

University of Groningen

Deconstructing depression

Monden, Rei

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Monden, R. (2017). *Deconstructing depression: A 3D perspective*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions

Belonging to the dissertation

Deconstructing Depression: A 3D perspective

1. Variations on the person, symptom and time-point level all account for depression heterogeneity and should ideally not be regarded separately. (Chapter 1)
2. A Three-mode Principal Component Analysis (3MPCA) can be used to simultaneously identify the major sources of heterogeneity at the person, symptoms and time-point level. (Chapter 1)
3. Two distinctive depression prototypes ("somatic" and "mood/cognition") can account for symptom- and course-heterogeneity in depressive patients. (Chapter 2)
4. Decomposing depression heterogeneity at person, symptom, and time-point level reveals distinguishable components at each level but also shows the importance of considering the interactions between these levels. (Chapter 3)
5. A model accounting for depression heterogeneity at the person, symptom and time-point predicts long-term depression outcomes better than traditional predictors (Chapter 4)
6. Patients' responses to antidepressant treatment vary strongly in terms of how different symptom domains develop over time, suggesting that there is no uniform antidepressant treatment response. (Chapter 5)
7. Looking at depression heterogeneity in 3D offers new possibilities for the development of more patient-specific etiological models and clinical characterizations. (Chapter 6)
8. A dimensional approach to psychopathology offers more benefits than drawbacks. (Chapter 7)
9. Phenomenological dimensions of depression show only weak associations with biomarkers. (Chapter 7)
10. As researchers, clinicians, and patients can see 'depression' very differently, statisticians, clinical researchers and clinicians disagree on what 'statistics' mean and can tell us.
11. We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them. (Albert Einstein)

Rei Monden
