

University of Groningen

## Making place through ritual

Schulte-Droesch, Lea

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Schulte-Droesch, L. (2016). *Making place through ritual: Land, environment and region among the Santal of Central India*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# Stellingen

behorend bij het proefschrift

*Making Place through Ritual.*

*Land, Environment and Region among the Santal of Central India*

Lea Schulte-Droesch

1. Place is culturally constructed.
2. Place and ritual are mutually constitutive. Ritual action articulates and creates notions of place and the properties of place enhance the efficacy of ritual.
3. The Santal make place on different levels through their rituals. They make place locally in close-knit social groups; they make place regionally in village federations; and they make place nationally and globally through their identity politics.
4. A more nuanced view of Central Indian tribal societies, based on long-term ethnographic fieldwork, is needed to move beyond the politically charged extremes categorizing them as either Hindus or “first inhabitants” of India.
5. Different from other tribal societies, commonly understood as kinship-based, stateless societies, the Santal do not distance themselves from the state. Santal political activists engage the state in their arguments of being a marginalized group worthy of support and political recognition.
6. Santal “religion” is inherently characterized by practice. The recent attempt to standardize this practice and the related coining of the term “*sarna* religion” (“religion of the sacred grove”) need to be understood in the context of the Santal’s position in India.
7. Due to its holistic nature, the study of place offers the possibility to view any social phenomenon in its relation to others. Therefore, place bears great potential for the social sciences.
8. It seems to be the nature of “tradition” – be it Santal rituals or Dutch academic stellingen – to lack written rules and evoke strong opinions.