

University of Groningen

Wegwijs in living labs in infrastructuur en ruimtelijke planning: Een theoretische en empirische verkenning

Neef, Mark Robin; Verweij, Stefan; Gugerell, Katharina; Moen, Pelle L. R.

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Neef, M. R., Verweij, S., Gugerell, K., & Moen, P. L. R. (2017). *Wegwijs in living labs in infrastructuur en ruimtelijke planning: Een theoretische en empirische verkenning*. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Referentielijst, Eindnoten en Appendices

Eindnoten

¹ Synoniemen die vaak worden gebruikt zijn: urban labs, field labs, stadslabs, experimenteerruimten, proeftuinen, broedplaatsen en learning labs.

² In de literatuur over living labs wordt aangegeven dat er slechts enkele studies zijn over de uitkomsten van de innovatieprocessen in living labs (Leminen, 2015; Sauer, 2013; Schuurman, 2015).

³ De literatuur schrijft niet voor met welke kwantitatieve (García-Guzmán, Fernández del Carpio, de Amescua, & Velasco, 2013) of kwalitatieve indicatoren (Nevens, Frantzeskaki, Gorissen, & Loorbach, 2013) de uitkomsten van een living lab kunnen worden gemeten.

⁴ Zonder plan van eisen worden creativiteit, multidisciplinariteit en co-creatie gestimuleerd (Bergvall-Kareborn & Ståhlbröst, 2009).

⁵ Zie: <http://www.rwsleefomgeving.nl/onderwerpen/bodem-ondergrond/grondwater/grondwater-ro/agendering/weten-willen-werken/>.

⁶ De scope van dit onderzoek was beperkt tot de living labs literatuur.

Agenda Stad. (2016). Agenda stad: Steden maken het verschil: Samenwerken aan de toekomst van stedelijk Nederland.

Baltes, G., & Gard, J. (2010). Living labs as intermediary in open innovation: On the role of entrepreneurial support. Presented at the International ICE Conference on Engineering, Technology and Innovation, Lugano.

Bergvall-Kareborn, B., Eriksson, C. L., Ståhlbröst, A., & Svensson, J. A. (2009). Milieu for innovation - Defining living labs. Presented at the Proceedings of the 2nd ISPIIM Innovation Symposium, New York.

Bergvall-Kareborn, B., Holst, M., & Ståhlbröst, A. (2009). Concept design with a living lab approach. Presented at the Proceedings of the 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.

Bergvall-Kareborn, B., & Ståhlbröst, A. (2009). Living Lab: An open and citizen-centric approach for innovation. *International Journal of Innovation and Regional Development*, 1(4), 356–370.

Bestuurlijk Platform Ruimtelijke Adaptatie. (2016).

- Deltaprogramma ruimtelijke adaptatie: Werkplan 2016.
- Bulkeley, H., Breitfuss, M., Coenen, L., Frantzeskaki, N., Fuenfschilling, L., Grillitsch, M., ... Voytenko, Y. (2015). Working paper on urban living labs and urban sustainability transitions. Governance of Urban Sustainability Transitions.
- Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Coaffee, J., & Healey, P. (2003). "My voice: my place": Tracking transformations in urban governance. *Urban Studies*, 40(10), 1979–1999.
- Coalitie Stevige Stad op Slappe Bodem. (2016). *Stevige stad op slappe bodem, tussentijdse update: 1 juli 2016*.
- De Bruijn, H., ten Heuvelhof, E., & In't Veld, R. (2002). *Procesmanagement: Over procesontwerp en besluitvorming*. Den Haag: Academic Service.
- Dell'Era, C., & Landoni, P. (2014). Living lab: A methodology between user-centred design and participatory design. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 23(2), 137–154.
- DRIFT. (2011). *Urban transition management manual: Navigator of the MUSIC project*. Dutch Research institute for Transitions.
- Dutilleul, B., Birrer, F. A. J., & Mensink, W. (2010). Unpacking European living labs: Analysing innovation's social dimensions. *Central European Journal of Public Policy*, 4(1), 60–85.
- Engwall, M. (2003). No project is an island: linking projects to history and context. *Research Policy*, 32(5), 789–808.
- Evans, J., & Karvonen, A. (2011). Living laboratories for sustainability: Exploring the politics and epistemology of urban transition. In H. Bulkeley, V. C. Broto, M. Hodson, & S. Marvin (Eds.), *Cities and low carbon transitions* (pp. 26–141). London: Routledge.
- Fahy, C., De Leon, P., Ståhlbröst, A., Schaffers, H., & Hongisto, P. (2007). Services of living labs and their networks. In P. Cunningham & M. Cunningham (Eds.), *Expanding the knowledge economy: Issues, applications, case studies*. Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Følstad, A. (2008). Towards a living lab for the development of online community services. *The Electronic Journal for Virtual Organizations and Networks*, 10, 47–58.
- Forrest, N., & Wiek, A. (2014). Learning from success - Toward evidence-informed sustainability transitions in communities. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 12, 66–88.
- García-Guzmán, J., Fernández del Carpio, A., de Amescua, A., & Velasco, M. (2013). A process reference model for managing living labs for ICT innovation: A proposal based on ISO/IEC 15504. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 36(1), 33–41.
- García-Guzmán, J., Schaffers, H., Bilicki, V., Merz, C., & Valenzuela, M. (2008). Living labs fostering open innovation and rural development: Methodology and results (pp. 1–8). Presented at the 2008 IEEE International Technology Management Conference (ICE).
- Holst, M. (2012). *The living lab methodology handbook*.
- Hueskes, M., Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Verweij, S. (2016). Publiek-private samenwerking in Nederland en Vlaanderen: Een review van veertien proefschriften. *Bestuurskunde*, 25(2), 90–104.
- Karré, P. M., Vanhommerig, I., & Bueren, E. (2015). De stad als lab voor sociale verandering. *Bestuurskunde*, 24(1), 3–11.
- Klijn, E. H., & Koppenjan, J. F. M. (2016). *Governance networks in the public sector*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Lehtonen, M. (2014). Evaluating megaprojects: From the "iron triangle" to network mapping. *Evaluation*, 20(3), 278–295.
- Leminen, S. (2015). Living labs as open innovation networks: Networks, roles and innovation outcomes. Espoo: Aalto University.
- Leminen, S., Westerlund, M., & Nyström, A.-G. (2012). Living labs as open-innovation networks. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, September, 6–11.
- Loorbach, D. (2007). *Transition management: New mode of governance for sustainable development*. International Books.
- Loorbach, D. (2010). Transition management for sustainable development: A prescriptive, complexity-based governance framework. *Governance*, 23(1), 161–183.
- Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu. (2016). *Vragen voor overmorgen: Strategische kennis- en innovatieagenda Infrastructuur en Milieu 2016-2020*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Nevens, F., Frantzeskaki, N., Gorissen, L., & Loorbach, D. (2013). Urban transition labs: co-creating transformative action for sustainable cities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 50, 111–122.
- Niitamo, V. P., Kulkki, S., & Eriksson, M. (2006). State-of-the-art and good practice in the field of living labs. Presented at the Technology Management Conference.
- Nyström, A. G., Leminen, S., Westerlund, M., & Kor-

- telainen, M. (2014). Actor roles and role patterns influencing innovation in living labs. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(3), 483–495.
- Pallot, M., Trousse, B., Senach, B., & Scapin, D. (2010). Living lab research landscape: From user centred design and user experience towards user cocreation. Presented at the First European Summer School Living Labs, Paris.
- Pinch, T. (2008). Technology and institutions: Living in a material world. *Theory and Society*, 37(5), 461–483.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2014). *Innovatieagenda 2015-2020*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2016). *Samenwerken aan een betere doorstroming op de A58: Werken aan een slimme en duurzame snelweg*. Rijkswaterstaat.
- Roorda, C., & Wittmayer, J. (2014). *Transition management in five European cities - an evaluation*. Rotterdam: DRIFT.
- Sanders, E. B.-N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *CoDesign*, 4(1), 5–18.
- Sauer, S. (2013). *User innovativeness in living laboratories: Everyday user improvisations with ICTs as a source of innovation*. Enschede: University of Twente.
- Schuurman, D. (2015). *Bridging the gap between open and user innovation? Exploring the value of living labs as a means to structure user contribution and manage distributed innovation*. Ghent: Ghent University.
- Schuurman, D., & De Marez, L. (2012). Structuring user involvement in panel-based living labs. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, September, 31–38.
- SmartwayZ.NL. (2015). *Governance bereikbaarheid Zuid-Nederland: Samen slim robuust*. SmartwayZ.NL.
- Ståhlbröst, A. (2012). A set of key principles to assess the impact of living labs. *International Journal of Product Development*, 17(1–2), 60–75.
- Teisman, G. R., Van Buuren, A., & Gerrits, L. M. (Eds.). (2009). *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments*. New York: Routledge.
- Teisman, G. R., Van der Voort, H., & Meijer, A. (2016). Overheden niet goed in innovatie? Empirische verkenningen van een “innovatiedilemma”. *Bestuurskunde*, 25(4), 51–60.
- Termeer, C. J. A. M., & Van den Brink, M. (2013). Organizational conditions for dealing with the unknown unknown. *Public Management Review*, 15(1), 43–62.
- Thiesen Winthereik, J. C., Malmborg, L., & Andersen, T. B. (2009). Living labs as a methodological approach to universal access in senior design. In C. Stephanidis (Ed.), *Universal access in human-computer interaction: Addressing diversity* (pp. 174–183). Berlin: Springer.
- Van Bueren, E. M., Karré, P. M., & Vanhommerig, I. (2015). Slotartikel: Het oplossend vermogen van living labs. *Bestuurskunde*, 24(1), 65–72.
- Van Wee, B. (2011). *Transport and ethics: Ethics and the evaluation of Transport Policies and Projects*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Verweij, S. (2015). *Once the shovel hits the ground: Evaluating the management of complex implementation processes of public-private partnership infrastructure projects with qualitative comparative analysis*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Verweij, S. (2017). *Addressing the evaluation-implementation gap in infrastructure megaproject research with qualitative comparative analysis*. In M. Lehtonen, P. B. Joly, & L. Aparicio (Eds.), *Socioeconomic Evaluation of Megaprojects: Dealing with Uncertainties* (pp. 220–239). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2012). Systematische kwalitatieve vergelijkende analyse. *Kwalon*, 17(3), 25–33.
- Westerlund, M., & Leminen, S. (2011). Managing the challenges of becoming an open innovation company: Experiences from living labs. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 1(1), 19–25.