

University of Groningen

Computational design and screening of enzyme enantioselectivity

Bombino, Elvira

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.585242912](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.585242912)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2023

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Bombino, E. (2023). *Computational design and screening of enzyme enantioselectivity*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.585242912>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions

1. More rapid success in enzyme engineering requires the strengthening of *in silico* tools for screening and ranking enzyme variants (Chapter 1).
2. High-throughput multiple-initialization ultrashort molecular dynamics simulations offer a computationally cheap solution for *in silico* screening of enzyme variants, provided conformational changes are of minor importance (Chapter 2, Chapter 4).
3. Even a simple one-step enzyme reaction involving water may be too complex to predict its regioselectivity (Chapter 3).
4. When discussing enzyme engineering, diastereomers should not be confused with enantiomers (Chapter 4).
5. A good methodology is hard to overcome: Rosetta has been the leading tool for protein redesign for more than 20 years (Chapter 2, Chapter 4).
6. Negative results include useful data that should be shared with the scientific community.
7. Increased computational power can either make scientists more effective or more efficient at being stupid (*modified from J.F. Wells (1984) The survival advantage of stupidity, Speculations in Science and Technology 7, 17-21.*)
8. The impressive progress in protein structure prediction offered by AlphaFold and the abuse of “machine learning” terminology are two faces of the same coin.