

University of Groningen

Migrant family matters

Rooijackers, Elisabeth Nathanaël

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Rooijackers, E. N. (2017). *Migrant family matters: Comparing patterns of intergenerational solidarity and partner separation in the Netherlands*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

APPENDICES

Appendix A

Table A1. *Model fit statistics for Latent Class Models with one to six classes (N = 1,267)*

Model	Df	L ²	p	BIC
One-Class	247	1,536.51	.000	10,785
Two-Class	238	648.27	.000	9,962
Three-Class	220	299.49	.000	9,742
Four-Class	36	32.2	.65	1687
Five-Class	211	299.99	.18	9,736
Six-Class	202	193.10	.66	9,764

Notes. Model selection is based on non-significant L² and smallest Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). df = degrees of freedom.

Source = NKPS, wave 1, 2002-2004.

Appendix B

Table B1. *Support exchanges from perspective of mother (one mother-child relationship per respondent is shown)*

Respondent characteristics		
Mother	Gives to daughter	Receives from daughter
60, widowed, 2 children	Childcare + emotional	Emotional
65, single, 7 children >2 grandchildren	Childcare + emotional	Emotional
57, divorced, 2 children 1 grandchild	Co-residence	
59, divorced, 3 children 3 grandchildren		
74, divorced, 6 children 8 grandchildren		
	Gives to son	Receives from son
80, divorced, 6 children 11 grandchildren	Emotional	Practical + emotional
55, divorced, 1 child	Financial + emotional	Emotional

Table B2. *Support exchanges from perspective of child (one mother-child relationship per respondent is shown)*

Respondent characteristics		
Daughter	Gives to mother	Receives from mother
49, divorced, 3 children	Practical + emotional	Co-residence + emotional
60, single, no children	Emotional	Emotional
58, single, no children	Emotional (+?)	Emotional (+?)
Son	Gives to mother	Receives from mother
42, married, 2 children	Co-residence + emotional	Financial + emotional
63, married, 1 child	Practical + emotional	Financial + emotional
66, divorced, 3 children, 4 grandchildren	Emotional	Emotional (?)
46, married, 2 children		

Note. A question mark indicates that we considered the information insufficient to assess other types of help.