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Frequency of Nuclear Mutant Huntingtin Inclusion Formation in Neurons and Glia is Cell-Type-Specific

Anne H.P. Jansen,¹ Maurik van Hal,¹ Ilse C. op den Kelder,¹ Romy T. Meier,¹
Anna-Aster de Ruiter,¹ Menno H. Schut,² Donna L. Smith,³ Corien Grit,⁴ Nieske Brouwer,⁴
Willem Kamphuis,⁵ H.W.G.M. Boddeke,⁴ Wilfred F.A. den Dunnen,⁴
Willeke M.C. van Roon,² Gillian P. Bates,³ Elly M. Hol,⁵,⁶,⁷* and Eric A. Reits¹*

Huntington’s disease (HD) is an autosomal dominant inherited neurodegenerative disorder that is caused by a CAG expansion in the Huntingtin (HTT) gene, leading to HTT inclusion formation in the brain. The mutant huntingtin protein (mHTT) is ubiquitously expressed and therefore nuclear inclusions could be present in all brain cells. The effects of nuclear inclusion formation have been mainly studied in neurons, while the effect on glia has been comparatively disregarded. Astrocytes, microglia, and oligodendrocytes are glial cells that are essential for normal brain function and are implicated in several neurological diseases. Here we examined the number of nuclear mHTT inclusions in both neurons and various types of glia in the two brain areas that are the most affected in HD, frontal cortex, and striatum. We compared nuclear mHTT inclusion body formation in three HD mouse models that express either full-length HTT or an N-terminal exon1 fragment of mHTT, and we observed nuclear inclusions in neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia. When studying the frequency of cells with nuclear inclusions in mice, we found that half of the population of neurons contained nuclear inclusions at the disease end stage, whereas the proportion of GFAP-positive astrocytes and oligodendrocytes having a nuclear inclusion was much lower, while microglia hardly showed any nuclear inclusions. Nuclear inclusions were also present in neurons and all studied glial cell types in human patient material. This is the first report to compare nuclear mHTT inclusions in glia and neurons in different HD mouse models and HD patient brains.
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Introduction

Huntington’s disease (HD) is an autosomal dominant inherited neurodegenerative disorder. Patients suffer from chorea, random involuntary movements, and several psychiatric and cognitive symptoms such as mood changes, depression, and dementia. The prevalence of HD is 10.6–13.7 individuals per 100,000 in the Western world (Evans et al., 2013; Fisher and Hayden, 2014; Morrison et al., 2011). HD is caused by a CAG expansion in the Huntingtin (HTT) gene resulting in a polyglutamine-expansion in the mutant HTT protein (mHTT), and the formation of mHTT inclusions in the nucleus and the cytoplasm of cells. While the appearance of inclusions is an important hallmark of the disease, it is debated whether these structures are toxic because the...
presence of inclusions is inversely correlated with neuronal cell death in a cell model (Arrasate et al., 2004; Nucifora et al., 2012).

HD-related research is primarily focusing on alterations in the brain, and neurons have been studied mostly as they seem to be the most affected cell type in HD. Glial cells have been comparatively disregarded despite their critical role in brain function. Both astrocytes and microglia directly regulate synaptic communication: astrocytes take up neurotransmitters from the synaptic cleft and release gliotransmitters that facilitate neuronal communication, and both microglia and astrocytes are involved in synaptic pruning and are responsive to neurotransmitters (Chung et al., 2013; Kettenmann et al., 2013; Pekny et al., 2016; Schafer et al., 2013). In HD patients, astrocytes and microglia become activated, as shown by the upregulation of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and thymosin B4, respectively, and the degree of activation correlates with disease progression (Faideau et al., 2010; Sapp et al., 2001). In mHTT gene carriers, microglia activation occurs before the first symptoms become apparent as has been shown using positron emission tomography (PK PET) (Tai et al., 2007). Also, increased numbers of oligodendrocytes and GFAP-positive astrocytes are observed as early as the first pathological stages in HD patients become manifest (Faideau et al., 2010; Myers et al., 1991). Transgenic PLP-HTT mice, expressing mHTT exclusively in oligodendrocytes, show a progressive neurological phenotype and a reduced myelin protein expression, which makes it clear that oligodendrocytes can be affected by mHTT expression (Huang et al., 2015). Also, normal astrocyte functions are disturbed, as shown by decreases in glutamate transporter levels, functional glutamate transport, and the secretion of the neurotropic molecule CCL5/RANTES (Chou et al., 2008; Shin et al., 2005). In addition, alterations in potassium homeostasis occur in HD astrocytes due to a decrease in the inward rectifier potassium channel Kir4.1 levels (Tong et al., 2014). Astrocyte dysfunction contributes to HD, as astrocyte-specific mHTT expression exacerbated the HD phenotype in drosophila and mice (Bradford et al., 2010; Kretzschmar et al., 2005). Microglia also change from their normal scanning mode into an immune activated phenotype and start to accumulate ferritin (Simmons et al., 2007). When expressing mHTT, microglia secrete proinflammatory cytokines and their migration toward chemotactic stimuli is impaired, probably because of defective actin remodeling (Kwan et al., 2012; Silvestroni et al., 2009).

Despite the accumulating evidence that glial cells are affected in HD mouse models and human HD patients, there are only a few studies reporting the presence of mHTT inclusions in astrocytes and oligodendrocytes (Huang et al., 2015; Shin et al., 2005; Tong et al., 2014), and the presence of inclusions in microglia has so far not been described. Here we have assessed the proportion of different types of glia and neurons with nuclear mHTT inclusions in the brain areas that are most affected in the disease: frontal cortex and striatum. We studied this in three commonly used HD mouse models that show differences in disease progression. The R6/2 model (Mangiarini et al., 1996) expresses the N-terminal mHTT-exon 1 protein, and has a much faster progression of symptoms and pathology when compared with the HdhQ150 and zQ175 HD mouse models that express full-length mHTT (Lin et al., 2001; Menalled et al., 2012). Time points selected for investigations were based on the phenotype seen in the mice at a given age. Nuclear inclusions were also present in neurons and all studied glial cell types in human patient material. We found that proportion and size of nuclear inclusions differs between the different cell types, with neuronal inclusions being generally larger and more abundant than nuclear inclusions in glia.

Materials and Methods

Mouse Models

In this study, 4, 9, and 14-week-old R6/2 mice (Mangiarini et al., 1996), 2-, 16-, and 22-month-old homozygous HdhQ150 mice (Lin et al., 2001), 3-, 6-, 8-, and 12-month-old heterozygous zQ175 mice (Menalled et al., 2012), and wild-type littersmates were used. The R6/2 mice analyzed had an average CAG expansion of $210 \pm 4$ (SD). The HdhQ150 homozygous mice used in this study had expanded CAG repeat sizes of $190 \pm 16$ (SD) on the large allele and $169 \pm 12$ (SD) on the smaller allele. For the zQ175 line, we analyzed tissue with a mean CAG repeat expansion of $193 \pm 4$ (SD). The end-stage phenotypes for R6/2 mice (~13 weeks) and homozygous HdhQ150 mice (~22 months) are highly comparable (Kuhn et al., 2007; Labbadia et al., 2011; Mielcarek et al., 2014, 2015; Moffitt et al., 2009; Woodman et al., 2007), including the gross distribution of inclusions in the CNS (Woodman et al., 2007) and periphery (Moffitt et al., 2009). The main difference between the two lines is the age of phenotype onset and rate of disease progression (Lin et al., 2001). The zQ175 model originated from a spontaneous mutation in the chimeric knock-in model with 140 CAGs (Menalled et al., 2003). In this model, HTT exon 1 is of human origin, whereas the rest of the Htt gene is murine. Progression of the disease occurs more rapidly in both heterozygous and homozygous zQ175 mice (Menalled et al., 2012) as compared with the HdhQ150 model, and the end stage of disease occurs at approximately 20 months in zQ175 heterozygotes.

Isolation of Astrocyte and Microglia Cell Populations

Wild-type mice—6 and 11 weeks old—were used in this experiment ($n = 12$). The mice were anesthetized by i.p. injection of 100 µL sodium pentobarbital and perfused with 0.9% NaCl (pH 5.5). All experiments were performed according to the experimental animal guidelines of the University Medical Center Groningen. Animals
were housed under normal conditions in a 12-h light/dark cycle and fed ad libitum in the central experimental animal facility of the University of Groningen. After dissecting the brains from the skull, the cortex was isolated and cut into small pieces in ice-cold dissection medium (Hanks bovine salt serum [HBSS], PAA, Cat.nr. H15-010; 1.3% D-(-)-glucose solution, Sigma, Cat.nr. G8769; 1.5% 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineneethanesulfonic acid [HEPES], PAA, 311-001). Subsequently, the tissue was incubated in dissection medium supplemented with papain (8.7 U/mL) and 0.5 µg/mL DNase1 at 37°C for 60 min. Enzyme digestion was stopped by adding fetal bovine serum (FBS) to an end concentration of 10%. Tissue fragments were triturated and 4 mL percoll (90% percoll, 150 mM NaCl) was added to a volume of 3 mL dissection medium and centrifuged at 200g with low brake at 4°C for 20 min. The top phase was discarded, and the Percoll layer containing the cells and the myelin layer were collected and diluted five times using dissection medium, followed by centrifugation at 200g at 4°C for 10 min. To avoid oligodendrocyte contamination, cell pellets were resuspended in MACS buffer (2 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] and 0.5% bovine serum albumin [BSA] in PBS pH 7.2) and incubated with myelin removal beads (Miltenyi Biotec: 130-096-733) at 4°C for 15 min. Subsequently, the samples were centrifuged at 200g at 4°C for 5 min, supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in MACS buffer. Cells were then loaded on MACS columns, which were washed thrice with MACS buffer to elute the cells for FACS sorting.

**FACS Procedure**

The obtained cell suspension was incubated with CD16/CD32 (1:100, eBioscience) to block Fc gamma receptors and prevent monocyte isolation. After washing, cells were resuspended and incubated with anti-GLT-1 (1:100, 0.6 mg/mL (Orre et al., 2014b) in staining medium (HBSS, PAA, 1.3% D-(-)-glucose solution, Sigma, Cat.nr. G8769; 1.5% HEPES, PAA, 311-001, 0.1 mM EDTA) at 4°C for 30 min. After washing, cells were incubated with the secondary antibody anti-rabbit-Alexa488 (1:200) and the conjugated antibodies CD45-PE/Cy7 (1:200 eBioscience) and CD11B-PE (1:150, eBioscience) for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were washed with staining medium and 4',6-diamidino-2-fenylindool (DAPI) staining was used to sort living cells. Using an MoFlo XDP sorter (Beckman Coulter), GLT+ astrocytes were sorted based on a GLT+/CD11B− expression and microglia were sorted on CD11B+/CD45− expression. The sorted cells were subjected to RNA isolation.

**RNA Isolation and QPCR**

RNA was isolated from the cells by adding TRIzol (400 µL, Thermo Fisher) to the cell pellets, followed by addition of chloroform (80 µL). Samples were centrifuged, the top phase was collected and mixed with an equal volume of isopropanol and 1 µL glycogen (20 mg/µL, Invitrogen). Samples were stored overnight at -20°C. The next day, the samples were centrifuged (max. speed for 1 h at 4°C) and pellets were washed twice with 75% ethanol. The total sample was used as template for cDNA synthesis with a mix of oligo(dT) and random hexamer primers according to manufacturer’s instructions (Quantitect-Qiagen). After DNase treatment, the RNA was incubated with reverse transcriptase for 30 min at 42°C. The samples were diluted 1:20 to serve as a template for real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (QPCR) analysis. QPCR was used for the quantification of expression of endogenous Htt levels using the primers 5′-ATCTCGACCGTGCTGTC-3′ and 5′-CCACTGTTCTGGAGGTTT-3′. Hprt, Gapdh, Actg1, and Acta1 were used for normalization.

**Volcano plot analysis of proteomics data of Sharma et al. 2015**

The Volcano plots (Supp. Info., Fig. 1B) were generated by using data of Sharma et al. (2015). In this study, neurons, microglia, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes were isolated from 9-week-old C57BL/6 mice, which were used for proteomics analysis to determine cell-type-specific expression patterns. The data were used to plot HTT expression in each cell type in relation to their specific markers. In the Volcano plot, Log2 protein intensity per cell type was plotted against the median abundance of all cell types together.

**Mouse Tissue Preparation for Immunofluorescence Microscopy**

All experimental procedures performed on mice were conducted under a project license from the Home Office and approved by the King’s College London Ethical Review Process Committee in the UK. Hemizygous R6/2 mice were bred by backcrossing R6/2 males to (CBA × C57BL/6) F1 females (B6CBAF1/OlaHsd, Harlan Olac, Bicester, UK). HdhQ150 homozygous mice on a (CBA × C57BL/6) F1 background were obtained by intercrossing HdhQ150 heterozygous CBA/Ca and C57BL/6 congenic lines as described previously (Woodman et al., 2007). zQ175 heterozygous mice were maintained by backcrossing to C57BL/6 females (Stock 000664, Charles River). All animals were fed ad libitum (Special Diet Services, Witham, UK) and mice were subjected to a 12-h light/dark cycle.

The mice were anesthetized by i.p. injection of 100 µL sodium pentobarbital (juvenile mice < 5 weeks 50 µL) and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (NaH2PO4, pH 7.4). After dissecting the brains from the skull, the brains were postfixed in 4% PFA at 4°C overnight. Brains were stored in 0.1 M NaH2PO4/0.5% PFA until further use. Brains were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 154 mM NaCl, 1.1 mM KH2PO, 5.6 mM Na2HPO4, pH 7.4 Lonza) and incubated in 20% sucrose in PBS overnight. Subsequently, brains were frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C. Brains were cut coronally in 10-µm-thick sections that were mounted on Starfrost microscope slides and stored at −20°C until further use. Two mice brains were analyzed for each time point, and the immunostaining experiments were replicated at least once for each mouse.

**Immunofluorescent Staining of Mouse Tissue Sections**

Sections were postfixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and washed with PBS. Afterwards, the sections were heated for antigen retrieval in 10 mM sodium citrate + 0.05% tween-20 at 85–95°C for 10 min. Subsequently, sections were rinsed in PBS, blocked and permeabilized with 1% BSA, 2% PBS, and 0.4% Triton X-100 in PBS.
1 h, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies within the same buffer. Antibodies used are listed in Table 1. Sections were subsequently washed with PBS and incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies donkey-anti-mouse, -rabbit, or -goat with Alexa488, Cy3, or Cy5 tags to visualize immunostaining (1:700 Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories). Finally, sections were washed in PBS and embedded in Vectashield, including DAPI to stain nuclei (Vector Laboratories). All procedures were performed at room temperature.

**Human HD Patient Material and Immunofluorescent Staining**

Frontal cortex and striatum of 6 human HD patient cases were used for analysis. Details are presented in Table 2 (Donor 1-6). Brain tissue was formalin fixed and paraffin embedded. Sections of 6 μm were used for immunostaining. Sections were deparaffinized and washed with PBS. The staining procedure was similar as described for the mouse tissue immunostaining using the same antibodies (Table 1).

### TABLE 1: Antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dilution (mouse)</th>
<th>Dilution (human)</th>
<th>FACS dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NeuN</td>
<td>Millipore (MAB377) Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100B</td>
<td>DAKO (Z0311) Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:4,500</td>
<td>1:600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>DAKO (Z0334) Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:2,000</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA1</td>
<td>Wako (019-19471) Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:4,000</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLIG2</td>
<td>Chemicon (Ab9610) Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S829</td>
<td>(Sathasivam et al., 2001) Sheep polyclonal</td>
<td>1:800</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLT-1</td>
<td>(Orre et al., 2014b) Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD11B-PE</td>
<td>eBioscience Rat monoclonal</td>
<td>1:1:50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD45-PECy7</td>
<td>eBioscience Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: Clinopathological Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor ID</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age of onset</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>CAG repeats</th>
<th>VS grade</th>
<th>Brain area</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>&gt;61</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19/40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. den Dunnen (UMCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>&gt;33</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25/49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. den Dunnen (UMCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>17/41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. den Dunnen (UMCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>~10 (JHD)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22/84</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>Caudate+ accumbens/frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>~6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17/86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caudate putamen/frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15/68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Caudate putamen/frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Caudate+ accumbens/frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Striatum/frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Striatum/frontal cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>23/43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Striatum/cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15/42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>17/42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9/44</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18/53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>W. van Roon (LUMC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M = male; f = female; VS grade = Vonsattel grade; n.d. = no data available.
**Image Analysis**

To determine the nuclear inclusion proportion per cell type, images were taken with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope using a 63× oil objective and Leica LAS X imaging software. A minimum of five images was taken from each sample, the number of cells differed per cell type ranging from 3 to 50 cells per field. Per image, the total number of cell-marker-positive cells and the number of cells with inclusions were counted. Per cell type a minimum of 200 cells were included.

To quantify the number of cells in HD mice and their wild-type littermates, images were acquired using a Leica DM RA microscope equipped with a Plan Apo 25× 1.40 oil objective (Carl Zeiss), a cooled charge-coupled device camera (KX1400; Apogee Instruments, Logan, UT, USA), and Image-Pro Plus software. DAPI-stained nuclei were counted by a custom-made Matlab script. With this script, the total nuclear surface area was measured and the number of distinct objects was counted. The number of positive cells was counted manually by persons that were unaware of the mouse genotypes.

**Preparation of Insoluble Protein Fractions**

Postmortem human brain tissue slides were homogenized with a bullet blender (Next Advance, strength 8, 3 min) in a buffer containing 150 mM sucrose, 15 mM HEPES pH7.9, 60 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA pH8, and 0.1 mM ethylene glycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid pH8 using a 1:5 weight-to-volume ratio. After addition of Triton X-100 to a final concentration of 1%, samples were kept on ice for 1 h. Insoluble protein pellets were prepared by centrifugation (max. speed for 10 min), and washed thrice with 60 mM Tris. Pellets were resuspended in 15% SDS and incubated overnight at 95°C. Protein concentration of resuspended pellets was determined with the bicinchoninic (BCA) assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA).

**Filter-Trap Assay**

One hundred micrograms of protein suspension in 300 μL of 15% SDS was blotted onto a cellulose acetate membrane using a vacuum applicator. Blots were washed twice with 0.2% SDS and fixed in 0.5% glutaraldehyde. Blots were blocked with 4% nonfat milk (Nutricia, Schiphol, The Netherlands) in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 and probed with primary anti huntingtin antibody 3702-1 (Epitomics, Burlingame CA, USA), and secondary antibody horse radish peroxidase conjugated goat-anti-rabbit (Santa Cruz). Blots were visualized with enhanced chemoluminescence (ECL, #32106, ThermoFisher) and Hyperfilm ECL (#28906837, GE healthcare, Little Chalfond, United Kingdom). Signal intensity was quantified with imageJ-software.

**Statistics**

Two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test was used to assess differences in mRNA expression levels, P < 0.05 was accepted as significant. One-way ANOVA with a Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test was used to assess differences in inclusion diameters in the various cell types. The Marascuilo procedure was used to compare proportions of inclusions in different cell types and to assess the number of cells in wild-type and HD mice, for all tests significance level was set at 0.05. Supporting Information, Tables 1–4 display the results of all statistical tests in detail.

**Results**

**Huntingtin is Expressed in Neurons and Glia**

Before quantifying the frequency of nuclear mHTT inclusions in neuronal and glial cells, we examined the expression levels of huntingtin in the various cell types of the mouse brain. By data analysis of published transcriptomics and proteomics studies, huntingtin mRNA and protein levels in neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia could be determined (Supp. Info., Fig. 1). Whereas mRNA levels were slightly higher in neurons compared with glia in both human and mice (Supp. Info., Fig. 1A, data obtained from (Zhang et al., 2016)), HTT protein levels were similar in oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, neurons, and microglia in mice (Sharma et al., 2015). Compared with the median protein expression levels of all these cell types combined (Log2 fold change, x-axis), cell-specific markers were differentially expressed, whereas HTT protein levels (Log2 protein expression intensities, y-axis) were comparable in all cell types (Supp. Info., Fig. 1B, analyzed data published by Sharma et al., 2015). Htt mRNA expression in astrocytes and microglia was confirmed by QPCR from cells isolated from wild-type mice using a protocol developed earlier in our lab (Orre et al., 2014b) by dissecting out the cortex and isolating dissociated GLT1+ astrocytes and CD11B+/CD45+ microglia by FACS. Htt is expressed in astrocytes and microglia in young mice of 6 and 11 weeks old (Supp. Info., Fig. 1C). These results confirm earlier data on Htt RNA expression in isolated astrocytes and microglia in adult (3 months) and aged (15 months) mice (Orre et al., 2014b).

**Differences in Nuclear mHTT Inclusion Formation between Neurons and Glial Cells in R6/2 Mice**

While both cytoplasmic and nuclear inclusions were present in R6/2 mice, we deliberately quantified only the nuclear inclusions in neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia as it is often difficult to determine whether cytoplasmic inclusions were present in particular cells due to the complexity of brain tissue, and cell-specific markers do not always label the entire cytoplasm. To determine whether the frequency of nuclear mHTT inclusions differs between neurons and the various glial cell types, we performed immunofluorescent stainings on brain sections from R6/2 mice (Mangiarini et al., 1996). The pathogenesis in R6/2 mice progresses rapidly; inclusions have been observed at postnatal day 0 (P0) and their number increases with age, with the first phenotypes being present as early as P30 and the disease reaching its end stage within 4 months (Stack et al., 2005).
To visualize nuclear mHTT inclusions, we used the S829 antibody that was raised against the N-terminus of polyQ-expanded HTT exon 1 (Sathasivam et al., 2001), in combination with at least one cell-type-specific marker. As GFAP mainly labels reactive astrocytes, we also used S100B as a glial marker to visualize also nonreactive astrocytes.

We analyzed the number of nuclear inclusions in neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia in the frontal cortex and striatum of mice aged 4, 9, and 14 weeks. Nuclear inclusions were found in neurons, S100B-positive glial cells, GFAP-positive astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia (Fig. 1A), yet the proportion of these inclusions differed between cell types. While the number of nuclear inclusions increased with age in all cell types in both the frontal cortex and the striatum, neurons contained the highest proportion of nuclear inclusions (around 45% of neurons) at 14 weeks in the frontal cortex. In the striatum, the fraction of S100B-positive glial cells with nuclear inclusions was lower compared with neurons (around 35%), whereas GFAP-positive astrocytes and oligodendrocytes showed an even lower percentage (5–10% at 14 weeks). Strikingly, IBA1-positive microglia hardly showed any nuclear inclusions at all, with 14-week-old mice showing only nuclear inclusions in about 1% of the cells (Fig. 1B and Supp. Info., Table 1). In general, nuclear inclusions had a diameter of 1 μm in the cortex and 1–1.5 μm in the striatum in the different types of glial cells. The nuclear inclusions were significantly larger in neurons compared with glia: about 2 μm at 14 weeks (Fig. 1C). In the striatum, neuronal nuclear inclusions were also larger than those in glia at 14 weeks (Fig. 1C). The differences in the proportion of nuclear inclusions between the different cell types was not due to cell death as no differences were observed in the number of cells in wild-type and R6/2 mice (N = 11,943–27,037). WT = wild-type.

**FIGURE 1**: Nuclear mHTT inclusions in R6/2 striatum and cortex. (A) Exemplary images of mHTT inclusions (S829, red) were present in the nuclei (DAPI, blue) of neurons (NeuN, green, striatum), S100B-positive glia (green, striatum), GFAP-positive astrocytes (green, frontal cortex), oligodendrocytes (OLIG2, green, striatum), and microglia (IBA1, green, frontal cortex). Representative pictures of each cell, morphology similar in cortex and striatum. Scale bar is 3 μm. (B) The percentage of cells with a nuclear inclusion in the cortex and striatum. (C) The change in the diameter of nuclear inclusions with disease progression in the various cell types. Different letters represent significantly different groups as determined by one-way ANOVA with Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test. (D) The percentage of nuclei containing for various cell type markers. Cell numbers were similar between wild-type and R6/2 mice (N = 11,943–27,037). WT = wild-type. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
positive glial cells showing a higher proportion of nuclear inclusions than GFAP-positive astrocytes or oligodendrocytes, and that microglia hardly show any nuclear inclusion formation.

Differences in Nuclear mHTT Inclusions Between Neurons and Glial Cells in Knock-In HD Mouse Models

We also examined the proportion of nuclear inclusions in the various cell types in two knock-in HD mouse models that express the full-length mHTT protein. The first was the HdhQ150 model, where approximately 150 CAGs were knocked into the mouse Htt gene (Lin et al., 2001). The second full-length mHTT model was the zQ175 model, which is chimeric for human HTT exon 1, with approximately 175 CAGs, and the remainder of the mouse Htt gene (Menalled et al., 2012). Similar to the R6/2 model, nuclear inclusions were found in all cell types studied in HdhQ150 mice (Fig. 2A), and the percentage of cells that had nuclear inclusions was comparable to the R6/2 model. Neurons contained the highest frequency of nuclear inclusions, closely followed by S100B-positive astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, while microglia only incidentally contained nuclear inclusions (Fig. 2B and Supp. Info., Table 1). Average nuclear inclusion diameter in the HdhQ150 model was 1.3 μm in S100B-positive glial cells, 1 μm in GFAP-positive astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, and 2 μm in neurons at the disease end stage (Fig. 2C). We observed a significant 50% increase in GFAP expression in the striatum (2, 16, and 22 months) and a 75% increase in the frontal cortex (16 and 22 months) (Fig. 2D and Supp. Info., Table 3), consistent with what was described before (Lin et al., 2001). In addition, the number of S100B-positive glial cells in both frontal cortex (16 months: +33%;
22 months: +50%) and striatum (22 months: +93%) and the number of microglia in the frontal cortex (22 months: +30%) were significantly increased at end-stage disease in Hdh_Q150 mice.

Consistent with our R6/2 and Hdh_Q150 data, we observed nuclear inclusions in the zQ175 sections in neurons and all types of glia analyzed (Fig. 3A). The proportion of cells containing a nuclear inclusion increased with disease progression in both frontal cortex and striatum, and was comparable to the proportions observed in the other HD mouse models (Fig. 3B and Supp. Info., Table 1). Neurons and S100B-positive glial cells contained the highest proportion of nuclear inclusions (around 35% of all cells) in both frontal cortex and striatum, followed by the GFAP-positive astrocytes and oligodendrocytes (around 5% of all cells), whereas nuclear inclusions were hardly present in microglia. Although the abundance of zQ175 nuclear mHTT inclusions was similar to R6/2 and Hdh_Q150, all nuclear inclusions were slightly smaller in this model (Fig. 3C), most likely reflecting the fact that tissue from end-stage disease was not available. We observed significantly lower numbers of neuronal cells in both frontal cortex and striatum in 12-month-old zQ175 mice (frontal cortex: −27%; striatum: −41%); however, in glia no major decrease in numbers were detected. So, similar to R6/2 and Hdh_Q150, also in zQ175 mice the lower proportion of nuclear inclusions in glial cells compared to neurons is not due to glial cell death (Fig. 3D and Supp. Info., Table 4).

Comparison of HD Mouse Models with Human HD Patient Material

Next to the three HD mouse models, we performed also double labeling of HTT and cell-specific markers in the frontal cortex and striatum from HD postmortem brains. The percentage of cells containing a nuclear inclusion (max. 0.3% in neurons) was much lower in human patients compared with
the HD mouse models (max 40–50% in neurons) (Fig. 4B). In juvenile HD (JHD) patient brains, we found nuclear inclusions in all cell types (Fig. 4A), and in a higher percentage of cells than in the adult onset HD brains (Fig. 4C). This is consistent with increased insoluble HTT protein due to the increase in Q length (Supp. Info., Fig. 2), although filter-trap analysis showed that JHD 86Q sample produced less aggregates than the 55Q sample. This could be related to subject-to-subject variations or to the young age of the Juvenile HD patient with 86Q who died at the age of 11, whereas the other patients were adults with the average age of 60. Also in JHD, more nuclear inclusions were found in the frontal cortex than in the striatum (Fig. 4C and Supp. Info., Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

While mHTT aggregation has been mainly observed and studied in neurons, we investigated nuclear mHTT inclusions in glial and neuronal cells in three commonly used HD mouse models, the R6/2 transgenic model and the HdhQ150 and zQ175 knock-in models, and in adult and juvenile HD patient postmortem brains. We showed that nuclear inclusions are present in neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia but with very different frequencies. Interestingly, the three mouse models showed a similar percentage of nuclear mHTT inclusion bearing cells in the cortex and striatum, despite the expression of full-length mHTT or only mHTT exon1. At late stages of the disease, nuclear inclusions were found in 30–50% of the neurons, 30% of the S100B-positive glial cells, 4–10% of the GFAP-positive astrocytes, 3–10% of the oligodendrocytes, and 0–2% of the microglia. Both the proportion of cells containing nuclear inclusions and the nuclear inclusion size was lower in glial cells compared with neurons. In neurons, nuclear inclusions were on average 2 μm in diameter, whereas glial nuclear inclusions measured only 1 μm on average. Interestingly, only neuronal nuclear inclusions changed in size with disease progression, increasing from 0.6 μm to a maximum of 2 μm at the end stage. This is in agreement with earlier findings in R6/2 mice, zQ175 mice, and human patients (Carty et al., 2015; Gutekunst et al., 1999; Li et al., 1999). This suggests that nuclear inclusions persist for a long period of time and increase in size, most likely due to sequestration of newly synthesized mHTT but also the sequestering of other proteins. As the nuclear inclusions in all cell types were also positively immunostained for ubiquitin (data not shown), this indicates that the used S829 antibody against mHtt recognizes nuclear inclusions in all cell types with similar efficiency. Interestingly, an increase in nuclear inclusion size with disease progression was not observed in glia, suggesting a better capability of these cells to constrain mHTT inclusion size. As we did not find indications of cell death in glia, the stable nuclear inclusion size and lower nuclear inclusion proportion in glia suggests that the various glial cell types are better capable to slow down mHTT aggregation. In addition, it has been suggested that the cellular compartment influences inclusion structure due to interaction with chaperones and other factors, so the composition of nuclear inclusions may be different in glia. Indeed, it has been recently shown that brain material derived from

**FIGURE 4:** Nuclear mHTT inclusions in Huntington’s disease postmortem human brain. (A) Exemplary images of nuclear mHTT inclusions (S829, red) were present in the nuclei (DAPI, blue) of neurons (NeuN, green, frontal cortex), S100B-positive glia (green, frontal cortex), GFAP-positive astrocytes (green, striatum, frontal cortex), and microglia (IBA1, green, frontal cortex) oligodendrocytes (OLIG2, green, frontal cortex). Representative pictures of each cell, morphology similar in cortex and striatum. Scale bar is 3 μm. (B) The proportion of the various cell types that contain a nuclear inclusion in the frontal cortex of adult-onset patients. (C) The proportion of the various cell types that contain a nuclear inclusion in the frontal cortex and striatum of juvenile-onset patients. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
HD patients contains a number of structurally different types of inclusions, and that amorphous inclusions are less toxic than fibrillar inclusions (André et al., 2013; Hoffner and Djian, 2015). We deliberately quantified only the nuclear inclusions in the studied cell types as the used markers for co-staining did not label the entire cytoplasm, making it too difficult to determine whether cytoplasmic inclusions were indeed present in particular cells that were immunostained for GFAP, NeuN, S100B, Olig2, or IBA1.

**Nuclear mHTT Inclusions in Astrocytes**

Interestingly, there is a large diversion in the occurrence of nuclear inclusions in S100B-positive glial cells (20–35%) compared with GFAP-positive astrocytes (2–10%). S100B is not exclusively expressed in astrocytes, but also in oligodendrocytes, NG2 cells, and neurons (Vives et al., 2003). Immunostaining experiments combining S100B with astrocyte markers GS and ALdh1L1 showed that 50% of both GS and ALdh1L1 cells were positive for S100B cells and vice versa. Co-staining S100B with NeuN showed no co-staining of S100B with NeuN, excluding neuronal staining by S100B (data not shown). S100B and GFAP may represent different cell populations, as GFAP is an astrocyte-specific protein but it is not expressed by every astrocyte in mouse brains. GFAP is a marker for astrogliosis, a process where astrocytes become activated in response to brain damage as a result of trauma, stroke, or in neurodegenerative diseases (Hol and Pekny, 2015; Middeldorp and Hol, 2011; Pekny et al., 2016). Reactive astrocytes change their gene expression profile toward a more proinflammatory phenotype, including potential changes in protein homeostasis. Upregulation of immunoproteasome components (catalytic subunits and proteasome activator PA28αβ) and several heat-shock proteins (HSP70, HSPB1, and HSPB3) has been observed in reactive astrocytes in the cortex of a mouse model for Alzheimer’s Disease (Orre et al., 2014a). As reactive astrocytes have an increased proteasome activity, which correlates with GFAP upregulation, this could explain the reduced proportion of nuclear mHTT inclusions in GFAP-positive astrocytes (Middeldorp et al., 2009; Orre et al., 2013). As the number of GFAP-positive cells were not increased, it is unclear whether in our models true reactive gliosis is observed.

**Mechanisms behind Lower Number of Nuclear Inclusions in Microglia**

The number of inclusions is most likely related to mHtt protein levels, which is regulated by both mHTT synthesis and degradation. mHTT expression has been determined at the mRNA level in astrocytes, neurons, oligodendrocytes, and microglia for both human and mice (Supp. Info., Fig. S1A). The two studied full-length mHTT mouse models (HdhQ150, zQ175) have the mouse Htt promotor/enhancer region, resulting in similar levels of Htt expression compared with endogenous Htt expression in wild-type mice. As the expression level of mHTT is similar in neurons and glia, this study is indicative of a cell-type-specific sensitivity for inclusion formation with microglia being the least sensitive, while neurons are most vulnerable. The surprisingly low proportion of nuclear mHTT inclusions in microglia suggests that these cells may be able to prevent the aggregation process. One explanation could be that microglia are able to proliferate under neurodegenerative conditions, in contrast to neurons and astrocytes, as was demonstrated in both Alzheimer’s disease and HD (Kamphuis et al., 2012; Kraft et al., 2012). This would result in reduced mHTT levels in their progeny, thereby reducing the likelihood of inclusion formation. The observed asymmetrical diversion of inclusions to one of the daughter cells (Rujano et al., 2006) could also result in higher number of daughter cells without inclusions. However, cell proliferation cannot fully explain the discrepancy in the inclusion proportion because we only observed major increases in the number of astrocytes and microglia in the HdhQ150 model but not the other models used, and astrocytes only proliferate in the adult brain to a limited extend (Kamphuis et al., 2012). Besides, no clear microglia activation could be observed in the mouse models. Next to the possibility that microglia containing nuclear inclusions die and are replaced by new cells, differences in protein degradation pathways may underline the observed dissimilarities in inclusion frequency in mice. In HD patients, we observed activation of astrocytes and microglia (data not shown), which is consistent with previous findings (Faideau et al., 2010; Sapp et al., 2001). Immune activation is in AD associated with higher levels and increased activity of immunoproteasome subunits in astrocytes and microglia (Orre et al., 2013) and autophagosomes in microglia (Su et al., 2016). These changes can lead to a more efficient degradation of aggregation-prone proteins and thus might underlie the lower proportion of nuclear inclusions in glia. In human HD patients, the number of inclusions was much lower compared with mice, as previously described (Seidel et al., 2016). However, in HD patients, HTT is also present as neuropil inclusions/granular cytoplasmic structures (DiFiglia et al., 1997; Seidel et al., 2016). In juvenile HD cases (JHD), more nuclear inclusions were present (Fig. 4C) as previously described (DiFiglia et al., 1997), whereas the used mouse models have even longer polyglutamine repeats compared with human patients. So, while these HD mouse models may not be representative for the human situation in terms of the absolute number of nuclear inclusions, these models provide a valuable insight in the relative differences between multiple cell types in relation to inclusion formation.
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