

University of Groningen

Distributed formation control for autonomous robots

Garcia de Marina Peinado, Hector Jesús

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Garcia de Marina Peinado, H. J. (2016). *Distributed formation control for autonomous robots*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Stellingen
behorende bij het proefschrift

**Distributed formation control
for autonomous robots**

van Héctor J. García de Marina Peinado

1. Mismatches on desired distances and biased measurements from range sensors may have induce the same motion in a formation. (Remark 2.18.)
2. Rule of thumb for dealing with an undesired effect in a robotic system: employ the effect itself or the cause of the effect to drive the dynamics of an estimator. (Theorems 3.1, 3.8, 6.7 and 6.10.)
3. The analysis about how to choose the estimating agents can also be employed to check the local stability of formations with a directed sensing topology. (Assumption in Theorem 3.1 and Assumption 6.9.)
4. Distributed disagreements, when taken as design parameters, can agree with a centralized plan. (The control design in Section 4.1.)
5. It is possible to simultaneously achieve a desired shape, a prescribed translational/rotational motion, and time-varying scale of the shape by means of a single formation control law. (Chapters 4 and 5.)
6. Setting a disagreement for a desired distance is equivalent to designing the robot's velocity/acceleration employing the desired geometry of the formation. (Equations (4.2), (5.18) and (6.40) together with Figure 5.3.)
7. Regardless of the order (first or second) of the robot's dynamical model, a formation of robots having mismatches in the desired distances will have exactly the same undesired effects: a distorted steady-state shape and a collective helical motion. (Theorem 6.4.)