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Prednisolone has a positive effect on the kidney but not on the liver of brain dead rats: A potential role in complement activation
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Contradictory evidence has been published on the effects of steroid treatments on the outcomes of kidney and liver transplantation from brain dead (BD) donors. Our study aimed to evaluate this disparity by investigating the effect of prednisolone administration on BD rats.

**Methods:** BD induction was performed in ventilated rats by inflating a Fogarty catheter placed in the epidural space. Prednisolone (22.5mg/kg) was administered 30 min prior to BD induction. After four hours of determination of BD: serum, kidney and liver tissues samples were collected and stored. RT-qPCR, routine biochemistry and immunohistochemistry were performed.

**Results:** Prednisolone treatment reduced circulating IL-6 and creatinine plasma levels but not serum AST, ALT or LDH. Polymorphonuclear influx assessed by histology, and inflammatory gene expression were reduced in the kidney and liver. However, complement component 3 (C3) expression was decreased in kidney but not in liver. Gene expression of HSP-70, a cytoprotective protein, was down-regulated in the liver after treatment.

**Conclusions:** This study shows that prednisolone decreases inflammation and improves renal function, whilst not reducing liver injury. The persistence of complement activation and the negative effect on protective cellular mechanisms in the liver may explain the disparity between the effects of prednisolone on the kidney and liver of BD rats. The difference in the molecular and cellular responses to prednisolone administration may explain the contradictory evidence of the effects of prednisolone on different organ types from brain dead organ donors.
BACKGROUND

The shortage of organs for transplantation remains one of the most important issues facing the transplant community today. Increasingly, organs from brain dead extended criteria donors (ECD) and donors after circulatory arrest (DCD) are being used to address the organ deficit. The short and long term outcomes of allografts obtained from these donors are inferior when compared to living donors [7, 46]. In the case of organs obtained from donors after brain death (DBD) it has been shown that HLA mismatched living donors have better outcomes even when cold ischemia times are taken into consideration [42]. The explanation for this lies in the process of brain death (BD) itself resulting in a non-physiological environment culminating in significant organ injury prior to organ procurement. The procurement, preservation and reperfusion phases of transplantation result in significant additional injury to the allograft rendering it susceptible short and long term dysfunction [6, 28, 41].

BD causes complex disturbances of normal homeostatic systems resulting in hemodynamic instability [5, 19, 30, 47], hormonal impairment [18, 22, 31] and inflammation [1, 24, 32, 35]. BD results in significant cerebral ischemia and intracranial hypertension resulting in parasympathetic activity followed by a severe vasoconstriction. This is due to an overriding sympathetic response and termed the “catecholamine storm” which is part of the Cushing reflex; a physiological response to maintain cerebral perfusion. A progressive paralysis of the spinal cord occurs, this cause the loss of vasomotor tone and leads to hemodynamic instability which characterizes this period [10, 16, 17].

Pituitary function is also affected following BD as adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) secretion is altered resulting in a transient rise in cortisol levels, which then diminishes progressing below baseline levels. In addition other hormones including T₄/T₃, anti-diuretic hormone (ADH) and insulin are reduced as a consequence of BD [36]. BD results in a heightened systemic inflammatory state as illustrated by the influx of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) into kidney and liver tissues [24]. Systemic production of circulating cytokines including interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-10 (IL-10), Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-α), Transforming Growth Factor-beta (TGF-β) and Monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1) are thought to orchestrate this response. The trigger for this inflammatory process is not well understood; however recent evidence suggests there could be a role for cerebral cytokines that cross the blood-brain barrier, in addition to complement activation [12, 13] and intestinal bacterial translocation [26, 39].

A number of important considerations have been described for the ICU management of BD organ donors. There is general consensus about the importance of maintaining hemodynamic stability, but hormonal or anti-inflammatory treatments remains controversial despite promising experimental evidence [36]. The administration of glucocorticoids to donors has been used as hormonal replacement therapy with a varied degree of success [23, 25, 27, 29, 33, 44].
In BD glucocorticoid administration could have several beneficial effects including anti-inflammatory properties and the ability to augment chromaffin cells production of endogenous epinephrine [20]. The anti-inflammatory effects of steroids result from the pleiotropic interaction with the glucocorticoid receptor. The cortisol-glucocorticoid receptor complex can act through genomic and non-genomic downstream signalling pathways within the cell. This involves the dissociation of heat-shock proteins and the interaction with membrane-associated receptors, second messengers and activation of transcription factors such as Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) [38].

We hypothesize that prednisolone pre-treatment of BD rats could reduce the inflammatory response and improve the quality of kidney and liver allografts. The aim of this study is to assess the potential benefit of the prednisolone pre-treatment in the liver and kidney of BD rats.

METHODS

Animals

Male adult Fisher F344 rats (250 - 300 g) were used in all experiments. Brain death (BD) was induced as follows: animals were anesthetized using isoflurane with O₂. A cannula was inserted in the femoral artery and vein for continuous mean arterial pressure (MAP) monitoring and fluid ad- ministration. Animals were intubated via a tracheostomy and ventilated throughout the experiment. A no. 4 Fogarty catheter (Edwards Lifesciences Co, Irvine, CA) was placed in the epidural space through a frontolateral burr hole, and slowly inflated (0.16ml/min) with saline using a syringe pump (Terufusion, Termo Co, Tokyo, Japan). Inflation of the balloon was terminated once the MAP began to improve after a characteristic period of hypotension, reflecting the autonomic storm. BD was confirmed by the absence of corneal and pupillary reflexes and a positive apnea test. Following confirmation of BD, anesthesia was terminated but ventilation continued. MAPs were maintained above 80mmHg using Hydroxyethyl starch (HAES) 10% (Fresenius Kabi AG, Bad Homburg, Germany) with a maximum rate of 1ml/hr. If HAES was insufficient to maintain the MAP, noradrenaline (NA) 0.01mg/ml was administered. A homeothermic blanket control system was used throughout the BD maintenance period. Four hours after determination of BD, rats were heparinized with 500 IU heparin. A laparotomy was subsequently performed and blood collected from the aorta. Organs were flushed with 0.9% saline and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and collected plasma stored at -80° C.

Rats were randomly assigned to each group. The animal number was calculated using the method of Russ Lenth, with a meaningful difference of 50%, a variability (sigma) of 0.3 and a power of 0.9. Sham-operated rats served as controls and were ventilated for half an hour under anesthesia before termination. This was in accordance with the requirement of our local Animal Welfare Committee guidance for the use of sham controls in experiments. Prednisolone or saline was administered intravenously 30 minutes before...
the start of BD induction. Prednisolone dosage was chosen based on previous experiments
and to give the best hemodynamic stability (22.5mg/kg).

The following experimental groups were established:
1. Sham-operated animals receiving saline (n=8).
2. Sham-operated animals receiving Prednisolone (n=8).
3. BD animals receiving saline (n=8).
4. BD animals receiving Prednisolone (n=8).

Plasma determinations
Plasma creatinine was determined in the biochemistry lab of the University Medical
Center Groningen. The level of IL-6 in the plasma was determined by a rat enzyme-
linked immunosorbent assay (IL-6 ELISA) kit (R&D Systems Europe Ltd. Abingdon, Oxon
OX14 3NB, UK), according to the manufacturer instructions. All samples were analyzed
in duplicate and read at 450nm.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis
Total RNA was isolated from whole kidneys and liver sections by using TRizol
(Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD). RNA samples were verified for absence of genomic
DNA contamination by per-forming RT-PCR reactions in which the addition of reverse
transcriptase was omitted, using Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)
primers. For cDNA synthesis, 1 μl T11VN Oligo-dT (0.5 μg/μl) and 1μg mRNA were
incubated for 10 min at 70° C and cooled directly after that. cDNA was synthesized
by adding a mixture containing 0.5 μl RnaseOUT Ribonuclease inhibitor (Invitrogen,
Carlsbad, USA), 0.5μl RNase water (Promega), 4 μl 5 x first strand buffer (Invitrogen), 2
μl DTT (Invitrogen), 1 μl dNTO’s and 1μl M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, 200U).
The mixture was held at 37 ° C for 50 min. Next, reverse-transcriptase was inactivated by
incubating the mixture for 15 min at 70° C. Samples were stored at - 20° C.

Real-Time PCR
Fragments of several genes were amplified with the primer sets outlined in Table 7.2.
Pooled cDNA obtained from brain-dead rats were used as internal references. Gene
expression was normalized with the mean of β-actin mRNA content. Real-Time PCR
was carried out in reaction volumes of 15μl containing 10μl of SYBR Green mastermix
(Applied biosystems, Foster City, USA), 0.4μl of each primer (50μM), 4.2μl of nuclease
free water and 10 ng of cDNA. All samples were analyzed in triplicate. Thermal cycling
was performed on the Taqman Applied Biosystems 7900HT Real Time PCR System with
a hot start for 2 min at 50° C followed by 10 min 95° C. Second stage was started with
15 s at 95° C (denaturation step) and 60 s at 60° C (annealing step and DNA synthesis).
The latter stage was repeated 40 times. Stage 3 was included to detect formation of primer dimers (melting curve) and begins with 15 s at 95°C followed by 60 s at 60°C and 15 s at 95°C. Primers were designed with Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) and primer efficiencies were tested by a standard curve for the primer pair resulting from the amplification of serially diluted cDNA samples (10 ng, 5 ng, 2.5 ng, 1.25 ng and 0.625 ng) obtained from brain- dead rats. PCR efficiency were found to be $1.8 < \varepsilon < 2.0$. Real-time PCR products were checked for product specificity on a 1.5% agarose gel. Results were expressed as $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ (CT: Threshold Cycle).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>5’-GGCTGCCTTGGTTCAGATGT-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-CAGGGGGAGCAACCTACAGTT-3’</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>5’- CAGCAATGGTCGGGACATAGTT-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-GCATTAGGAAATAGTGCCAGGCATCT-3’</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>5’-CCAACCTCCATGCTCTCCTAATG-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-TTCAAGTGCTTTCAAGAGGTGAT-3’</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>5’-CAGCCTGAATGAAACGACTAGACA-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-TCAAAATCATCCGACAGCTCTATC-3’</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>5’-CTTGAATGGGAACCTTGACCCAATAA-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-ACAGAAGTGCTTTGAGGTGTT-3’</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-1</td>
<td>5’-CTCGCATGAACACACTCTGGGAGAT-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-GCAGGAAGGCCGGTCTTAGC-3’</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP-70</td>
<td>5’-GGTGCATGTCTTTTGCCTTTA-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-GGTGGAGCTGGTTTTT-3’</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAX</td>
<td>5’-GCCGTTGGTGCCCCTCTTAC-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-TGATCAGCTCAGGCACTTTTAGT-3’</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL-2</td>
<td>5’-CTGGGATGCCCTTTGTGGA-3’&lt;br&gt;5’-TCAGAGACAGCCAGGAAATC-3’</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Immunohistochemistry**

To detect polymorphonuclear cells in kidney and liver, immunohistochemistry was performed on 5-μm tissue cryosections. Sections were fixated for 10 min using acetone. Next, sections were stained with HIS-48 mAb (supernatant, two times diluted) using an indirect immunoperoxidase technique. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked using H₂O₂.
PREDNISOLONE HAS A POSITIVE EFFECT

0.01% in phosphate-buffered saline for 30 mins. After thorough washing, sections were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG as a secondary antibody for 30 mins, followed by goat anti-rabbit IgG as a tertiary antibody for 30 mins (both from Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The reaction was developed using 9-aminoethylcarbazole as chromogen and H$_2$O$_2$ as substrate. Sections were counterstained using Mayer hematoxylin solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Negative antibody controls were performed. Localization of immunohistochemical staining was assessed by light microscopy. For each tissue section, positive cells per field were counted in 10 microscopic fields of the tissue at 40x magnification. Results were presented as number of positive cells per glomerulus in the kidney and number of positive cells per field in the liver.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5.0. GraphPad. The two-way ANOVA test was performed, followed by the Bonferroni post-test. Specific analysis for the use of HAES and NA between groups was evaluated using the Mann-Whitney test. The significance level was set at a p value of < 0.05. Results are presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation).

RESULTS
Induction of BD showed a consistent and uniform pattern in alterations of the MAP, with a mean time to declaration of BD of 31.6 ± 2.73 minutes after commencing balloon inflation. All 32 animals were kept with a MAP above 80 mmHg during the experiment (Figure 2.1), after the confirmation of BD. In saline treated group, infusion of HAES 10% (2.7 ml ± 1.1) was needed to maintain MAP whereas in the prednisolone treated group significantly less colloids administration was required (1.3 ml ± 1.3 HAES 10%). Administration of NA was comparable between both groups (saline: 0.11 ± 0.12 mg, prednisolone: 0.05 ± 0.09 mg).

Plasma creatinine levels was significantly different between sham and BD animals treated with saline solution. Creatinine plasma levels in BD animals treated with prednisolone (50.38 ± 6.90) was significantly lower compared to BD rats pre-treated with saline (86.63 ± 18.37) (Figure 2.2).

Assessing cellular liver injury, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) plasma levels were significantly increased after BD in comparison to sham animals. No significant differences were found in AST, ALT or LDH plasma levels between prednisolone or saline treated BD rats (Figure 2.2).

Our results demonstrated a significant decrease in IL-6 levels in BD animals treated with prednisolone compared to BD animals treated with saline (Figure 2.2).

Tissue cryosections were stained with HIS-48 mAb to identify PMNs. The number of positive cells per glomerulus in the kidney was 0.67 ± 0.4 in sham-operated controls
but was elevated to 1.42 ± 0.4 positive cells in the BD + saline group. Treatment with prednisolone reduced the PMN influx to 0.86 ± 0.4 positive cells, reaching statistical significance compared to the BD saline group (Figure 2.3).

In the case of the liver, the number of positive cells per microscopic field was 5.85 ± 2.0 in sham-operated controls but was elevated to 13.41 ± 4.0 positive cells in the BD + saline group. Treatment with prednisolone significantly diminished the PMN influx to 6.97 ± 2.5 positive cells (Figure 2.3).

qRT-PCR evaluation of gene expression revealed significantly upregulated IL-6, Interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) in kidneys as a consequence of BD compared to sham controls. Relative expression of IL-6, IL-1β, MCP-1 was significantly reduced in the prednisolone treated groups in the kidney samples (Figure 2.4).

In liver, relative expression of IL-6, IL-1β and MCP-1 was significantly increased following BD. Prednisolone treatment significantly reduced IL-6, IL-1β and MCP-1 compared to the BD control group (Figure 2.4).

TNF-α is a monocyte derived cytotoxin implicated in mediating apoptosis. In the kidney the relative expression of TNF-α increased but not to a significant level following BD. TNF-α was significantly down-regulated following prednisolone pre-treatment. However, in the liver the relative expression of TNF-α was significantly down-regulated following BD and further down-regulated following prednisolone treatment in the BD group (Figure 2.4).

In the kidney C3 expression was increased following BD and attenuated following prednisolone treatment. While in the liver, C3 expression increased following BD and further increased due to prednisolone treatment (Figure 2.5).

To assess the effect on apoptotic pathways we studied the B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl2)/Bcl-2 associated X protein (BAX) ratio. In the kidney this ratio was increased following BD but decreased in the liver. Prednisolone treatment did not significantly modify this ratio under any experimental condition (Figure 2.5).

We studied the effects of the interventions on the relative expression of two cytoprotective genes. The relative expression of Heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1) in the kidney was up-regulated following BD and down-regulated following pre-treatment with prednisolone. In the liver the HO-1 expression was significantly up-regulated following prednisolone treatment. The relative HSP-70 expression in the kidney was not affected by BD nor prednisolone treatment. However, the relative expression in the liver was significantly down-regulated due to prednisolone pre-treatment (Figure 2.5).

**DISCUSSION**

Disparity exists in the literature with regards to the beneficial effects of prednisolone administration to the donor on the outcomes of solid organ transplantation. Multiple large randomized control trials (RCT) have evaluated the effects on steroid administration
on the outcomes of lung, kidney and liver transplant [15, 34, 37]. In a RCT, Bonser et al. evaluated the effects of 1g of methylprednisolone administration to BD organ donors 7 hours prior to lung explantation, demonstrating no effect on increasing lung yields [44]. Others in the realms of kidney transplantation have also failed to detect a significant improvement in the kidney survival at three months when 5g of methylprednisolone is administered to donors 2-4 hours prior to explantation. The only clinical trial to suggest a positive outcome was performed by Kotsch et al. demonstrating a significant effect on down-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and reduced incidence of acute rejection in liver grafts [25]. In contrast, an Austrian study failed to identify a benefit of 1g of methylprednisolone administration 6 hours prior to liver recovery [3].

Despite the heterogeneity of outcomes of these trials, steroid administration has, in many countries, been incorporated into donor management protocols. A review by Bugge et al. suggests the routine administration of methylprednisolone in all DBDs [9]. Indeed in the UK methylprednisolone is being trialed as part of a national donor optimization care-bundle pathway. Overall there is a clear need to establish the effects of steroid treatment in the donor and evaluate the potential differential effects of treatment on different organ systems.

This study was designed as a proof of concept study to evaluate the differential effects of prednisolone administration to brain dead rats. The results of our study suggest that the effect of steroid therapy in the donor will have differential effects on different organ systems. Our study confirms that steroid administration can improve the hemodynamic stability of the BD rodent. We hypothesize this effect occurs through facilitating the retention of sodium and water, and increasing the release of endogenous epinephrine [45]. Outside of this study, donor hemodynamic stability has been shown to reduce pre-procurement injury to allografts and improve short and long-term outcomes [2, 11].

Our study has also shown that steroid administration does have an anti-inflammatory effect as illustrated by the reduction of circulating IL-6. IL-6 has been shown to be significantly up-regulated following BD and is hypothesized to be a key cytokine mediating the inflammatory cellular response to BD through an NFκB mediated pathway with the downstream effectors being selectin, MCP-1 and TNF-α [8]. Indeed authors speculate that the link between cerebral injury and peripheral organ injury lies in an IL-6 mediated inflammatory response.

In our study prednisolone had different and disparate effects on kidney and liver allografts. In the kidney we demonstrated a significant improvement on kidney function, as assessed by serum creatinine and a reduction in infiltrating PMNs. This was accompanied by a reduction in expression of IL-6, MCP1 and IL-1β. We were able to demonstrate a reduction in C3 expression, having previously demonstrated the potential detrimental effects of complement activation in the BD donor [4, 13, 14]. We believe these anti-inflammatory effects, in conjunction with the hemodynamic stability conferred
by prednisolone administration reduces the injury to the kidney and result in the improved kidney function.

Our study also demonstrated a reduction in HO-1 expression in the kidney following prednisolone pretreatment of BD rats. As has been shown by Terry et al. HO-1 is up-regulated by inflammatory cytokines like IL-1α via protein kinase C and phospholipase A2 in endothelial cells. We hypothesize that prednisolone is down-regulating HO-1 in the kidney by reducing the expression of inflammatory cytokines. In contrast to the kidney, in the liver HO-1 expression was increased following prednisolone pretreatment of the brain dead rats, even when the expression of inflammatory genes was decreased. We think that these results could be an indication of the cellular stress during the brain death period.

In the liver we failed to detect a significant effect of prednisolone pre-treatment of the BD rats to reduce hepatocyte injury as assessed by AST and ALT, we did however demonstrate a significant effect on reducing PMN infiltration and IL-6, MCP-1 and IL-1β expression. We found that TNF-α expression was reduced in the liver following BD in comparison to sham operated animals. This effect might be explained with the surgical stress in both groups and could be explain by a shorter timeframe between the surgical procedure and the organ retrieval in sham operated animals. How- ever, TNF-α expression was further reduced in the prednisolone pretreated BD rats compare to the saline pretreated BD animals. TNF-α affects cell cycle and apoptotic pathways through NFκB and MAP kinase mediated pathways. Our previous work has suggested TNF-α expression is increased following BD in the liver and systemically. We have previously been able to reduce systemic TNF-α release by stimulating the vagus nerve in a rodent model of brain death [21]. Concurrently Kotch et al. demonstrated pre-treatment of human donors with methylprednisolone could reduce TNF-α expression, supporting our findings.

Our study demonstrated relatively less apoptosis in the liver compared to the kidney following BD as demonstrated by the Bcl2/Bax. The comparatively low levels of TNF-α in the liver following BD may in part explain this. However, prednisolone failed to have a further effect on reducing the Bcl2/Bax ratio.

In contrast to the kidney, HSP70 expression in the liver following BD and prednisolone pre-treatment was down-regulated. Stoot et al. showed that HSP70 activity could prevent C3 expression. Interestingly, our study demonstrated an increase in C3 expression in the liver following BD and prednisolone pre-treatment. It is likely that prednisolone is reducing HSP70 expression in the liver but not in the kidney and thereby increase or facilitate C3 deposition in the liver. This may explain the lack of prednisolone effect on reducing liver injury.

CONCLUSION

There are a number of differences in the way organs respond to BD and donor treatment. We have demonstrated that the administration of prednisolone as a pre-treatment agent
to the BD donor is likely to have differential effects on the kidney and the liver. The lack of effect of prednisolone in our experimental set up could in part, be due to the complement mediated injury. We acknowledge that we have not evaluated the effect of prednisolone after BD induction or time-course effect of prednisolone therapy at earlier or later time-points during the BD period. We also have not evaluated the effect of compounding the injury during the donor period with organ preservation and reperfusion injury or assessed the effects of prednisolone. Nevertheless this study demonstrates the clear need to consider the differential effect of donor therapies on different organ systems and the need for further research.
Figure 2.1: The record started with the brain death induction, we considered the time “0” as the end of brain death induction and the starting of BD period. As a black-continuous line the mean in each minute of blood pressure for the prednisolone brain death group. As a grey-continuous line the mean in each minute for the saline brain death group.
Figure 2.2: Plasmatic levels of kidney function markers and liver injury markers.
Creatinine (Sham operated + Saline: 36 ± 9.24 μM; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 36.88 ± 4.29 μM; BD + Saline 86.63 ± 18.37 μM; BD + prednisolone treatment: 50.38 ± 6.91 μM), AST (Sham operated + Saline: 71.50 ± 14.19 U/l; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 72.88 ± 11.66 U/l; BD + Saline: 138.0 ± 72.76 U/l; BD + prednisolone treatment: 146.3 ± 35.07 U/l), ALT (Sham operated + Saline: 54.38 ± 13.97 U/l; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 45.88 ± 15.82 U/l; BD + Saline: 106.3 ± 58.38 U/l; BD + prednisolone treatment: 87.50 ± 18.33 U/l), LDH (Sham + Saline: 157.1 ± 41.54 U/l; Sham + prednisolone treatment: 172.4 ± 104.2 U/l; BD + Saline: 342.8 ± 228.0 U/l; BD + prednisolone treatment: 270 ± 152.9 U/l) and IL-6 (Sham operated + Saline: 15.63 ± 29.69 pg/ml; Sham operated + prednisolone: undetectable; BD + Saline: $2.07 \times 10^5 \pm 9.59 \times 10^4$ pg/ml; BD + prednisolone treatment: $1.18 \times 10^3 \pm 2.69 \times 10^3$ pg/ml).
Figure 2.3: PMN infiltration. Kidneys from A) Sham operated + Saline, B) Sham operated + prednisolone treatment, C) BD + Saline, D) BD + prednisolone treatment rats. PMN infiltration in livers from E) Sham operated + Saline, F) Sham operated + prednisolone treatment, G) BD + Saline, H) BD + prednisolone treatment rats, 40x magnification. I) Quantification of PMN infiltration in kidney and J) liver.
Figure 2.4: Relative expression of inflammatory genes. A) Kidney samples. IL-6 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.08 ± 0.09; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.05 ± 0.05; BD + Saline: 6.04 ± 3.79; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.34 ± 0.59), IL-1β (Sham operated + Saline: 0.89 ± 0.22; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.27 ± 0.11; BD + Saline: 1.95 ± 0.69; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.40 ± 0.30), TNF-α (Sham operated + Saline: 0.69 ± 0.40; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.20 ± 0.10; BD + Saline: 0.99 ± 0.49; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.20 ± 0.16), MCP-1 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.46 ± 0.13; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.13 ± 0.07; BD + Saline 4.46 ± 1.02; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.39 ± 0.44). B) Liver samples. IL-6 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.11 ± 0.05; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.04 ± 0.03; BD + Saline: 2.98 ± 0.84; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.60 ± 0.45), IL-1β (Sham operated + Saline: 1.09 ± 0.42; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.50 ± 0.20; BD + Saline: 1.91 ± 0.27; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.61 ± 0.26), TNF-α (Sham operated + Saline: 7.91 ± 2.13; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 4.51 ± 1.57; BD + Saline: 4.04 ± 0.66; BD + prednisolone treatment: 1.3 ± 0.71), MCP-1 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.71 ± 0.17; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.32 ± 0.09; BD + Saline: 1.06 ± 0.23; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.36 ± 0.24).
Figure 2.5: Relative expression of C3, HO-1 and HSP-70. Ratio of Bcl2/BAX expression.

A) Kidney samples. C3 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.18 ± 0.04; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.16 ± 0.02; BD + Saline: 17.27 ± 10.74; BD + prednisolone treatment: 1.47 ± 0.26), HO-1 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.03 ± 0.01; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.04 ± 0.01; BD + Saline: 3.05 ± 2.06; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.42 ± 0.23), HSP-70 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.06 ± 0.09; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.03 ± 0.07; BD + Saline: 0.03 ± 0.02; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.03 ± 0.01), Ratio Bcl2/BAX (Sham operated + Saline: 0.37 ± 0.15; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.38 ± 0.15; BD + Saline: 0.69 ± 0.19; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.69 ± 0.28). B) Liver samples. C3 (Sham operated + Saline: 546.9 ± 109.4; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 534.2 ± 92.18; BD + Saline: 687.4 ± 96.13; BD + prednisolone treatment: 952.2 ± 125.3), HO-1 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.47 ± 0.11; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.45 ± 0.13; BD + Saline: 5.78 ± 2.65; BD + prednisolone treatment: 12.47 ± 4.96), HSP-70 (Sham operated + Saline: 0.05 ± 0.09; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.05 ± 0.11; BD + Saline: 0.03 ± 0.04; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.003 ± 0.005), Ratio Bcl2/BAX (Sham operated + Saline: 0.18 ± 0.03; Sham operated + prednisolone treatment: 0.18 ± 0.01; BD + Saline: 0.05 ± 0.01; BD + prednisolone treatment: 0.05 ± 0.01)
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