

University of Groningen

Human-computer interaction in radiology

Jorritsma, Wiard

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Jorritsma, W. (2016). *Human-computer interaction in radiology*. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Human-computer interaction in radiology

Thesis statements

1. Usability engineering is vital for high-quality human-computer interaction in radiology (Chapters 2 and 3).
2. Usability cannot be assessed by looking at functionality alone (Chapter 2).
3. Analysis of user interaction log data is less effective for identifying usability issues than a field study (Chapter 4).
4. Take-off and zoom-pointing are the most appropriate touch-based interaction techniques for a radiological audience response system (Chapter 5).
5. Adaptive support for user interface customization improves radiologists' customization effectiveness (Chapter 6).
6. Designing computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) systems for appropriate trust is important and can improve the performance of the radiologist-CAD team (Chapter 7).
7. Radiologists have a more appropriate level of trust in a CAD system that provides a rationale for its decisions than in a CAD system that is a black box to them (Chapter 7).
8. Machine learning techniques can be used to convert free-text radiology reports into a structured, standardized format (Chapter 8).
9. Radiology reports should be stored in a structured, standardized format to allow for effective processing of the information they contain.
10. It is impossible to fully mitigate the risks associated with the use of a medical device. Some responsibility will always remain with the user.
11. Radiologists will never be replaced by artificial intelligence.
12. In addition to studying digital technology in itself, it is vital to study the way humans interact with it.
13. We are stuck with technology when what we really want is just stuff that works – Douglas Adams.
14. Simplicity is the ultimate sophistication – Leonardo da Vinci.