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This chapter first appeared as: Johannes D. Krijnders, Maria E. Niessen, and Tjeerd C. Andringa. Sound event recognition through expectancy-based evaluation of signal-driven hypotheses. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2010. Work on the dynamic network model as described in section 4.2 is by M.E. Niessen.

We present the results of an experiment where signal-driven (bottom-up) recognition is combined with knowledge of the context (top-down knowledge) to improve the performance of environmental sound recognition in real-world circumstances. The real-world sonic environment is often referred to as a soundscape, that is, an environment of sounds with emphasis on the way it is perceived and understood by an individual or by a society (Schafer, 1977). Although full soundscape analysis is beyond the scope of this chapter, we aim
to build a system that can become the basis for an automatic soundscape analysis tool by identifying sound events in real-world environments.

A system that identifies sound events in continuous recordings has additional requirements compared to a system that classifies sound samples, of which is known that they have content. In recognition, a system needs to segment the signal and separate the sources before it can classify them (Shinn-Cunningham, 2008; Griffiths and Warren, 2004; Roman et al., 2006; Barker et al., 2005).

Furthermore, a system that analyzes soundscapes has to deal with transmission effects such as concurrent sources and reverberation. Reverberation results in a mixing of the target sound with a time delayed version of itself. Therefore, it precludes the successful application of feature vectors that describe the whole spectrum, such as Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC’s) and the continuous wavelet transform (CWT). MFCC’s have been shown to be very successful for single-source, non-reverberant speech recognition (O’Shaughnessy, 2008). Moreover, MFCC’s and CWT have been used successfully in environmental sound recognition provided that the recordings contain a single, clean source (Cowling and Sitte, 2003). However, this is an unrealistic approximation for actual environmental sounds.

Real-world environments pose another problem on techniques used in speech recognition. Speech recognition relies on a strong temporal ordering, but for environmental sounds this ordering is far weaker. Speech recognition techniques exploit this ordering by applying hidden Markov models to find the best model sequence (O’Shaughnessy, 2008). In the case of non-speech sound recognition, such as music genre determination, it has been shown that temporal information is not necessary to recognize genre (Aucouturier et al., 2007). However, music genre determination does not require the detection of sound events and is therefore not suitable to describe the sonic environment in detail.

Another method for sound analysis, the bag-of-frames (BOF) method, has been shown to be able to identify scenes from real-world recordings (Aucouturier et al., 2007). However, the BOF method is not designed to represent details about individual sources in the signal, because it uses long-term statistics of the complete spectral range. Nevertheless, information derived with BOF methods may provide contextual information to guide the classification of sound events.

In contrast to the BOF method and whole spectrum descriptors, the methods we present in this chapter segments the spectrum on the basis of the local spectro-temporal properties. Segments are likely to stem from a single source when they are based on local properties. The robustness and reliability of these segments, called signal components, are improved with grouping principles from auditory scene analysis, such as common onset, common offset and common frequency development (Bregman, 1990; Ellis, 1999). These groups are classified as sound events using a naive Bayes classifier.
Systems that perform environmental sound recognition, with similar preprocessing as proposed in this chapter, are applied commercially in real-life situations (van Hengel and Andringa, 2007). These systems extract one bit of information from their environment, namely: “is there verbal aggression, or not?” The more general problem of environmental sound recognition is more complex, but shares some properties with information retrieval, especially with associative retrieval (Crestani, 1997). For both applications it is desirable to retrieve relevant information that is associated with some information item, such as a user query. In environmental sound recognition, retrieval corresponds to estimating the presence of sources and processes from the signal’s history and its environmental context. Similar to information retrieval, it is not essential to recognize all sound sources (documents). Instead, it is important to determine sufficient information about the environment to extract relevant parts of the signal, that is, being able to answer the question that spawned the search. Because of the similarities between environmental sound recognition and associative information retrieval, we use the same measures of success, such as precision, recall, and the $F$-measure.

The dataset used in this chapter is created to test aggression detection systems. However, the content is fairly rich, since it is recorded on a busy train station. Therefore, it includes problems of real-world environments, such as transmission effects and ambiguous sound events. For example, the sound of a train and a subway are very similar. Based on the sound alone, even human listeners have problems identifying the event correctly, unless they are provided with context (Ballas and Howard, 1987). An automatic system that identifies sound events in real-world situations can benefit from contextual information to recognize events, similar to humans listeners.

To approach this human strategy, we propose a method inspired by cognitive research (Quillian, 1968; McClelland and Rumelhart, 1981). This method constructs a dynamic network that keeps track of both bottom-up signal information and contextual knowledge. By using more information than what can be known from the signal at each point in time, the system is not only more robust to noise, but it can also distinguish between sound events that are similar in acoustic structure but different in meaning (Niessen et al., 2009b). The nodes of the dynamic network represent information about sound events at different levels of complexity. Whenever new signal-driven information becomes available, the information in the network is updated. Subsequently, this information is used to form expectancies of future sound events.

This chapter is divided in five sections. The following section discusses the dataset. Furthermore, we explain the signal-driven processing signal components and machine learning. The third section describes how contextual knowledge is learned and incorporated in the system. Section 4.3 discusses the results of the signal-driven and the combined system, which uses
Table 4.1: The annotated classes and the number of their occurrences in the dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>singing</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>speech</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>train</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subwayDoorSignal</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subway</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kick</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scream</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

knowledge of the context on top of the signal-driven information. Finally, in the fifth section we explain and discuss the results and give suggestions for future work.

4.1 Signal-driven processing

4.1.1 Dataset

The dataset (chapter 6, Zajdel et al., 2007) consists of 40 enacted scenes from 16 different scenarios, which last between 1 and 2 minutes each. The total duration of the recordings is 54 minutes. The scenes were acted by professional actors (three men, one woman) on a platform of the station Amsterdam Amstel. The recordings are distorted by reverberation, because the Amstel station is a glass box. The platform was in normal use by trains on one side and subway trains on the other side. The actors took turns in playing the scenes. For example, the “pickpocket” scenario was played out twice with different actors. All scenarios were played out twice or more. The 16 scenarios were based on stories occurring at stations, such as friends meeting, enthusiastic football supporters and diverse forms of verbal aggression and vandalism. The scenes were recorded by 8 microphones (16 bits, 44.1 kHz sampling rate), of which one was used for this study. This microphone was located about 2 meters from the centre of the action and about two meters from the subway track. Saturation of the microphones was checked not to occur when goods trains passed. The scenes were also captured by three calibrated cameras.

The 40 scenes were annotated by the authors for 7 classes (see table 4.1), based on audio and video. The start and stop times of each event were annotated. For subways and trains, and for some speech, singing and screams, these times were ambiguous, because it is hard to indicate the exact time these events become loud enough to be detectable. The assignment of classes included subjective decisions like whether or not a sound is speech or a scream. These decisions were left to the annotator. Therefore, the annotations are far from perfect (see chapter 5).
4.1. Signal-driven processing

Figure 4.1: The background shows the cochleogram of a few screams and a departing subway train. The black lines indicate signal components, the thick black lines are grouped together to form harmonic complexes, and the white lines indicate their fundamental frequencies. Spurious contributions, due to pattern in noise are inevitable, but they can be discarded if they do not contribute to patterns at higher levels of aggregation.

4.1.2 Signal components

All recordings are processed using the methods from chapter 3. The cochleograms are created using a 100 channel gamma-tone filterbank and using a framesize of 5 ms. The tone-fit and pulse-fit representations are thresholded to create a binary mask. This threshold is set to twice the standard deviation of the $TF$ or $PF$ when applied to white noise. Areas that are too small to be either valid tones or valid pulses are discarded. This pruning, in combination with the mask threshold, limits the number of spurious areas that are caused by broadband signals, while allowing tonal or pulse-like signals. Within the remaining areas the energy maxima of the cochleogram are strung together horizontally to form tonal, or vertically to form pulse-like signal components (see figure 4.1).
Table 4.2: The features extracted from each harmonic complex. Features 1, 2, 3 and 9 are picked by the authors to indicate the strength of the harmonic complex. The other features are selected from van Hengel and Andringa (2007), Zajdel et al. (2007) to discriminate speech, scream, singing and subwayDoorSignal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>length in seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>score from eqn. 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>number of signal components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>mean energy under the signal components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>std deviation of energy under the signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>spectral tilt of the signal components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>mean fundamental frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>standard deviation of fundamental frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>feature 2 divided by feature 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.3 Harmonic complexes

If possible, the tonal signal components are combined into harmonic complexes (HC) by selecting more and more tonal signal components that comply with the properties of a harmonic complex. Harmonic complex formation starts by selecting concurrent signal components that have a harmonic relation. These hypotheses generate new hypotheses at fundamental frequencies in the range between 300 and 1200 Hertz by shifting harmonic positions of the signal component. These hypotheses are extended with more and more signal components. The process ends by selecting the hypotheses that comply best to a well-formed HC by maximizing score $S$:

$$S = n_{sc} + b_{f0} + n_h - \sum_{sc} \text{rms}_{sc} - \sum_{sc} \Delta f_{sc} \quad (4.1)$$

where $n_{sc}$ is the number of signal components in the group, $b_{f0}$ is one or zero depending on the existence of a signal component at the fundamental frequency, $n_h$ is the number of sequential harmonics in the group, $\text{rms}_{sc}$ are the root mean square values of the difference of a signal component and the fundamental frequency after the mean frequency difference is removed, and $\Delta f_{sc}$ is the mean difference between the fundamental frequency and the frequency of the signal component divided by its harmonic number.

For each harmonic complex we calculate nine features, listed in table 4.2. These features will be used in the signal-driven recognition stage.

4.1.4 Broadband events

Evidence for broadband events, such as trains, is determined by an algorithm that searches for slow broadband changes in the signal. These events have to satisfy a combination of criteria. The change in signal must last at least 2 seconds, and 30% of the frequency channels must be more than 6 dB
above the long-term background. The long-term background is calculated per channel as the energy value that is exceeded more than 95% of the time. This level of 95% assumes that each channel is dominated by background noise at least 5% of the time. The criterion is fairly safe and works well in practice, assuming that a temporal scope can be chosen appropriately. We chose a temporal scope that was as long as the whole file (about a minute). The energy must exceed the background by three standard deviations of white noise in that channel.

The events that comply to the aforementioned criteria are described with a feature vector of 20 features. The first 15 features are three properties calculated in five frequency bands. Every frequency band contains 20 channels. The 5 remaining features are the first five cepstral coefficients that describe the spectral envelope. The three properties for the five bands are only computed for the 10% most energetic time-frames per event. The first property is the correlation between points in time separated by half a second. This correlation is typically high for slowly changing events and low for fast changing events, such as speech. The second property is the distance between the frequency band and the average energy, in terms of standard deviations of white noise. This property is level-independent and reflects the energy distribution over the bands. The distribution can be different between subway trains and normal trains. The third property is the average foreground-to-background ratio for each band, which reflects the total energy per band compared to the background. This property might differentiate between nearby and far-away events.

### 4.2 Dynamic network model

The signal-driven processing provides hypotheses based on information in the signal. However, real-world sound recordings, such as in the dataset used in this study (see section 4.1.1), are distorted by transmission effects similar to broadband noise. Furthermore, some sound events can produce similar acoustic signals, but have a different meaning. For example, although speech and screams result in a similar acoustic pattern, they differ in meaning, and require a different response. Distortions due to transmission effects and ambiguous sounds might lead to erroneous hypotheses, because the signal provides too little information to allow a correct inference. Knowledge about the environment and the context of a sound event can be used to improve the classification through predictions. Specifically, past sound events can lead to expectancies of the sound events that will follow. If a signal-driven hypothesis matches an expectancy, it is more likely to be correct. In this section we present a model that creates expectancies of sound events and evaluates the signal-driven hypotheses based on these expectancies. The
Figure 4.2: The background shows the cochleogram of a few screams and a departing subway train. The black lines indicate signal components, the thick black lines are grouped together to form harmonic complexes, and the white lines indicate their fundamental frequencies. Spurious contributions, due to pattern in noise are inevitable, but they can be discarded if they do not contribute to patterns at higher levels of aggregation.
description of the way the model operates is given in more detail in Niessen et al. 2009b).

### 4.2. Knowledge network

The knowledge about the environment is learned in a supervised training phase and stored in a static network, referred to as the knowledge network. This knowledge network is similar to semantic networks used in information retrieval (e.g. Crestani 1997; Maanen et al. 2008). Information retrieval is concerned with retrieving relevant information associated with some information item, such as a user query. Therefore, semantic relations, like similarity, between pieces of information are stored in a semantic network. Nodes in this network represent information items, and the connections between the nodes represent the relations between these pieces of information. In automatic sound recognition, a node could represent a speech event, or a whistle followed by a train arrival. Furthermore, the relation between events are represented by the strength of their connection.

Annotations of sound recordings (see section 4.1.1) are used in the supervised training phase to learn relations between sound events. When two sound events occur within a certain interval, they are combined in a separate node. The relation between the node that represents the sequence of the events and the nodes that represent the individual sound events is calculated according to a term-weighting approach used in automatic document retrieval (Salton and Buckley 1988). In this method the importance of a term (word or phrase) in a document is determined by multiplying its frequency in the document with the inverse frequency it occurs in other documents. Hence, the term is important for a document if it occurs often in that document and infrequently in other documents. Analogously, if a sound event $A$ is encountered often in combination with some sound event $B$, and little with other sound events, it is important in the event sequence $S : A − B$. Accordingly, the strength between the sound event $A$ and the event sequence $S$ is:

$$w_{A,S} = \text{tf} \cdot \log \left( \frac{N}{n} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

where $N$ is the total number of sequences, $n$ is the number of sequences in which $A$ occurs, and the term frequency is given by:

$$\text{tf} = \frac{f_{A,S}}{\sqrt{f_A}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

where $f_{A,S}$ is the number of occurrences of $A$ in $S$, and $f_A$ is the total number of occurrences of $A$ in the training set.

Most sequences represent events that can occur in any order. For example, sound events produced by people, such as singing and speech, will
generally be heard together, but not in a fixed order. However, for some sequences the order can be very indicative. For instance, in the dataset there are trains departing, which are always preceded by a whistle of the conductor. Hence, if a whistle is heard, a strong expectancy of a train departing should arise. To capture the expectancies of fixed sequences, we determine whether the sound events that constitute a sequence have a strong bias to a specific order. For these fixed sequences the mean time difference between the events is used in a function to calculate the expected value of the second event in the sequence. In other words, the first sound event of a fixed sequence primes the network for the second sound event after a learned time interval. In the next subsection we will show how this expected value is computed for both ordered and non-ordered sequences.

4.2.2 Dynamic network of hypotheses

Once the knowledge network is fully trained, it is used in the operation phase to evaluate signal-driven hypotheses of sound events. Each signal-driven hypothesis is initiated as a node in the dynamic network. The dynamic network has three levels of representation. The hypotheses at the first level represent detected structures in the signal, as described in section 4.1. The second level consists of hypotheses of possible sound events that explain the structures. Finally, the third level contains hypotheses of sequences of events, as described in the previous subsection. Figure 4.3 shows an example of a network with two signal-driven hypotheses about structures in the signal, their connections to possible sound events that caused them, and a sequence of which they might be part.

![Diagram of a dynamic network of hypotheses](image)

**Figure 4.3:** An example of a network with two signal-driven hypotheses about structures in the signal. Both hypotheses are connected to two hypotheses of sound events that can explain the structure. Two of these hypotheses are part of an event sequence, increasing the support for the sound events that are part of the sequence.
When a new signal-driven hypothesis is added to the dynamic network, the configuration of the network is updated. First, the hypothesis that represents a structure in the signal is connected to hypotheses of sound events that can explain the structure. The strength of this connection is determined through naive Bayes classification of the structures, as will be described in section 4.3.1. Next, the connections of these sound events to possible event sequences are retrieved from the knowledge network and added to the dynamic network. The connections in the network are only between hypotheses at different levels, as can be seen in Figure 4.3. As a consequence, the dynamics and hierarchy of the network are captured by the hypotheses and their connections.

### 4.2.3 Activation

The activation value of a hypothesis is a weighted sum of its input activation from connected hypotheses. The activation of a signal-driven hypothesis is spread through the network after the configuration is updated. As a result, every hypothesis in the network holds a confidence value after spreading the activation. A description of the details of the spreading activation algorithm can be found in (Niessen et al., 2009b). The activation values of all hypotheses in the network decrease with time when they get no reinforcement from signal-driven evidence.

The activation values of event sequences are used to compute the expected activation of events that are not active yet, and are part of the sequence. For example, in a non-fixed event sequence such as singing and speech, of which speech is already identified, the expected activation of a singing event is calculated by multiplying the activation value of the event sequence with the connection strength between the sequence and the type of event (see equation 4.2). Since the activation value decays with time, the expected value is smaller when the other event of the sequence occurred longer ago.

For fixed event sequences, the expected value will furthermore be dependent on the time when the event is expected:

\[
\hat{A}_i(t) = w_{ij} A_j(t - \Delta t)e^{-\frac{(\Delta t - \bar{T})^2}{2\sigma^2}},
\]

where \(w_{ij}\) is the connection strength between expected sound event \(i\) and event sequence \(j\), \(A_j(t - \Delta t)\) is the previous activation value of event sequence \(j\), \(\Delta t\) is the time span since \(j\) started, and average time span \(\bar{T}\) and standard deviation \(\sigma\) describe the time distribution of the event sequence, as it is learned during the supervised training phase.
Figure 4.4: The upper panel shows the cochleogram of a complete scenario. A darker color corresponds to more energy. In the first 40 seconds there is some speech and singing. At $t = 41$ s a subway horn occurs, which is followed by the noise event of a subway train passing by. Around $t = 55$ s four clear screams occur, followed by a few more muffled ones. At $t = 72$ s a subway train enters the station, again followed by screams. The lower panel shows the annotations and detections for the different classes. The lower, black, lines represent the annotations, the middle, gray, lines the signal-driven detections, and the upper, light-gray, lines the final, expectancy-based results.

4.3 Experiments

To test the system we apply it to the dataset of 40 realistic recordings (see section 4.1.1). In the first experiment only the signal-driven classification is used. In the second experiment these results are used in the expectancy-based dynamic network.

4.3.1 Experimental setup

All 40 audio files were processed with the methods explained in section 4.1 to extract harmonic complexes and their features (see table 4.2). The harmonic complex with the highest score and overlap was selected for each annotation and labeled according to the annotation. Harmonic complexes that do not overlap in time with an annotation were labeled as noise. Harmonic complexes that do overlap with an annotation, but do not have the highest score, are discarded. From these files, 40 pair files were generated, of which 40
files were used for training, all with the instances from one scene left out, and 40 files were used for testing, with instances from the scene that was left out, thus creating a leave-one-scene-out set.

Because of the strong link with information retrieval (see section 4.2.1) we use performance measures from that field, such as precision and recall, to quantify the performance of our system. Precision is a measure for the fraction of time our detections were correct, and recall is a measure for the fraction of detections we should have made are actually made. The F-measure is the harmonic mean of these two, giving a single performance measure. The formula’s are given as:

\[
\text{precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \tag{4.5}
\]

\[
\text{recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{4.6}
\]

\[
F = 2 \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}} \tag{4.7}
\]

where \(TP\) is the true positive rate, \(FP\) is the false positive rate, and \(FN\) is the false negative rate.

For the first experiment a naive Bayes classifier from the Weka toolbox (Witten and Frank, 2005) was trained on the leave-one-scene-out training file and tested on the corresponding testing file. The labeling and classification of the noise regions was performed in the same way as the harmonic complex classification. The results of both classifications were taken together to create a single result set.

Figure 4.5: The results of the signal-driven classification (white bars) and of the expectancy-based results (black bars).
In the second experiment the supervised training of the knowledge network (see section 4.2.1) was performed on the same data as the classifier, that is, the annotations of the leave-one-scene-out training file. Hence, the test set was not used for training. On average 18 different types of sequences were encountered in the training set. These sequences are composed of the 7 classes listed in table 4.1. An average of 89 examples of each sequence was used to train the weights in the knowledge network. The spread of the number of examples per sequence is very large, ranging from 2 to 730. For the testing, the results of the classifier were input for the dynamic network of hypotheses (see section 4.2.2).

4.3.2 Signal-driven results

The white bars of figure 4.5 show the $F$-measure, the precision, and the recall of the signal-driven classification. The overall $F$-measure is 0.37, the overall precision is 0.39, and the overall recall 0.34. The results of one of the scenes are shown in the lower panel of figure 4.4.

Part of the errors arise from alignment errors of the annotations. For example, all detections of the subway trains are longer than the annotations. This problem is hard to solve, because the annotators did not agree when on the moment when a train is first and last detectable. Therefore, the detection cannot agree with both annotators. A partial solution would be to introduce “don’t care” regions around annotations where the algorithm is not punished for incorrect detections.

The major groups of confusion are between trains and subway trains, and between speech, singing, and screams. These confusions may partially be caused by confusion in the annotations. The distinction between a train and a subway train is hard to make based on audio recordings, even for a human annotator. The boundaries between the classes speech, singing and scream are fairly arbitrary, which causes confusion in the annotations.

The $F$-measure on the kick class is small because it is neither a harmonic nor a broadband sound. The features we have used were not suited for describing these pulse-like sounds.

The systems calculations run at about real-time on a modern PC (2 GHz dual-core). However, the current Matlab code is not optimized. Based on similar systems optimized for speed (van Hengel and Andringa, 2007) we estimate that the performance could be around four times real-time on the same machine.

4.3.3 Expectancy-based results

The black bars of figure 4.5 show the performance measures for the classification including the dynamic network. The overall $F$-measure improves to 0.45 (20%), the overall precision to 0.42 (8%) and the overall recall to 0.49.
(44%). The main improvement is in the recall of the classes that have more harmonic content (singing, screams and speech), because events of these classes are more likely to be of the same class as their neighbors. As a consequence, the network may change a speech classification to a scream when surrounded by screams. If this change is correct, both the recall of the scream class and the precision of the speech class increase. However, the increase in precision is moderated by other erroneous changes. As a result, the overall precision does not increase substantially. Due to the ambiguous nature of some of the classes and the acoustic environment a high F-measure is not achieved. So we conclude that the inclusion of the dynamic network leads to a result more consistent with manual annotation.

4.4 Discussion

In the previous section we have demonstrated that the combination of signal-driven algorithms and a dynamic network of hypotheses results in a recognition improvement for most sound event classes compared to an exclusively signal-driven method. Especially the classes that have similar signal structures, and hence rely more on context for their interpretation (screams, speech and singing), are better identified in the combined approach. Classes that are already identified well by the signal-driven algorithm (subway and train) gain little improvement from the dynamic network. Finally, both classes that occur infrequently, and hence have little training examples, and classes that are not yet captured well by the signal features, show a small performance reduction.

We have shown that the use of a dynamic network model improves the overall performance of environmental sound recognition. However, apart from sound event recognition, this model provides more divers ways to analyze a soundscape. More specifically, through hierarchical relations in the network, recognition of sound events can lead to abstract descriptions of the soundscape. This introduces the possibility to describe complex activities in the neighborhood of the microphone with complex and efficient linguistic descriptions (Guastavino 2007).

Furthermore, the input information that is presented to the network is not limited to a specific modality. In Niessen et al. (2009a) we show that the dynamic network model can also be used to improve visual robot localization. Because the model can receive input from different modalities, it can combine multiple modalities in a single system. For example, if input from one modality, such as images, is insufficient, input from other modalities, such as audio or GPS, can help to generate predictions. In future work we plan to integrate information from multiple sources of knowledge to reach more reliable event recognition with richer descriptions.
One of the major problems in the development of environmental sound recognition systems that operate in real-life situations is the lack of large, diverse, and annotated datasets that can be used for training and testing. This is one of the reasons that we tested on a dataset that represented only a single location and a limited amount of events. The main problem of constructing more realistic datasets is the large number of different events that can occur outdoors and the associated time it takes to annotate a representative set. The development of an annotation tool for soundscape research is helpful in this respect.

Another problem in environmental sound recognition is performance evaluation. We have used the measures precision and recall to quantify the performance, since these measures are common in the related task of information retrieval. We calculated these measures in terms of the temporal overlap of annotations and classifications. However, if we were to apply these measures in line with the field they were originally developed for, we should only check whether or not an annotated event was detected. We have chosen for overlap instead of presence, because the combination of the short annotations of speech events in combination with small temporal alignment errors made the attribution difficult. Allowing some flexibility in matching system detections with hand annotations may alleviate this problem. This however requires a more formal justification, before it can be applied.

The current system shows that it is possible to build a recognition system that captures many of the events of a realistic and minimally constrained sonic environment. The background was completely uncontrolled while the foreground consisted of actors who improvised a range of both social and aggressive activities. We have shown that it is beneficial to use the history of identified sound events to form a context in which the current sonic evidence is weighted. This is done by forming a dynamic network that mimics short-term memory dynamics. The interplay of knowledge-driven and signal-driven processing is characteristic for human perception. Since human perception is effectual in a wide range of acoustic environments, we consider this interplay a promising approach for robust automatic sound recognition.