

University of Groningen

When stories and numbers meet in court

Vlek, Charlotte Stephanie

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Vlek, C. S. (2016). *When stories and numbers meet in court: Constructing and Explaining Bayesian Networks for Criminal Cases with Scenarios*. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions

1. The communication between a judge or jury and a forensic expert needs to be facilitated such that a forensic expert can focus on specific evidence while a judge or jury considers the case as a whole.
2. Scenarios can provide a guideline for the construction of a Bayesian network. (Chapter 3, this PhD thesis)
3. Scenario schemes for typical crimes can provide the general Bayesian network structures to model these crimes. (Chapter 3, this thesis)
4. Various levels of detail in scenarios can be used to specify a Bayesian network to the desired level of specificity. (Chapter 3, this thesis)
5. Bayesian networks can help to prevent a good scenario being chosen over a true scenario. (Chapter 4, this thesis)
6. Formalising scenarios with Bayesian networks to prevent a good scenario being chosen over a true scenario, is a bit like using a sledgehammer to crack a nut.
7. Scenario quality can be captured in and reported from a Bayesian network, but it remains up to a judge or jury to decide how to incorporate scenario quality into their decision. (Chapters 3 and 5, this thesis)
8. Scenarios can be used to communicate the content of a Bayesian network on a global level, but are less suitable for reporting on chains of reasoning. (Chapters 5 and 6, this thesis)
9. A Bayesian network in court is like an elephant in a china shop: it has great strength, but when used improperly it can cause great damage.
10. It is unfortunate that people so comfortably utter the phrase 'I was always very bad at mathematics'.