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Development of a robust cell-based high-throughput screening assay to identify targets of HIV-1 viral protein R dimerization
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Abstract: Targeting protein–protein interactions (PPI) is an emerging field in drug discovery. Dimerization and PPI are essential properties of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 proteins, their mediated functions, and virus biology. Additionally, dimerization is required for the functional interaction of HIV-1 proteins with many host cellular components. In this study, a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC)-based screening assay was developed that can quantify changes in dimerization, using HIV-1 viral protein R (Vpr) dimerization as a “proof of concept.” Results demonstrated that Venus Vpr (generated by BiFC Vpr constructs) could be competed off in a dose-dependent manner using untagged, full-length Vpr as a competitor molecule. The change in signal intensity was measured quantitatively through flow cytometry and fluorescence microscopy in a high content screening assay. High content imaging was used to screen a library of small molecules for an effect on Vpr dimerization. Among the tested molecules, a few of the small molecules demonstrate an effect on Vpr dimerization in a dose-dependent manner.
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Introduction
Protein–protein interactions (PPI) are essential for many cellular functions, including cancer and host pathogen interactions.1 Thus, a variety of methods have been developed to evaluate direct PPI in vitro and in vivo for future targeting strategies.2–4 In vitro methods such as copurification and affinity precipitation assays require the removal of proteins from their native environment and are not compatible with high-throughput screening (HTS). A number of cell-based assay technologies that are compatible with HTS have been applied to PPI targets including yeast and mammalian 2 hybrid assays, fluorescence resonance energy transfer, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET), positional biosensors, and protein–fragment complementation assays (PCA).5 The visualization and quantification of direct protein interactions within living cells provide two important advantages over other methods—the protein partners are expressed in their normal cellular environment, and their subcellular localization can be determined. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) approaches use protein interaction partners expressed as chimeras with fragments of a fluorescent protein. BiFC is based on the formation of a fluorescent complex by fragments of fluorescent proteins for which association is facilitated by the interaction between the proteins fused to these fragments. BiFC analysis has been used successfully to study the direct interaction of many different proteins in different cell types and organisms.6–9 Recent studies have also shown that BiFC analysis can be used for HTS to assess the
effects of small molecules on protein complexes, providing
a method to measure spatial and temporal changes in protein
complexes that are a response to drugs.10

Dramatic improvements in treating human immuno-
deficiency virus-type 1 (HIV-1) infected individuals have
been attained with highly active antiretroviral therapy. Most
antiretroviral treatment regimens, however, fail to provide
long-term suppression of viral replication and therefore do
little to control disease progression.11–14 This has prompted
investigators to explore small molecule inhibitors that target
conserved functions of other viral proteins as well as viral-
host interactions. Most of the HIV-1 viral proteins (Gag,
Nef, viral protein R [Vpr], reverse transcriptase, integrase,
Rev, Env and protease) form dimers and/or oligomers that
are critical to their functions in the viral life cycle.15–20
Darunavir, a protease inhibitor, was found to also inhibit
protease dimerization; it has also shown decreased escape
mutant formation compared to other protease inhibitors.21
Thus, viral protein dimerization is a viable target in HIV-1
and can provide additional potent antivirals. To test this
hypothesis and to develop a high-throughput cell-based
screening platform, we used HIV-1 Vpr as a “proof of
concept” molecule and evaluated a method to block protein
dimerization and/or PPI. Furthermore, there are no Food
and Drug Administration-approved antivirals that target
this protein, despite evidence that HIV-1 Vpr is implicated in
pathogenesis;22,23 however, studies are in progress to target
HIV-1 Vpr by many investigators.24–28

HIV-1 encoded Vpr, a nonstructural protein, is incorpo-
rated into the virus particle and possesses several character-
istic features that are known to play important roles in HIV-1
replication. Biochemical and nuclear magnetic resonance
studies suggest that Vpr has three alpha helices connected
by loops that interact with each other to form dimers and
oligomers upon expression.29–32 Many of the functions of
Vpr in the cell are carried out by virion-associated Vpr
(similar to de novo synthesized Vpr), suggesting that the
incorporation of Vpr into virus particles is important in
HIV-1 biology.33–35 In infected cells, Vpr is primarily pres-
ent as dimers, though at higher concentrations it is known
to form trimers and oligomers.36,37 Preliminary studies on
Vpr dimerization using a BiFC assay system show that
dimerization-defective Vpr molecules fail to incorporate
into virus particles, suggesting that Vpr dimerization is
essential for virion incorporation, interaction with host
cellular proteins, and downstream functions of Vpr.38 The
focus of this report is first to develop an assay to measure
changes in Vpr dimerization and, secondly, to screen two
libraries for inhibitors of Vpr dimerization. Results indicate
that BiFC-based high content cell-based screening provides
a measureable readout that will be useful to screen com-
 pound libraries. Two small libraries were screened, one of
overlapping Vpr peptides, and another of leucine rotamers
designed to mimic alpha helices. Together, these studies
validate the usefulness of this assay and potential for future
drug screening.

Materials and methods
Cell lines and plasmids
HeLa cell lines were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle
medium (Gibco®; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA)
supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone;
Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc, Waltham, MA, USA), 1%
penicillin–streptomycin (Invitrogen; Life Technologies),
and 1% L-glutamine (Invitrogen; Life Technologies). The
Venus-Vpr chimeric constructs were generated as described.39
Briefly, sequences encoding the amino (residues 1–173;
referred to as VN) or carboxyl (residues 155–238; referred to
as VC) fragments of Venus fluorescence protein were fused
to the N terminus of HIV-1 Vpr via a six alanine linker.
Venus-Vpr refers to cells expressing both VC-Vpr and VN-Vpr
via transient transfection. HIV-1 Vpr containing Flag-tag was
used as “untagged” Vpr in competition assays.

Vpr peptides and leucine rotamers
HIV-1 consensus B VPR peptides (15 amino acids in
length, with eleven amino acid overlaps) were obtained
from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) AIDS Reagent
repository and dissolved according to the datasheet for these
peptides. Vpr peptides were dissolved either in phosphate
buffered saline (PBS) (at 10 µg/mL) or in dimethyl sulfoxide
(DMSO) (at 1 µg/mL) based on the information provided
in the Vpr peptide datasheets from NIH, ARRP (AIDS
Research and Reagent program). Leucine rotamers were
synthesized as small molecular weight mimics of α-helices
of proteins and dissolved in DMSO for further use.

Transfection
Cells were transfected with equal quantities of VN-Vpr and
VC-Vpr using the PolyJet reagent as suggested (SignaGen
Laboratories, Rockville, MD, USA). Five hours post-
transfection in screening assays, cells were trypsinized and
replated into a 96-well plate at a density of 45,000 cells/mL
and treated with Vpr peptides or compounds diluted in an
appropriate solvent in triplicate. Twenty-four hours posttreatment, cells were fixed and analyzed.

**Western blot and immunofluorescence**

Cells were seeded on six-well plates and transfected with a total of 2 μg of plasmid (combinations of Venus-Vpr, Vpr-flag, and empty vector). Cells were lysed, and protein levels were quantitated with a bicinchoninic assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc, Rockford, IL, USA). Samples were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and probed for Flag, HA-tag, or tubulin using monoclonal antibodies. Membranes were developed using enhanced chemiluminescence substrate (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc). For immunofluorescence, cells were transfected with a total of 2 μg of plasmid (combinations of Venus Vpr, Vpr-Flag, and empty vector) using PolyJet. Eighteen hours post-transfection, cells were fixed and stained with anti-HA and anti-Flag antibody. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoescht 33342 (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). Fluorescence was detected using an Olympus Fluoview 500 upright microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) with appropriate filters. Spot intensity quantification was performed using MetaMorph II software (Molecular Devices, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

**High content imaging analysis**

Cells were transfected as described above, fixed, and cell nuclei were stained with Hoescht 33342. Cells were stored in PBS until automated fluorescence microscopy analysis with the ArrayScan VTI HCS Reader imaging cytometer (Thermo Scientific Cellomics; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Data were collected in the fluorescein isothiocyanate, tetramethylrhodamine-5-(and-6)-isothiocyanate (TRITC), and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride channels, and analyzed using the BioApplications platform (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc). Background fluorescence was eliminated through comparison of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and TRITC channel intensities, and dying cells were excluded based on small nuclear area using the standard protocols developed by the Drug Discovery Institute of University of Pittsburgh. The number of viable cells per field was recorded for each well and used to assess comparative cytotoxicity. Viable cells were analyzed for mean nuclear BiFC intensity. The signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) was calculated as:

\[
S/N = \frac{\text{Mean signal} - \text{Mean background}}{\text{Standard deviation (SD) of background}} \tag{1}
\]

The Z’ factor measures the separation band using a combination of the variability and the difference in mean signal between the positive and negative controls. The Z’ factor (Z’) was calculated as:

\[
Z' = 1 - \frac{3\text{SD of sample} + 3\text{SD of control}}{\text{Mean of sample} - \text{Mean of control}} \tag{2}
\]

**Data normalization**

All Vpr peptides and small molecules were tested in triplicate on each plate. The replicate wells were averaged, and the average between these three wells was used to normalize the data between plates. Based on criteria published by the University of Pittsburgh Drug Discovery Institute, Z-scores were used to normalize the data across multiple plates. The Z-score was calculated as follows:

\[
Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \tag{3}
\]

where \(x\) is the value to be normalized, \(\mu\) is the mean, and \(\sigma\) is the SD of the mean. The mean was defined as the average across all replicates of the appropriate solvent-treated, transfected control on a single plate. To compare between plates, the Z-scores from individual plates were averaged for each small molecule. Due to the exploratory nature of the assay, the hit threshold was set at one standard deviation from the mean.

**Results**

**Kinetics of protein expression**

BiFC-based reconstitution of the Venus fluorophore is irreversible. Therefore, it was necessary to understand the kinetics of protein expression in order to time the addition of dimerization inhibitors before the expressed proteins form dimers and/or oligomers. To determine the speed of transfected protein expression, cells were cotransfected with equal amounts of VN-Vpr and VC-Vpr plasmid (referred as Venus-Vpr), collected at various times post-transfection, and assessed by Western blot (Figure 1A). Vpr expression was detected as early as 6 hours post-transfection in HeLa cells; by 12 hours post-transfection, the proteins levels were saturated in cell lysate (Figure 1A). Simultaneous measurement of BiFC within the same culture indicates that only 10% of cells are BiFC-positive and the percentage increases drastically to >40% by 12 to 15 hours post-transfection, remaining steady thereafter (Figure 1B). The delay between protein expression and BiFC fluorescence detection is likely...
due to the maturation time needed for the reconstitution of the Venus molecule.\textsuperscript{41}

**Competition assay**

In order to function as a screening tool, the BiFC system must be able to quantitatively detect changes in dimerization levels. In the absence of a known positive control, we used a competition assay to detect a decrease in Vpr dimerization through the BiFC signal. Reconstitution of the fluorophore by dimerization of the Venus-Vpr fusion proteins was competed off by cotransfection of increasing quantities of untagged, full-length Vpr (Vpr-Flag), and BiFC signal was measured. The input of Venus-Vpr was held constant to allow for comparison among the different ratios of Venus-Vpr to competitor. Increasing amounts of untagged Vpr, up to a maximum ratio of 1:8 Venus-Vpr to Vpr-Flag, were assessed at 18 hours post-transfection for their effect on BiFC fluorescence. Results indicate the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) exhibits a linear decrease as levels of competitor Vpr-flag increase. At the 1:8 ratio, the MFI decreased by 40% compared to Venus-Vpr alone (Figure 2). To verify the level of Venus-Vpr protein expression in these samples, we performed a Western blot on cell lysates from these cotransfected cells, and the results indicated no change in Vpr fusion protein expression (data not shown). Flow cytometry gates were set to capture all BiFC positive cells, independent of intensity. No significant change was observed in the percentage of BiFC positive cells (data not shown), which suggests that transfection efficiency was not affected by the addition of competitor Vpr-flag plasmid.

We next assessed the decrease in BiFC signal intensity using immunostaining followed by imaging using a confocal microscope. HeLa cells cotransfected with Venus-Vpr alone or with Vpr-Flag at a 1:8 ratio were fixed and stained with anti-Flag antibody (Figure 3). A visible decrease in BiFC fluorescence intensity was observed in cells cotransfected with Venus-Vpr and Vpr-flag (bottom panel) compared with Venus-Vpr and vector deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) (middle panel). The average intensity of the BiFC signal in the nucleus for Venus-Vpr transfection without Vpr-flag was 223.34 ± 47.09. For Vpr-flag cotransfected cells, five separate images containing twelve cotransfected cells were

---

**Figure 1** Vpr expression kinetics and generation of BiFC in transfected cells.

Notes: Cells were cotransfected with equal amounts of VN-Vpr and VC-Vpr plasmids. Hours post-transfection, cells were collected, split into two halves, and used for further analysis. (A) Cells were lysed and analyzed via Western blot using anti-HA antibody to detect Vpr expression. Tubulin was used as loading control. (B) Cells were fixed and analyzed via flow cytometry to detect the percentage of BiFC-positive cells. Results represent one of three independent experiments.

Abbreviations: Vpr, viral protein R; BiFC, bimolecular fluorescence complementation; VN-Vpr, Vpr fused to N-terminus of Venus protein; VC-Vpr, Vpr fused to C-terminus of Venus protein; HA, HA-tagged Vpr.

**Figure 2** Competition assay to detect the loss of BiFC signal generated by Vpr dimerization.

Notes: Cells were transfected with a constant amount of Venus-Vpr plasmid with increasing concentrations of untagged Vpr plasmid. DNA concentration and volume were normalized using empty vector DNA. Eighteen hours post-transfection, cells were collected and analyzed by flow cytometry. Results represent the mean of four independent experiments, and data from each experiment were normalized to the 1:0 (Venus Vpr: Untagged Vpr) sample.

Abbreviations: BiFC, bimolecular fluorescence complementation; Vpr, viral protein R; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid.
analyzed to generate an average pixel intensity of 141.10 ± 22.85. When normalized to the Venus-Vpr transfection, there is a 37% decrease in pixel intensity. These results show that a decrease in dimerization can be quantified at similar levels by both flow cytometry through MFI of BiFC positive cells, and fluorescence microscopy through average pixel intensity.

Analysis of peptide library
A library of overlapping Vpr peptides was screened by high content imaging analysis for its ability to block Vpr dimerization. Z-scores were calculated and the average scores from four repetitions were plotted (Figure 4A). While six peptides (p4, p5, p7, p14, p16, and p19) showed greater than one SD from the mean BiFC signal intensity, all of the SDs overlapped the variability window and thus could not definitely be considered a deviation from the mean. It is interesting to note that four of these peptides (p4, p5, p7, and p19) showed an increase, suggesting enhanced dimerization/oligomerization, whereas p14 and p16 showed a negative impact on dimerization. Next, we assessed the toxicity of Vpr peptides, as Vpr peptides in certain helices are known to induce apoptosis and cell death.\(^\text{44,45}\) Results presented in Figure 4B show the average number of cells per field for each peptide treatment measured using the HCS tool-based cell viability. The peptides dissolved in PBS (p1–9, p11, p17–22) were well tolerated when compared to the PBS vehicle control; however, with the exception of p12, the peptides dissolved in DMSO exhibited significant cytotoxicity compared to the DMSO vehicle control. The DMSO vehicle control averaged 25 cells per field, whereas peptides 10, 13, 14, 15, and 16 averaged between eight and twelve cells per field, suggesting high toxicity. Further analysis indicates that these peptides are part of the third helical domain and the C-terminal domain of Vpr, both of which have been implicated in Vpr-mediated cell death and apoptosis.\(^\text{44,45}\) Together, these results did not identify a Vpr inhibitory peptide, but rather they identified positive regulators of Vpr dimerization, suggesting these regions of the Vpr molecule might be involved in forming higher orders of oligomerization.

Analysis of leucine rotamer library
A leucine rotamer library, containing 45 small molecules, was screened using high content image analysis for effects on Vpr dimerization measured by BiFC signal (Figure 5A). Of the 45 leucine rotamers screened, three had greater than one SD separation from the mean. Rotamer 14 had a separation of +2.23 SDs from the transfected control, indicating that it increased the mean BiFC intensity in the nucleus. Rotamer
36 also increased nuclear BiFC signal, but was less potent (separation of +1 SDs from the transfected control) than rotamer 14. Although three rotamers (20, 24, and 32) decreased the nuclear BiFC intensity by more than 1 SD from the mean, the level of variation for 20 and 24 are high; in contrast, rotamer 32 decreased the nuclear BiFC signal by greater than 1 SD. Rotamer 32 showed a separation of −1.37 SDs from the mean. Based on the initial screen, rotamers 14, 32, and 36 were rescreened at higher concentrations (10 µM). The negative effect of rotamer 32 remained the same at both concentrations (data not shown). The positive effect of rotamer 14 on BiFC signal was magnified to greater than 3 SDs at 10 µM.

Figure 4 Ability of Vpr peptides to interfere with Vpr dimerization.

Note: (A) Vpr peptides were screened for an effect on nuclear BiFC fluorescence using high content imaging. Cells transfected with Venus-Vpr plasmids were treated with Vpr peptides or vehicle controls (DMSO or PBS) and assessed for BiFC signal as described in methods. Data were normalized to the solvent-appropriate control cells. The average and standard deviation across four independent experiments were calculated and plotted. (B) The average number of viable cells per field, after excluding cells for morphology and nuclear size using the image software, were recorded for each well (based on the average of >20 fields per well). The figure represents one of four independent experiments.

Abbreviations: Vpr, viral protein R; BiFC, bimolecular fluorescence complementation; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; TF, transfection.
At a 1 \( \mu \)M concentration, rotamer 36 treatment resulted in a slight increase in nuclear BiFC fluorescence; however, at a 10 \( \mu \)M concentration, a negative effect on nuclear BiFC fluorescence was observed. Next, the cytotoxicity of the leucine rotamers was assessed at a 1 \( \mu \)M concentration. Rotamers 2, 4, 15, 41, 44, and 45 had cell counts 20% lower than the DMSO-treated control (Figure 5B). Interestingly, eleven rotamer treatments, including rotamer 36, displayed cell counts over 20% higher than the control. Overall, transfected cells tolerated leucine rotamers well at the concentration used for high content screening analysis.

**Discussion**

Advances in the study of PPI in the last 10 years have opened up promising new lines of research in the field of therapeutics. \(^{26,46-48}\) One specific type of PPI, the formation of dimers, has been identified as a drug target in almost all HIV proteins. \(^{49-55}\) Our laboratory had previously shown that a pair of BiFC plasmids for Vpr produce Vpr dimers that restore the fluorescent molecule when cotransfected. \(^{39}\) Here we assessed whether this BiFC system could be used to detect a decrease in Vpr dimerization using a competition assay; a similar strategy has been used to discover high affinity binders of
transcription factors. Using a triple plasmid transfection strategy, we cotransfected the two Venus fragment-tagged Vpr plasmids and an untagged Vpr expression plasmid or vector control. At higher input levels of untagged Vpr, a decrease in the MFI, but not the percentage of BiFC-positive cells, was observed. This indicated that the transfection efficiency was the same among the samples, but that triple plasmid transfected cells fluoresce less brightly than cells transfected with DNA vector control. This suggests that untagged Vpr can compete for the formation of dimers in a dose-dependent manner. The MFI decreases as the concentration of untagged Vpr increases, indicating the feasibility of this system as a screening tool. Surprisingly, a slight increase in both the percentage of BiFC-positive cells and the MFI was seen between the 1:1 ratio of Venus-Vpr to competitor and the Venus-Vpr alone. Vpr is known to form dimers, trimers, and hexamers in a concentration-dependent manner. Therefore, one possible explanation for the increased MFI is the formation of higher-order oligomers.

Imaging-based high content screening using automated fluorescence microscopy has several advantages over other screening methods. The major advantage is the lack of manipulation of the cells, which reduces the background signal in addition to giving us the ability to incorporate other parameters such as toxicity, mitochondrial function, and subcellular distribution of the target protein(s). Another important feature of a high content screen is the Z-score. Z-scores present the difference between a value and the control in terms of SDs from the control mean. The hit threshold of greater than 1 SD from the mean was chosen for three reasons. First, this is a cell-based screen, and the library members may be impermeable to cells. Secondly, the final concentrations of small molecules that we are applying to cells are in the low micromolar range. In vitro HTS assays typically discover hits in the high micromolar or millimolar range. The low concentration of the small molecule treatment may reduce the magnitude of the result. Thirdly, there is no known inhibitor of Vpr dimerization to use as a positive control, and thus no effective dose is available for reference and/or calibration.

The screen of the peptide library yielded five compounds whose Z-scores were greater than 1 SD away from the mean, but all five had large margins of error that extended into the hit threshold. The screen of the leucine rotamer library yielded three compounds with Z-scores greater than 1 SD from the mean whose error bars did not cross the hit threshold. These rotamers (14, 32, and 36) were assessed at a tenfold higher dilution (10 μM) to further evaluate the dose-dependent effect. Rotamers 32 and 36 displayed 67% toxicity at this level, but rotamer 14 remained relatively nontoxic. Rotamer 32 had a negative effect on BiFC intensity, but increasing the concentration of 32 did not increase the magnitude of the effect. Interestingly, 14 showed increased BiFC fluorescence compared to the control, and it responded in a dose-dependent manner when tested at a higher concentration. While this was not the desired outcome of the screen, a compound that increases dimerization of Vpr could have laboratory relevance. Vpr tagged with enhanced green fluorescent protein is used to create fluorescently tagged virus particles for studies on viral entry and uncoating. If an increase in Vpr dimerization/oligomerization results in increased incorporation into the viral particle, this small molecule could be used to increase the overall intensity of fluorescent virions, which would aid in imaging studies.

Taken together, a system to detect the dimerization of HIV-1 Vpr was developed and assessed. It is capable of measuring changes in fluorescence intensity through both flow cytometry and high content imaging. We concluded that BiFC is a valid system for detecting interference with dimerization and moved to a small-scale high content screen. Though we selected Vpr dimerization as a “proof of concept” molecule in this report, BiFC-based HCS can be applied to other HIV-1 viral proteins such as protease and Gag, as well as viral–host protein interactions. Most HIV viral proteins (Gag, Nef, RT, Vpr, and protease) form dimers and/or oligomers, and this unique feature is important for their functions in virus biology. Furthermore, drug resistance mutations within the oligomeric domains of HIV-1 proteins are lethal to their functions, thus disrupting such protein–protein interactions with small molecules will provide probe compounds with strong antiviral effects that are less susceptible to resistance development.
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