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Thermodynamic analysis of halide binding to haloalkane dehalogenase suggests the occurrence of large conformational changes
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Department of Biochemistry, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

Abstract

Haloalkane dehalogenase (DhlA) hydrolyzes short-chain haloalkanes to produce the corresponding alcohols and halide ions. Release of the halide ion from the active-site cavity can proceed via a two-step and a three-step route, which both contain slow enzyme isomerization steps. Thermodynamic analysis of bromide binding and release showed that the slow unimolecular isomerization steps in the three-step bromide export route have considerably larger transition state enthalpies and entropies than those in the other route. This suggests that the three-step route involves different and perhaps larger conformational changes than the two-step export route. We propose that the three-step halide export route starts with conformational changes that result in a more open configuration of the active site from which the halide ion can readily escape. In addition, we suggest that the two-step route for halide release involves the transfer of the halide ion from the halide-binding site in the cavity to a binding site somewhere at the protein surface, where a so-called collision complex is formed in which the halide ion is only weakly bound. No large structural rearrangements are necessary for this latter process.
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Haloalkane dehalogenase (DhlA) from Xanthobacter autotrophicus GJ10 is capable of hydrolyzing short-chain haloalkanes to produce the corresponding alcohols and halide ions. This remarkable ability to cleave carbon-halogen bonds in xenobiotic chemicals makes it an important catalyst in the biotechnological removal of contaminants. X-ray structures of DhlA show that the reaction takes place in a mainly hydrophobic cavity located between two domains: the main domain with an \( \alpha/\beta \)-hydrolase fold structure and the smaller \( \alpha \)-helical cap domain lying on top of the main domain (Verschueren et al., 1993a). The active-site cavity is formed by a number of hydrophobic residues, the catalytic triad residues Asp124, His289, and Asp260, and the halide-binding site formed by Trp125 and Trp175.

A kinetic mechanism (Fig. 1) has been formulated for haloalkane dehalogenase on the basis of the catalytic mechanism (Verschueren et al., 1993b; Pries et al., 1994, 1995) and kinetic measurements (Schanstra et al., 1996a; Schanstra & Janssen, 1996). The conversion of 1,2-dibromoethane (DBE), which is the best substrate known for DhlA, starts with DBE entering the active-site cavity (E-DBE) followed by a nucleophilic attack of Asp124 on the bromine-carrying C\(_1\) atom of the substrate. This results in the cleavage of the carbon-bromine bond with the concomitant production of a bromoethyl-enzyme intermediate and a bromide ion (E-R-Br\(^-\)), the latter of which is bound between the two tryptophans of the halide-binding site. Next, the covalent intermediate is hydrolyzed by a water molecule activated by His289 and Asp260. The 2-bromoethanol molecule that is formed leaves the cavity immediately and the bromide ion remains in the active site (E-Br\(^-\)). Finally, the bromide ion is exported from the cavity to the solvent.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the conversion of 1,2-dibromoethane (DBE) by haloalkane dehalogenase. Only kinetically relevant steps are shown.
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which happens to be the slowest and most complex process during catalysis.

Kinetic analysis of halide binding and release showed that there are two reversible parallel routes for the bromide ion to leave the active site (Fig. 1; Schanstra & Janssen, 1996). In the first route (upper route in Fig. 1), a slow unimolecular enzyme isomerization process ($k_3$) leads to an “open” conformation ($E_1\cdot Br^-$) from which the bromide ion can rapidly dissociate ($K_b$). After this, the free enzyme reverts to a “closed” state. According to this route, bromide binding starts with a slow conformational change of the free enzyme ($k_{-3}$), leading to a more “open” form of DhlA ($E_1$). After rapid binding, the enzyme reverts to a form from which the bromide ion cannot quickly escape ($k_{-7}$). The second export route for the bromide ion (lower route in Fig. 1) starts with a slow unimolecular step ($k_5$), which is followed by a fast bimolecular reaction step in which bromide is released ($K_b$). During the normal catalytic cycle, bromide release mainly proceeds via the upper route, since reaction step $k_3$ is faster than $k_7$. The isomerization step ($k_3$) before bromide release in the upper route is therefore the main rate limiting step during conversion of 1,2-dibromoethane (Schanstra et al., 1996a).

Although the kinetics of bromide binding and release have been studied in detail, little is known about the structural processes associated with the three slow unimolecular steps. It has been suggested that these enzyme isomerization steps involve structural changes in the cap domain, since this part of DhlA is thought to have considerable flexibility (Schanstra et al., 1996b; Schanstra & Janssen, 1996). To learn more about the structural processes and thermodynamic driving forces that are associated with the unimolecular isomerization steps, we have used the Arrhenius equation to derive thermodynamic parameters for these steps by evaluating the temperature dependence of the corresponding rate constants $k_3$, $k_{-3}$, $k_7$, and $k_{-7}$ (Fig. 1). The Van’t Hoff equation was used to determine the thermodynamic properties of the overall bromide binding and release.

Results and discussion

Temperature dependence of the steady-state bromide dissociation constant

The steady-state fluorescence after mixing DhlA with various concentrations of bromide was used to calculate the fraction of the total fluorescence that is quenched at saturating bromide concentrations ($f_a$) and the apparent bromide dissociation constant ($K_b$). The $f_a$ (0.33) was constant within the temperature range used in the experiments. The affinity of DhlA for bromide ions decreased twofold when the temperature was increased from 15–35 °C (Table 1). The Van’t Hoff plot was linear (Fig. 2A), suggesting that the heat capacity change is small. Van’t Hoff analysis yielded the thermodynamic parameters in Table 2. The contribution of the entropy to the Gibbs free energy is substantial and positive in the temperature range studied. Therefore, we can conclude that bromide release is entropically driven.

Kinetic and thermodynamic analysis of bromide binding and release at different temperatures

The kinetics of bromide binding and release were studied in the range of 15–40 °C by stopped-flow fluorescence experiments in which enzyme (5 μM) was rapidly mixed with 10–1000 mM NaBr (concentrations after mixing). The fluorescence transients could all be fitted by a single-exponential equation (Fig. 3A), which gave an observed bromide binding rate ($k_{obs}$) for each bromide concentration. The dependence of $k_{obs}$ on the bromide concentration is shown in Figure 3B for each temperature. These plots all display a typical biphasic behavior. In the first part of the plot, $k_{obs}$ decreases as the bromide concentration increases in the range of 0–20 mM bromide. This is in agreement with a reaction scheme in which isomerization steps have to precede binding and release of the bromide ion (upper route in Fig. 1). In the second part of the plot, $k_{obs}$ increases with increasing bromide concentrations and displays saturation behavior at high bromide concentrations. This hyperbolic

Table 1. Bromide-dissociation constants and associated rate constants of halolikene dehalogenase at pH 8.2 and different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$K_d$ (mM)</th>
<th>$k_a$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{-a}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_7$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{-7}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_b$ (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Van’t Hoff analysis of the temperature dependencies of (A) the overall steady-state bromide dissociation constant and (B) equilibrium constant $K_b$. The solid lines are linear least-squares fits of the data (see Table 2).
increase of $k_{\text{obs}}$ (20–800 mM bromide) can be explained by assuming a second, parallel binding route involving the rapid formation of a collision complex followed by a slow unimolecular step, as is depicted in the lower route of Figure 1 (Fersht, 1985; Johnson, 1995; Schanstra & Janssen, 1996). Both routes must operate in parallel, since the time course of the fluorescence quenching traces followed single exponentials over the whole concentration range. From the plots of $k_{\text{obs}}$ vs. time, rate and equilibrium constants can be obtained using numerical analysis (Schanstra & Janssen, 1996). Since no jump of the fluorescence intensity was observed in the dead time of the instrument, the fluorescence traces can only be simulated by assuming that the fluorescence of the collision complex ($E\cdot Br^-$)* is not quenched by the bound bromide ion. This suggests that in this complex the bromide ion is not located between the tryptophan residues in the active-site cavity, but is bound to a binding site somewhere at the protein surface. Unique solutions could be obtained for the unimolecular rate constants $k_4$, $k_{-4}$, $k_7$, and $k_{-7}$, with an accuracy of ±10% (Table 1). The other reaction steps in the upper route are too fast for accurate measurements, with $k_{-4} > 400 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_6 > (100 \pm 50) \text{s}^{-1}$ at each temperature. The equilibrium constant $K_8$ depends on the equilibria $E\cdot Br^- \leftrightarrow E_1\cdot Br^-$ and $E \leftrightarrow E_1$ and could not be determined because only lower limits were obtained for $k_{-4}$ and $k_6$. For the lower route, the equilibrium constants of both steps could be determined ($k_7/k_{-7}$ and $K_8$). At each temperature these values were in accordance with the measured overall $K_{\text{eq}}$.

The unimolecular rate constants all increased with increasing temperature. Plotting $\ln k_i$ vs. $1/T$ resulted in Arrhenius plots (Fig. 4) from which the energies of activation ($E_{a,i}$) and pre-exponential factors ($A_i$) could be determined (Table 3). All four isomerization steps have activation energies ($\geq 80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) that are typical of reactions involving protein conformational changes (Gutfreund, 1995). All three steps $k_4$, $k_{-4}$, and $k_7$ are associated with enzyme isomerization steps that lead to a form of the enzyme that can rapidly bind or release a bromide ion. Yet, there is a striking difference between the upper and the lower route. The

### Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters for overall bromide release from haloalkane dehalogenase obtained from Van’t Hoff analysis at pH 8.2 and 30°C (303 K)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction step in Figure 1</th>
<th>$\Delta G^o$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^o$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^o$ (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Br$^-$ release$^a$</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Br$^-$ release$^b$</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_7$ ($k_7/k_{-7}$)$^c$</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_8$</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Parameters obtained from Van’t Hoff plot (Fig. 2A).
$^b$All three routes.
$^c$Parameters obtained from Table 3.
$^d$Parameters obtained from Van’t Hoff plot (Fig. 2B).

**Fig. 3.** Kinetics of bromide binding to haloalkane dehalogenase. A: Stopped-flow kinetic transients showing the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence ($\lambda_{ex} = 290 \text{ nm, } \lambda_{em} > 320 \text{ nm, arbitrary units}$) upon mixing 5 μM of enzyme with 0, 2.5, 10, 30, 100, and 400 mM NaBr in T20EMAG buffer at pH 8.2 and 25°C (end concentrations). All traces are well described by a single-exponential equation with an observed rate constant $k_{\text{obs}}$. B: Dependence of the observed rate constant $k_{\text{obs}}$ on the bromide concentration over a temperature range of 15–40°C (288–313 K). The solid lines are fits obtained by simulation of halide binding and release as depicted in the last part of Figure 1.

**Fig. 4.** Arrhenius plot for the unimolecular rate constants involved in the three-step route (open symbols) and two-step route (filled symbols) for bromide export (upper and lower routes in Fig. 1, respectively). The solid lines are the linear least-squares fits of the data.
slow unimolecular steps \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \) of the upper route of Figure 1 have higher activation energies than step \( k_7 \) in the lower route, though they are 20- and 8-fold faster than step \( k_7 \), respectively. The structural changes associated with the isomerization steps in the upper route must therefore be different from those taking place in the lower route. Also step \( k_{-7} \) has a lower activation energy than \( k_7 \) and \( k_{-6} \) in the upper route.

The pre-exponential factors \( (A) \) associated with steps \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \) are also very high as compared to the other steps in the reaction mechanism (Table 3). In fact, the pre-exponential terms of 10^{23} and 10^{20} for step \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \), respectively, exceed the limit of simple molecular rotations and vibrations (\( \sim 10^{14} \)) by multiple orders of magnitude and therefore cannot be interpreted in terms of meaningful frequency factors (Gutfredn, 1995; Fitzgerald et al., 1996). This could provide evidence that large-scale protein motions involving many bond torsions may occur in reaction steps \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \). Similar pre-exponential factors of 10^{24}-10^{25} were found by Fitzgerald et al. (1996) when studying a hinged loop rearrangement near a buried artificial cavity in cytochrome c peroxidase.

The values of \( E_{a,i} \) and \( A_i \), together with the rate constants \( (k_i) \), were used to calculate the free energies of activation (\( \Delta G^\ddagger \)), enthalpies of activation (\( \Delta H^\ddagger \)), and entropies of activation (\( \Delta S^\ddagger \)) (Table 3). The difference in the magnitudes of the activation free energies for the four reaction steps reflects the difference in rate constants: the slowest step, \( E^{-}Br^- \rightarrow (E^{-}Br^-)^* (k_4) \), has the highest value for \( \Delta G^\ddagger \). Furthermore, the entropic and enthalpic contributions to the free energies of activation are negative and positive, respectively, for all four isomerizations. The pre-exponential factors were also calculated from the activation entropy (Equation 8) and proved to be nearly identical to the \( A \) values determined by extrapolation of the Arrhenius plots (Table 3). Since both the forward and reverse reaction rates, \( k_i \) and \( k_{-i} \), could be determined, we could calculate the standard free energy, reaction enthalpy, and entropy for this reversible unimolecular step (Table 2). Together with the thermodynamic parameters of \( K_a \), which were obtained from Van’t Hoff analysis (Fig. 2B), we could calculate the standard thermodynamic parameters of overall halide release, which were in good agreement with the values obtained directly from Van’t Hoff analysis of the overall \( K_a \) (Table 2).

Interestingly, both transitions \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \) in the upper route of Figure 1 have significantly larger transition state entropies and enthalpies compared with the values of the isomerization steps \( k_7 \) and \( k_{-7} \) in the lower route (see Table 3). Thus, the entropy components are much more important in the transition state free energies of the unimolecular steps in the upper route than in the lower route.

The large activation entropies for steps \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \) are indicative of considerable structural rearrangements. It has been suggested that bromide release may require the entrance of water molecules into the active-site cavity (Schanstra & Janssen, 1996). A large entropy effect can be expected both because of structural changes and desolvation processes (Rand, 1992; Gutfredn, 1995). A transition state in which the halide ion is both bound to the protein and solvated is difficult to envision without significant structural changes, since the buried active-site cavity is too small to accommodate both a halide ion and a number of water molecules. Furthermore, the transition states of steps \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \) show similar entropy and enthalpy contributions although no halide is present during the process described by \( k_{-6} \). The isomerization observed in the upper route could involve significant conformational changes leading to a more open structure and the formation of a solvent accessible channel that leads to the active site. Similar changes were observed in cytochrome c peroxidase (Fitzgerald et al., 1996).

The activation enthalpies associated with all four unimolecular steps are consistent with disruption of multiple interactions, which can be Van der Waals interactions, hydrogen bonds or ion pairs, individually corresponding to energies in the range of 1–10, 12–25, and 20–50 kJ mol^{-1}, respectively (Fersht, 1985). The activation enthalpies of 126 and 111 kJ mol^{-1} for reaction steps \( k_4 \) and \( k_{-6} \), respectively, are relatively high and may even reflect the cis-trans isomerization of a proline residue, the activation enthalpy of which lies in the range of 92–113 kJ mol^{-1} (Koide et al., 1993; Fitzgerald et al., 1996; Veeraraghavan et al., 1997). Proline cis-trans isomerization could serve as a hinge point in the movement of a loop or flap to open a cavity for the entry of ligands as observed in Candida rugosa lipase (Grochulski et al., 1994) and cytochrome c peroxidase (Fitzgerald et al., 1996). In halohalokine dehalogenase, Pro57 and Pro168 exist in the cis configuration and they are located in the main and cap domain, respectively (Veschueren et al., 1993a). Pro168 might be the most likely candidate to undergo a cis-trans isomerization during the conformational change, since it is located in an N-terminal helix-loop-helix structure (residues 159–181) in the cap domain that was proposed to be involved in the enzyme isomerization (Schanstra et al., 1996b; Krooshof et al., 1998).

On the basis of the observations mentioned above, we propose that the three-step halide export route (upper route in Fig. 1) involves conformational changes that result in a water accessible active site, which allows solvation and subsequent escape of the halide ion. We suggest that the two-step route (lower route) for halide release involves the transfer of the halide ion from the halide-binding site in the cavity to a binding site somewhere at the

---

### Table 3. Thermodynamic parameters of the transition states of the unimolecular steps involved in bromide binding and release in haloalkane dehalogenase at pH 8.2 and 30°C (303 K)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction step in Figure 1</th>
<th>( E_{a,i} ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\ddagger ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta G^\ddagger ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta S^\ddagger ) (J mol(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \log A_{\text{stop}}^a )</th>
<th>( \log A_{\text{calc}}^b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E^{-}Br^- \rightarrow E_1^{-}Br^- ) (k_4)</td>
<td>( 129 \pm 9.5 )</td>
<td>( 126 \pm 9.5 )</td>
<td>( 68.8 \pm 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 190 )</td>
<td>( 23.0 \pm 0.1 )</td>
<td>( 23.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E \rightarrow E_1 (k_{-4}) )</td>
<td>( 114 \pm 5.8 )</td>
<td>( 111 \pm 5.8 )</td>
<td>( 71.0 \pm 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 135 )</td>
<td>( 20.1 \pm 0.1 )</td>
<td>( 20.3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E^{-}Br^- \rightarrow (E^{-}Br^-)^* (k_4) )</td>
<td>( 88.6 \pm 4.3 )</td>
<td>( 86.1 \pm 4.3 )</td>
<td>( 75.9 \pm 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 33.5 )</td>
<td>( 15.4 \pm 0.1 )</td>
<td>( 15.0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (E^{-}Br^-)^* \rightarrow E^{-}Br^- (k_{-4}) )</td>
<td>( 78.3 \pm 4.0 )</td>
<td>( 75.8 \pm 4.0 )</td>
<td>( 62.8 \pm 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 43.0 )</td>
<td>( 15.5 \pm 0.1 )</td>
<td>( 15.5 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Obtained from Arrhenius plot by extrapolation to \( T \rightarrow \infty \).

b Calculated from \( \Delta S^\ddagger \).
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protein surface, where a so-called collision complex is formed in which the halide is only weakly bound. No large structural re-
arrangements seem to be necessary for this process, but its rate is much lower than that of the upper route (Fig. 1).

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification
Halodehalogenase was purified from Escherichia coli strain BL21(DE3) transformed with plasmid pGELAF, which carries the halodehalogenase gene (dhlA) under the control of the T7 promoter (Schanstra et al., 1993). Expression and purification were achieved as described earlier (Schanstra et al., 1993). The enzyme was concentrated with an Amicon ultrafiltration cell using a PM30 filter and stored at −20 or 4°C in TEMAG buffer (10 mM Tris-sulfate pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 3 mM sodium azide, and 10% (v/v) glycerol). Protein concentrations were determined from absorbance measurements at 280 nm, using the absorbance coefficient of 4.87 × 10^4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and the purity was checked by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

Halide binding experiments
The pre-steady-state kinetics of halide binding were determined by stopped-flow fluorescence quenching experiments using an Applied Photophysics SX17MV stopped-flow instrument. A wavelength of 290 nm was used for excitation. Equal volumes of the protein and ligand were rapidly mixed and the resultant quenching of the intrinsic protein fluorescence was followed by measuring the fluorescence signal above 320 nm using a cutoff filter. All reactions were performed over a temperature range of 15–40°C (288–313 K) in TEMAG buffer at pH 8.2. The reported reactant concentrations are those present in the reaction chamber, after mixing. At temperatures higher than 30°C, fresh enzyme was used for each measurement, which was allowed to equilibrate for a short period of time (max 2 min) to prevent thermal denaturation. All fluorescence traces, which were the average of three individual experiments, were fitted using computer software provided with the stopped-flow instrument.

The apparent halide dissociation constants (K_d) were derived from the steady-state part of the fluorescence traces using nonlinear regression fitting (SigmaPlot, Jandel Scientific) of

\[
\frac{(F_0 - F)}{F_0} = f_d \left[ \frac{X^-}{[X^-]} + K_d \right]
\]

where \( F \) is the observed steady-state fluorescence at halide concentration \([X^-]\), \( K_d \) is the apparent dissociation constant, and \( f_d \) is the fraction of the total fluorescence that is quenched at saturating halide concentrations (\( \gg K_d \)).

Kinetic data from the pre-steady-state part of the stopped-flow traces were further analyzed by iterative numerical integration, as described previously (Schanstra & Janssen, 1996) using the simulation software Gepasi (Mendes, 1993) linked to the spreadsheet program Quattro-Pro (Borland International Inc.). The Gepasi program uses numerical integration to simulate reaction schemes. The total fluorescence of all enzyme species at each time point was calculated in Quattro-Pro, using a one-third reduction of fluorescence by halide binding. As a constraint in the simulation and fitting process, the dissociation constant for halide binding was used according to

\[
K_d = \frac{K_d k_3 k_{-5}(k_1 + k_{-1})}{k_{-7}(k_3 k_2 + k_{-5} k_4 + k_3 k_{-5})}.
\]

Determination of thermodynamic parameters of bromide binding and release
Thermodynamic parameters of bromide binding and release were derived from the temperature dependence of the steady-state bromide dissociation constant using the Van’t Hoff equation:

\[
\frac{d \ln K_d}{d(1/T)} = -\frac{\Delta H^o}{R}
\]

where \( K_d \) is the apparent bromide dissociation constant, \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature, and \( \Delta H^o \) is the standard reaction enthalpy. Consequently, a straight line is to be expected with a slope equal to \(-\Delta H^o/R \) when \( \ln K_d \) is plotted against \( 1/T \).

The dissociation constant was also used to calculate the standard free energy of reaction by

\[
\Delta G^o = -RT \ln K_d.
\]

Finally, the standard reaction entropy (\( \Delta S^o \)) arises from:

\[
\Delta S^o = \frac{(\Delta H^o - \Delta G^o)}{T}.
\]

Determination of thermodynamic parameters of activation
Thermodynamic parameters of activation were obtained from the temperature dependence of an elementary reaction rate constant. The energy of activation (\( E_{a,i} \)) is defined as

\[
E_{a,i} = RT^2 \frac{d \ln k_i}{dT},
\]

where \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature, and \( k_i \) the rate constant of reaction step \( i \). Integration of Equation 6 yields

\[
\ln k_i = \ln A - \frac{E_{a,i}}{RT}.
\]

Both \( E_{a,i} \) and \( A \) can be considered constant over a temperature range of about 50 K (Chang, 1981), so that plotting \( \ln k_i \) vs. \( 1/T \) results in a linear relationship (Arrhenius plot) with slope \(-E_{a,i}/R \) and intercept \( \ln A \), to be determined by extrapolation to \( T \to \infty \). The pre-exponential factor \( A \) may alternatively be calculated by

\[
A = \left( \frac{k_B T}{h} \right) e^{\left( 1 + \frac{\Delta S^o}{R} \right)}
\]

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( h \) is Planck’s constant, and \( \Delta S^o \) is the transition state entropy belonging to reaction step \( i \).
The free energy of activation ($\Delta G^\ddagger_i$), enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^\ddagger_i$), and entropy of activation ($\Delta S^\ddagger_i$) for an elementary reaction at a given temperature were subsequently calculated from

$$\Delta G^\ddagger_i = RT \ln \left( \frac{k_B T}{\Delta S^\ddagger_i} \right),$$

(9)

$$\Delta H^\ddagger_i = E_a - RT,$$

(10)

and

$$\Delta S^\ddagger_i = \frac{(\Delta H^\ddagger_i - \Delta G^\ddagger_i)}{T}.$$  

(11)
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