

University of Groningen

The Dynamics of English Writing Development in Advanced Chinese Learners

Hou, Junping

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hou, J. (2017). *The Dynamics of English Writing Development in Advanced Chinese Learners*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

References

- Adamson, B., & Xia, B. (2011). A case study of the College English Test and ethnic minority university students in China: negotiating the final hurdle. *Multilingual Education*, 1(1), 1-11.
- Alexander, R. J. (1984). Fixed expressions in English: reference books and the teacher. *ELT Journal*, 38(2), 127-134.
- AlHassan, L., & Wood, D. (2015). The effectiveness of focused instruction of formulaic sequences in augmenting L2 learners' academic writing skills: A quantitative research study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 17, 51-62.
- Anderson, A.H. (1995). Negotiating coherence in dialogue. In: M. A. Gernsbacher & T. Givon (Eds). *The Negotiation of Coherence* (pp. 41-58), New York: John Benjamins.
- Anderson, P. L. (1980). Cohesion as an Index for Written and Oral Composition of ESL Learners.
- Appel, R., & Wood, D. (2016). Recurrent word combinations in EAP test-taker writing: Differences between high-and low-proficiency levels. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 13(1), 55-71.
- Baddeley, A. D. (1976). *The psychology of memory*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Bao, S. (2006). *Study on washback effect on the new CET 4*. (Unpublished M.A. thesis). Jilin University.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Bofman, T. (1989). Attainment of syntactic and morphological accuracy by advanced language learners. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 11(1), 17-34.
- Becker, J. D. (1975). The phrasal lexicon. In: *Proceedings of the 1975 workshop on Theoretical issues in natural language processing* (pp. 60-63). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (1987). *The psychology of written communication*. Hillsdale, NJ: LEA.
- Biber, D., & Gray, B. (2010). Challenging stereotypes about academic writing: Complexity, elaboration, explicitness. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9(1), 2-20.

- Boers, F., Eyckmans, J., Kappel, J., Stengers, H., & Demecheleer, M. (2006). Formulaic sequences and perceived oral proficiency: Putting a lexical approach to the test. *Language teaching research*, 10(3), 245-261.
- Brown, G., & Yule, G. (1983). *Discourse analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bulté, B. (2013). *The development of complexity in second language acquisition: A dynamic systems approach*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Brussels.
- Byrnes, H. (2009). Emergent L2 German writing ability in a curricular context: A longitudinal study of grammatical metaphor. *Linguistics and Education*, 20(1), 50-66.
- Cai, J. (2005). Some thoughts on college English teaching. *Foreign Language Teaching and Research*, 2, 000.
- Cancino, H., Rosansky, E., & Schumann, J. (1978). The acquisition of English negatives and interrogatives by native Spanish speakers. *Second language acquisition: A book of readings*, 207-230.
- Carrell, P. L. (1982). Cohesion is not coherence. *TESOL quarterly*, 16(4), 479-488.
- Caspi, T. (2010). *A dynamic perspective on second language development*. (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Groningen.
- Chan S. (2001) *Complex Adaptive Systems*, ESD 83. Research Seminar in Engineering Systems. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.
- Chen, J. (2004). College English Curriculum Requirements: A Theoretical Understanding and Application. *Media in Foreign Language Instruction*, 6.
- Chen, Q., & Klenowski, V. (2009). Assessment and curriculum reform in China: the college English test and tertiary English as a foreign language education. In: *Proceedings of the 2008 AARE International Education Conference*. The Australian Association for Research in Education.
- Cheng, L. (2008). The key to success: English language testing in China. *Language Testing*, 25(1), 15-37.
- Coh-Metrix (version 3.0)*. Retrieved from <http://cohmetrix.com/>

- Connor, U. (1984). A study of cohesion and coherence in English as a second language students' writing. *Research on Language & Social Interaction*, 17(3), 301-316.
- Council of Europe. (2001). Common European framework of reference for languages.
- Cowie, A. P. (1992). Multiword lexical units and communicative language teaching. In: *Vocabulary and applied linguistics* (pp. 1-12). Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2009). Computational assessment of lexical differences in L1 and L2 writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 18(2), 119-135.
- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2010). Cohesion, coherence, and expert evaluations of writing proficiency. In: *Proceedings of the 32nd annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 984-989).
- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2011). Text coherence and judgments of essay quality: Models of quality and coherence. In: *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 1236-1241).
- Crossley, S. A., Kyle, K., & McNamara, D. S. (2016a). The development and use of cohesive devices in L2 writing and their relations to judgments of essay quality. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 32, 1-16.
- Crossley, S. A., Kyle, K., & McNamara, D. S. (2016b). The tool for the automatic analysis of text cohesion (TAACO): Automatic assessment of local, global, and text cohesion. *Behavior research methods*, 48(4), 1227-1237.
- Crossley, S. A., Roscoe, R., & McNamara, D. S. (2011). Predicting human scores of essay quality using computational indices of linguistic and textual features. In: *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education* (pp. 438-440). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- De Beaugrande, R. A., & Dressler, W. U. (1981). *Introduction to Text Linguistics* (Vol. 2). London: Longman.
- De Bot, K. (1996). The psycholinguistics of the output hypothesis. *Language learning*, 46(3), 529-555.

- De Bot, K. (2008). Introduction: Second language development as a dynamic process. *The Modern Language Journal*, 92(2), 166-178.
- Ding, Y., & Qi, Y. (2005). Use of formulaic language as a predictor of L2 oral and written performance. *Journal of PLA University of Foreign Languages*, 3, 49-53.
- Duan, S. (2008). Review of the research on lexical chunks in second language teaching in China. Beijing. *Journal of Foreign Languages In China*, 4, 23-26.
- Dueraman, B. (2007). Cohesion and coherence in English essays written by Malaysian and Thai medical students. In: *Proceedings from Southern Thailand English Language Teaching/Cultural Change Conference*, 1-18.
- Ellis, N. C. (2002). Frequency effects in language processing. *Studies in second language acquisition*, 24(2), 143-188.
- Ellis, N. C. (2008). The periphery and the heart of language. *Phraseology: An interdisciplinary perspective*, 1-13.
- Ellis, N. C., & Cadierno, T. (2009). Constructing a second language: Introduction to the special section. *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics*, 7(1), 111-139.
- Ellis, N. C. (2005). At the interface: Dynamic interactions of explicit and implicit language knowledge. *Studies in second language acquisition*, 27(2), 305-352.
- Ellis, N. C. (2009). Optimizing the input: Frequency and sampling in usage-based and form-focused learning. *The handbook of language teaching*, 139.
- Ellis, R., & Barkhuizen, G. P. (2005). *Analysing learner language*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Engber, C. A. (1995). The relationship of lexical proficiency to the quality of ESL compositions. *Journal of second language writing*, 4(2), 139-155.
- Erman, B., & Warren, B. (2000). The idiom principle and the open choice principle. *Text-Interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse*, 20(1), 29-62.
- Eyckmans, J., Boers, F., & Stengers, H. (2007). Identifying chunks: Who can see the wood for the trees. In *Language Forum* (Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 85-100).

- Ferris, D. R. (1994). Lexical and syntactic features of ESL writing by students at different levels of L2 proficiency. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28(2), 414-420.
- Fillmore, C. J., Kay, P., & O'Connor, M. C. (1988). Regularity and idiomaticity in grammatical constructions: The case of let alone. *Language*, 64, 501-538.
- Firth, J. R. (1957). *Papers in Linguistics, 1934-1951*. Oxford University Press.
- Fitzgerald, J., & Spiegel, D. L. (1986). Textual cohesion and coherence in children's writing. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 20, 263-280.
- Fogel, A., & Thelen, E. (1987). Development of early expressive and communicative action: Reinterpreting the evidence from a dynamic systems perspective. *Developmental psychology*, 23(6), 747.
- Foltz, P.W., 2007. Discourse coherence and LSA. In: Landauer, T.K., McNamara, D.S., Dennis, S., Kintsch, W. (Eds.), *Handbook of Latent Semantic Analysis*. Lawrence Erlbaum, New York, pp. 167–184.
- Foster, P., & Skehan, P. (1996). The influence of planning and task type on second language performance. *Studies in Second language acquisition*, 18(3), 299-323.
- Gell-Mann, M. (1995). Let's call it plectics'. *Complexity*, 1(5), 96.
- Graesser, A. C., McNamara, D. S., & Kulikowich, J. M. (2011). Coh-Metrix providing multilevel analyses of text characteristics. *Educational researcher*, 40(5), 223-234.
- Graesser, A.C., McNamara, D.S., & Louwrese, M.M. (2003). What do readers need to learn in order to process coherence relations in narrative and expository text? In: A.P. Sweet & C.E. Snow (Eds.), *Rethinking Reading Comprehension* (pp. 82-98). New York: Guilford Publications.
- Gu, W., & Liu, J (2005). Test analysis of college students communicative competence in English. *Asian EFL Journal*, 7, 118–33.
- Gu, X. (2005). *Positive or negative? An empirical study of CET washback on college English teaching and learning in China*. (Unpublished PhD Dissertation), Shanghai Jiaotong University.
- Guo, L., Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2013). Predicting human judgments of essay quality in both integrated and independent second

- language writing samples: A comparison study. *Assessing Writing*, 18(3), 218-238.
- Hakuta, K. (1974). Prefabricated patterns and the emergence of structure in second language acquisition. *Language learning*, 24(2), 287-297.
- Halliday, M. A. K., Matthiessen, C. M., & Yang, X. (1999). *Construing experience through meaning: A language-based approach to cognition*. London: Cassell.
- Halliday, M. A., & Hasan, R. (1976). *Cohesion in English*, Longman, London.
- Han, B., Dai, M., & Yang, L. (2004). Problems with College English Test as emerged from a survey. *Foreign languages and their teaching*, 179(2), 17-23.
- Han, M., & Yang, X. (2001). Educational assessment in China: lessons from history and future prospects. *Assessment in Education*, 8(1), 5 - 10.
- Hartnett, C. G. (1980). *Cohesion as a teachable measure of writing competence*. University Microfilms.
- Hayes, J. R., & Flower, L. S. (1980). Identifying the organization of writing processes. In: L. Gregg & E. R. Steinberg (Eds.), *Cognitive Processes in Writing* (pp. 3-30). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Henry, K. (1996). Early L2 Writing Development: A Study of Autobiographical Essays by University-Level Students of Russian. *The Modern Language Journal*, 80(3), 309-326.
- Hood, G. M. (2004). *Poptools. Version 2.6. 4*. Albany, Western Australia, Australia.
- Hou, J., Loerts, H., & Verspoor, M. H. (2016). Chunk use and development in advanced Chinese L2 learners of English. *Language Teaching Research*, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168816662290>
- Hou, J., Loerts, H., & Verspoor, M. H. (2018). Exploring attitude and test-driven motivation towards English at Chinese universities. *International Journal of Language Studies*, 12(1), pp37-60.
- Hou, J., Loerts, H., & Verspoor, M. H. (under review). The dynamics of L2 writing development over time: A strong learner versus a weak learner. Submitted (April 10, 2017) to *International Journal of Applied linguistics*.

- Hou, J., Verspoor, M., & Loerts, H. (2016). An exploratory study into the dynamics of Chinese L2 writing development. *Dutch Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 5(1), 65-96.
- Housen, A., Kuiken, F. (2009). Complexity, Accuracy, and Fluency in Second Language Acquisition. *Applied Linguistics*; 30 (4): 461-473.
- Housen, A., Kuiken, F., & Vedder, I. (Eds.). (2012). *Dimensions of L2 performance and proficiency. Complexity, accuracy and fluency in SLA*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Benjamins.
- Howell, D. C. (1997). *Statistical methods for psychology* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: ITP.
- Hsu J.Y. (2007). Multiword lexical units and their relationship to impromptu speech. *Educational Research Information Centre (ERIC)*.
- Indrasuta, C. (1988). Narrative styles in the writing of Thai and American students. In: Purves, A.(Ed.), *Writing across languages and cultures: Issues in contrastive rhetoric*, 206-226.
- Ishikawa, S. (1995). Objective measurement of low-proficiency EFL narrative writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 4(1), 51-69.
- Iwashita, N., Brown, A., McNamara, T., & O'Hagan, S. (2008). What features of language distinguish levels of learner proficiency, depth analysis of task performance in the context of the speaking scale development. *Applied Linguistics*, 29(1), 29-49.
- Jarvis, S., Grant, L., Bikowski, D., & Ferris, D. (2003). Exploring multiple profiles of highly rated learner compositions. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 12(4), 377-403.
- Jespersen, O. (2013). *International Language* (Vol. 3). Routledge.
- Jia, Y., & Yang, R. (2005). The assessment system of college English: the current situation and its reform. *Journal of Shanxi College for Youth Administrators*, 1, 028.
- Jin, Y., & Wu, J. (1998). Examining the testing validity of CET reading comprehension by introspection. *Foreign Language World*, 2, 47-52.
- Jin, Y., & Yang, H. (2006). The English proficiency of college and university students in China: As reflected in the CET. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 19(1), 21-36.
- Johnson, P. (1992). Coherence and cohesion in compositions in Malay and English. *RELC Journal*, 23(2), 1-17.

- Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and effort*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Kern, R. G., & Schultz, J. (1992). The effects of composition instruction on intermediate level French students' writing performance: Some preliminary findings. *The Modern Language Journal*, 76(1), 1-13.
- Klein, D., & Manning, C. D. (2003, July). Accurate unlexicalized parsing. In: *Proceedings of the 41st Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics-Volume 1* (pp. 423-430). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Landauer, T. K., McNamara, D. S., Dennis, S., & Kintsch, W. (Eds.). (2013). *Handbook of Latent semantic analysis*. Psychology Press.
- Langacker, R. W. (2000). A dynamic usage-based model. In: M. Barlow & S. Kenner (Eds.), *Usage-based Models of Language* (pp.1-63). Stanford: CSLI Publications
- Langacker, R. W. (2008). Cognitive grammar as a basis for language instruction. In: P. Robinson & N. C. Ellis (Eds.), *Handbook of Cognitive Linguistics and Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 66-88). New York: Routledge.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (1978). An ESL index of development. *TESOL Quarterly*, 439-448.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (1997). Chaos/Complexity science and second language acquisition. *Applied Linguistics* 18(2), 140–165.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2006). The Emergence of complexity, fluency and accuracy in the oral and written production of five Chinese learners of English. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(4), 590-619.
- Laufer, B., & Nation, P. (1995). Vocabulary size & use: lexical richness in L2 written productions. *Applied Linguistics*, 16(3), 307–322.
- Lautamatti, L. (1990). Coherence in spoken and written discourse. In: U. Cornor, and A. M. Johns,(Eds.), *Coherence: Research and Pedagogical Perspectives*. Washington, DC: TESOL.
- Leech, G., Rayson, P., and Wilson, A. (2001). *Word Frequencies in Written and Spoken English Based on the British National Corpus*. London: Longman.
- Lewis, M. (1993). The lexical approach. Hove, England: *Language Teaching Publications*.

- Lewis, M. (1997). Pedagogical implications of the lexical approach. In: Coady, J. And Huckin, T. (Eds.), *Second Language Vocabulary Acquisition: a Rationale for Pedagogy* (pp. 255-270). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Li, J. (2002). The current college English test in China: Problems and thoughts. *Foreign Language Education*, 23(5), 33-38.
- Lieber, P. E. (1980). *Cohesion in ESL students' expository writing: a descriptive stud.* (Unpublished PhD thesis), New York University.
- Lindsay, D.B. (1985). *Cohesion in the composition of ESL and English students.* (Unpublished M.A. thesis), University of California.
- Lowie, W., & Verspoor, M. (2015). Variability and variation in second language acquisition orders: A dynamic reevaluation. *Language Learning*, 65(1), 63-88.
- Lu, X. (2010). Automatic analysis of syntactic complexity in second language writing. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 15(4), 474-496.
- Lu, X. (2011). A corpus-based evaluation of syntactic complexity measures as indices of college-level ESL writers' language development. *TESOL Quarterly*, 36-62.
- Lu, X. (2012). The relationship of lexical richness to the quality of ESL learners' oral narratives. *The Modern Language Journal*, 96(2), 190-208.
- Ma, G. (2009). Lexical bundles in L2 timed writing of English majors. *Foreign Language Teaching and Research*, 1, 54-59.
- MacKay, D. G. (1982). The problems of flexibility, fluency, and speed-accuracy trade-off in skilled behavior. *Psychological Review*, 89(5), 483.
- MacWhinney, B. (2000). *The CHILDES project: The database* (Vol. 2). Psychology Press.
- McCulley, G.A. (1985). Writing quality, coherence, and cohesion. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 19, 269-282.
- McNamara, D. S., Graesser, A. C., McCarthy, P. M., & Cai, Z. (2014). *Automated evaluation of text and discourse with Coh-Metrix.* Cambridge University Press.

- McNamara, D. S., Kintsch, E., Songer, N. B., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Are good texts always better? Interactions of text coherence, background knowledge, and levels of understanding in learning from text. *Cognition and instruction*, 14(1), 1-43.
- Medve, V. B., & Takač, V. P. (2013). The influence of cohesion and coherence on text quality: A cross-linguistic study of foreign language learners' written production. In *Language in cognition and affect* (pp. 111-131). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological review*, 63(2), 81.
- Minnen, G., Carroll, J., & Pearce, D. (2001). Applied morphological processing of English. *Natural Language Engineering*, 7(03), 207-223.
- Moon, R. (1997). Vocabulary connections: Multi-word items in English. In: N. Schmitt and M. McCarthy (Eds.), *Vocabulary: Description, acquisition and pedagogy*, 40-63.
- Nation, P., & Meara, P. (2002). Vocabulary. *An introduction to applied linguistics*, 35-54.
- National College English Testing Committee. (2006). *CET-4 test syllabus and sample test paper (revised version)*. Shanghai: Shanghai Foreign Language Education Press.
- Nattinger, J. R., & DeCarrico, J. S. (1992). *Lexical phrases and language teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Newell, A., & Rosenbloom, P. S. (1981). Mechanisms of skill acquisition and the law of practice. *Cognitive skills and their acquisition*, 1, 1-55.
- O'reilly, T., & McNamara, D. S. (2007). Reversing the reverse cohesion effect: Good texts can be better for strategic, high-knowledge readers. *Discourse processes*, 43(2), 121-152.
- Ortega, L. (2000). *Understanding syntactic complexity: The measurement of change in the syntax of instructed L2 Spanish learners* (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Hawai'i.
- Ortega, L. (2003). Syntactic complexity measures and their relationship to L2 proficiency: A research synthesis of college-level L2 writing. *Applied linguistics*, 24(4), 492-518.

- Pawley, A., & Syder, F. H. (1983). Two puzzles for linguistic theory: nativelike selection and nativelike fluency. *Language and communication*, 19(1), 225.
- Penris, W., & Verspoor, M. (2017). Academic writing development: a complex, dynamic process. In : Pfenninger, S. E. & Navracscics, J. (Eds.), *Future Research Directions for Applied Linguistics*. Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Perera, N. S. (2001). The role of prefabricated language in young children's second language acquisition. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 25(3), 327-356.
- Plunkett, K., & Marchman, V. (1991). U-shaped learning and frequency effects in a multi-layered perception: Implications for child language acquisition. *Cognition*, 38(1), 43-102.
- Polio, C. (2003). Research on second language writing: an overview of what we investigate and how. *Exploring the dynamics of second language writing*, 1, 35-66.
- Read, J. (2000). *Assessing vocabulary*. Cambridge University Press.
- Römer, U. (2009). The inseparability of lexis and grammar: Corpus linguistic perspectives. *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics*, 7(1), 140-162.
- Rosenbloom, P., & Newell, A. (1987). Learning by chunking: A production system model of practice. In: Klahr, D., & Langley, P. (Eds.), *Production system models of learning and development*, 221-286.
- Scarcella, R. C. (1984). *Cohesion in the writing development of native and non-native English speakers*. (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Southern California.
- Schmid, M. S., Verspoor, M., & MacWhinney, B. (2011). Coding and extracting data. *A dynamic approach to second language development: Methods and techniques*, 39-54.
- Schmitt, N., & Carter, R. (2004). Formulaic sequences in action. *Formulaic sequences: Acquisition, processing and use*, 1-22.
- Schmid, H. J. (2015). A blueprint of the entrenchment-and-conventionalization model. *Yearbook of the German Cognitive Linguistics Association*, 3(1), 3.

- Serrano, R., Stengers, H., & Housen, A. (2015). Acquisition of formulaic sequences in intensive and regular EFL programmes. *Language Teaching Research*, 19(1), 89-106.
- Shu, D. (2006) FLT in China: problems and suggested solutions. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(4), 754-757.
- Sinclair, J. (1991). *Corpus, concordance, collocation*. Oxford University Press.
- Skehan, P. (2009). Modelling second language performance: Integrating complexity, accuracy, fluency, and lexis. *Applied linguistics*, 3(4), 510-532.
- Smiskova-Gustafsson, H. (2013). *Chunks in L2 development: a usage-based perspective*. (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Groningen.
- Smiskova-Gustafsson, H., Verspoor, M., & Lowie, W. (2012). Conventionalized ways of saying things (CWOSTs) and L2 development. *Dutch Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 125-142.
- Somasundaran, S., Burstein, J., & Chodorow, M. (2014). Lexical chaining for measuring discourse coherence quality in test-taker essays. In *COLING* (pp. 950-961).
- Spoelman, M., & Verspoor, M. (2010). Dynamic patterns in development of accuracy and complexity: A longitudinal case study in the acquisition of Finnish. *Applied Linguistics*, 31(4), 532-553.
- Stockwell, G., & Harrington, M. (2003). The incidental development of L2 proficiency in NS-NNS email interactions. *CALICO Journal*, 337-359.
- Stubbs, M. (1983). *Discourse analysis: the sociolinguistic analysis of natural language* (Vol. 4). University of Chicago Press.
- Sun, H. (2015). *Predictors and Stages of Very Young Child EFL Learners' English Development in China*. (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Groningen.
- Systat Software Incorporated. (2015). *Table curve 2D* (v5.01 ed.). Rochmond. California, USA: Systat Software Incorporated.
- Tanskanen, S. K. (2006). *Collaborating towards coherence: lexical cohesion in English discourse* (Vol. 146). John Benjamins Publishing.
- Templin, M. C. (1957). *Certain language skills in children; their development and interrelationships*. University of Minnesota Press
- Thelen, E. (1989). Self-organization in developmental processes: Can systems approaches work? In: M. Gunnar & E. Thelen (Eds.), *Systems*

- and development. The Minnesota Symposia in Child Psychology* (pp. 77-117). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thelen, E., & Smith, L. B. (1996). *A dynamic systems approach to the development of cognition and action*. MIT press.
- Tierney, R.J., & Mosenthal, J. (1983). Cohesion and textual coherence. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 17, 215-229.
- Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a language: a usage-based theory of language acquisition*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Toutanova, K., Klein, D., Manning, C. D., & Singer, Y. (2003). Feature-rich part-of-speech tagging with a cyclic dependency network. In: *Proceedings of the 2003 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics on Human Language Technology-Volume 1*(pp. 173-180). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Urquhart, V., McIver, M., 2005. Teaching writing in the content areas. In: *Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development*. Aurora, CO.
- Van Dijk, M., Verspoor, M., & Lowie, W. (2011). Variability and DST. *A dynamic approach to second language development: Methods and techniques*, 55-84.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (1977). Text and Context-Explorations in the. *Semantics and Pragmatics of Discourse*, (pp. 93-129). London: Longman.
- Van Geert, P. (1991). A dynamic systems model of cognitive and language growth. *Psychological Review*, 98(1), 3.
- Van Geert, P. (1994). *Dynamic systems of development: Change between complexity and chaos*. Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Van Geert, P., & Van Dijk, M. (2002). Focus on variability: New tools to study intra-individual variability in developmental data. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 25(4), 340-374.
- Van Geert, P., & Verspoor, M. (2015). Dynamic systems and language development. In *The Handbook of Language Emergence*, 537-555
- Verspoor, M. (in press) Complex dynamic systems theory and L2 pedagogy: lessons to be learned. In: Ortega, L. & Han, Z. (Eds.), *Complexity Theory and Language Development: In Celebration of Diane Larsen-Freeman*. Amsterdam: Benjamins

- Verspoor, M., & Behrens, H. (2011). Dynamic systems theory and a usage based approach to second language development. In: M. Verspoor, K. de Bot, & W. Lowie (Eds.), *A Dynamic Approach to Second Language Development: Methods and Techniques*. (pp. 25-38). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Verspoor, M., & van Dijk, M. (2011). Visualizing interactions between variables. *A dynamic approach to second language development: Methods and techniques*, 85-98.
- Verspoor, M., de Bot, K., & Lowie, W. (Eds.) (2011). *A Dynamic systems approach to second language development: methods and techniques*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Verspoor, M., & Hong, N. (2013). A dynamic usage-based approach to Communicative Language Teaching. *European Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 22-54.
- Verspoor, M., Lowie, W., & Van Dijk, M. (2008). Variability in second language development from a dynamic systems perspective. *The Modern Language Journal*, 92(2), 214-231.
- Verspoor, M., Lowie, W., Chan, H. P., & Vahtrick, L. (2017). Linguistic complexity in second language development: variability and variation at advanced stages. *Recherches en didactique des langues et des cultures. Les cahiers de l'Acedle*, 14 (1).
- Verspoor, M., Lowie, W., & van Dijk, M. (2008) Variability in L2 development from a dynamic systems perspective. *Modern Language Journal*, 92, 214-31.
- Verspoor, M., Schmid, M. S., & Xu, X. (2012). A dynamic usage-based perspective on L2 writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 21(3), 239-263.
- Wachal, R.S., & Spreen, O. (1973). Some measures of lexical diversity in aphasic and normal language performance. *Language and Speech*, 16, 169-181.
- Wang, J. (2007). The college English test in China: challenges and suggestions. *Asian Journal of English Language Teaching*, 17, 137-144.
- Warren, B. (2005). A model of idiomaticity. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 4(1), 35-54.

- Watson Todd, R. (2003). *Topics in classroom discourse*. (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Liverpool.
- Watson Todd, R.W. (1998). Topic-based analysis of classroom discourse. *System*, 26(3), 303-318.
- Watson Todd, R., Khongput, S., & Darasawang, P. (2007). Coherence, cohesion and comments on students' academic essays. *Assessing Writing*, 12(1), 10-25.
- Watson Todd, R., Thienpermpool, P., & Keyuravong, S. (2004). Measuring the coherence of writing using topic-based analysis. *Assessing Writing*, 9(2), 85-104.
- Weigand, E. (2009). *Language as dialogue: from rules to principles of probability*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Weigle, S. C. (2002). *Assessing writing*. Cambridge Language Assessment Series. Cambridge: CUP.
- Weir, C. J. (1990). *Communicative Language Testing*. New York: Prentice Hall
- Wen, Q., Wang, L., & Liang, M. (2005). *Spoken and written English corpus of Chinese learners*. Beijing: Foreign Language Teaching and Research Press.
- Widdowson, H. (1989). Knowledge of language and ability for use. *Applied Linguistics*, 10(2), 128-137
- Witte, S., & Faigley, L. (1981). Coherence, cohesion, and writing quality. *College Composition and Communication*, 37(1), 22-29.
- Wolfe-Quintero, K., Inagaki, S., & Kim, H. (1998). *Second language development in writing: measures of fluency, accuracy, & complexity*, Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Wood, D. (2010). *Formulaic language and second language speech fluency: background, evidence and classroom applications*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Wray, A. (2002). *Formulaic language and the lexicon*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wu, J., & Wang R. (2002). Lexical chunks, English teaching resources to be exploited. Jinan: Shandong. *Foreign Language Teaching*. 23(3), 26.

Reference | 160

- Xu, X. (2010). *English language attrition and retention in Chinese and Dutch university students*. (Unpublished PhD thesis), University of Groningen.
- Yang, H. (2003). The 15 years of CET and its impact on teaching. *Journal of Foreign Languages*, 3, 21-29.
- Yang, H., & Jin, Y. (2001). Score interpretation of CET. *Foreign Language World*, 81, 62–68.
- Yang, H., & Weir, C. (2001). *Validation study of the national English test*. Shanghai, China: Shanghai Foreign Language Education Press.
- Yang, W., & Sun, Y. (2012). The use of cohesive devices in argumentative writing by Chinese EFL learners at different proficiency levels. *Linguistics and Education*, 23(1), 31-48.
- Yao, B. (2002). Prefabricated lexical chunks and English teaching. Shanghai: *English Teaching and Research Notes*. 23(3), 26.
- Yin, Z. (2013). Development, issues and tendencies of recent researches of language chunks in China—a survey of the CSSCI journal articles. *Journal of Kunming University of Science and Technology*, 33(6), 102-108
- Yule, G. (1996). *The Study of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Zareva, A., Schwanenflugel, P., & Nikolova, Y. (2005). Relationship between lexical competence and language proficiency-variable sensitivity. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 27(4), 567-595.
- Zhang, Z. (2005). A historical review of CET 4/6. *Modern Language Journal*, 18(2), 100-103
- Zhao, J., & Cheng, L. (2010). Exploring the relationship between Chinese university students' Attitudes towards the college English test and their test performance. *English Language Assessment and the Chinese Learner*, 190.
- Zhao, Y. (2009). *An empirical study of L2 learners' use of lexical chunks and language production*. (Unpublished M.A. thesis), Kristianstad University College.
- Zheng, Y., & Cheng, L. (2008). Test review: college English test (CET) in China. *Language Testing*, 25(3), 408-417.
- Zhu, H. (2003). Globalization and new ELT challenges in China. *English Today*, 19(04), 36-41.

- Zwaan, R. A., & Radvansky, G. A. (1998). Situation models in language comprehension and memory. *Psychological Bulletin*, *123*, 162–185.
- Zwaan, R. A., Magliano, J. P., & Graesser, A. C. (1995). Dimensions of situation-model construction innarrative comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *21*, 386–397.