

University of Groningen

Architectural design decisions

Jansen, Antonius Gradus Johannes

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2008

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Jansen, A. G. J. (2008). *Architectural design decisions*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	I
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Software engineering	1
1.2 Software architecture	2
1.2.1 Software architecture description	5
1.3 Architectural knowledge	7
1.3.1 Dimensions of architectural knowledge	7
1.3.2 Defining architectural knowledge	9
1.3.3 Design decisions and variability management	13
1.4 Problem statement	14
1.5 Research questions	16
1.6 Research methods	18
1.6.1 Introduction	18
1.6.2 Research methods	20
1.6.3 Research question types	22
1.6.4 Research results	23
1.6.5 Validation techniques	24
1.7 Overview of this thesis	26

2	First class feature abstractions for product derivation	31
2.1	Introduction	32
2.2	Features in software product families	33
2.2.1	Software product families (SPFs)	33
2.2.2	Roles, actors and base components	34
2.3	Case	36
2.4	Formalising the notion of features	38
2.5	Compositon operator	42
2.5.1	Introduction	42
2.5.2	Analysing the composition of roles	43
2.5.3	Composing implementation blocks	45
2.6	Prototype implementation of feature model	46
2.6.1	Prototype	46
2.6.2	Potential issues for automatic composition	48
2.7	Related work	50
2.7.1	Separation of concerns	50
2.7.2	Features	51
2.7.3	Role modelling	53
2.7.4	Software product families and software architecture	53
2.8	Conclusions and future work	54
3	Design Decisions: The Bridge between Rationale and Architecture	57
3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Software architecture	59
3.2.1	The software architecture design process	59
3.2.2	Describing Software Architectures	60
3.2.3	Problems in software architecture	61
3.3	Rationale in software architecture	61
3.3.1	The rationale construction process	62

3.3.2	Reasons for using rationale in software architecture	63
3.3.3	Problems of rationale use in software architecture	63
3.4	Design decisions: the bridge between rationale and architecture	64
3.4.1	Enriching architecture with rationale	64
3.4.2	CD player: a Design Decision Example	66
3.4.3	Design decisions	66
3.4.4	Designing with design decisions	69
3.5	Archium	70
3.5.1	Basic concepts of Archium	71
3.5.2	Example in Archium	72
3.6	Related work and further developments	73
3.6.1	Related work	74
3.6.2	Future work	75
3.7	Summary	75
4	Software Architecture as a Set of Architectural Design Decisions	79
4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Architectural design decisions	81
4.3	Problems of software architecture	82
4.4	Archium	84
4.4.1	Architectural design decision model	84
4.5	Archium meta-model	86
4.5.1	Architectural Model	87
4.5.2	Design Decision Model	88
4.5.3	Composition Model	90
4.6	Athena case	92
4.6.1	Introduction	92
4.6.2	Design decisions	93
4.7	Related work	98
4.8	Conclusion	99

5	Tool support for Architectural Decisions	101
5.1	Introduction	101
5.2	Architectural Decisions	103
5.3	A knowledge grid for architectural decisions	104
5.3.1	Introduction	104
5.3.2	Use Cases of Industrial Relevance	105
5.4	The Archium tool	106
5.4.1	Introduction	106
5.4.2	Use case realization	107
5.4.3	Traceability	111
5.4.4	Architecture of the Archium tool	113
5.5	Chat example	115
5.5.1	Introduction	115
5.5.2	Usage scenarios	116
5.6	Related work	118
5.7	Conclusions & Future work	120
6	Evaluation of Tool Support for Architectural Evolution	123
6.1	Introduction	123
6.2	Architectural Design Decisions	125
6.3	Requirements	127
6.3.1	Architecture	128
6.3.2	Architectural design decisions	129
6.3.3	Architectural change	129
6.4	Evaluation	130
6.4.1	ArchStudio 3	130
6.4.1.1	Description	130
6.4.1.2	Evaluation	131
6.4.2	ArchJava	132

6.4.2.1	Description	132
6.4.2.2	Evaluation	132
6.4.3	AcmeStudio	133
6.4.3.1	Description	133
6.4.3.2	Evaluation	133
6.4.4	SOFA	133
6.4.4.1	Description	133
6.4.4.2	Evaluation	134
6.4.5	Compendium	134
6.4.5.1	Description	134
6.4.5.2	Evaluation	135
6.4.6	Archium	136
6.4.6.1	Description	136
6.4.6.2	Evaluation	136
6.5	Discussion	137
6.5.1	Software Architecture	137
6.5.2	Architectural Design Decisions	138
6.5.3	Architectural change	139
6.6	Conclusion	140
7	Documenting after the fact: recovering architectural design decisions	143
7.1	Introduction	144
7.2	Architectural design decisions	145
7.2.1	Introduction	145
7.2.2	A conceptual model	147
7.3	Recovering architectural design decisions	150
7.3.1	Step 1: Define and select releases	151
7.3.2	Step 2: Detailed design	153
7.3.3	Step 3: Software architecture views	154

7.3.4	Step 4: Architectural delta	155
7.3.5	Step 5: Architectural design decisions	156
7.3.5.1	Step 5.1: Analyze architectural delta	157
7.3.5.2	Step 5.2: Analyze situation	157
7.3.5.3	Step 5.3: Recover origin	158
7.3.5.4	Step 5.4: Think up/recover alternatives	158
7.3.5.5	Step 5.5: Rationalize decision	159
7.4	The knowledge externalization process	159
7.4.1	Introspection. (Externalization)	161
7.4.2	Inspection. (Internalization + Externalization)	162
7.4.3	Discussion. (Socialization + Externalization)	162
7.4.4	Generalized domain knowledge. (Combination)	163
7.5	Case study: Athena	163
7.5.1	Step 1: Define and select releases	165
7.5.2	Step 2: Detailed design	165
7.5.3	Step 3: Software architecture views	165
7.5.4	Step 4: Architectural delta	166
7.5.5	Step 5: Architectural design decisions	167
7.6	Evaluation	172
7.6.1	Lessons learned	173
7.6.1.1	Transitions between design decisions	173
7.6.1.2	Architectural views are subjective views	173
7.6.1.3	Solutions are sketchy or incompletely defined	174
7.6.2	Limitations	175
7.6.2.1	Availability of the architect	175
7.6.2.2	Selection of presented architectural views	175
7.6.2.3	Lack of alternatives and trade-offs	176
7.6.3	Benefits	176

CONTENTS	IX
7.7 Related work	177
7.7.1 Software architecture	177
7.7.2 Design recovery	178
7.7.3 Rationale management	179
7.8 Future work & Conclusions	180
7.8.1 Conclusion	180
7.8.2 Further work & validation	181
7.9 Acknowledgement	182
8 Conclusions	183
8.1 Research Questions & Answers	183
8.2 Contributions	189
8.3 Open research questions and future work	192
Appendix	195
A.1 Archium meta-model	195
References	197
Publications	209
Summary	211
Samenvatting	213
Index	215

