

University of Groningen

Organ Fibrosis

Mutsaers, Henricus A M; Olinga, Peter

Published in:
Frontiers in Medicine

DOI:
[10.3389/fmed.2016.00055](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2016.00055)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Mutsaers, H. A. M., & Olinga, P. (2016). Organ Fibrosis: Triggers, Pathways, and Cellular Plasticity. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 3, Article 55. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2016.00055>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Editorial: Organ Fibrosis: Triggers, Pathways, and Cellular Plasticity

Henricus A. M. Mutsaers* and Peter Olinga

Department of Pharmaceutical Technology and Biopharmacy, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

Keywords: fibrosis, TGF- β , IFN- γ , chronic kidney disease, heme oxygenase-1, YAP/TAZ, macrophages

The Editorial on the Research Topic

Organ Fibrosis: Triggers, Pathways, and Cellular Plasticity

Pathological scar formation, i.e., fibrosis, is an integral part of the pathophysiological mechanism underlying organ failure and disease progression in a multitude of pathologies including Crohn's disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and chronic kidney disease (CKD). As a consequence, fibrotic diseases account for up to 45% of deaths in the developed world (1). The fibrotic process is characterized by the excessive production and deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, such as collagens, mainly by activated profibrotic fibroblasts. The disturbed equilibrium between ECM formation and degradation is the end result of a complex cascade of cellular and molecular responses initiated by organ damage, and even though there is a range of organ-specific triggers, the fibrotic process and associated signaling pathways are highly conserved between different organs. Although the cellular and molecular mechanisms driving fibrogenesis have been meticulously dissected in the last decades, novel insights into this complex pathological process keep arising. In this Research Topic, experts from all over the world delineate the latest findings pertaining to the diverse triggers, signaling pathways, and cell types associated with the induction and perpetuation of fibrogenic events.

As stated before, fibrosis can be induced by a variety of stimuli, some of which are non-specific and can affect all organs, e.g., inflammation, while other triggers are clearly associated with a specific pathology and organ, e.g., glomerular IgA deposition (2). The article by Simon and Hertig details how changes in fatty acid oxidation by transient acute kidney injury (AKI) can lastingly alter the epithelial features of renal proximal tubular cells. This change in phenotype promotes fibrogenesis, illustrating that AKI can be a cause of CKD and fibrosis (Simon and Hertig). In the review by Mutsaers et al., it is detailed how uremic retention solutes stimulate glomerular sclerosis as well as interstitial fibrosis. Furthermore, they describe that on a cellular level, uremic solutes induce fibrogenic events by promoting, among others, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and senescence (Mutsaers et al.). Regarding scar formation in the skin, Cremers et al. show that mechanical stress mitigated wound healing, which was associated with an enhanced inflammatory response and prolonged survival of fibroblast resulting in a profibrotic environment. Furthermore, they postulate that the cytoprotective enzyme heme oxygenase-1 is an interesting target for the treatment of fibrosis (Cremers et al.). These articles nicely reiterate the diversity of profibrotic triggers, ranging from the biochemical to the physical, indicating that there is still a plethora of potential therapeutic targets to be investigated in the coming years.

Historically, transforming growth factor (TGF)- β has been regarded as one of the key factors driving the fibrotic response in most organs. Binding of TGF- β to its receptor initiates a multifaceted response orchestrated by several SMAD proteins and signaling cofactors that dictate the final transcriptional response in a cell (3). However, as extensively described in the article by Piersma et al., the TGF- β pathway does not operate as a single entity but rather as part of an intricate signaling network composed of multiple signaling pathways including Wingless/Int (WNT) and yes-associated protein

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and Reviewed by:

Luigi M. Terracciano,
University of Basel, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Henricus A. M. Mutsaers
h.a.m.mutsaers@rug.nl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Pathology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 14 October 2016

Accepted: 31 October 2016

Published: 15 November 2016

Citation:

Mutsaers HAM and Olinga P (2016)
Editorial: Organ Fibrosis: Triggers,
Pathways, and Cellular Plasticity.
Front. Med. 3:55.
doi: 10.3389/fmed.2016.00055

1 (YAP)/transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) signaling, illustrating the complexity of fibrotic diseases.

Next to the diverse signaling pathways, a variety of (organ-specific) cells are involved in the fibrotic process including hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), renal pericytes, and circulating bone marrow-derived cells (4, 5). Interestingly, it appears that the latter cell type can acquire both a profibrotic as well as an antifibrotic phenotype as delineated in the article by Adhyatmika et al. Antifibrotic macrophages are tasked with the removal of fibrotic ECM and can be identified by the expression of, among others, matrix-degrading enzymes and collagen-uptake receptors. The concept of cell therapy for fibrosis with the notion that it is possible to identify and potentially recruit antifibrotic macrophages to fibrotic lesions foreshadows some exciting times to come.

Another interesting treatment modality revolves around the cytokine interferon gamma (IFN γ). The potent antifibrotic effects of IFN γ have been recognized for decades, yet this knowledge has never been successfully translated into a therapeutic application. The article by van Dijk et al. details the development of a construct that allows for HSC-specific delivery of IFN γ , thereby greatly improving the antifibrotic efficacy of this cytokine and reducing

systemic side effects. This comprehensive review illustrates the feasibility of a targeted treatment for fibrosis, and we are curious to see how this research field will move forward.

All authors contributing to this Research Topic have made a tremendous effort to provide a scholarly overview of novel knowledge in the field of fibrosis research. It was a pleasure to work on this Topic, and we hope that the articles will provide the readers with a deeper understanding of fibrogenesis, thereby providing new vigor to the field and stimulating new avenues of research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HM and PO conceived and wrote the manuscript. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript and fully agreed with its content.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw; grant number 114021010).

REFERENCES

1. Mehal WZ, Iredale J, Friedman SL. Scraping fibrosis: expressway to the core of fibrosis. *Nat Med* (2011) 17(5):552–3. doi:10.1038/nm0511-552
2. Rockey DC, Bell PD, Hill JA. Fibrosis – a common pathway to organ injury and failure. *N Engl J Med* (2015) 372(12):1138–49. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1300575
3. Massague J. TGFbeta signalling in context. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2012) 13(10):616–30. doi:10.1038/nrm3434
4. Trautwein C, Friedman SL, Schuppan D, Pinzani M. Hepatic fibrosis: concept to treatment. *J Hepatol* (2015) 62(1 Suppl):S15–24. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.039
5. Campanholle G, Ligresti G, Gharib SA, Duffield JS. Cellular mechanisms of tissue fibrosis. 3. Novel mechanisms of kidney fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* (2013) 304(7):C591–603. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00414.2012

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the writing of this editorial was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Mutsaers and Olinga. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.