

## University of Groningen

### Curious minds in the classroom

Wetzels, Anna

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2015

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Wetzels, A. (2015). *Curious minds in the classroom: The influence of video feedback coaching for teachers in science and technology lessons*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# **Curious Minds in the Classroom**

The Influence of Video Feedback  
Coaching for Teachers in Science  
and Technology Lessons

Annemie Wetzels

© A.F.M. Wetzels, Groningen, 2015

Lay out: KiWi Ontwerpburo

Printed by: Proefschriftmaken.nl | Uitgeverij BOXPress

ISBN: 978-94-6295-367-3

NUR-code: 773

The research described in this dissertation was funded by the Curious Minds program, which is supported by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW) and the National Platform Science & Technology (Platform Bètatechniek), and co-funded by the Heijmans Institute (University of Groningen).

# **Curious Minds in the Classroom**

The Influence of Video Feedback Coaching for Teachers  
in Science and Technology Lessons

## **Proefschrift**

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de  
Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
op gezag van de  
rector magnificus prof. dr. E. Sterken  
en volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties.

De openbare verdediging zal plaatsvinden op  
donderdag 29 oktober 2015 om 16.15 uur

door

**Anna Francisca Maria Wetzels**

Geboren op 30 oktober 1960  
te Belfeld

Promotor:  
Prof. dr. P.L.C. van Geert

Copromotor:  
Dr. H.W. Steenbeek

Beoordelingscommissie:  
Prof. dr. F. Laevers  
Prof. dr. A.E.M.G. Minnaert  
Prof. dr. J.H. Walma van der Molen

## Contents

	<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>13</b>
	<b>Introduction</b>	
1.1	<i>Background</i>	13
1.2	<i>Research questions</i>	16
1.3	<i>Overview of the chapters</i>	16
	<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>21</b>
	<b>Description of the Design of the Coaching Program, the Training for Coaches, and their Theoretical Background</b>	
2.1	<i>Curious Minds and Science &amp; Technology</i>	21
2.1.1	Society and science & technology	21
2.1.2	Defining children's science and technology talent	22
2.1.3	Assumptions about science and technology talent	25
2.1.4	Stimulating science and technology talent through talent moments	28
2.2	<i>Stimulating Science and Technology Talent in Daily Practice</i>	30
2.2.1	Empirical cycle	31
2.2.2	Questioning	32
2.2.3	Scaffolding	33
2.3	<i>General Principles and Practices of Behavioral Change</i>	34
2.3.1	Focus on content-related knowledge and skills	35
2.3.2	Duration of an intervention	35
2.3.3	Intrinsic motivation for teaching	36
2.3.4	Self-regulation	37
2.3.5	Positive and negative feedback	37
2.3.6	Modeling	38
2.3.7	Reflection	38
2.4	<i>Video Feedback Coaching for Teachers (VFC-T)</i>	39
2.4.1	Description of the VFC-T	40
2.4.2	The training for coaches	42
2.5	<i>Summary of the Chapter</i>	42
	<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>47</b>
	<b>A Complexity Approach to Investigating the Effectiveness of an Intervention for Lower Grade Teachers on Teaching Science</b>	
3.1	<i>Introduction</i>	47

<b>3.2</b>	<i>Explaining the Effectiveness of Interventions Aimed at Teachers' Professional Development</i>	<b>48</b>
3.2.1	Professional development	48
3.2.2	Important aspects of a professional development intervention	48
3.2.2.1	Intervention specific aspects	49
3.2.2.2	Teacher specific aspects	50
3.2.2.3	Context specific aspects	50
3.2.2.4	Aspects regarding implementation	51
3.2.3	Teachers' professional development: a complexity approach	52
<b>3.3</b>	<i>Empirical Illustration: The Design of a Science Teaching Intervention and its Effects, Using a Complexity Approach</i>	<b>56</b>
3.3.1	Theoretical background	56
3.3.2	The content of the intervention	56
3.3.3	The design of the intervention	57
3.3.3.1	Intervention specific aspects	57
3.3.3.2	Teacher specific aspects	58
3.3.3.3	Context specific aspects	59
3.3.3.4	Aspects regarding Implementation	59
<b>3.4</b>	<i>Studying the Effect of the Intervention Using a Complexity Approach</i>	<b>59</b>
3.4.1	Participants	59
3.4.2	Data collection, variables and analyses	60
3.4.3	Results	62
3.4.3.1	Quantitative findings - TSEQ and PLS	62
3.4.3.2	Comparison of the two trajectories regarding the influencing aspects and the intertwining between them	62
<b>3.5</b>	<i>Discussion</i>	<b>65</b>
	<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>71</b>
	<b>The Effect of a Coaching Program on Teachers' Well-being in Science and Technology Lessons in Primary Education</b>	
<b>4.1</b>	<i>Introduction</i>	<b>71</b>
4.1.1	Introducing science and technology in schools and how it potentially threatens teachers' well-being	72
4.1.2	How do children learn science and technology in schools?	73
4.1.3	Intervening for improvement of S&T teaching: the video coaching for teachers (VFC-T)	74
4.1.4	Questions and hypotheses of the present study	75

<b>4.2</b>	<i>Study 1</i>	<b>75</b>
4.2.1	Method	75
4.2.1.1	Participants	75
4.2.1.2	Design	76
4.2.1.3	Data collection and analysis	77
4.2.2	Results	77
4.2.2.1	Benefits of the VFC-T for the teacher	77
4.2.2.2	Benefits of the VFC-T for pupils according to the teachers	78
4.2.3	Discussion	79
<b>4.3</b>	<i>Study 2</i>	<b>80</b>
4.3.1	Method	80
4.3.1.1	Participants	80
4.3.1.2	Design	80
4.3.1.3	Variables	81
4.3.1.4	Data collection	81
4.3.1.5	Data analyses	81
4.3.2	Results	82
4.3.2.1	Teacher's scientific reasoning eliciting questions (TSEQ)	82
4.3.2.2	Supplementary questions	83
4.3.3	Discussion	83
<b>4.4</b>	<i>General Discussion</i>	<b>84</b>
	<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>89</b>
	<b>Primary Science Teaching: Behavior of Teachers and their Pupils during and after a Coaching Program</b>	
<b>5.1</b>	<i>Introduction</i>	<b>89</b>
5.1.1	The importance of science in school	89
5.1.2	Knowledge needed when teaching science	91
5.1.3	Instructional strategies when teaching science	92
5.1.4	Teachers' professional development	94
5.1.5	Current study	95
<b>5.2</b>	<i>Method</i>	<b>96</b>
5.2.1	Participants	96
5.2.2	Design	96
5.2.3	Content of the professional development trajectory for teachers	97
5.2.4	Variables	97
5.2.5	Data collection and data analyses	99



<b>5.3</b>	<i>Results</i>	<b>101</b>
5.3.1	Teacher's scientific reasoning eliciting questions (TSEQ)	101
5.3.2	Pupils' level of scientific reasoning (PLS)	102
5.3.3	Individual teachers' trajectories	103
5.3.3.1	Slopes for the change in scientific reasoning eliciting questions for each teacher	103
5.3.3.2	Slopes for the change in pupils' level of reasoning for each teacher	104
5.3.3.3	Coherence in the patterns of change in individual teachers' use of TSEQ and their pupils' PLS	105
<b>5.4</b>	<i>Conclusion and Discussion</i>	<b>109</b>
5.4.1	Conclusion	109
5.4.2	Limitations and future research	111
	<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>117</b>
	<b>Summary of Findings, Conclusion and Discussion</b>	
<b>6.1</b>	<i>Summary of Findings and Conclusions</i>	<b>117</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<i>Discussion: Issues with regard to Applied Methodological and Research Aspects</i>	<b>120</b>
6.2.1	What science knowledge do teachers need for teaching science and technology in lower grades?	120
6.2.2.	What sample size is appropriate for effectiveness studies?	122
6.2.3	Why is it important to use video recordings in research?	124
6.2.4	Can mathematic simulation models do more justice to complexity thinking?	125
<b>6.3</b>	<i>Discussion: Recommendations for Research, Science Teaching and Teacher Education</i>	<b>127</b>
6.3.1	Recommendations for research	127
6.3.2	Recommendations for science teaching in the lower grades	128
6.3.3	Recommendations for teacher education	129
6.3.4	Current developments in the Netherlands	130
	<b>References</b>	<b>133</b>
	<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>155</b>
	<b>Nederlandse samenvatting</b>	<b>157</b>
	<b>Dankwoord</b>	<b>169</b>
	<b>Publications</b>	<b>173</b>



