

University of Groningen

The protection pyramid approach

Janssen, Laura

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Janssen, L. (2017). *The protection pyramid approach: A contribution to the protection of internally displaced persons by combining bottom up coping mechanisms and top down protection strategies into a partnership approach to protection*. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

1. IDPs need a legal protection regime similarly to refugees who are protected by the UNHCR and the 1951 Refugee Convention. (Introduction)
2. Utilizing both a Bottom Up and Top Down Approach within the newly developed Protection Pyramid Approach increases IDP protection. (2.2 Dualisms, Protection Providers and Needs)
3. The benefit of Grounded Theory is the fact that theory development and data collection and analysis continuously mutually influence each other during the whole research process. (3.1.3 Methodology)
4. Though Bottom Up actors are protection experts due to the fact that they have experienced the lack of protection first hand, this benefit is also a weakness because it prevents them from unbiasedly identifying their capacities and needs. (4.8.3 BU Case Study Country Comparison Component Eight)
5. Regardless of the diminished impact of TD actors' protective activities in both case study countries due to corruption, the presence of corruption should not be a reason not to include TD actors in the provision of protection to IDPs. (5.1.1 and 5.1.2 Uganda and Colombia)
6. The Partnership Approach to Protection 'takes the best of both worlds' and as such compensates shortcomings apparent within Bottom Up and Top Down Approaches. (7.2 Component Two: Protection Providers, Needs and Dualities)
7. The Protection Pyramid Approach is not simply an additional model amongst the existing range of protection models for the protection of IDPs but unique due to its comprehensive multi-actor, multi-component perspective in which theory and practice infinitely influence each other. (7.9 Conclusion)
8. The importance of national identity is reciprocally proportional to the success of the European Union as can be observed in the rhetoric used by nationalistic and populist parties such as the Partij voor Vrede en Vrijheid (PVV) in the Netherlands and Front National in France.
9. Having indicted mostly African nationals, the Internal Criminal Court is rightly perceived as neo-colonialist and paternalistic.
10. The Peace Agreement between the Colombian Government and the FARC was not accepted by the Colombian people because it was based on wishful thinking instead of on stipulations satisfying the need for justice felt by the victims.