

University of Groningen

(Un)Healthy in the city

Zijlema, Wilma

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2016

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Zijlema, W. (2016). *(Un)Healthy in the city: Adverse health effects of traffic-related noise and air pollution*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

1



General Introduction

ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

Many human diseases can be attributed to environmental factors. Environmental factors can be viewed as those that are not genetic, and include factors that are as broad as for example diet, exercise, and social relationships. In environmental epidemiology, and in this dissertation, we refer to environmental factors as those factors that are outside the immediate control of individuals [1]. Exposures of interest are for example air pollution, traffic noise, electromagnetic fields, and chemical agents. Environmental epidemiology comprises the study of the link between the environment and population health by combining data on environmental exposures and health.

A famous example of how a combination of disease statistics and related spatial data could trace a disease outbreak, is the case of John Snow. During the 1854 cholera outbreak in London, John Snow used spatial maps of disease clusters to identify the source of the outbreak as the public water pump on Broad Street. He is seen as the founding father of epidemiology and taught us that spatial data can help to investigate adverse health outcomes of environmental exposures. Another example is the London smog of 1952. During one week in December 1952, a dense smog descended on London. A combination of foggy weather, coal-burning homes and polluting factories led to pollution levels that were 5 to 19 times higher than current regulatory standards. Mortality increased substantially during the smog period, and was still increased for a long period thereafter. It has been estimated that 12,000 excess deaths can be attributed to the acute and persistent effects of the 1952 London smog. It became clear that incidences of elevated exposures do not only result in acute effects on public health, but also in chronic health problems [2].

Reductions of harmful exposures have led to substantial improvements in public health. Examples are the introduction of proper sanitation [3], control of particulate air pollution [4], and more recently the introduction of smoke-free legislation [5]. Although the benefits for public health have been acknowledged, there is still a lot to gain in environmental epidemiology. For some exposures, health effects already occur at levels much lower than previously expected. In addition, studying harmful environmental exposures and their effects on public health is important because many individuals may be affected by the same pollution source, and individuals often have no control over their exposures. Moreover, environmental exposures often interfere with a variety of bodily processes, potentially affecting a wide range of diseases [6].

PERCEIVED EXPOSURES AND PERCEIVED HEALTH

Besides the actual exposure to environmental factors, also perceived exposure and concerns about the health risks associated with the exposure might influence health outcomes [1]. When studying particular exposures, for example electromagnetic fields or living in the vicinity of nuclear power plants, the public's perception of the health risks is as relevant to health as the exposure itself. Moreover, sometimes perceived exposures have stronger associations with diminished health than the actual exposures [7–9]. People may attribute common health complaints to environmental factors, regardless of the actual level of exposure. Individuals that perceive exposure to possibly harmful environmental agents, sometimes attribute their health complaints to the environment. The assessment of common somatic symptoms such as headache, stomachache, and palpitations is therefore becoming increasingly relevant to environmental epidemiology. However, evidence that certain environmental sources cause these symptoms is currently lacking [7,10].

ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS OF TRAFFIC-RELATED NOISE AND AIR POLLUTION

The research in this dissertation focusses on adverse health effects associated with traffic-related air pollution and noise. Many individuals are exposed to polluted air [11,12]. Evidence of harmful health effects of air pollution has accumulated over the recent years. Exposure to air pollution is associated with morbidity and mortality due to respiratory [13] and cardiovascular diseases [14–16]. Recent studies have also linked air pollution to other adverse health effects, including diabetes mellitus [17–19], depressed mood [20,21], and adverse birth outcomes [22–24]. Environmental noise has also been related to a variety of adverse effects [25], including annoyance, hearing loss, sleep disturbance, cognitive impairment, and cardiovascular disease [26]. It is estimated that in Western Europe, each year, at least one million healthy life years are lost due to traffic-related noise [27]. Both air pollution and traffic noise are associated with the highest burden of disease in Europe related to environmental exposures [12]. Road traffic is a common source for both noise and air pollution. Individuals exposed to road traffic noise are probably also exposed to air pollution from traffic. Some adverse health effects can be attributed to noise or air pollution, or both. It is therefore important to distinguish between the two exposures. Previous studies often did not take into account the simultaneous effects of traffic-related noise and air pollution.

However, the research community is becoming increasingly aware of the need for disentangling effects of noise and air pollution [28–30].

POSSIBLE MECHANISMS

Mechanisms that may explain how the environment affects health are the breeder and drift hypotheses. These hypotheses try to explain spatial variations in health. The breeder hypothesis refers to the idea that health differences arise because of environmental factors to which people are directly exposed [31]. Examples could be exposure to air pollution [32] and traffic noise [33], or exposure to harmful chemicals, for example pesticides [34]. Spatial variation in behavior may also explain health differences. It has been shown that urban living can evoke certain (un)healthy lifestyles, such as smoking [35], physical activity [36], alcohol use [37], and diet choices [38]. The drift hypothesis states that selection processes might result in spatial health differences. Selection occurs when healthy persons stay, while ill persons move, or vice versa. Furthermore, specific places may attract or repel persons with certain health-related characteristics [31]. The general stress model has also been used to explain environmental effects on health. Environmental exposures can be seen as stressors, which directly or indirectly affect bodily stress systems. Responses including increased heart rate and blood pressure, bronchodilation, and release of stress hormones are triggered. Long-term activation of the stress system may lead to allostatic load, also known as the ‘wear and tear’ on our bodies. Over longer periods, allostatic load might lead to disease [39].

All these above mechanisms may (partly) explain how environmental factors affect health and knowledge regarding these mechanisms may provide targets for prevention strategies.

USING MULTIPLE BIOBANKS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY: BIOSHARE

One of the major challenges in environmental epidemiology is detecting the small risks associated with environmental exposures. Risks are small because environmental exposures often occur in low concentrations and in complex mixtures (e.g. traffic-related air pollution and noise). Many of the health outcomes of interest have other underlying risk factors which effects may be much stronger than those of the environmental exposure under study [6]. For example, a myocardial infarc-

tion may result from a combination of genetic predisposition, lifestyle risk factors, and exposure to noise and air pollution [40]. As a consequence, risks associated with environmental exposures are often difficult to detect. Although effect sizes are generally small, the disease burden associated with environmental exposures is large [11,12]. Large populations are simultaneously affected by various exposures during their lives. Furthermore, even small increases in population risks can lead to a vast increase in population burden of disease, and vice versa [41].

Studies with large numbers of participants and broad exposure ranges are needed to investigate the complex interaction between the environment and the development of multifactorial chronic diseases. Single studies may not provide adequate numbers of subjects, but collaboration across large regions could make important contributions to understanding environmental causes of disease. Therefore, population studies must be harmonized and standardized, enabling the assembling of data in valid and effective ways. To this end, we used multiple European cohort studies to study harmful health effects of the environment.

Previously, consortia of collaborating studies (e.g. the HYENA (Hypertension and Exposure to Noise near Airports) study [42], ESCAPE (European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects)[43]) contributed largely to the current knowledge of the link between environmental exposures and disease. However, practical, ethical, legal, and consent-related restrictions of sharing and pooling individual-level study data form a challenge to collaboration across studies [44–46]. Consequently, previous consortia of collaborating studies mostly combined study data on an aggregated level, while combining individual-level data could result in more statistical power, and offers increasing flexibility in data analysis [46].

This dissertation was written within the framework of the European harmonization initiative BioSHaRE (Biobank Standardisation and Harmonisation for Research Excellence in the European Union; www.bioshare.eu). Within BioSHaRE, tools were developed for data harmonization and federated data analyses. Harmonization tools enable the optimal extraction of common data from studies that have collected extensive data and samples under different design protocols (retrospective harmonization) [47,48]. In addition, harmonized exposure models for ambient air pollution and for road traffic noise were partly developed and implemented in several cohort studies participating in the BioSHaRE project (prospective harmonization). Federated data analyses enable researchers to comprehensively analyze individual-level data from multiple studies, while keeping the original data strictly secure, overcoming challenges regarding data sharing and pooling [46].

RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES

In this dissertation, we focused on adverse health effects of air pollution and road traffic noise. We investigated the (simultaneous) exposure to traffic-related noise and air pollution, and their relations with various adverse health outcomes. A part of this research was undertaken in multiple European cohort studies, from which data were harmonized and pooled. This has led to two central questions:

1. Is the living environment, and in particular traffic-related noise and air pollution, affecting health?
2. Can we harmonize and pool individual data from multiple cohort studies, and does this enable us to better understand relations of environmental exposures and adverse health outcomes?

OUTLINE OF DISSERTATION

In *chapter 2*, we describe the exposure assessment methods that were used in the LifeLines Cohort Study. Lifelines is a prospective population-based cohort study investigating the biological, behavioral and environmental determinants of healthy ageing among 167,729 participants from the North East region of the Netherlands. Environmental exposure and health data assessed in LifeLines were used throughout the research presented in this dissertation. The methods for exposure assessment of road traffic noise and ambient air pollution that were used are presented here.

In *chapter 3*, the associations of degree of urbanity and lung function, metabolic syndrome, anxiety and depression are described. Many people live in urban areas these days, and urban residents may be more exposed to harmful factors than their rural counterparts. Here, we report on health differences associated with urbanity in the Northern part of the Netherlands.

In *chapter 4*, the associations of ambient air pollution and depressed mood are described. For this study, we analyzed data from four European cohorts. We also investigated whether exposure to noise influenced the relation between air pollution and depressed mood.

In *chapter 5*, we report on a systematic review of symptom questionnaires. The aim was to identify suitable questionnaires for the assessment of common somatic symptoms in large-scale population based cohort studies. Identifying suitable questionnaires is useful for the prospective harmonization of somatic symptom assessment.

In *chapter 6*, we describe the association of road traffic noise and common somatic symptoms in the LifeLines Cohort Study. Associations between road traffic noise exposure, as estimated with a noise model, annoyance from noise, and somatic symptoms are investigated. Secondly, we investigate whether certain personality facets were modifiers or confounders of the relationship between noise, noise annoyance and symptoms.

In *chapter 7*, we report on the results of a study investigating associations between road traffic noise, air pollution, blood pressure, and heart rate. Federated analyses of harmonized data from three European cohorts were conducted at the individual level using a novel software approach developed within BioSHaRE.

In *chapter 8*, a general discussion of the main findings is presented. We also discuss the methodological strengths and limitations, the implications of our study findings, and directions for future research.

REFERENCES

1. Rothman KJ. Methodologic frontiers in environmental epidemiology. *Environ Health Perspect.* 1993;101 Suppl: 19–21.
2. Bell ML, Davis DL. Reassessment of the lethal London fog of 1952: novel indicators of acute and chronic consequences of acute exposure to air pollution. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2001;109 Suppl : 389–94.
3. Hamlin C, Sheard S. Revolutions in public health: 1848, and 1998? *BMJ.* 1998;317: 587–591.
4. Clancy L, Goodman P, Sinclair H, Dockery DW. Effect of air-pollution control on death rates in Dublin, Ireland: an intervention study. *Lancet.* 2002;360: 1210–4. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11281-5
5. Been J V, Nurmatov UB, Cox B, Nawrot TS, van Schayck CP, Sheikh A. Effect of smoke-free legislation on perinatal and child health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2014;383: 1549–60. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60082-9
6. Briggs D. Environmental pollution and the global burden of disease. *Br Med Bull.* 2003;68: 1–24. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldg019
7. Baliatsas C, Bolte J, Yzermans J, Kelfkens G, Hooiveld M, Lebrecht E, et al. Actual and perceived exposure to electromagnetic fields and non-specific physical symptoms: An epidemiological study based on self-reported data and electronic medical records. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* 2015; doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.02.001
8. Fyhri A, Klæboe R. Road traffic noise, sensitivity, annoyance and self-reported health—A structural equation model exercise. *Environ Int.* 2009;35: 91–97. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.08.006
9. Héritier H, Vienneau D, Frei P, Eze IC, Brink M, Probst-Hensch N, et al. The Association between Road Traffic Noise Exposure, Annoyance and Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQL). *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2014;11: 12652–12667. doi:10.3390/ijerph111212652
10. Das-Munshi J, Rubin GJ, Wessely S. Multiple chemical sensitivities: A systematic review of provocation studies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2006;118: 1257–64. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2006.07.046
11. Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet.* 2013;380: 2224–2260. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8
12. Hanninen O, Knol AB, Jantunen M, Lim TA, Conrad A, Rappolder M, et al. Environmental Burden of Disease in Europe: Assessing Nine Risk Factors in Six Countries. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2014; doi:10.1289/ehp.1206154
13. Götschi T, Heinrich J, Sunyer J, Künzli N. Long-term effects of ambient air pollution on lung function: a review. *Epidemiology.* 2008;19: 690–701. doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e318181650f
14. Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Goldbohm S, Fischer P, van den Brandt PA. Association between mortality and indicators of traffic-related air pollution in the Netherlands: a cohort study. *Lancet.* 2002;360: 1203–1209. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11280-3
15. Brunekreef B, Holgate ST. Air pollution and health. *Lancet.* 2002;360: 1233–1242. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11274-8
16. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux A V, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2010;121: 2331–78. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181d8bece1

17. Chen H, Burnett RT, Kwong JC, Villeneuve PJ, Goldberg MS, Brook RD, et al. Risk of incident diabetes in relation to long-term exposure to fine particulate matter in Ontario, Canada. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2013;121: 804–10. doi:10.1289/ehp.1205958
18. Krämer U, Herder C, Sugiri D, Strassburger K, Schikowski T, Ranft U, et al. Traffic-related air pollution and incident type 2 diabetes: results from the SALIA cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2010;118: 1273–9. doi:10.1289/ehp.0901689
19. Eze IC, Hemkens LG, Bucher HC, Hoffmann B, Schindler C, Künzli N, et al. Association between Ambient Air Pollution and Diabetes Mellitus in Europe and North America: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2015;123: 381–389. doi:10.1289/ehp.1307823
20. Lim YH, Kim H, Kim JH, Bae S, Park HY, Hong YC. Air pollution and symptoms of depression in elderly adults. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2012;120: 1023–1028. doi:10.1289/ehp.1104100
21. Szyszkowicz M, Rowe BH, Colman I. Air pollution and daily emergency department visits for depression. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health.* 2009;22: 355–362. doi:10.2478/v10001-009-0031-6
22. Green R, Sarovar V, Malig B, Basu R. Association of Stillbirth With Ambient Air Pollution in a California Cohort Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2015;181: 874–82. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu460
23. Schembari A, de Hoogh K, Pedersen M, Davdand P, Martinez D, Hoek G, et al. Ambient Air Pollution and Newborn Size and Adiposity at Birth: Differences by Maternal Ethnicity (the Born in Bradford Study Cohort). *Environ Health Perspect.* 2015; doi:10.1289/ehp.1408675
24. Stieb DM, Chen L, Beckerman BS, Jerrett M, Crouse DL, Omariba DW, et al. Associations of Pregnancy Outcomes and PM_{2.5} in a National Canadian Study. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2015; doi:10.1289/ehp.1408995
25. Basner M, Babisch W, Davis A, Brink M, Clark C, Janssen S, et al. Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *Lancet.* 2013;383: 1325–32. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61613-X
26. Münzel T, Gori T, Babisch W, Basner M. Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35: 829–36. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehu030
27. WHO. Burden of disease from environmental noise. Quantification of healthy life years lost in Europe. WHO European Centre for Environment Health, Bonn Office; 2011.
28. Tetreault LF, Perron S, Smargiassi A. Cardiovascular health, traffic-related air pollution and noise: are associations mutually confounded? A systematic review. *Int J Public Health.* 2013; doi:10.1007/s00038-013-0489-7
29. Tzivian L, Winkler A, Dlugaj M, Schikowski T, Vossoughi M, Fuks K, et al. Effect of long-term outdoor air pollution and noise on cognitive and psychological functions in adults. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* 2015;218: 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2014.08.002
30. Guxens M, Sunyer J. A review of epidemiological studies on neuropsychological effects of air pollution. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2012;141: w13322. doi:10.4414/sm.w.2011.13322
31. Verheij RA. Explaining urban-rural variations in health: A review of interactions between individual and environment. *Soc Sci Med.* 1996;42: 923–935. doi:10.1016/0277-9536(95)00190-5
32. Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Beelen R, Samoli E, Stafoggia M, Weinmayr G, et al. Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in 17 European cohorts: prospective analyses from the European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE). *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14: 813–822. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70279-1
33. Bluhm GL, Berglund N, Nordling E, Rosenlund M. Road traffic noise and hypertension. *Occup Environ Med.* 2007;64: 122–126. doi:10.1136/oem.2005.025866

34. Van der Mark M, Brouwer M, Kromhout H, Nijssen P, Huss A, Vermeulen R. Is pesticide use related to Parkinson disease? Some clues to heterogeneity in study results. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2012;120: 340–7. doi:10.1289/ehp.1103881
35. Pearce J, Barnett R, Moon G. Sociospatial inequalities in health-related behaviours: Pathways linking place and smoking. *Prog Hum Geogr*. 2011;36: 3–24. doi:10.1177/0309132511402710
36. Saelens BE, Sallis JF, Black JB, Chen D. Neighborhood-Based Differences in Physical Activity: An Environment Scale Evaluation. *Am J Public Health*. 2003;93: 1552–1558. doi:10.2105/AJPH.93.9.1552
37. Donath C, Grässel E, Baier D, Pfeiffer C, Karagülle D, Bleich S, et al. Alcohol consumption and binge drinking in adolescents: comparison of different migration backgrounds and rural vs. urban residence—a representative study. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11: 84. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-84
38. Burgoine T, Forouhi NG, Griffin SJ, Wareham NJ, Monsivais P. Associations between exposure to takeaway food outlets, takeaway food consumption, and body weight in Cambridgeshire, UK: population based, cross sectional study. *BMJ*. 2014;348: g1464.
39. McEwen BS. Stress, Adaptation, and Disease: Allostasis and Allostatic Load. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1998;840: 33–44. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09546.x
40. Cesaroni G, Forastiere F, Stafoggia M, Andersen ZJ, Badaloni C, Beelen R, et al. Long term exposure to ambient air pollution and incidence of acute coronary events: prospective cohort study and meta-analysis in 11 European cohorts from the ESCAPE Project. *BMJ*. 2014;348: f7412. doi:10.1136/bmj.f7412
41. Rose G. Sick individuals and sick populations. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1985;14: 32–8.
42. Jarup L, Babisch W, Houthuijs D, Pershagen G, Katsouyanni K, Cadum E, et al. Hypertension and exposure to noise near airports: the HYENA study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116. doi:10.1289/ehp.10775
43. Beelen R, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Stafoggia M, Andersen ZJ, Weinmayr G, Hoffmann B, et al. Effects of long-term exposure to air pollution on natural-cause mortality: an analysis of 22 European cohorts within the multicentre ESCAPE project. *Lancet*. 2014;383: 785–795. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62158-3
44. Kaye J. From single biobanks to international networks: developing e-governance. *Hum Genet*. 2011;130: 377–82. doi:10.1007/s00439-011-1063-0
45. Knoppers BM, Harris JR, Tassé AM, Budin-Ljøsne I, Kaye J, Deschênes M, et al. Towards a data sharing Code of Conduct for international genomic research. *Genome Med*. 2011;3: 46. doi:10.1186/gm262
46. Gaye A, Marcon Y, Isaeva J, LaFlamme P, Turner A, Jones EM, et al. DataSHIELD: taking the analysis to the data, not the data to the analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43: 1929–44. doi:10.1093/ije/dyu188
47. Fortier I, Doiron D, Little J, Ferretti V, L'Heureux F, Stolk RP, et al. Is rigorous retrospective harmonization possible? Application of the DataSHaPER approach across 53 large studies. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40: 1314–1328. doi:10.1093/ije/dyr106
48. Fortier I, Burton PR, Robson PJ, Ferretti V, Little J, L'Heureux F, et al. Quality, quantity and harmony: the DataSHaPER approach to integrating data across bioclinical studies. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2010;39: 1383–1393. doi:10.1093/ije/dyq139

