

University of Groningen

Sex and gender differences in diabetes care

Hendriks, Steven

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hendriks, S. (2017). *Sex and gender differences in diabetes care*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Chapter 6

Lifestyle and emotional well-being in men and women with type 2 diabetes (ZODIAC-48)

Accepted for publication as:

Hendriks SH*, van Soldt EGW*, van Vugt M, Groenier KH, Roelofsen Y, Maas AHEM, Bilo HJG, Kleefstra N, van Hateren KJJ. Lifestyle and emotional well-being in men and women with type 2 diabetes (ZODIAC-48). The European Journal of General Practice.

** contributed equally*

Abstract

Background

Whether lifestyle is associated with well-being in patients with type 2 diabetes is largely unknown. Uncovering and clarifying associations between these constructs may possibly lead to new strategies in improving both lifestyle and well-being in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Objectives

Aim was to investigate the relationship between lifestyle factors and emotional well-being in patients with type 2 diabetes, focussing on gender differences.

Methods

This prospective cross-sectional cohort study (ZODIAC) included 1085 patients with type 2 diabetes from 52 general practices. Patients were included from May 2012 until September 2014. Emotional well-being was assessed with the World Health Organization-5 Well Being Index. Lifestyle information on BMI, smoking, physical activity and alcohol use was extracted from self-reported questionnaires. Multivariate linear regression analyses were used.

Results

Physical activity and moderate to high alcohol consumption were positively associated with the WHO-5 score after adjustment for other lifestyle factors ($b = 1.2$, $p < 0.001$, $b = 2.9$, $p 0.009$ and $b = 4.0$, $p 0.010$). BMI and smoking had a negative relationship with the WHO-5 score ($b = -0.2$, $p 0.016$ and $b = -4.4$, $p 0.001$). After adjustment for socio-demographic and medical confounders, physical activity and smoking were still associated with the WHO-5 score in both sexes ($b = 1.1$, $p < 0.001$ and $b = -3.1$, $p 0.018$).

Conclusion

The study indicates a positive relationship between physical activity and emotional well-being in both men and women. Furthermore a small negative relationship between smoking and emotional well-being was found in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Introduction

Low emotional well-being is found to be a good predictor for depression in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D), which affects one-third of the patients with T2D (1–3). This is a disturbing fact, since T2D and depression strengthen each other's health risks (4,5).

A major determining factor in the development and maintenance of both diabetes and depression is lifestyle. In previous studies, associations between BMI, inactivity, tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and depression in patients with T2D are described (6–9). However, it is mostly unclear whether these relationships can also be found for well-being in general in patients with T2D. Well-being can be defined as optimal psychological functioning. It is described as a dimension of mental health which acts independent from mental illness, although they are highly correlated (10). Studies which have investigated the effect of physical activity on well-being showed contradictory results (11–14). When associations between lifestyle factors and well-being could be found, this may possibly lead to new strategies in improving both in patients with T2D. Eventually, this may lead to a better prevention of depression and to a better diabetes control.

There are important differences between men and women with respect to lifestyle. It is known that smoking rates are higher in men, and smoking in men is more closely related to food and alcohol consumption (15,16). It is also suggested that women are more likely to be sedentary than men (17). Furthermore, the prevalence of obesity is described to be higher among women than men with T2D (18) and it is also mentioned that obesity has an opposite association with mental health in women compared to men (19). As gender differences in lifestyle and in the association of lifestyle with mental health exist, we hypothesised that the association of lifestyle and well-being could also be different between men and women with T2D. Therefore, the aim of this study was to explore the relationship between different lifestyle components and emotional well-being with a special focus on gender differences.

Methods

Study population

A cross-sectional analysis was performed using baseline data from an observational prospective cohort study. This study is described in detail elsewhere (20). The primary aim of that study was to investigate the effects of access to an online platform (e-Vita), meant to support and promote self-management, on quality of life in patients with T2D treated in primary care. This study was conducted in general practices which are connected to the Care Group Drenthe in the Drenthe-region of the Netherlands. Fifty-two

out of the 110 general practices in this care group agreed to participate. In these practices approximately 8300 patients with T2D were treated. Patients were recruited during a regular checkup by their practice nurse (PN) and were asked to fill out questionnaires including questions concerning lifestyle. Inclusion criteria for this study were: age ≥ 18 years, a diagnosis of T2D and the general practitioner as main care provider for T2D. Patients were included from May 2012 till September 2014. A total of 1710 out of 3988 patients, who were asked to participate, gave written informed consent. The final study sample consisted of 1085 patients (13% of the total T2D population in the participating general practices); see for more details the flowchart in figure 1.




Figure 1. Flowchart of inclusion.

Emotional well-being

The World Health Organization-5 Well Being Index (WHO-5) was used to measure emotional well-being. This brief self-report test is recommended by the International Diabetes Federation as a screening tool for emotional well-being among patients with T2D (21). The WHO-5 questionnaire contains descriptions of five different positive feelings: “I have felt cheerful and in good spirits”, “I have felt calm and relaxed”, “I have felt active and vigorous”, “I woke up feeling fresh and rested” and “my daily life has been filled with things that interest me.” Subjects graded on a six-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not present) to 5 (constantly present) how often each feeling was present in the last two weeks. A total score was calculated in which the maximum score was 100 (best possible well-being) (2).

Lifestyle factors

The following lifestyle factors were included in this study: BMI, alcohol use, smoking and physical activity. Information on alcohol consumption was derived from the questionnaire at baseline. Patients had to choose from the following categories: no alcohol, 7 glasses or less, 8 to 21 glasses, 22 to 35, or more than 35 glasses per week. Information on smoking and physical activity was derived from The Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities Measure (SDSCA) (22). This self-report questionnaire covers behaviour in the week prior to filling out the questionnaire. Smoking status was categorized in non-smokers and current smokers. Physical activity was defined as the amount of days per week of at least thirty minutes physical activity.

Other variables and data sources

Baseline demographic data included sex, age, education level and occupation. Educational status was categorized as low, intermediate or high. Occupation was categorized into four groups; having a job (full-time or part-time), being unemployed or incapacitated, being retired or being a homemaker. Medical data were extracted from the diabetes-specific database at our Diabetes Centre. This centre gathers data of primary care treated patients with T2D in a large part of the Netherlands on yearly basis, to provide benchmark information to general practitioners. This database includes data on physical examination, use of medication, and laboratory blood and urine tests. The following data were extracted: duration of diabetes, BMI, systolic blood pressure, HbA_{1c}, the presence of macrovascular complications (MVC) and information on (indicators of) diabetes-related complications, such as diabetic retinopathy (DRP), albuminuria and diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). MVC was defined as (a history of) angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, coronary artery bypass grafting, stroke or transient ischemic attack. Microalbuminuria was defined as an albumin-creatinine ratio between 2.5-25 mg/mmol in men and 3.5-35 mg/mmol in women. Macroalbuminuria was defined as a ratio higher than 25 mg/mmol and 35 mg/mmol for men and women, respectively (21). Presence of DRP was determined by an ophthalmologist once in two years. Foot sensibility was tested with 5.07 Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments. DPN was defined as two or more errors in a test of three, at least at one foot. Glucose lowering therapy was categorized into; dietary measures only, oral blood glucose lowering therapy and insulin therapy (with or without oral therapy).

Statistical analyses

Analysis were performed using SPSS version 20.0. Before analysing baseline characteristics, multiple imputation was applied to impute missing values of the independent variables, assuming that missing of data was at random (MAR) or completely at random (MCAR).

The relationship between lifestyle and emotional well-being was examined by linear regression, in which the WHO-5 score was used as the dependent continuous variable. Three different predefined regression models were examined. Relationships between WHO-5 score and lifestyle components; BMI, exercise, smoking and alcohol consumption were analysed in the first model. The second model adjusted for demographic factors; sex, age, level of education and occupation. Potential medical confounders were added in the third model; HbA_{1c}, the presence of complications (DRP, MVC, microalbuminuria or DPN), and use of antidepressants. Age, HbA_{1c}, BMI and physical activity were treated as continuous data. In addition to the regression analyses for the total cohort, analyses were repeated stratified according to sex. The semipartial correlation coefficient (r_{part}) was used to measure the correlation of the independent variables and well-being, while controlling that independent variable for the other independent variables. The degree to which the different models determined the WHO-5 score was evaluated by the explained variance, shown as adjusted R².

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Isala, Zwolle, the Netherlands. Registered on clinicaltrials.gov, study ID NCT01570140.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study sample are depicted in table 1. Fifty-five percent of the patients was male. Mean age was 66 (SD: 10) years in men and 65 (SD: 10) years in women. The median score on the WHO-5 questionnaire was 76 (68 – 84) in men and 72 (60 – 80) in women.

Lifestyle and emotional well-being

The results of the linear regression analyses are presented in table 2. The first model, including only lifestyle factors, showed an association between BMI, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption and WHO-5 score. Only physical activity and smoking remained significantly related when adjusted for socio-economic and medical confounders ($b = 1.1$, $p < 0.001$, $r_{\text{part}} = 0.13$ and $b = -3.1$, $p = 0.018$, $r_{\text{part}} = -0.07$) (model 3). Lower scores for emotional well-being were found in patients that are female, unemployed or incapacitated, having high levels of education, using antidepressants or having MVC or DPN.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics in total population and stratified according to gender.

	Total	Men	Women
<i>Demographics</i>			
N	1085 (100)	598 (55)	487 (45)
Age, (years)	65 (\pm 10)	66 (\pm 10)	65 (\pm 10)
Occupation			
Job	293 (27)	174 (29)	119 (24)
Unemployed/Incapacitated	93 (9)	55 (9)	38 (8)
Homemaker	132 (12)	11 (2)	121 (25)
Retired	567 (52)	358 (60)	209 (43)
Level of education			
Low	370 (34)	178 (30)	192 (39)
Mediate	478 (44)	253 (42)	225 (46)
High	237 (22)	167 (28)	70 (14)
<i>Clinical Values</i>			
Diabetes duration (years)*	7 (3-10)	7 (3-10)	7 (3-11)
BMI (kg/m ²)	29 (26-32)	29 (26-32)	30 (27-33)
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	49 (44-54)	49 (44-54)	49 (44-53)
WHO-5 sum score	76 (64-80)	76 (68-84)	72 (60-80)
<i>Vascular complications</i>			
MVC	328 (30)	220 (37)	108 (22)
DRP	49 (5)	30 (5)	19 (4)
Albuminuria	145 (13)	119 (20)	27 (6)
DPN	224 (21)	134 (22)	90 (19)
<i>Medication</i>			
Use of antidepressants	55 (5)	23 (4)	32 (7)
Hyperglycaemic therapy			
Diet	207 (19)	106 (18)	101 (21)
Oral therapy only	725 (67)	412 (69)	313 (64)
Insulin therapy	153 (14)	80 (13)	73 (15)
<i>Lifestyle</i>			
Smoking	199 (18)	104 (17)	95 (20)
Alcohol consumption†			
No alcohol consumption	509 (47)	203 (34)	306 (63)
Alcohol 1-7	423 (39)	272 (46)	151 (31)
Alcohol 8-21	145 (13)	116 (19)	29 (6)
Alcohol 22-35	8 (1)	7 (1)	1 (0)
Physical activity‡	5 (3-7)	6 (3-7)	5 (3-7)

Values are depicted as n (%), mean (\pm SD), or median (25 - 75 percentiles). * Information missing in 14 subjects with normal emotional well-being. †Alcohol units / week. ‡ Number of days a week, at least 30 minutes exercised. Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index; WHO-5: The World Health Organization-5 Well Being Index; MVC: macrovascular complications; DRP: diabetic retinopathy; DPN: diabetic peripheral neuropathy. N (%) of imputed values: Level of education 13 (1.1), occupation 9 (0.8), BMI 14 (1.2), HbA1c 5 (0.4), DRP 60 (5.2), Albuminuria 54 (4.7), DPN 61 (5.3), smoking status 3 (0.3), alcohol usage 9 (0.8), exercise 7 (0.6)

Table 2. Multivariate regression analysis for lifestyle factors and emotional well-being in total population.

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	b (95% CI)	p-value	r _{part}	b (95% CI)	p-value	r _{part}	b (95% CI)	p-value	r _{part}
BMI (kg/m ²)	-0.2 (-0.4,-0.1)	0.016	-0.07	-0.2 (-0.4, 0.0)	0.136	-0.04	-0.1 (-0.3, 0.1)	0.207	-0.04
Physical activity*	1.2 (0.7, 1.6)	<0.001	0.14	1.1 (0.7, 1.6)	<0.001	0.14	1.1 (0.6, 1.5)	<0.001	0.13
Smoking	-4.4 (-7.0, -1.9)	0.001	-0.10	-3.8 (-6.3, -1.2)	0.003	-0.08	-3.1 (-5.6, -0.5)	0.018	-0.07
No alcohol consumption [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alcohol 1-7 [‡]	2.9 (0.7, 5.1)	0.009	0.08	1.5 (-0.6, 3.7)	0.165	0.04	1.2 (-1.1, 3.1)	0.271	0.03
Alcohol 8-21 [‡]	4.0 (0.9, 7.1)	0.010	0.08	2.1 (-1.2, 5.0)	0.180	0.04	1.3 (-1.7, 4.4)	0.421	0.02
Alcohol 22-35 [‡]	-5.3 (-17.0, 6.4)	0.374	-0.03	-3.5 (-14.8, 7.9)	0.552	-0.02	-3.7 (-15.0, 7.5)	0.517	-0.02
Gender [#]	-	-	-	-5.3 (-7.4, -3.1)	<0.001	-0.14	-6.0 (-8.2, -3.8)	<0.001	-0.15
Age (years)	-	-	-	0.0 (-0.1, 0.1)	0.979	<0.01	0.1 (-0.1, 0.2)	0.467	0.02
Job [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unemployed/ incapacitated	-	-	-	-11.6 (-15.4, -7.8)	<0.001	-0.17	-10.3 (-14.1, -6.5)	<0.001	-0.15
Homemaker	-	-	-	-3.5 (-7.1, 0.2)	0.063	-0.05	-2.8 (-6.4, 0.8)	0.128	-0.04
Retired	-	-	-	0.6 (-2.5, 3.7)	0.721	0.01	0.8 (-2.3, 3.8)	0.612	0.01
Low level of education [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mediate level of education	-	-	-	-2.4 (-4.6, -0.2)	0.036	-0.06	-2.1 (-4.3, 0.1)	0.065	-0.05
High level of education	-	-	-	-4.4 (-7.2, -1.6)	0.002	-0.09	-4.1 (-6.9, -1.4)	0.003	-0.08
MVC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-2.7 (-4.9, -0.6)	0.013	-0.07
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0 (-0.1, 0.1)	0.788	-0.01
Use of antidepressants	-	-	-	-	-	-	-8.0 (-12.4, -3.6)	<0.001	-0.10
Albuminuria [§]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1.3 (-4.3, 1.8)	0.416	-0.02
DRP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.6 (-5.3, 4.2)	0.813	-0.01
DPN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-3.8 (-6.4, -1.2)	0.004	-0.09

*Number of days a week, at least 30 minutes exercised. †Reference category. ‡Alcohol consumption (n/week). # Reference category is men.
 § Albumin-creatinine ratio above 2,5 g/mol in men and 3,5 g/mol in women. Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index, MVC: macrovascular complications, DRP: diabetic retinopathy, DPN: diabetic peripheral neuropathy, b: unstandardized regression coefficients, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval, rpart: semipartial correlation coefficient.

Table 3. Multivariate regression analysis for lifestyle factors and emotional well-being in men.

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			
	Adjusted R ² =0.36	Adjusted R ² =0.119	Adjusted R ² =0.150	b (95% CI)	r _{part}	p-value	b (95% CI)	r _{part}	p-value	r _{part}
BMI (kg/m ²)	-0.1 (-0.3, 0.3)	0.873	-0.01	0.0 (-0.3, 0.3)	0.929	<0.001	0.1 (-0.2, 0.3)	<0.01	0.707	0.01
Physical activity*	1.1 (0.5, 1.7)	<0.001	0.15	1.0 (0.5, 1.5)	<0.001	0.14	0.8 (0.2, 1.3)	0.14	0.006	0.10
Currently smoking	-3.2 (-6.3, 0.0)	0.048	-0.08	-2.1 (-5.1, 0.9)	0.174	0.174	-1.7 (-4.8, 1.3)	-0.05	0.262	-0.04
No alcohol [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alcohol 1-7 [‡]	0.7 (-2.0, 3.4)	0.617	0.02	0.1 (-2.5, 2.7)	0.956	0.956	-0.8 (-3.4, 1.8)	<0.01	0.568	-0.02
Alcohol 8-21 [‡]	1.5 (-1.9, 4.9)	0.383	0.04	1.5 (-1.8, 4.8)	0.368	0.368	0.2 (-3.2, 3.4)	0.04	0.944	<0.01
Alcohol 22-35 [‡]	-12.9 (-24.2, -1.6)	0.025	-0.09	-7.4 (-18.3, 3.4)	0.180	0.180	-8.7 (-19.4, 2.0)	-0.05	0.111	-0.06
Age (years)	-	-	-	0.0 (-0.2, 0.2)	0.995	0.995	0.1 (-0.1, 0.3)	<0.01	0.374	0.03
Job [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unemployed/ incapacitated	-	-	-	-13.2 (-17.5, -8.8)	<0.001	<0.001	-12.0 (-16.3, -7.6)	-0.23	<0.001	-0.20
Homemaker	-	-	-	-2.3 (-10.9, 6.9)	0.609	0.609	-2.4 (-11.1, 6.2)	-0.02	0.579	-0.02
Retired	-	-	-	2.4 (-1.2, 5.9)	0.199	0.199	2.3 (-1.2, 5.9)	0.05	0.195	0.05
Low level of education	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mediate level of education	-	-	-	-2.1 (-4.9, 0.6)	0.133	0.133	-2.2 (-5.0, 0.5)	-0.06	0.107	-0.06
High level of education	-	-	-	-3.4 (-6.5, -0.4)	0.029	0.029	-3.4 (-6.5, -0.4)	-0.08	0.029	-0.08
MVC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-3.2 (-5.6, -0.8)	0.010	0.010	-0.10
HbA1c (mmol/ mol)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.1 (-0.2, 0.0)	0.106	0.106	-0.06
Use of antidepressants	-	-	-	-	-	-	-7.7 (-13.6, -1.8)	<0.001	<0.001	-0.10
Albuminuria [§]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-2.5 (-5.6, 0.5)	0.105	0.105	-0.06
DRP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1.8 (-7.0, 3.5)	0.512	0.512	-0.03
DPN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-3.4 (-6.2, -0.6)	0.018	0.018	-0.09

*Number of days a week, at least 30 minutes exercised. †Reference category. ‡Alcohol usage (n/week). § Albumin-creatinine ratio above 2.5 g/mol. Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index, MVC: macrovascular complications, DRP: diabetic retinopathy, DPN: diabetic peripheral neuropathy, b: unstandardized regression coefficients, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval, rpart: semipartial correlation coefficient.

Table 4. Multivariate regression analysis for lifestyle factors and emotional well-being in women.

	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	b (95% CI)	p-value	r _{part}	b (95% CI)	p-value	r _{part}	b (95% CI)	p-value	r _{part}
BMI (kg/m ²)	-0.2 (-0.6, 0.0)	0.054	-0.09	-0.3 (-0.6, 0.1)	0.075	-0.08	-0.2 (-0.5, 0.1)	0.156	-0.06
Physical activity*	1.2 (0.4, 2.0)	0.003	0.13	1.3 (0.5, 2.1)	0.001	0.14	1.4 (0.6, 2.2)	0.001	0.015
Currently smoking	-5.6 (-9.7, -1.4)	0.009	-0.12	-5.8 (-10.0, -1.5)	0.008	-0.12	-4.2 (-8.5, 0.2)	0.057	-0.08
No alcohol [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alcohol 1-7 [‡]	2.5 (-1.2, 6.1)	0.183	0.06	3.0 (-0.6, 6.6)	0.105	0.07	3.5 (-0.2, 7.1)	0.068	0.08
Alcohol 8-21 [‡]	1.5 (-5.5, 8.5)	0.675	0.02	2.0 (-5.1, 9.1)	0.584	0.02	2.0 (-5.1, 9.1)	0.592	0.02
Age (years)	-	-	0.0 (-0.2, 0.2)	0.901	-0.01	0.0 (-0.2, 0.2)	0.897	0.01	-
Job [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unemployed / incapacitated	-	-	-9.5 (-16.2, -2.7)	0.006	-0.12	-8.1 (-14.8, -1.3)	0.020	-0.07	-
Homemaker	-	-	-4.7 (-9.8, 0.3)	0.068	-0.08	-3.9 (-9.0, 1.2)	0.132	-0.10	-
Retired	-	-	-1.7 (-7.3, 3.7)	0.530	-0.03	-1.5 (-7.0, 4.0)	0.632	-0.02	-
Low level of education [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mediate level of education	-	-	-2.9 (-6.6, 0.9)	0.132	-0.07	-2.2 (-6.0, 1.6)	0.279	-0.05	-
High level of education	-	-	-6.8 (-12.1, -1.5)	0.012	-0.11	-6.4 (-11.6, -1.2)	0.019	-0.10	-
MVC	-	-	-2.6 (-6.6, 1.5)	0.254	-	-	-	-	-
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	-	-	0.1 (-0.1, 0.3)	0.505	-	-	-	-	-
Use of antidepressants	-	-	-7.9 (-14.6, -1.1)	0.021	-	-	-	-	-
Albuminuria [§]	-	-	1.6 (-5.8, 8.9)	0.696	-	-	-	-	-
DRP	-	-	2.4 (-6.2, 11.0)	0.593	-	-	-	-	-
DPN	-	-	-4.7 (-9.1, -0.3)	0.037	-	-	-	-	-

*Number of days a week, at least 30 minutes exercised. †Reference category. ‡Alcohol usage (n/week). § Albumin-creatinine ratio above 3.5 g/mol. Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index, MVC: macrovascular complications, DRP: diabetic retinopathy, DPN: diabetic peripheral neuropathy, b: unstandardized regression coefficients, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval, rpart: semipartial correlation coefficient.

Differences between men and women

A statistically significant positive relationship between physical activity and emotional well-being was observed in both men and women in all models (tables 3 and 4). The explained variance of model 3 was higher in men, compared to women ($R^2 = 0.150$ vs. $R^2 = 0.063$ respectively). Being unemployed or incapacitated, having a high level of education, using antidepressants and having DPN resulted in a lower WHO-5 score in both men and women. The WHO-5 score was also negatively associated with having MCV in men only.

Discussion

Main findings

The results of this study indicate that there is a positive relationship between physical activity and emotional well-being, in both women and men. Furthermore, a negative association between smoking and emotional well-being in the total population was found. Other variables that proved to be associated with a lower emotional well-being were being female, being unemployed or incapacitated, and having DPN or MVC. Although these associations were statistically significant, the strength of the relations is rather small.

Comparison with other studies

Studies concerning the effect of physical activity on well-being in patients with T2D, show contradictive results. Three studies among patients with T2D found a positive effect of exercise on well-being (11–13). On the other hand, a study among 218 patients with T2D did not observe any change in well-being after participating 22 weeks in an exercise program (14). None of these studies indicated a pre-defined clinical relevant difference. In the present study, no physical activity versus 7 days of physical activity was associated with a difference of almost 8 points on the WHO-5 questionnaire. Since a difference of 10% in WHO-5 is mentioned as a significant change, the association between physical activity and well-being is possibly only relevant for patients who have a low level of emotional well-being (23). The limited clinical relevance of the association is also displayed by the low semi-partial correlation coefficient for physical activity ($r_{\text{part}}: 0.12$). In the general population, a lower emotional well-being was found in smokers compared to non-smokers (24). However, the clinical relevance of this difference was not reported. In the present study, smoking resulted in a 3 points lower score on the WHO-5 questionnaire, which could not be indicated as a clinically relevant difference. Furthermore, the semipartial correlation coefficient for smoking was very low ($r_{\text{part}}: -0.07$).

No statistically significant association between emotional well-being and BMI was found in our study, which is partly in line with earlier research findings concerning BMI and depressive symptoms in patients with T2D (8).

Studies concerning alcohol consumption and well-being in particular have only been performed in the general population with contradictive results (25,26). One study found a modest positive association of alcohol consumption with poorer psychological well-being (25), whereas another study found that moderate alcohol consumption in older adults is associated with a higher well-being compared to abstinence (26). The absence of an association in our cohort could be the result of the use of alcohol categories instead of the exact number of alcohol consumptions, which lead to data loss.

Gender differences

Our hypothesis concerning differences between men and women in the association between lifestyle factors and well-being could not be confirmed in our study. Furthermore, except for a statistically significant effect of macrovascular complications in men only, no gender differences in associations between demographic or diabetes related factors and emotional well-being were found. Nevertheless, a higher explained variance in the final model was observed for men compared to women. This seems to be mainly caused by a smaller effect of demographic factors on emotional well-being in women compared to men. Although no significant difference between sexes was found, this may be related to a higher impact of unemployment or being incapacitated on well-being in men compared to women. The explained variance of the final model for women compared to men also suggests that emotional well-being in women appears to be stronger related to other, not included (possibly women-specific) factors.

Strengths and limitations

The innovative aspects of this study are the focus on well-being and gender differences in a primary cared treated diabetes population in particular.

Some limitations of our study need to be mentioned. Since the design of this study was cross-sectional, no statement could be made about the underlying mechanisms of the observed relationships. Furthermore, only 27% of all patients who were asked to participate in the observational cohort study, was included in the present study. Some selection bias might have occurred as participants were more often men and younger compared to the total diabetes population in the Drenthe region of the Netherlands. This could be an explanation for the low number of subjects with a potential depression found in our cohort as well. With regard to the assessment of lifestyle, this study used self-reported questionnaires. Therefore, the severity of poor lifestyle habits may be underestimated, because socially desirable answers may have been given. The

most important relationship was found for the level of physical activity. Even though information on intensity and type of activity remained unknown in our analyses, the Dutch guideline for healthy physical activity is nonetheless taken into account (27). This guideline advises to exercise 30 minutes a day during at least 5 days a week to maintain a good health.

Implications for clinical practice and further studies

The clinical relevance of the association between physical activity and well-being seems to be really low. This might indicate that it is not effective to improve well-being by physical activity, or vice versa. However, more prospective longitudinal intervention studies are needed to investigate this hypothesis.

Conclusion

The study indicates that there is a positive relationship between physical activity and emotional well-being in both men and women. Furthermore, a negative, non-clinically relevant relationship with smoking was found in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Funding

This study has been funded by foundation Care Within Reach (In Dutch: stichting Zorg Binnen Bereik).

References

1. Krieger T, Zimmermann J, Huffziger S, Ubl B, Diener C, Kuehner C, et al. Measuring depression with a well-being index: further evidence for the validity of the WHO Well-Being Index (WHO-5) as a measure of the severity of depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2014 Mar;156:240–4.
2. de Wit M, Pouwer F, Gemke RJB, Delemarre-van de Waal HA, Snoek FJ. Validation of the WHO-5 Well-Being Index in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2007 Aug;30(8):2003–6.
3. Roy T, Lloyd CE. Epidemiology of depression and diabetes: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2012 Oct;142 Suppl:S8-21.
4. Lin EHB, Rutter CM, Katon W, Heckbert SR, Ciechanowski P, Oliver MM, et al. Depression and advanced complications of diabetes: a prospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2010 Feb;33(2):264–9.
5. Park M, Katon WJ, Wolf FM. Depression and risk of mortality in individuals with diabetes: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2013 Jun;35(3):217–25.
6. Katon W, von Korff M, Ciechanowski P, Russo J, Lin E, Simon G, et al. Behavioral and clinical factors associated with depression among individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004 Apr;27(4):914–20.
7. Egede LE, Zheng D. Independent factors associated with major depressive disorder in a national sample of individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2003 Jan;26(1):104–11.
8. Messier L, Elisha B, Schmitz N, Gariépy G, Malla A, Lesage A, et al. Changes in depressive symptoms and changes in lifestyle-related indicators: a 1-year follow-up study among adults with type 2 diabetes in Quebec. *Can J Diabetes*. 2013 Aug;37(4):243–8.
9. Clyde M, Smith KJ, Gariépy G, Schmitz N. The association between smoking and depression in a Canadian community-based sample with type 2 diabetes. *Can J Diabetes*. 2013 Jun;37(3):150–5.
10. Weich S, Brugha T, King M, McManus S, Bebbington P, Jenkins R, et al. Mental well-being and mental illness: findings from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey for England 2007. *Br J Psychiatry J Ment Sci*. 2011 Jul;199(1):23–8.
11. Arora E, Shenoy S, Sandhu JS. Effects of resistance training on metabolic profile of adults with type 2 diabetes. *Indian J Med Res*. 2009 May;129(5):515–9.
12. Shenoy S, Guglani R, Sandhu JS. Effectiveness of an aerobic walking program using heart rate monitor and pedometer on the parameters of diabetes control in Asian Indians with type 2 diabetes. *Prim Care Diabetes*. 2010 Apr;4(1):41–5.
13. Guglani R, Shenoy S, Sandhu JS. Effect of progressive pedometer based walking intervention on quality of life and general well being among patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. 2014;13(1):110.
14. Reid RD, Tulloch HE, Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Fortier M, McDonnell L, et al. Effects of aerobic exercise, resistance exercise or both, on patient-reported health status and well-being in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised trial. *Diabetologia*. 2010 Apr;53(4):632–40.
15. Ferguson SG, Frandsen M, Dunbar MS, Shiffman S. Gender and stimulus control of smoking behavior. *Nicotine Tob Res Off J Soc Res Nicotine Tob*. 2015 Apr;17(4):431–7.
16. Allen AM, Scheuermann TS, Nollen N, Hatsukami D, Ahluwalia JS. Gender Differences in Smoking Behavior and Dependence Motives Among Daily and Nondaily Smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res Off J Soc Res Nicotine Tob*. 2015 Jun 30;
17. Pilote L, Dasgupta K, Guru V, Humphries KH, McGrath J, Norris C, et al. A comprehensive view of sex-specific issues related to cardiovascular disease. *CMAJ Can Med Assoc J J Assoc Med Can*. 2007 Mar 13;176(6):S1-44.
18. Hendriks SH, van Hateren KJJ, Groenier KH, Houweling ST, Maas AHEM, Kleefstra N, et al. Sex Differences in the Quality of Diabetes Care in the Netherlands (ZODIAC-45). *PloS One*. 2015;10(12):e0145907.

19. Carpenter KM, Hasin DS, Allison DB, Faith MS. Relationships between obesity and DSM-IV major depressive disorder, suicide ideation, and suicide attempts: results from a general population study. *Am J Public Health*. 2000 Feb;90(2):251–7.
20. Roelofsen Y, Hendriks SH, Sieverink F, van Vugt M, van Hateren KJ, Snoek FJ, et al. Design of the e-Vita diabetes mellitus study: effects and use of an interactive online care platform in patients with type 2 diabetes (e-VitaDM-1 / ZODIAC-40). *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2014;14:22.
21. International Diabetes Federation Guideline Development Group. Global guideline for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2014 Apr;104(1):1–52.
22. Toobert DJ, Hampson SE, Glasgow RE. The summary of diabetes self-care activities measure: results from 7 studies and a revised scale. *Diabetes Care*. 2000 Jul;23(7):943–50.
23. World Health Organization. Wellbeing measures in primary health care: the DepCare project: report on a WHO meeting Stockholm, Sweden 12-13 February 1998. WHO Regional Office for Europe; 1998.
24. Barros VV, Kozasa EH, Formagini TDB, Pereira LH, Ronzani TM. Smokers Show Lower Levels of Psychological Well-Being and Mindfulness than Non-Smokers. *PloS One*. 2015;10(8):e0135377.
25. Graham K, Schmidt G. Alcohol use and psychosocial well-being among older adults. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1999 May;60(3):345–51.
26. Lang I, Wallace RB, Huppert FA, Melzer D. Moderate alcohol consumption in older adults is associated with better cognition and well-being than abstinence. *Age Ageing*. 2007 May;36(3):256–61.
27. Kemper H, Ooijendijk W, Stiggelbout M. Consensus over de Nederlandse Norm voor Gezond Bewegen. *Tijdschr Soc Gezondheidsz*. 2000;78:180–3.

