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Abstract

The aim of this paper is to find common elements among the protests which took place in many European cities in the summer of 2011. Even though the protests, which started with the movement of the “Indignados” in Spain, had a lot of different names and were organized autonomously, they nevertheless had certain common elements. To extract these elements, different categories like the official objectives, used symbols and wording, organizational systems and used communication channels of the protests in different countries will be analyzed and compared. The focus of the research is hereby laid on the protests of October, 15 since on that day protests were organized Europewide. The analysis will be carried out through the examination of different communication channels. Through the analysis of newspaper articles and pictures common symbols of the protests will be extracted. The examination of the websites of national protest organizations will serve as a basis for the analysis of the published objectives and international websites will be analysed in order to find cross-border communication channels. The findings will suggest that the European protests of 2011 were not only of national importance, but they were the expression of a European public opinion. Initiated by the economic crisis, the people of Europe made their desperation heard and thereby exercised their right as European citizens. The objectives were therefore not only limited to national achievements, but they also called on decision-makers on a European level.
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Introduction

12 May 2012, Madrid. Thousands of people are gathering at Puerta del Sol to continue what they started one year ago.

12 May, Athens. They want a change, a future perspective for themselves, for their kids, their friends, their relatives – and for their country.

12 May, Budapest. The mass rests in silence when the first speaker enters the stage. Shortly later, the first hands are raised into the air, then more, then hundreds.

12 May, Berlin. People from different starting points make their way to Alexanderplatz, to share their outrage, to discuss and make their desperation heard.

12 May, Europe; 12 May, world. People gather to discuss a change in society, a global change as they call it, and to find solutions or, at least, solidarity.

Situations similar to these could be observed in many European cities during the second week of May 2012. Especially many Spanish protesters are following up on a movement they started one year ago, on 15 May 2011, when thousands of people took the streets against the political and economic situation of their country. These protests inspired a whole movement following the Spanish example, especially in Greece, Portugal, France and Italy, but also in many other European countries. By the end of summer, the protests had even reached a global level, turning into the anti-capitalist occupy movement in the USA.

Contemporary transnational protest studies often (and in most cases justifiably) take a connection between protests in different countries for granted. But can the same be assumed for the different protests in Europe in 2011? Can they be seen as expressions of one voice? Do they represent one united European social movement? Or do they reflect national desperation and can hardly be seen as connected?

This paper provides some answers to these questions by drawing connections between the protests of 2011. The aim is to map the protests regarding their communication channels, objectives and organizational structure as well as their symbols and slogans. It is thus examined in how far the protests are related and how these transnational connections are structured.

To lay a theoretical foundation, the first part serves to define international movements and to locate this paper in the sphere of international and especially European protest studies. The focus is then set on the concepts diffusion, scale-shift and global framing, since they provide the most vital ground for the later analysis.

The second part briefly describes the development of the protests, with their starting point in Spain, their spread over Europe, the connection to the Occupy movement and the joint actions which were carried out internationally.

Subsequently, in the third part the above named elements are tracked back to their roots in order to draw connections between the national, the European and the global sphere. Based on the theoretical concepts, it will be analysed how elements were passed from a national to a transnational scale. To trace this path different channels are taken into account: The focus is laid on the examination of websites of national protest organizations, as well as joint transnational internet presences to gather information about cross-border communication channels, common symbols and slogans, organizational structures and objectives.

However, the complex network the protest groups build cannot be analysed entirely, since this would reach far beyond the scope of this paper. Rather, the hypertextuality and “linkability” of the Internet are used to provide a wide overview over communication channels and to find examples for the exchange and distribution of
information among the different scales. Newspaper articles and protest photos will furthermore be taken into account to complete the image, especially concerning the used slogans and symbols.

The conclusion compiles the findings to give an integrative overview over the protests and to provide an outlook for future research.

I. Theories of Transnational Activism

1) Transnational and European Movements in Current Research

Since transnational social movements are still a “somewhat new and certainly under-theorised territory,”¹ there is a wide range of underlying definitions and conceptualizations of the term. The most vital difference here lies in the context in which the term transnational is located, referring either to targets, motives and background of the protests or to the scope and the international collaboration within the movement. In their analysis of European protest movements, Imig and Tarrow define European protests as: “incidents of contentious claims making in which the EU or one of its agencies was in some way either the source, the direct target, or an indirect target of the protests.”²

They subdivide this rather broad definition further into internalized (or domesticated) protests and transnational protests. While the former have the nation-state as primary target and the EU level as a source or indirect target, the latter can have the EU as primary target, secondary target or as source, but is characterized by the participation of activists from more than one EU member state.³

Through a long-term media analysis Imig and Tarrow found out, that the occurrence of EU-related protests increased especially after the Maastricht treaty. They conclude that, even though the total number of European protests is increasing, the largest share of them is internalized and therefore has the EU as source or secondary target of the protest, but they break the issue down to a national level.⁴ Similarly, Rootes concludes his analysis of the European environmental movement by stating “collective action occurs overwhelmingly within nation states in the form of mobilizations confined on the local or national level.”⁵

Even though many transnational protests are incorporated into a national scope, there is certain consensus about a recent mushrooming of international protest activities.⁶ Reasons for their increasing occurrence can be found in the ever-growing connectedness of the world, since trends of globalization foster similarities between countries e.g. in terms of
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⁴ Imig and Tarrow, “Mapping the Europeanization of Contention,” 35-6.
⁵ Christopher Rootes, “Conclusion: Environmental Protest Transformed?” in Environmental Protest in Europe, ed. Christopher Rootes (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003), 383.
⁶ For an overview see Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism, 86-9.
identical threats: “Different national episodes of contention often arise through similar reactions to external threats, and this structural equivalence has grown with the increasing power of international financial institutions.” Furthermore, the communicative connectedness, especially through the Internet, facilitates transnational communication and therefore provides potential cooperation and organization of protest groups worldwide.

Yet, the low number of protests directly aiming at European institutions is, as della Porta and Tarrow point out, partly due to the lack of direct democratic interaction possibilities on the supranational level. Furthermore, the national level provides protesters with political and structural opportunities which facilitate protest activities.8

The above described connections between the national and the international sphere build the core of today’s research on transnational movements. In the following, the focus will be set on the concepts of diffusion, scale shift and global framing, which explain these interrelations more deeply.9

2) Transnational Flows of Contention: Diffusion and Scale Shift

One of the most frequently used concepts in the scientific discourse about transnational activism is diffusion. This term describes the “transfer of claims or forms of contention from one side to the other.”10 Direct connections between protest movements in different countries are not necessary for this form of transnational action. Rather, it describes the way social movements adopt specific organizational procedures, targets or claims from movements in another region or country.11 Closely related to this concept is the term scale shift, which describes changes in the scope of contention. It contains diffusion as a main instrument,

but where ordinary diffusion is horizontal and has an initiator and an adopter, scale shift involves the coordination of episodes of contention on the part of larger collectivities against broader targets, new actors, and institutions at new levels of interaction. In doing so, it can affect the character of contention and its claims as well as its geographic range.12

7 Ibid, 64.
9 For other concepts, which explain the protest dynamics between the national and the global sphere see e.g. Della Porta and Tarrow, “Transnational Processes and Social Activism: An Introduction,” 3; Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism, 77-98 and 143-60.
10 Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism, 32.
12 Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism, 122.
Tarrow and McAdam furthermore suggest not to see scale shift only as a result of diffusion, but to analytically differentiate three ways which can lead to a scale shift:13

By non-relational diffusion they mean the transfer of information via impersonal channels, such as the media; relational diffusion describes the transfer of information by the use of already existing channels and brokerage finally stands for the creation of new channels between two former unconnected social spheres. Focusing on the two ways, directly initiated by protest groups, a two-way model can be identified (see Fig. 1).

Irrespective of the path taken, scale shift requires the attribution of similarities. This step is of vital impact, since different groups of protesters need to share at least some elements in order to be interested in collaboration. Nevertheless, the order of these mechanisms change within the two-path model: Since relational diffusion is carried out through already existing connections between social groups, “potential adopters can, in most cases, be expected to already identify with the initiator of the movement action.”15 In contrast, brokerage has to occur before the attribution of similarity, since it represents the first connection between two parties.

Yet, the attribution of similarities and the identification with one another do not automatically lead to the reproduction of the observed, since extrinsic factors might block such behaviour. This next step, which Tarrow and McAdam call emulation, is nevertheless a vital requirement for transnational action and a prerequisite for coordinated action.16

Concerning the use of the two paths, Tarrow and McAdams conclude: “while diffusion is the more common route because it uses existing identities and ties and facilitates emulation, when borders are to be crossed, and distant social actors brought together, brokerage is the more likely mechanism of scale shift.”17

The concept of diffusion is furthermore tightly connected to the existence of social networks and their internal dynamics. The decision of individuals to participate in collective action does not only arise from their personal motivation, but also from their perception of the effectiveness of the movement, which is drawn primarily from the number of already existing participants. In this context, the Internet plays a vital role in recent protests, since it allows movements to mobilize a big amount of people in a short time, with no need for co-presence or large organizational efforts.18
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13 There different possibilities to conceptualize scale shift, but the version of McAdams and Tarrow seems especially suited for the aim of this paper. For other aconceptualization see e.g. ibid.
14 Ibid., 128.
17 Ibid., 146.
3) Combining the Scales: Global Framing

Based on the concept of framing, Tarrow describes how national claims are embedded in a global sphere by attributing a shared meaning to external symbols. “When it works it can dignify, generalize and energize activists whose claims are dominantly local, linking them symbolically to people they have never met and to causes that are distantly related to their own.” An often cited example of global framing is the anti-globalization movement. Since globalization contains a lot of different aspects, the movement was able to give shelter to a variety of sub-protests. Therefore, as Tarrow argues, the globalization movement served as a way of “frame-bridging” between national claims and the international arena. However, frame-bridging is the least determined way of linking protests. The strongest mechanism, in contrast, is frame transformation, “which involves the planting and nurturing of new values, jettisoning old ones, and reframing erroneous beliefs and ‘misframings’.”

By drawing these global frames, movements have to find a balance between generalizing in order to build a broader framework and staying close to the mobilizing features of its participants. Tarrow describes this difficulty as follows:

[Global framing] signals to overworked and isolated activists that there are people beyond the horizon who share their grievances and support their causes. But by turning attention to distant targets, it holds the danger of detaching activism from the real-life needs of the people they want to represent.

As Tarrow and McAdam state, the organization of international protests is not simple, nor does it naturally accompany global phenomena like economic integration. Rather, the creation of a global frame includes a lot of diverse processes on different levels and requires an active participation of protesters.

In the following sections, the focus will be turned to the case study of the European protests of 2011 to lay a basis for the latter adaption of the theoretical framework.

II. The European Protests of 2011

Due to the economic situation of Spain, the high rates of unemployment especially among young people, and the increasing severity of the government’s austerity measures, the atmosphere among the Spanish population was tense already in 2010. This tension made its way to the surface by several smaller protests as well as the first general strike in eight
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19 Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism, 8.
20 Ibid., 73; Global framing and scale shift cannot be seen as strictly separated concepts. Tarrow and McAdam e.g. place frame-bridging in the context of scale-shift (and not global framing), see Tarrow and Mc Adam, “Scale Shift in Transnational Contention,” 129. Following the concepts explained above, global framing is here seen as a mechanism which facilitates scale shift.
22 Tarrow, The New Transnational Activism 76.
23 Tarrow and Mc Adam, “Scale Shift in Transnational Contention,” 145.
years. Since, reacting to the economic crisis, structural, political, and social reforms were conducted in many European countries, Spain’s citizens were not an exception in using strikes as the first visible means of publicly expressing their discontent: The same phenomenon (albeit in different degrees) was seen in Greece, France, Italy and Belgium (Brussels).

Nevertheless, it was not until the Arab Spring began in 2011, when the Spanish citizens began to organize large protests for 15 May 2011, one week before the regional elections. Frustrated by the hopeless employment situation of the country and outraged by the corruption of politicians on the electoral lists, on 15 May people protested in more than 60 Spanish cities and demanded to remove the suspected candidates from the electoral lists.

Since the protests took place all over Spain and laid the foundation stone for further protest action, 15-M got a symbol and became one of the terms frequently used to describe the whole movement. At Madrid’s Puerta del Sol, where one of the biggest 15-M protests took place, the so-called Indignados spontaneously decided to camp at the square until the election day one week later. The news were spread to many other Spanish cities, were people organized camps, mostly in front of their city halls and stayed there partly for more than three weeks.

First of all through Spanish migrants in other countries, the main ideas of 15-M were represented throughout Europe and even beyond its borders. The following weeks were characterised by the spread of online communities as well as real-world protests and camps of different dimensions in large parts of Europe – from Paris to Rome, from Lisbon to Dublin.

Nevertheless, indicators for a direct connection or joint organisation of the country groups did not become visible until 19 June, when protest groups called for joint protests against the Euro-pact. Yet, this was not the only action, which made clear, that the Indignados turned their focus to a European level: In July and September, they organized several marches to Brussels, mainly from Spain, but also protesters from Germany, Britain, the Netherlands, France and Italy made their way to “the heart of Europe” in order to take their grievances to a new level.

---


27 Whenever the terms 15-M or Indignados are used here, they refer to the movement as a whole, since different protest groups cannot be distinguished strictly.


By September it was clear, that the Indignados had also inspired a new movement in the USA, which spread the term *Occupy* all over America, back to the European protests and even to other parts of the world. With the scope of the movement, the intensity of joint action also increased: Through the Internet and under participation of the existing movement groups, global peaceful protests were organized for 15 October in more than thousand cities in ninety countries all over the world.\(^33\)

III. Common Elements of the Protests

1) *The Communication Channels*

As González-Bailón, Borge-Holthoefer and Moreno stated in their analysis of the use of the network Twitter, the real-world protests in Spain were only the outcome of a debate and an organizational procedure, which had started in Internet-platforms quite some time before.\(^34\) In fact, the Internet did not only play a vital role in the mobilization, but also in the transnational and national organization of the protests, since it enabled protesters to distribute information to a large amount of people worldwide in a short time.

In the context of the European protests, though, there is not one website which can be described as the exchange platform and primary communication channel for the manifold protests. Rather, the scattered patchwork formed by the real-world protests (which is represented by the different names, like Indignados, Real democracy now!, Occupy, Movement of 15-M or Spanish Revolution), seems to multiply in the Internet: Not are there only different websites, groups in Facebook or so-called *hashtags* in Twitter for every single one of these sub-movements, they are also sub-divided into continents (#occupyeurope), countries (#occupyitaly) and cities (#occupyfrankfurt).\(^35\) Only on the website *democraciarealya.es* there are links to more than six hundred groups in support of the movement.\(^36\)

Nevertheless, the sheer endless dimensions of the internet, as well as the strictly regional or national focus of many of the social network groups or websites, make a large-scale connectedness between international groups via these channels unlikely. Rather, there are at least three different ways in which these national web presences are connected: links, international mediating websites and innovative structural online tools.

Due to the hypertextuality of the Internet, *links* are found on almost all of the protest websites, mostly leading to their own profiles on social networks, to other protest groups or to external sources of information. The complex network built by these links is to some extent structured by what can be called *international mediators*. Through their websites, mediators provide nexuses between the groups and they generally feature a high amount of international links on their own page, as well as a great variety of references and


\(^{34}\) González-Bailón, Borge-Holthoefer and Moreno, “Broadcasters and Hidden Influentials in Online Protest Diffusion,” 23.

\(^{35}\) See section Twitter in the Bibliography, “Online Sources for Analysis”.

links to them on other websites. Furthermore, the mediators try to connect the national levels by giving overviews over joint action.

One tool to facilitate this is the interactive world map on which national and regional protest groups can enter their protest plans and locate them with an icon on the map. After clicking on the respective symbol, further information about the protests as well as a link can be retrieved (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 2: Section of interactive Worldmap](image)

In fact, the webpage of Democracia Real Ya! can be categorized as such a mediator, since it provides detailed and up-to-date information about the background, organizational tools and upcoming actions worldwide. Furthermore, it contains an international subpage in English with partial translations of the content into French, Spanish, Portuguese, Italian and (albeit to a very small extent) Greek. It therefore bears the potential to function as a diffusion channel, since the same information is more likely to be spread from one origin to many different destinations. Of similar quality is the website Take the Square, with the difference that this website provides a higher amount of practical information about the diffusion ("How to cook a pacific revolution") and organisation of protests. Nevertheless, neither of the two websites provides direct communication channels between international protest groups and therefore they have to be classified as tools of non-relational diffusion (see Chap. 2).

Due to the lack of direct participation possibilities, the above mentioned websites – like most of the other websites analysed – also use Facebook or Twitter and provide direct links to their respective profiles on their websites. In general, these two already "established" platforms seem to be used frequently for the national and international communication. Especially the organization of joint international events, like the protests of 15 October, were promoted here, but mostly again combined with a traditional website.

Since the shift of the movement into an international sphere complicates the accumulation of information, the protest groups combine traditional websites and established social networks with the use of innovative structural online tools, like the network n-1 on which they try to foster international exchange about the consensuses

reached in their assemblies. Furthermore, the groups make attempts to hold international assemblies via chat or telephone calls, using voice over IP applications, which allow a large number of people to participate.

Even though the movement partly relies on already existing channels, like the above mentioned social networks, the path of diffusion has to be defined as brokerage, since the creation of new groups or hashtags also connects people who were unconnected before.

2) The Use of Symbols and Slogans

With regard to the initial protests in Spain, there are at least two categories of slogans to distinguish. The first category consists of slogans with a primarily national scope, directed against the Spanish political system and the upcoming elections. The claims shouted and written on the protest posters especially expressed the grievance of the Spanish citizens about corrupt politicians appearing on the electoral lists and the lack of democracy in Spain’s bi-party system. Prominent among this category were slogans like: “No les votes!” (Don’t vote them), “Ni PP, ni PSOE” (Neither PP, nor PSOE), “No nos representan!” (They don’t represent us) and the popular claim “Democracia real ya!” (Real democracy now).

The second category consists of claims, which mainly address economic issues. These build a nexus between the national (or personal) scope of unemployment and the European (or global) context of crisis: “Es violencia cobrar 600 euros!” (Paying 600 Euros is violence), “No somos mercancías en las manos de políticos y banqueros” (We are not goods in the hands of politicians and bankers), No es crisis, es estafa! (It’s not a crisis, it’s a rip-off).

A comparison of these initial slogans with those used internationally later on, e.g. at the global protests on 15 October, reveals that certain terms and slogans diffused and thereby connected different protest groups in Europe and beyond. The internationally shared slogans can be distinguished into three different categories:

Firstly, there were slogans, which were directly taken from the Spanish initial protests. One of the most prominent was the term of the Indignados, which originally is based on the bestselling tract “Time for outrage!” in which the French diplomat Stéphane Hessel calls on the people to stand up against the power of financial capitalism in today’s “developed” world. Mostly in the respective translated version this slogan was used by protesters in Germany (die Empörten), France (Indignées), Italy (Indignatos), Greece (Aganaktismenoi), and the UK (Outraged) among others. Interestingly, the international protests also took over topics and slogans, which in the initial phase of the protests in Spain had a national scope. The slogan “They don’t represent us!” for example, was initially used in the context of the forthcoming elections, but when the protests entered the
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44 Since the analysis is based on a variety of different Internet-sources, detailed references are avoided here for readability and clarity reasons. Rather, the websites taken into consideration are to be found in the Bibliography, section Online Sources for Analysis.
45 PP stands for “Partido Popular” (People’s Party) while PSOE is the acronym of “Partido Socialista Obrero Español” (Spanish Socialist Workers’ Party).
46 The slogans (including those below) were found on protest websites, Facebook and Twitter. For examples, see Las Provincias. “Las Lemas de los Indignados.” http://www.laspvoencias.es/multimedia/fotos/comunitat-valenciana/77486-lemas-indignados-0.html (accessed 20 April 2012).
European stage, the slogan was framed differently, describing the democratic deficit protesters accused the European Union to have.

**Secondly**, the slogans merged with those of the Occupy movement in the USA. Therefore, many protests on 15 October were carried out under this slogan, like *Occupy Amsterdam*, *Occupy Salzburg* or *Occupy the London stock exchange*. Furthermore, references to this term appear frequently on protest pictures, often combined with the symbol # at the beginning. This combination (i.e. #occupyeurope) is a nexus between the “real-life” protests, and the Internet collaboration, since it is an allusion to the hashtags in Twitter.

Another symbol which was added to the protests when they gained popularity in the USA, is the Guy Fawkes Mask. This mask was originally used by the Internet-based group Anonymous to hide their faces, but in 2011 became an international symbol for protest. The slogan “We are the 99%”, which originally was published in a blog to describe the unequal distribution of wealth among the top 1% of income earners in modern societies, can also be described as an American adaptation and as a global frame of the movement.

**Thirdly**, there were references to certain topics, which can be seen as more universal, broad and ideologically loaded. One of these topics was solidarity, expressed directly (“International Solidarity”, “Solidarity for Europe”) or indirectly, either through reference to other countries in crisis – especially Greece (“We are all Greeks”, “Your struggle is our struggle”) or an appeal to other (potential) protest groups (“People of Europe, rise up!”).

3) The Objectives and Organization

One thing all social movements have in common is that their participants are not satisfied with their particular situation and publically call for change. But what exactly is it that outrages the Indignados so deeply?

Politicians and journalists often accused the European protesters, as well as the participants of the later arising Occupy movement, for having too broad and unrealistic goals and for representing an “anti-movement” without providing substantial ideas for realistic political and societal changes. In fact, a unified statement or public claim which unites all of the protests does not exist. The declaration which comes closest to this is the Manifesto, which was compiled during the camps in Spain and published on the website of Democracia Real Ya, from where it was spread by a chain-reaction to many different protest websites in Europe. It was subsequently translated to French, German, Italian, English and even into sign language, and is so far “electronically signed” by 68,638 people. From the Internet, the manifest also entered traditional media and was even read out on Spanish television.

---

A closer look at the published manifest reveals how the producers tried to combine the different frames of the protests, from the national to the global level, as well as from the individual to the collective sphere. The initial national aims of the Spanish protesters are represented in the expression of the grievance against the “corruption among politicians” and the direct reference to Spain’s democratic deficit and the “bipartism headed by the immovable acronym PP & PSOE.” Nevertheless, these topics are framed by a rather universally valid notion of the right of co-determination when it says:

Democracy belongs to the people (demos = people, krátos = government) which means that the government is made of every one of us. [...] Politicians should be bringing our voice to the institutions, facilitating the political participation of citizens through direct channels that provide the greatest benefit to the wider society.

This ideal of representative democracy can serve as an element of identification for Spaniards, just as it can be applied to the assumed democratic deficit of the EU or to other countries and regions of the world.

In addition, the manifest draws an idealistic picture of a society based on universally valid values like “equality, progress, solidarity, freedom of culture, sustainability and development, welfare and people’s happiness,” which builds a broad basis for identification with the movement, as it leaves enough room for personal foci and individual interpretations. The global frame is completed by the reference to a variety of issues, including politics, economy, society, culture and environment. With this combination of national with international claims and issues, the protesters acknowledge the risk global framing bears of either being too broad or too narrow (see Chap. 2).

Turning the look to the organization of the movement reveals innovative structures, which were shared internationally. In addition to the above explained technological features which built the heart of the protest organization, their real-life performance during the camps can also be considered as a uniting element. In fact, the camps as such are an innovative means of collective protest action, which gained prominence with the Egyptian revolution, as della Porta and Tarrow analyse:

The occupation of Tahrir Square [...] introduced a new form of action – the long-term occupation of an important square, linked to the creation of a free space where citizens could express themselves and form networks. This practice quickly spread not only throughout the Arabic Spring, but also reached Europe, where it was adopted by the Indignados movement in Spain, and, then, in Greece.

The probably biggest innovation to be found in the “sub-cities” of the protesters is actually as old as democracy itself: The creation of open public spaces in which debate can lead to a democratic consensus. In the heart of this idea, which for the protesters represents their ideal of the claimed real democracy, is the public assembly. This structural tool was used in the Puerta del Sol protests in Madrid and in the following adapted by many other protests across nations.

The diffusion of the information here again, was mainly carried out through the Internet. The exchange consisted not only in the accumulation of protest documentation, but it did in fact contain detailed guides on how to organize mass discussions, in which
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hundreds or thousands of people might participate. One tool to facilitate the assemblies is the use of gestures to declare assent or dissent with the present speech. This mechanism was used to avoid waiting times, caused by applause or heckling, without depriving the participants from actively involving in the debate. Alongside with other detailed practical tools for the organisation of assemblies, these mechanisms were summarized by a special commission at the Puerta del Sol protests and electronically published in form of a “Quick guide to assemblies.” Using the “linkability” of the Internet, this guide, as well as other texts, pictures and videos on the organization of assemblies diffused to the international sphere and inspired the organization of the protest camps in many different countries.

Conclusion

This paper made evident that the protests of 2011 had common elements on an international scale, not only regarding widespread slogans, but also in terms of the protesters’ objectives, the communication channels used and the organizational processes.

In general, the communication channels are the most scattered of these elements, since the different sub-protests build a complex system of websites and social networks, above all Twitter and Facebook. Although protest groups try to centralize the information exchange through links, mediators and innovative technological features, direct communication channels on a European basis are still few, which is however also related to the problem of language barriers. Yet, the system of websites and social networks provide possibilities for the non-relational diffusion of protest material, both practical and symbolic. Therefore, Internet communication has built a vital channel for the distribution of slogans and symbols, organizational tools and joint action announcements. These elements built a common ground for the protests, and provide a frame-bridging between national and European concerns.

This connection is especially obvious in the used slogans, which consist of a combination of Spanish initial claims, references to the European crisis, anti-capital slogans from the occupy movement and universally valid features. The same is true for the manifest, which is widely distributed through the Internet and cleverly combines national, European and universal claims.

In line with former studies the paper furthermore shows that most of the protests were internalized in the way that protests were planned and carried out nationally or locally, often prioritizing national concerns on their agenda. Nevertheless, the protests of 2011 tried to create a European sphere, for example by the organisation of joint marches to Brussels or the international protests e.g. on 15 October, but also with the use of common structural features, like assemblies.

In conclusion, the protests of 2011 combined the national with the European (and a global/universal) level which might even be a key to its relatively broad societal success. Furthermore, with the transfer of online claims into real-world joint action, the protests managed to mobilize large societal parts, bridging not only geographical regions, but also age groups and socio-economical levels. Nevertheless, to speak of a European social
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movement would disregard the fact that their distribution among European member states was fairly unequal, with considerable participation only among a few countries, namely Spain, Portugal, Greece, Italy, France, Germany and the UK.

The shared elements of the protests, combined with their focus on solidarity – particularly in the context of the European crisis – can lead to the assumption, that the protests did foster the feeling of a united Europe among the participants, although this would have to be subject to a deeper analysis, e.g. by conducting interviews with protest participants.

This paper contributes to the scientific discourse by showing that today’s international movements are as complex as the globalized world itself, building a patchwork of connected and unconnected social spheres and identities. This implies the scientific challenge of firstly uncovering and secondly deciphering these multiple connections. The first step was taken in this paper, which therefore provides a vital basis for further research to build on one of the elements in particular and provide an in-depth analysis.

As the large-scale protests on 12 May and 15 May 2012 showed, the Indignados survived their first year of existence and did not disappear from the public screen so far. Nevertheless, future will show if the global frame drawn by the movement will fall victim to the decreasing connection to people’s daily life, or if it will endure and might end up as the only positive impact of the European crisis – the notion of a European citizenship.
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