

University of Groningen

The meaning of a good safe port and berth in a modern shipping world

Kharchanka, Andrei

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2014

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kharchanka, A. (2014). *The meaning of a good safe port and berth in a modern shipping world*. s.n.
<https://tinyurl.com/go4p34n>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

SAFE PORT AND SAFE BERTH

Full title

The Meaning of a Good Safe Port and Berth in a Modern Shipping World

Printer

Ridderprint Offsetdrukkerij BV, Ridderkerk, the Netherlands

ISBN 978-90-367-6613-5

Copyrights

© 2014 A. Kharchanka, the Netherlands

This publication is protected by international copyright law and Dutch law. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanic, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the author



rijksuniversiteit
 groningen

THE MEANING OF A GOOD SAFE PORT AND BERTH IN A MODERN SHIPPING WORLD

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus, Prof. E. Sterken
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Thursday 27 February 2014 at 11:00 hrs.

by

Andrei Kharchanka

born on 6 August 1978
in Grodno, Belarus

Supervisor:

Prof. M.H. ten Wolde

Co-supervisor:

Prof. M.J. Davies

Assessment committee:

Prof. J.B. Wezeman

Prof. T. Hoff

Prof. A. Tettenborn

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The idea to write this research has long roots. The idea grew from a spontaneous conversation on a subway train to Midway airport in Chicago. In 2006, I was a seaman. My brother Vasili and his wife Anastasia, urged me that it was about time to start a new career. After spending five years at sea, I decided to pursue a master in Admiralty Law at Tulane University to continue my maritime experience. For that bright idea, I thank you both.

The faculty of Tulane University contributed to my academic development and guided me through the rough waters of maritime law. I would like to give special thanks to Professor Martin Davies. Professor Davies supervised not only my research at Tulane, but he was also a co-promoter for a dissertation. He provided me with priceless advice and assistance.

Life in New Orleans allowed me to meet many great people. One of them was my teacher, mentor, and supervisor Ed Anderson. Ed not only brought me to BBC Chartering (a world leading operator of multi-purpose vessels), but also coached my development for the past four years. I am grateful for all of the help and guidance.

Another person whom I owe a fortune to is Svend Andersen. As the CEO of BBC Chartering, Svend allowed me to assume responsibilities as an in-house counsel. Svend possesses tremendous experience and respect in the maritime community and he sincerely shared his knowledge of managing a shipping company and dealing with owners and charterers in various situations. Thank you, Svend.

The topic of writing on safe port and berth warranties did not come to me spontaneously. The idea was born after an unfortunate grounding in the Orinoco River of a BBC Chartering time chartered vessel. A solicitor, Andrew Preston, from Clyde & Co. handled the case and provided brilliant solutions on defending safe port warranty. Thank you.

Once a foundation of the research was laid, the topic was further developed through the help of Professor Mathijs ten Wolde, who patiently helped me to lay brick after brick in order to structure the research. Professor ten Wolde meticulously advised me through several meetings the past three years on how to expand my research and present it in a reader-friendly way. I am appreciative of everything you have done for me, professor.

My special thanks go to Professor Hoff of the University of Alabama, Professor Tettenborn of Swansea University, and Professor Wezeman of the University of Groningen who agreed to devote their time and wisdom to read and evaluate this dissertation.

I would like to thank my colleagues Alexander Williams, who assisted in editing the dissertation, Thomas Bock, with whom I discussed safe port warranty on numerous occasions to understand its apprehension both from the owners' and charterers' side, and Juan Salmeron, who assisted me in organizational matters.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family for their understanding and patience. I love you all.

Leer, December 2013

Andrei Kharchanka

To my Mom...

Моей маме посвящается...

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
Table of Contents	IX
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. Standard in determining liability of the parties responsible for nomination of the port under English and American Law	5
2.1. Defining parties involved in maritime adventure	5
2.2. Defining trading limitations of the vessel	6
2.3. Introduction to English and American Standards of Safe Port Warranty	8
2.4. English Law Standard	9
2.4.1. Safety at the time of nomination	11
2.4.1.1. Valid renomination under voyage charterparties	14
2.4.1.2. Valid renomination under time charterparties	17
2.4.1.3. Nomination of an impossible port in voyage charterparties	19
2.4.2. Abnormal activity or events in the port	22
2.4.2.1. Physical conditions	24
2.4.2.2. Political conditions	24
2.4.2.4. Commercial sense	26
2.4.3. Good navigation and seamanship	26
2.4.4. Intervening negligence of third parties	29
2.4.4.1. Pilot	30
2.4.4.2. Consignee	30
2.4.4.3. Voyage charterer as an Indemnitor	32
2.4.4.4. Charterers' and Owners' agents	34
2.4.4.5. Wharf owners	35
2.4.4.6. Authorities	36

2.5. American Law Standard	37
2.5.1. Majority approach established by the Second Circuit	38
2.5.2. Minority approach established by the Fifth Circuit	39
3. Construction of “good safe port, safe berth” clause and legal significance of each term.....	46
3.1. Historical development of safe port warranty	46
3.1.1. Risk allocation between owners and charterers	51
3.2. Definition of terms.....	52
3.3. No express warranty of the safety of either port or berth	53
3.4. Implication of the safe port and berth obligation	55
3.4.1. Implication of safe ports	56
3.4.2. Implication of safe berth.....	58
3.4.3. Implication of safe approaches or route.....	58
3.4.4. Constructional implication of safe port warranty	60
3.5. Legal significance of the phrase “always lie safely afloat”	62
3.6. Legal significance of the phrase “as near as she may safely get”	66
3.7. Legal significance of the phrase “safely aground” in a Not Always Afloat But Safely Aground (“NAABSA”) clause.....	70
3.8. Legal significance of “reachable on arrival” clause.....	71
3.9. Express warranty of the safety of both port and berth	74
3.10. Inconsistency of terms in the charterparties.....	75
3.11. Express warranty of safety of berth only	77
3.12. Express warranty of safety of the port only	79
3.13. Does the term “good” imply any warranty?	80
3.14. Distinction between Port and Berth Charterparties.....	82
4. Attributes of the safety of a port.....	89
4.1. Physical safety	90
4.1.1. Ice	91
4.1.2. Draft.....	95

4.1.3. Air Draft.....	101
4.1.4 Wind	102
4.1.5 Tides	104
4.1.6. Current	106
4.1.7. Silting.....	108
4.1.8. Swell	109
4.1.9. Reliable seabed	110
4.1.10. Insufficient room to maneuver	110
4.1.11. Radiation.....	111
4.1.12. Legal significance of accident free history of the port	117
4.1.13. Fouling.....	120
4.1.14. Conclusion	121
4.2. Political safety.....	121
4.2.1. War	122
4.2.2. License.....	125
4.2.3. Confiscation.....	125
4.2.4. Blockade	128
4.2.5. Piracy.....	130
4.2.6. Politically-Inspired “Retaliation” Against Vessels of Specific Flag	137
4.2.7. Embargo.....	140
4.3. Administrative safety.....	142
4.3.1. Wharf.....	143
4.3.2. Charts.....	144
4.3.3. Pilotage, Buoys, and Tug Assistance	146
4.3.4. Monitoring System.....	149
4.3.5. Weather Forecasting System.....	151
4.3.6. Dredging	153
4.3.7. Judicial System	154

4.3.8. Security System	155
4.4. Environmental Safety	157
4.4.1. Diseases	158
4.4.2. Pollution	160
4.4.3. Waste management.....	164
4.4.4. Conclusion.....	165
5. Damages for nominating an unsafe port	167
5.1. Type of damages.....	167
5.1.1. Physical damage to the vessel.....	167
5.1.2. Economic damages of shipowners.....	168
5.1.3. Unrecoverable damages.....	171
5.2. Claims of cargo interests	172
5.3. Quantum of damages	174
6. CONCLUSION	179
SUMMARY.....	185
SAMENVATTING.....	189
LITERATURE.....	193
LEGISLATION.....	200
CASES.....	201
INDEX.....	209