been postulated that holding on to hope for the return of the missing loved one may be associated with less emotional distress (Wayland, Maple, McKay, & Glassock, 2016). However, inconsistent with this notion, the single empirical study (Heeke et al., 2015) examining this linkage actually showed that the extent of hope that the loved one was still alive was associated with higher levels of complicated grief. The present review revealed mixed results regarding the use of coping strategies and family functioning as correlates of psychopathology. No further conclusions can be drawn about to what extent psychopathology is related to these constructs, since these potential associations were only explored in three studies, which yielded inconclusive results, and differed from each other in many ways (e.g., sample composition and measures used).

The third aim of the present systematic review was exploring whether the disappearance of a loved one is associated with more severe distress compared to the death of loved one (Boss, 2004). The claim that people who experience an ambiguous loss suffer from more severe psychological symptoms than individuals who experience another type of loss does not seem to be supported by the comparative studies reviewed here. Only four out of in total 24 comparisons across six comparative studies revealed that relatives of missing persons suffered from significantly more severe psychological symptoms compared to homicidally bereaved individuals. This is in contrast with the conclusion drawn in Heeke and Knaevelsrud's (2015) overview. Although there is overlap in the reviewed studies of the overview of Heeke and Knaevelsrud (2015) and our review, incompleteness of this former overview and different weighing of the empirical evidence may explain the difference in conclusion drawn. For instance, Heeke and Knaevelsrud (2015) omitted some comparative studies in their review (e.g. Munczek & Tuber, 1998). In addition, they elaborated on significant findings of a study of Quirk and Casco (1994) that we rated as very low quality and was therefore not discussed.

It is possible that methodological drawbacks of the reviewed studies are responsible for the lack of differences in psychopathology between relatives of the disappeared and the deceased. One of the major methodological shortcomings was the small sample size of the studies, increasing the risk of Type II error. Furthermore, all comparative studies were conducted in the context of war or state terrorism. Both groups were traumatized by different stressors, which might have made it difficult to distinguish between the effect of the loss of a loved one and the effects of other traumatic experiences. Lastly, we believe it is important to emphasize that studies conducted in the context of war-related disappearances may not be comparable to disappearances in the context of state terrorism. Our conclusion therefore needs to be interpreted with caution.

To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first providing a systematic review of empirical findings about the nature and severity of psychological symptoms in relatives of the disappeared. However, this present review has primarily revealed gaps in the literature on psychological symptoms in relatives of missing persons. The field of psychological research in relatives of missing persons could benefit from at least two types of improvements: 1) expanding the focus of research to comparing relatives of missing persons in the context of war and state terrorism as well as focusing on relatives of missing persons outside the context of war and state terrorism and 2) employing more rigorous research methods.

Expanding the focus of research

The results of our systematic review indicate that with no exception, the existing literature is focused on disappearances in the context of armed conflict (e.g., war or state terrorism). It would be interesting to study to what extent the experience of the disappearance of a loved one due to war differs from the disappearance due to state terrorism. Losing a significant other in war due to lack of protection by the state may not have the similar impact as experiencing the disappearance of a significant other due to violations conducted by the state. In the latter case, it is possibly more likely that the missing person is still alive, but those left behind may avoid searching for the missing person out of fear for prosecutions or reprisals. In addition, professional support may be less available in the case of disappearances due to state terrorism because, among others, professionals are at risk to become a victim of state terrorism as well. Enhancing knowledge about the similarities and differences in psychological consequences but also assessments of needs and barriers to care for relatives of missing persons in the context of war and state terrorism may be relevant for developing support tailored to the needs of people with different types of enforced disappeared relatives.

Disappearances outside the context of war and state terrorism may occur in a wide range of circumstances varying in intentionality (e.g., deliberately leaving without informing relatives versus being murdered and hidden, or accidentally drowning) (Biehal, Mitchell, & Wade, 2003). As an

example, consider the incidence rate of persons reported as missing to the Australian police. This rate (1.55 per thousand yearly) is higher than the number of reports of sexual assault, homicide, and unarmed robbery combined (Henderson, Henderson, & Kiernan, 2000). Expanding the focus of research from disappearances due to war or state terrorism to other types of disappearances is of interest for at least two reasons.

First, the type of disappearance might be a risk factor for the development of psychopathology, as the type of death is a risk factor for the development of psychological symptoms in the bereaved (Lobb et al., 2010). For example, violent deaths are associated with increased complicated grief symptoms (Kaltman & Bonnano, 2003; Lobb et al., 2010). This might also be the case for disappearances that are probably caused by an act of violence (e.g., kidnapping) compared to accidental disappearances (e.g., drowning accident). Enhancing our knowledge about severity and correlates of psychopathology related to different types of disappearances may facilitate identifying people at risk for developing post-disappearance psychopathology.

Second, studying post-disappearance psychopathology outside of the context of war or state terrorism could verify to what extent the results of our review are applicable to relatives of missing persons in general. With the exception of studies that are focused on relatives of men listed as MIA/POW, inherent to the studies about relatives of enforced disappearances due to war or state terrorism are the confounding effects of the: 1) exposure to additional potential traumatic events (e.g., torture and death of family members) and 2) ethnic background of the participants. It has been repeatedly shown that cumulative trauma heightened the risk of development of psychopathology (e.g., Johnson & Thompson, 2008). Previous research also support that intensity of grief-related distress differs as function of ethnic background (Laurie & Neimeyer, 2008; Oltjenbruns, 1998). Studies in Western societies outside the context of war and state terrorism could explore to what extent the results of our review are applicable to relatives of missing persons without the confounding effects of cumulative trauma and ethnic background.

Methodological improvements for future research

Our review revealed several methodological drawbacks in the literature. First, only 5 out of 11 studies assessed prevalence rates of psychopathology. Other studies did assess psychopathology but used non-validated measures and/or measures without established cut-off criteria for determining “caseness” of psychological disorders (e.g., Munczek & Tuber, 1998). Future research should use validated measures, preferably clinical structural interviews, for assessing the severity of psychopathology among those left behind in order to gain more insights into the extent of the mental health issues. Noteworthy is that the majority of the studies reporting interview-based prevalence rates focused on PTSD and depression. Prevalence rates of complicated grief, conceptualized and measured in different ways, were only studied twice (Heeke et al., 2015; Pérez-

Sales et al., 2000). Two other studies (Campbell & Demi, 2000; Powell et al., 2010) did use a measure assessing grief manifestations, but these measures were not validated or lacked an established cut-off. Likewise, studies including measures of complicated grief are not self-evident in the literature about psychopathology following the death of a loved one (Kristensen et al., 2012; van Denderen et al., 2015). It has been repeatedly shown that complicated grief shows overlap with, yet is distinguishable from depression and anxiety (e.g., Boelen & van den Bout, 2005; Prigerson et al., 1996). In order to encourage further research into complicated grief, Persistent Complex Bereavement Disorder (PCBD) has been included as condition for further study in the DSM-5 (APA, 2013). Expanding the study of PCBD to relatives of missing persons might yield more insight into normal and disturbed grief processes of individuals who experience this unique type of loss.

A second methodological drawback of existing studies focused on psychopathology in relatives of missing persons is that only one study examined multiple potential correlates of psychopathology in relatives of missing persons simultaneously in one regression model (Heeke et al., 2015). Results of zero-order correlational analyses might be spurious due to confounding effect of other variables. Two other studies also performed regression analysis but also included participants of the comparison group in the analysis and as a result these analyses do not reflect the unique effect of the disappearance (Baraković et al., 2014; Powell, et al., 2010). More research that examines potential associated variables of psychopathology simultaneously is needed to gain more insights into psychological variables underlying the occurrence and maintenance of psychopathology. Up until now, correlational studies primarily focus on the association between psychological symptoms and background characteristics and number of experienced traumatic events. Theories about complicated grief and PTSD following the death of a loved one highlight the importance of cognitive and behavioral variables, as well as attachment styles, in the development and persistence of complicated grief and PTSD symptoms (e.g., Boelen, van den Hout, & van den Bout, 2006; Ehlers & Clark, 2000; Maccallum & Bryant, 2013; Shear & Shair, 2005). It would be interesting to study whether these variables are also associated with psychological symptoms following the disappearance of a loved one. This may help to identify individuals at risk for development of psychological symptoms following the disappearance of a loved one and to develop interventions to target these variables in treatment.

Third, all reviewed studies used a cross-sectional design. Longitudinal studies are needed to gain knowledge about prospective risk factors for the development and maintenance of psychopathology in relatives of missing persons.

Fourth, although most of the reviewed studies consisted of clustered data (i.e., multiple relatives of the same missing person) none of the studies used statistical techniques that could handle this clustering. Ignoring the nested structure of the data heightens the risk of spurious significant results. Using multilevel modelling could solve this problem in future research.

Fifth, the results of the comparative studies are highly likely biased due to again a lack of use of validated measures and solely use of mainly non-probability samples that consisted of relatives of enforced disappearances that were exposed to additional traumatic events that might interact with psychopathology. Moreover, due to non-probability sampling methods the generalizability of the results to relatives of missing person within the same context is also limited. Comparative studies using probability sampling methods outside the context of war and state terrorism could give more insights into the unique potential differential effect of the disappearance and the death of a loved one on severity and correlates of psychological symptoms in those left behind.

Sixth, multiple studies were excluded from the current review because they did not distinguish between relatives of missing persons and relatives of formerly missing persons (e.g., Greif & Hegar, 1991) or individual confronted with other potential traumatic experiences (e.g., Al Obaidi & Atallah, 2009). Future research could benefit from subgroup-analyses to explore the potential differential effect of the disappearance of a loved one.

Conclusion

The small number of studies and heterogeneity of the studies limits understanding of the complex and unique experience of those left behind after the disappearance of a loved one. However, based on the findings of our review we conclude (with caution) that: 1) prevalence rates of psychopathology, mainly described in terms of PTSD and depression, varied considerably among the studies, 2) spouses, parents, and those who are more exposed to additional traumatic events are vulnerable for the development of psychological symptoms post-disappearance of a loved one, and 3) the severity of these symptoms does not significantly differ from homicidally bereaved individuals in the context of war and state terrorism. Researchers are challenged to further explore this under-researched field to gain more insights in the nature, prevalence, and correlates of psychopathology in relatives of missing persons.

REFERENCES

- Al Obaidi, A. K. S., & Atallah, S. F. (2009). Iraqi refugees in Egypt: An exploration of their mental health and psychosocial status. *Intervention, 7*(2), 145-151.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Association of Chief Police Officers. (2010). *Guidance on the management, recording and investigation of missing persons*. Retrieved from: http://ec.europa.eu/justice/fundamental-rights/files/missing_persons_sec_edn_2010_en.pdf
- Aust, A. (2010). *Handbook of International Law* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Baraković, D., Avdibegović, E., & Sinanović, O. (2013). Depression, anxiety and somatization in women with war missing family members. *Materia Socio-Medica, 25*(3), 199-202. doi:10.5455/msm.2013.25.199-202.
- Baraković, D., Avdibegović, E., & Sinanović, O. (2014). Posttraumatic stress disorder in women with war missing family members. *Psychiatria Danubina, 26*(4), 340-346.
- Basharat, A., Zubair, A., & Mujeeb, A. (2014). Psychological distress and coping strategies among families of missing persons in Pakistan. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology, 40*(2), 211-220.
- Bek, R., Buzov, I., & Bilić, V. (2001). Anksiozne reakcije u ratu. = Anxiety reactions in war. *Socijalna Psihijatrija, 29*(1), 3-8.
- Betz, G., & Thorngren, J. (2006). Ambiguous loss and the family grieving process. *The Family Journal, 14*(4), 359-365.
- Biehal, N., Mitchell, F., & Wade, J. (2003). *Lost from view: Missing persons in the UK*. Bristol, UK: The policy press. Retrieved from: <http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/spru/research/pdf/lostFromView.pdf>
- Boelen, P. A., & van den Bout, J. (2005). Complicated grief, depression, and anxiety as distinct postloss syndromes: A confirmatory factor analysis study. *The American Journal of Psychiatry, 162*(11), 2175-2177. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.11.2175
- Boelen, P. A., van den Hout, M. A., & van den Bout, J. (2006). A cognitive-behavioral conceptualization of complicated grief. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 13*(2), 109-28. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2850.2006.00013.x
- Boelen, P. A., de Keijser, J., & Smid, G. (2015). Cognitive-behavioral variables mediate the impact of violent loss on post-loss psychopathology. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 7*(4), 382-390. doi:10.1037/tra0000018
- Boss, P. G. (1976). *Psychological father absence and presence: A theoretical formulation for an investigation into family systems pathology* (Doctoral dissertation, the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, United States of America). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database. (1977-31555-001).
- Boss, P. (1977). A clarification of the concept of psychological father presence in families experiencing ambiguity of boundary. *Journal of Marriage and the Family, 39*(1), 141-151. doi:10.2307/351070.
- Boss, P. (1980). The relationship of psychological father presence, wife's personal qualities and wife-family dysfunction in families of missing fathers. *Journal of Marriage and the Family, 42*(3), 541-549. doi:10.2307/351898
- Boss, P. (2004). Ambiguous loss research, theory, and practice: Reflections after 9/11. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 66*(3), 551-566. doi:10.1111/j.0022-2445.2004.00037.x
- Campbell, C., & Demi, A. (2000). Adult children of fathers missing in action (MIA): An examination of emotional distress, grief, and family hardness. *Family Relations, 49*(3), 267-276. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2000.00267.x
- Carroll, J. S., Olson, C. D., & Buckmiller, N. (2007). Family boundary ambiguity: A 30-year review of theory, research, and measurement. *Family Relations, 56*(2), 210-230.
- Clark, M. S. (2001). *Patterns of grief reactions in the families of the unaccounted for POW/MIA servicemen from the Vietnam War* (Doctoral dissertation, New School for Social Research, New York City, United States of America). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database. (2001-95002-223).

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Currier, J. M., Holland, J. M., & Neimeyer, R. A. (2006). Sense-making, grief, and the experience of violent loss: Toward a mediational model. *Death Studies, 30*(5), 403-28. doi: 10.1080/07481180600614351
- Ehlers, A., & Clark, D. M. (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 38*, 319-345. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7967(99)00123-0
- Greif, G. L., & Hegar, R. L. (1991) Parents whose children are abducted by the other parent: Implications for treatment. *The American Journal of Family Therapy, 19*(3), 215-225, doi:10.1080/01926189108250853
- Hagl, M., Rosner, R., Butollo, W., & Powell, S. (2014). Dialogical Exposure with Traumatically Bereaved Bosnian Women: Findings from a Controlled Trial. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, 22*(6), 604-618. doi: 10.1002/cpp1921
- Heeke, C., & Knaevelsrud, C. (2015). Uneindeutiger verlust : Psychopathologische und psychosoziale Konsequenzen im Kontext gewaltsamer Konflikte. *Der Nervenarzt, 86*(7), 826-832. doi: 10.1007/s00115-014-4234-0
- Heeke, C., Stammel, N., & Knaevelsrud, C. (2015). When hope and grief intersect: Rates and risks of prolonged grief disorder among bereaved individuals and relatives of disappeared persons in Colombia. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 173*, 59-64. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.10.038
- Henderson, M., Henderson, P., & Kiernan, C. (2000). *Missing Persons: Incidence, Issues and Impacts*. Trends & Issues in crime and criminal justice. Canberra, Australia: Australian Institute of Criminology.
- Johnson, H., & Thompson, A. (2008). The development and maintenance of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in civilian adult survivors of war trauma and torture: A review. *Clinical Psychology Review, 28*(1), 36-47. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2007.01.017
- Kaltman, S., & Bonanno, G. A. (2003). Trauma and bereavement: Examining the impact of sudden and violent deaths. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 17*(2), 131-147. doi:10.1016/S0887-6185(02)00184-6
- Kohrt, B. A., Rasmussen, A., Kaiser, B. N., Haroz, E. E., Maharjan, S. M., Mutamba, B. B., de Jong, J. T., & Hinton, D. E. (2014). Cultural concepts of distress and psychiatric disorders: Literature review and research recommendations for global mental health epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 43*(2), 365-406. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt227
- Kolassa, I., Ertl, V., Eckart, C., Kolassa, S., Onyut, L. P., & Elbert, T. (2010). Spontaneous remission from PTSD depends on the number of traumatic event types experienced. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 2*(3), 169-174. doi:10.1037/a0019362
- Kristensen, P., Weisæth, L., & Heir, T. (2012). Bereavement and mental health after sudden and violent losses: A review. *Psychiatry, 75*(1), 76-97. doi: 10.1521/psyc.2012.75.1.76
- Laurie, A., & Neimeyer, R. A. (2008). African Americans in bereavement: Grief as a function of ethnicity. *OMEGA - Journal of Death and Dying, 57*(2), 173-193. doi:10.2190/OM.57.2.d
- Lenferink, L., de Keijser, J., Boelen, P., & Wessel, I. (2015). Towards a better understanding of psychological symptoms in those left behind after the disappearance of a loved one. PROSPERO 2015:CRD42015016757 Available from http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42015016757
- Lobb, E. A., Kristjanson, L. J., Aoun, S. M., Monterosso, L., Halkett, G. K. B., & Davies, A. (2010). Predictors of complicated grief: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Death Studies, 34*(8), 673-698. doi:10.1080/07481187.2010.496686
- Maccallum, F., & Bryant, R. A. (2013). A cognitive attachment model of prolonged grief: Integrating attachments, memory, and identity. *Clinical Psychology Review, 33*, 713-727. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2013.05.001
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 62*(10), 1006-12. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135

- Munczek, D. S. (1996). *The long-term psychological impact of political repression: The children of the 'disappeared' and assassinated in Honduras* (Doctoral dissertation, the City University of New York, New York City, United States of America). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database. (1996-95007-369).
- Munczek, D., & Tuber, S. (1998). Political repression and its psychological effects on Honduran children. *Social Science & Medicine*, 47(11), 1699-1713. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(98)00252-4
- Mutabaruka, J., Séjourné, N., Bui, E., Birmes, P. and Chabrol, H. (2012). Traumatic grief and traumatic stress in survivors 12 years after the genocide in Rwanda. *Stress and Health*, 28, 289–296. doi:10.1002/smi.1429
- Navia, C., & Ossa, M. (2003). Family functioning, coping, and psychological adjustment in victims and their families following kidnapping. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 16(1), 107-112. doi:10.1023/A:1022023730711
- Nickerson, A., Liddell, B.J., Maccallum, F., Steel, Z., Silove, D., & Bryant, R.A. (2014). Posttraumatic stress disorder and prolonged grief in refugees exposed to trauma and loss. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14, 106. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-14-106
- Oltjenbruns, K. A. (1998). Ethnicity and the grief response: Mexican American versus Anglo American college students. *Death Studies*, 22(2), 141-155. doi:10.1080/074811898201641
- Pérez-Sales, P., Durán- Pérez, T., & Herzfeld, R. B. (2000). Long-term psychosocial consequences in first-degree relatives of people detained-disappeared or executed for political reasons in Chile: A study in Mapuce and non-Mapuce persons. *Psicothema*, 12, 109-116.
- Powell, S., Butollo, W., & Hagl, M. (2010). Missing or killed the differential effect on mental health in women in Bosnia and Herzegovina of the confirmed or unconfirmed loss of their husbands. *European Psychologist*, 15(3), 185-192. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000018
- Prigerson, H. G., Bierhals, A. J., Kasl, S. V., Reynolds, C. F., Shear, M. K., Newsom, J. T., & Jacobs, S. (1996). Complicated grief as a disorder distinct from bereavement-related depression and anxiety: A replication study. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153(11), 1484-6.
- Quirk, G., & Casco, L. (1994). Stress disorders of families of the disappeared: A controlled study in Honduras. *Social Science & Medicine*, 39(12), 1675-1679. doi:10.1016/0277-9536(94)90082-5
- Reisman, A. S. (2003). *The psychological effects of ambiguous grief: Adult children of killed-in-action and missing-in-action servicemen from the Vietnam War* (Doctoral dissertation, the New School University, New York City, United States of America). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database. (2004-99014-271).
- Ross, L. E., Grigoriadis, S., Mamisashvili, L., Koren, G., Steiner, M., Dennis, C. L., Cheung, A., & Mousmanis, P. (2011). Quality assessment of observational studies in psychiatry: An example from perinatal psychiatric research. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 20(4), 224-34. doi: 10.1002/mpr.356
- Shear, K., & Shair, H. (2005). Attachment, loss, and complicated grief. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 47, 253-267. doi:10.1002/dev.20091
- Smid, G.E., Kleber, R.J., de la Rie, S.M., Bos, J.B., Gersons, B.P., & Boelen, P.A. (2015). Brief Eclectic Psychotherapy for Traumatic Grief (BEP-TG): toward integrated treatment of symptoms related to traumatic loss. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 6, 27324. doi:10.3402/ejpt.v6.27324
- Stroebe, M. S., & Schut, H. (2001). Risk factors in bereavement outcome: a methodological and empirical review. In M. S. Stroebe, R. O. Hansson, W. Stroebe, & H. Schut (Eds.), *Handbook of bereavement research: Consequences, coping and care* (pp. 349–374). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- van Denderen, M., de Keijser, J., Kleen, M., & Boelen, P. A. (2015). Psychopathology among homicidally bereaved individuals: A systematic review. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 16(1), 70-80. doi: 10.1177/1524838013515757
- Wayland, S., Maple, M., McKay, K., & Glasscock, G. (2016). Holding on to hope: A review of the literature exploring missing persons, hope and ambiguous loss. *Death Studies*, 40(1), 54-60. doi:10.1080/07481187.2015.1068245

Wilker, S., Pfeiffer, A., Kolassa, S., Koslowski, D., Elbert, T., & Kolassa, I. (2015). How to quantify exposure to traumatic stress? Reliability and predictive validity of measures for cumulative trauma exposure in a post-conflict population. *European Journal Of Psychotraumatology*, 6. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v6.28306>

Zvizdic, S., & Butollo, W. (2001). War-related loss of one's father and persistent depressive reactions in early adolescents. *European Psychologist*, 6(3), 204-214.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank MSc. Sander Sprik for his assistance with the development of the search strategy, MA. Liesbeth E. A. Rischen-Sindram for translating a French article, and Marjolijn Dijkhuis for translating a Spanish article.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42015016757

APPENDIX A

Figure A1. Search terms

("missing family member*" OR "missing father*" OR "missing mother*" OR "missing people" OR "gone missing" OR "missing child*" OR "missing person*" OR "missing in action" OR "people go missing" OR "unconfirmed loss*" OR "ambiguous loss" OR "kidnap*" OR "forced disappearance" OR "disappeared person*") AND ("families" OR "family" OR "child*" OR "relative" OR "relatives" OR "wife" OR "wives" OR "husband*" OR "spouse*" OR "parent*" OR "son*" OR "daughter*") AND ("mental health" OR "stress*" OR "trauma*" OR "disorder*" OR "psychology*" OR "symptom*" OR "emotion*" OR "distress" OR "impact" OR "dysfunction" OR "experience" OR "grief" OR "griev*")

APPENDIX B.

Table B1. Systematic quality assessment of the studies

Citation	Sample				Control/comparison group						Follow-up				Distorting influences			Data reporting	Quality
	Representative	Source	Method	Power calculation	Inclusion/Exclusion	Inclusion criteria	Identifiable	Source	Matched	Statistical control	Outcome	Number lost	Reason for loss	Traumatic events	Other	Missing data	Clarity/Accuracy		
Baraković et al., 2013	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	M	
Baraković et al., 2014	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	M	
Basharat et al., 2014	N	Y	Y	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	L	
Boss, 1977	N	N	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	V	
Boss, 1980	N	Y	Y	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	V	
Campbell & Demi, 2000	N	Y	Y	N	Y	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	M	
Clark, 2001	N	Y	Y	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	V	
Heeke et al., 2015	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	Y	Y	Y	N	H	
Munczek & Tuber 1998	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	L	
Navia and Ossa, 2003	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	Y	M	
Pérez-Sales et al., 2000	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	Y	H	
Powell et al., 2010	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	Y	N	N	N	M	
Quirk & Casco, 1994	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	N	V	

Table B1 (continued). Systematic quality assessment of the studies

Reisman, 2003	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	n/a	N	N	N	N	N	M
Zvidic and Butollo, 2001	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	n/a	Y	Y	N	Y	N	H

Note. N = no; Y = yes; n/a = not applicable; H = high; M = moderate; L = low; V = very low. For Sample, the 'representative' criterion was met if the study determined a base sample across multiple sources that matched the target population and used random sampling to arrive at the sample. The 'source' criterion was met if the study included a description of where the sample was drawn from. The 'method' criterion was met if the recruitment or selection procedure of participants was explicitly stated. The 'sample size' criterion was met if a power calculation was reported and the sample size was in accord with the power calculation. The 'inclusion/exclusion' criterion was met if a description and justifications was given of in-/exclusion criteria. To achieve a score of 'Adequate', a minimum of 3 Sample criteria had to be met. For Control/comparison group, all types of control/comparison groups were taken into account. The 'inclusion' criterion was met if a control/comparison group was included. The 'identifiable' criterion was met if the control/comparison group was identifiable and a distinction was made between the groups in the study. The 'Source' criterion was met if a description was given of the source and recruitment of the control/comparison group. The 'Matched' criterion was met if matching or randomizing techniques were applied and described. The 'Statistical control' criterion was met if statistical differences between the groups were controlled for except for the primary outcomes or when it was described that there were no statistical differences between the groups. To achieve a score of 'Adequate' a minimum of 3 Control/Comparison criteria had to be met. Studies without a control/comparison group were rated 'not applicable' for this domain. For Outcome, 'outcome' criterion was met if the paper clearly stated what measures were used for which purposes and these measurements were methodologically sound. To achieve a score of 'Adequate' in this category, studies must have met the criterion. For Follow-Up, all study designs were marked as "not applicable", due to cross-sectional design. For Distorting Influence, controlling for "Traumatic events" was used as criterion for the current review since the majority of studies were conducted in the context of war and state terrorism. This criterion was met if studies in the context of war and state terrorism controlled for exposure to traumatic events. "Other" criterion was met if the study controlled for other confounding variables and gave a clear description of how and why they controlled for other variables. To achieve a score of 'Adequate' in this category, studies in the context of war and state terrorism must have met both of these criteria. Studies outside the context of war or state terrorism must have met the "Other" criterion in order to achieve a score of 'Adequate'. For Data Reporting, 'Missing Data' criterion was met if the paper reported about how missing data were dealt with. The 'Clarity Accuracy' criterion was met if data were clearly and accurately presented (e.g., appropriate use of statistics). To achieve a score of 'Adequate', studies must have met both criteria. A final quality level was computed for the studies as follows: high 3 or more adequate in applicable domains; moderate 2 adequate in applicable domains; low 1 inadequate in applicable domains; very low none adequate in applicable domains.

