



## **University of Groningen**

## New PET technologies

van Sluis, Joyce

DOI:

10.33612/diss.254107383

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

van Sluis, J. (2022). *New PET technologies: performance, image quality, and clinical implications*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.254107383

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 10-07-2025

# Chapter 11

Future perspectives and concluding remarks



Since the 1970's, when the first PET systems were built, there has been a significant evolution in PET system technology. Progress in development of detector technology from photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) to silicon-based photomultiplier (SiPM) detector elements has led to the development of digital PET/CT scanners. Performance, image quality, and implications for clinical practice of this major step in PET technology development have been described in Chapters 2-6 in this thesis. The latest improvement in PET system technology is the development of long axial fieldof-view (LAFOV), or so-called total body, PET/CT systems resulting in a larger simultaneous coverage of the human body and a greatly increased sensitivity (a factor of 10 to 40 depending on the length of the scanner) compared with conventional PET scanners (1,2), illustrated in Chapter 7 with improved image quality and increased signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in <sup>89</sup>Zr ImmunoPET imaging. Chapter 8 continues with LAFOV PET imaging and touches upon the first benefits in terms of reduced scan duration or injected radiotracer activity, or both, when using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG for oncological applications. Moreover, the large coverage of an LAFOV PET system enables simultaneous dynamic imaging of all internal organs and (possible) tumor lesions (3,4). The current chapter will more elaborately focus on future possibilities using LAFOV PET for clinical and research applications. In addition, possible future hardware developments in PET detectors, new photon detection technologies, and the associated expected benefits in both research and clinical settings will be described.

# PET imaging optimization

The ability to determine the position of single annihilation events along the line of response (LOR) with precise timing information (time-of-flight (ToF)) is the strength of state of the art PET systems. With the introduction of digital PET technology, ToF improved to 210-400 picoseconds (ps) thereby providing images with improved quality (5). Moreover, on analog systems the sensitivity was one of the limiting factors in both temporal and spatial image resolution; long acquisition times were applied and image filtering was often used to reduce image noise. The improved sensitivity in state of the art PET systems results in a substantial increase in counts, i.e., detected annihilation photons, which results in improved statistics and thus substantially increased SNR as well (4).

Increased sensitivity of LAFOV PET systems now results in images with low noise and improved underlying anatomic details not easily appreciated on conventional PET systems, including activities related to brain substructures (e.g., basal ganglia subregions (6)) and vessel walls. This improvement in image quality may have direct effect on clinical applications resulting in a better understanding of disease burden and residual disease evaluation (3). Higher system sensitivity and improved ToF mean that diagnostic image quality can be obtained at shorter scan durations or with

less injected activity than is typically used in current clinical practice (3,7).

### Imaging with lower radiation exposure

A significant reduction in injected activity results in a proportional reduction in radiation exposure, which enables new applications for <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET. For example, it may become feasible to screen high-risk populations for early detection of malignancies in subjects who have no symptoms (yet), e.g., based on abnormally altered blood biomarkers and/or genetic risk factors.

In oncology, many patients undergo repeat imaging, especially when evaluating treatment response. More frequent response monitoring assessments, and consequently the possibility to swiftly switch to another, more effective treatment is possible with reduction in administered radioactive dose (2,4). In the case of monoclonal antibody (mAb) treatment, lowering the administered radioactive <sup>89</sup>Zr dose allows for repeat immunoPET scans.

At present, immunoPET is used almost exclusively in a research setting concerning oncological patients with a relatively shorter life expectancy. High mean effective doses are obtained using <sup>89</sup>Zr-labeled mAbs ranging from 0.36-0.66 mSv/MBq (8). Administering a standard 37 MBq of <sup>89</sup>Zr activity results in a radiation exposure of up to 25 mSv. Lowering the amount of administered radioactive <sup>89</sup>Zr activity could reduce radiation exposure to below 10 mSv which opens up the possibility to use labeled mAbs for other indications, for example, younger patients with inflammatory diseases, first in a research setting, in the future maybe also in a routine clinical setting.

In addition, lower radiation exposure facilitates PET imaging in children, who are considerably more sensitive to the carcinogenic effects of ionizing radiation than adults (9). Pediatric patients, often diagnosed with malignant diseases such as lymphoma, require frequent repeat imaging during treatment (10). What's more is the potential of LAFOV PET to facilitate and improve efficacy of drug development, a process that is known to be cumbersome and expensive (11). A major limitation here, often limiting the number of scans performed during a trial, is the maximum permissible radiation dose (12). LAFOV enables imaging with lower doses, consequently there are less restrictions in the number of scans which provides more flexibility to look at different biological processes with a combination of different tracers (2,4). Such a substantial reduction in radiation exposure even opens up inclusion of healthy volunteers (undergoing repeat PET scans) in clinical drug development trials.

For example, in the cases described above, radiation exposure with serial PET imaging using a LAFOV system can be reduced to such an extent, that CT will be the dose limiting factor. (Serial) low dose CT scans merely used for the purpose of PET attenuation and scatter correction, do not provide additional clinical information.



Hence, more emphasis is placed on developing reconstruction algorithms that do not require a CT for attenuation correction, such as maximum likelihood reconstruction of attenuation and activity (MLAA) (13,14). CT-based PET attenuation correction is the current clinical standard where the attenuation of x-rays transmitted through the patient directly relates to electron density; CT Hounsfield Units undergo (bi-) linear transformation to PET linear attenuation coefficient values resulting in an attenuation map, or  $\mu$ -map (15). Image quality and quantitative accuracy rely heavily on correction of absorption and scatter caused by photon-tissue interaction of the detected photons; varying electron density and tissue thickness influence photon attenuation which, in some regions, can result in a 90% signal reduction (15,16). MLAA methods for attenuation correction rely primarily on emission data to estimate attenuation information through iterative joint estimation based on maximum likelihood and are promising reconstruction approaches to enhance quantitative accuracy of CT-free PET studies (15,17,18). Other possibilities for ultralow-dose CT acquisition are for example the application of a tin filter (19,20) or a split-filter consisting of a gold and a tin part (21) achieving radiation doses as low as 0.06 mSv for a thoracic CT scan (22). However, the suitability of these filtered CT for accurate PET attenuation correction has not been demonstrated yet.

Low-dose imaging may also enable dual isotope imaging or multiple studies (with different radioactive tracers) in a single patient examination. For example, dual isotope imaging to study two physiological functions with two radioactive tracers simultaneously in a single acquisition, such as simultaneous imaging of tumor hypoxia and metabolism using <sup>60</sup>Cu-diacetyl-di(N<sup>4</sup>-methylthiosemicarbazone) coinjected with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG (23). Simultaneous study during one acquisition, instead of two separate sessions, will be more comfortable for the patient, will reduce radiation exposure to the patient by requiring only one CT, will reduce the cost, and will avoid inaccuracy due to possible metabolic changes between two separate acquisitions (23).

## Faster static PET imaging procedures

Reprocessing of acquired listmode data has shown that diagnostic image quality scans can be obtained using 1-2 min scan duration, or less (1,6,7). Fast PET imaging allows a higher patient throughput, limited by patient positioning time, rather than scan duration. Consequently, more patients could be scanned within a certain time window so that these patients can be studied with the same tracer production batch leading to a reduction in overall costs (please note, in case of faster examination times without lowering the amount of injected activity, a larger tracer production batch is required). Furthermore, a significant reduction in scan duration may make it possible to scan patients who are unable to lay still for a long time, children

(without anesthesia) or elderly patients, and patients, e.g., with severe back pain or claustrophobic patients (4). In the case of Intensive Care Unit patients, in which PET/CT imaging is currently not often performed due to logistical issues and the need for continuous monitoring in unstable patients (24), an ultra-fast scan protocol may be beneficial adding to the anatomical CT in a one-stop shop metabolic information, for example on possible sources and locations of frequently occurring infections.

Other applications of faster PET acquisition procedures can be for example indeterminate pulmonary nodule quantification of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake to distinguish benign (i.e., inflammatory processes) from malignant disease. Particularly imaging and quantification of small nodules (<1 cm) (25) at the lung bases is erroneous due to partial volume effects and respiratory motion artifacts (3). Breath-hold <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET, acquiring images in 15-30 seconds, may be achieved with a LAFOV scanner which can mitigate these issues (26) and obviate the necessity to apply sophisticated motion-correction algorithms (27).

## **Delayed imaging**

Equivalent to imaging with lesser injected radioactive tracer, the increased sensitivity of a LAFOV scanner could be used for delayed imaging, with acquisition times post injection (p.i.) far beyond the possibilities of conventional PET systems; for  $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ , e.g., 2-18 hours (10 half-lives) (6,7). This prolonged uptake time ensures increased trapping of the tracer via the hexokinase enzyme in metabolically active tissues. Tumor contrast increases over time and nearly full washout of free (i.e., non-metabolized)  $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$  (background) occurs, resulting in a higher lesion-to-background ratio; the signal's specificity increases. Delayed imaging is particularly promising in detecting metastases in tissues with high physiological uptake such as the liver which decreases over time (28). The acquired standardized uptake value (SUV) image at 2-18 hours p.i. could resemble the parametric image of  $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$  influx rate ( $K_i$ ) using simple and easy static imaging and reconstruction without the need for time consuming whole body dynamic image acquisition, reconstruction, and analysis. However, this needs to be explored in future studies.

A prolonged uptake time with long-lived radionuclides, e.g., <sup>89</sup>Zr immunoPET imaging, e.g., beyond 7 days, is expected to similarly result in an improved lesion-to-background ratio. Furthermore, combining delayed imaging with novel radioactive agents, including new <sup>89</sup>Zr immunotracers, allows extended study of *in vivo* biology (29).

# **Opportunities in dynamic imaging**

The aforementioned semiquantitative SUV of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG, derived from static images obtained at 60 minutes p.i., is most commonly used as a surrogate of metabolic



activity for tumor uptake quantification (30). Following standardization methods can mitigate SUV variability to a great extent (30-32), however, cannot account for changes in plasma kinetics and cannot distinguish between specific and nonspecific uptake. This may lead to a dissociation between inaccurate SUV measurements and actual tumor metabolic activity (33-35). Conversely, dynamic PET imaging is able to include this information as it allows spatiotemporal activity concentration measurement, providing voxel-wise metabolic information after applying full kinetic- or Patlak analyses (36-38). **Chapters 9 and 10** in this thesis described a method to reduce the total examination time of dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDG whole body (Patlak) imaging from up to 75 min to 30-60 min p.i. and 40-60 min p.i., respectively, using a population-averaged input function, making full kinetic- or Patlak analyses more suitable for application in the clinic. The otherwise occupied camera time can, in the case of shortened whole body Patlak imaging, be used for another or maybe two routine clinical static PET examinations.

## Non-invasive full quantitative imaging

The higher sensitivity and larger axial coverage of the body allow LAFOV PET to capture time-activity in multiple organs and lesions relatively noise free, which enhances our ability to study the pharmacokinetic behavior of radiotracers. Using conventional PET systems, an image derived input function (IDIF) can only be obtained for studies where the heart or a large blood pool structure is in the (restricted) axial field-of-view (FOV). As LAFOV PET imaging captures the heart together with all other main organs of interest, it ensures the FOV always contains a large vascular structure for an IDIF. Moreover, dynamic total body scans make it possible to derive quantitative biological information for multiple lesions within a single FOV. This is important in those cases where interlesional heterogeneity exists and where static images are non-informative or misleading, such as was seen for <sup>11</sup>C-erlotinib (39). Given known associations of tumoral heterogeneity and resistance to targeted therapy, capturing all lesions simultaneously and dynamically is important for response monitoring (40), as overall response depends on the response of the least responsive lesion (4).

For other tracers than, for example <sup>18</sup>F-FDG, that are not 'metabolically trapped' inside the cell and undergo metabolism, kinetic modeling requires a more complex approach than Patlak analyses taking into account reversible kinetics and/or tracer metabolism. Studying the dynamic tracer uptake in the liver may provide a means to non-invasively estimate tracer metabolism and derive a metabolite corrected plasma input function, although this is yet to be explored.

#### **Human connectome**

Unique about a LAFOV system is capturing all relevant organs in one FOV with enhanced pharmacokinetic modeling possibilities which provides a unique means to quantitatively and non-invasively study the physiological or pathophysiological interactions between organs, the human connectome, including brain-body interactions (3).

There is increasing evidence that many diseases, traditionally thought to be limited to a single organ, are involved in complex interplays with other organs or organ systems (41). For example, the brain-gut axis: bacteria in the gut might be linked to a whole family of neurological disorders (41-44). Furthermore, more evidence shows gut-lung crosstalk and herewith the influence of the gut microbiome and gastrointestinal disorders on chronic inflammatory reactions in the airways (45) and treatment response in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (46). Also, the importance of the gut-lung axis in managing Covid-19 diseases has been described, e.g. targeting gut microbiota can avoid progression of Covid-19 (47-50). Here, labeling of immune cells of the gut to follow interactions with distant organ systems could be captured with LAFOV.

It has also become apparent that cardiovascular function, neurochemical asymmetries and depression are interconnected (51). Furthermore, recently, a tight inflammatory interaction has been revealed between the myocardium and the kidneys as secondary affected organs following acute myocardial infarction (52), i.e., the heart-kidney axis. Moreover, the so-called brain-heart axis is for example implicated in cardiovascular complications after acute ischemic stroke known as the stroke-heart syndrome (53).

# **Future PET developments**

Apart from improving detector efficiency and increasing the geometrical acceptance through extension of the axial length of the PET system, there is another way to increase photon detection sensitivity: pushing ToF performance. Improving ToF performance can be combined with enhancement of detector efficiency and extending the axial FOV to further increase PET effective sensitivity (54). Improving ToF PET from the current 200 ps to 10 ps would ultimately allow reconstruction-free imaging. i.e., PET imaging without the need for reconstruction because of the improved spatial resolution along the LOR of less than 1.5 mm (54). The benefits and opportunities of improved sensitivity using LAFOV PET as described above in this chapter would be even more extensive in combination with 10 ps ToF. Cherenkov light detection, a phenomenon described later in this chapter, could also play a role in pushing ToF performance.

Another application of interest could be translating the use of 10 ps ToF PET in the design of LAFOV PET systems, without increasing the cost, using PET sparse-



ring detector configurations (54-56). Previous research demonstrated feasibility of reducing the number of detectors by 50% without compromising image quality compared to a standard conventional FOV PET system (57). With the expected improved spatial resolution along the LOR, the same number of detectors used in conventional PET systems could be used for larger axial coverage (1 - 2 m). The main advantages of such an extended coverage include simultaneous dynamic imaging of all internal organs and (possible) tumor lesions, as described above, however without the relative high cost associated with an LAFOV PET system, at present (54,57).

Furthermore, one focus of PET development is detector technology. Current detector technology incorporates pixelated scintillation crystals which form the dominant factor determining system spatial resolution. Instead of pixelated scintillating crystals, monolithic ones, consisting of a continuous scintillation crystal coupled to an array of SiPMs, which can provide depth-of-interaction (DOI) information, could overcome this limitation in spatial resolution, improve system resolution also at off-center positions using DOI measurement, and maintain high sensitivity (58). Inside the crystal, the incoming scintillation light spreads and the light distribution can be sampled by the SiPM array. From the shape of the light distribution, the 2D position and DOI can be derived. This is especially relevant for LAFOV PET systems, where DOI is of interest to correct for parallax effects and degradation of spatial resolution in the axial direction (58). However, where pixelated crystals are one-to-one coupled to a single photosensor element with no involvement of neighbor photosensors allowing the collection of high amounts of photons in a short time frame, the wide light spread in the monolithic block activating multiple photosensors prohibits the collection of a high number of photons per photosensor element in a short time, which is essential for a good ToF performance (59). For digital SiPM arrays introduced by Philips Digital Photon Counting, the use of multiple time stamps for each scintillation event can overcome this issue and sub-200 ps timing resolution can be achieved (60). For analog SiPM arrays, multichannel application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC)-based readout using the TOFPET2 ASIC (PETsys, Lisbon, Portugal) comes into play (61). This ASIC was designed to operate in different PET light collection schemes such as one-to-one coupling or light-sharing among several SiPM pixels. This is ongoing research and timing resolution for a thick monolithic scintillation block is now down to 580 ps (62).

Continuing on this concept of a continuous scintillation medium, the PETALO (Positron Electron ToF Apparatus using Liquid xenOn) is a prototype PET scanner which uses liquid xenon as the active scintillating material, coupled to UV-sensitive vacuum ultraviolet (VUV)-SiPMs (63), incorporating the TOFPET2 ASIC general

architecture for scintillation readout (61). A promising development in PET detector technology which could play an important role in reducing costs for LAFOV PET systems (64) with liquid xenon costs of around 3 \$/cc (65) compared to 22-30 \$/cc for lutetium oxyorthosilicate (LSO)/lutetium-yttrium oxyorthosilicate (LYSO) crystals (66). As liquid xenon is a continuous medium with uniform response which can be incorporated in a single compact, full-body, and highly efficient detector, the localization of annihilation events is expected to be improved resulting in improved image quality. Monte Carlo characterization shows that this setup can currently achieve a timing resolution of approximately 350 ps and a spatial resolution of 1-2 mm at FWHM (64).

Another development providing a cost-effective solution for LAFOV PET systems is the plastic scintillator alternative for LSO crystals (67). Axially arranged scintillators reduce the costs of readout electronics and SiPMs as well (67). A plastic-based LAFOV PET system is expected to cost about five times less than the crystal-based LAFOV PET system (68). With respect to conventional FOV LSO systems, the plastic-based LAFOV PET system with 200 cm axial coverage results in a 27-fold gain in sensitivity as compared to 46-fold for the 200 cm crystal-based LAFOV PET (68); thus a slight compromise on sensitivity with the benefit of LAFOV opportunities at reduced cost. Furthermore, where in current PET imaging procedures, prompt gammas are generally a source of unwanted background, since the plastic-based PET is not restricted to standard double annihilation photon coincidences, it allows capturing events originating from various isotopes simultaneously (one with a prompt gamma and one without a prompt gamma); i.e., information can be obtained from two tracers (one with a prompt gamma and one without) simultaneously during a single PET examination (68,69).

Moreover, positronium imaging incorporates a detection alternative which is a promising new imaging approach to assess tissue pathology *in vivo* (68). Detection in conventional PET is based on the annihilation of a positron with one of the electrons in the surrounding tissue. The emitted positron can also form the metastable state of an electron and positron called a positronium (70), which may be trapped inside free volumes between and within molecules *in vivo*. Imaging the properties of positronium such as the average lifetime, which depends on the size of free volumes between atoms, correlates with the stage of the development of metabolic disorders and may provide new diagnostic information (70,71). Approximately 40% of annihilations proceed via formation of positronium, whereof 0.5% decay in three photons. Even though the rate of three photon producing annihilations is low, imaging may be feasible with highly sensitive (plastic-based) LAFOV PET systems (70).

Alternatively, Cherenkov light detection is another approach which has been under



development for over a decade (72,73). This phenomenon can be exploited and re-introduce bismuth germanate oxide (BGO) scintillators, towards a more costeffective ToF-PET detector alternative to LSO/LYSO (4-5-fold lower scintillation material cost) (74). Extraction of improved timing information from the relatively few emitted Cherenkov photons is now feasible because of recent developments in near-ultraviolet high-density (NUV-HD) SiPM technology (75,76). Cherenkov light is produced when an electron travels with enough kinetic energy through scintillating material, faster than the speed of light. When detected, this promptly emitted form of light can be used to estimate 511 keV photon interaction time with improved accuracy than achievable with the overall luminescence yield, hence improving timing resolution (74); the fast rise of the Cherenkov signal can be distinguished from the slower overall luminescence yield with rise time correction signal processing methods. BGO is favored over LSO because of its higher refractive index, producing more Cherenkov light. In addition, BGO allows better transmission of UV light. The study by Gonzalez-Montoro et al. (2022) demonstrates ToF performance values of  $163 \pm 8$ ,  $224 \pm 8$ ,  $266 \pm 9$ , and  $428 \pm 8$  ps for 3 x 3 x 3 mm<sup>3</sup>, 3 x 3 x 5 mm<sup>3</sup>, 3 x 3 x 10 mm<sup>3</sup>, and 3 x 3 x 15 mm<sup>3</sup> BGO crystals, respectively, which is equivalent to the ToF performance of state-of-the art LSO PET/CT systems (77,78).

Because of these upcoming developments, many new designs and applications for LAFOV systems will be introduced. Some developments may result in more affordable LAFOV PET system designs and potentially support a more widespread use.

# **Concluding remarks**

LAFOV PET is a new player in the nuclear medicine and molecular imaging field with many clinical workflows to optimize for patient care and new clinical and (advanced) research possibilities to explore. Future technological developments to optimize PET image quality, new detection approaches involving the formation of positronium and the detection of Cherenkov light, and alternative detector materials to make LAFOV PET systems more affordable have been described in this chapter. But first and foremost, this chapter aimed to provide an overview of the opportunities and hypotheses of what LAFOV PET imaging could bring to the field, and to all other medical fields including various patient populations and conditions for which (low dose) PET/CT imaging could be a gamechanger.

## References

- Alberts I, Hünermund J, Prenosil G, Mingels C, Bohn KP, Viscione M, et al. Clinical performance of long axial field of view PET / CT: a head-to-head intra-individual comparison of the Biograph Vision Quadra with the Biograph Vision PET / CT. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48:2395-404.
- 2. Nadig V, Herrmann K, Mottaghy FM, Schulz V. Hybrid total-body pet scanners current status and future perspectives. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2022;49:445-59.
- 3. Surti S, Pantel AR, Karp JS. Total Body PET: Why, How, What for? IEEE Trans Radiat Plasma Med Sci. 2021;4:283-92.
- Slart RHJA, Tsoumpas C, Glaudemans AWJM, Noordzij W, Willemsen ATM, Borra RJH, et al. Long axial field of view PET scanners: a road map to implementation and new possibilities. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48:4236-45.
- Surti S, Viswanath V, Daube-Witherspoon ME, Conti M, Casey ME, Karp JS. Benefit of improved performance with state-of-the art digital PET/CT for lesion detection in oncology. J Nucl Med. 2020;61:1684-90.
- 6. Pantel AR, Viswanath V, Daube-witherspoon ME, Dubroff JG, Muehllehner G, Parma MJ, et al. PennPET Explorer: Human imaging on a whole-Body imager. J Nucl Med. 2020;61:144-51.
- 7. Badawi RD, Shi H, Hu P, Chen S, Xu T, Price PM, et al. First human imaging studies with the explorer total-body PET scanner. J Nucl Med. 2019;60:299-303.
- 8. Merkx RIJ, Lobeek D, Konijnenberg M, Jiménez-Franco LD, Kluge A, Oosterwijk E, et al. Phase I study to assess safety, biodistribution and radiation dosimetry for <sup>89</sup>Zr-girentuximab in patients with renal cell carcinoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48:3277-85.
- 9. Kleinerman RA. Cancer risks following diagnostic and therapeutic radiation exposure in children. Pediatr Radiol. 2006;36:121-5.
- 10. Spijkers S. Imaging features of extranodal involvement in paediatric Hodgkin lymphoma. Pediatr Radiol. 2019;49:266-76.
- 11. Matthews PM, Rabiner EA, Passchier J, Gunn RN. Positron emission tomography molecular imaging for drug development. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2011;73:175-86.
- 12. Publication of the Netherlands Commission on Radiation Dosimetry (Nederlandse Commissie Voor Stralingsdosimetrie NCS). Human exposure to ionising radiation for clinical and research purposes: radiation dose & risk estimates. 2016.
- 13. Li Y, Matej S, Karp JS. Practical joint reconstruction of activity and attenuation with autonomous scaling for time-of-flight PET. 2021;65:235037.
- 14. Teimoorisichani M, Panin V, Rothfuss H, Sari H, Rominger A, Conti M. A CT-less approach to quantitative PET imaging using the LSO intrinsic radiation for long-axial FOV PET scanners. Med Phys. 2021;49:309-23.
- 15. Chen Y, An H. Attenuation correction of PET/MR imaging. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 2017;25:245-55.
- 16. Zaidi H, Hasegawa B. Determination of the attenuation map in emission tomography. J Nucl Med.



- 2003;44:291-315.
- 17. Boellaard R, Hofman MBM, Hoekstra OS, Lammertsma AA. Accurate PET/MR quantification using time of flight MLAA image reconstruction. Mol Imaging Biol. 2014;16:469-77.
- 18. Rezaei A, Defrise M, Bal G, Michel C, Conti M, Watson C, et al. Simultaneous reconstruction of activity and attenuation in time-of-flight PET. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2012;31:2224-33.
- 19. Greffier J, Pereira F, Hamard A, Addala T, Beregi JP, Frandon J. Effect of tin filter-based spectral shaping CT on image quality and radiation dose for routine use on ultralow-dose CT protocols: a phantom study. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2020;101:373-81.
- 20. Prieto E, Garcia-Velloso MJ, Damaso JA, Rosales JJ, Bastidas JF, Soriano I, et al. Ultra-low dose whole-body CT for attenuation correction in a dual tracer PET/CT protocol for multiple myeloma. Phys Medica. 2021;84:1-9.
- 21. Petritsch B, Pannenbecker P, Weng AM, Grunz J, Veldhoen S, Bley TA, et al. Split-filter dual-energy CT pulmonary angiography for the diagnosis of acute pulmonary embolism: a study on image quality and radiation dose. Quant Imaging Med Surg. 2021;11:1817-27.
- 22. Gordic S, Morsbach F, Schmidt B, Allmendinger T, Flohr T, Husarik D, et al. Ultralow-dose chest computed tomography for pulmonary nodule detection: first performance evaluation of single energy scanning with spectral shaping. Radiology. 2014;49:465-73.
- Walrand S, Hesse M, Jamar F. Nuclear medicine: Physics and instrumentation special feature review article update on novel trends in PET/CT technology and its clinical applications. Br J Radiol. 2018;89:20160534.
- 24. Pijl JP, Londema M, Kwee TC, Nijsten MWN, Slart RHJA, Dierckx RAJO, et al. FDG-PET/CT in intensive care patients with bloodstream infection. Crit Care. 2021;25:1-12.
- 25. Maiga AW, Deppen SA, Mercaldo SF, Blume JD, Montgomery C, Vaszar LT, et al. Assessment of fluorodeoxyglucose F18-Labeled positron emission tomography for diagnosis of high-Risk lung nodules. JAMA Surg. 2018;153:329-34.
- 26. Torizuka T, Tanizaki Y, Kanno T, Futatsubashi M, Yoshikawa E, Okada H. Single 20-second acquisition of clinical feasibility for lung cancer. J Nucl Med. 2009;50:1579-84.
- 27. Lu Y, Fontaine K, Mulnix T, Onofrey JA, Ren S, Panin V, et al. Respiratory motion compensation for PET/CT with motion gated PET data. J Nucl Med. 2018;59:1480-6.
- 28. Lee JW, Kim S, Lee SM, Moon SH, Kim T. Detection of hepatic metastases using dual-time-point FDG PET/CT xcans in patients with colorectal cancer. Mol Imaging Biol. 2011;13:565-72.
- 29. Berg E, Gill H, Marik J, Ogasawara A, Williams S, van Dongen G, et al. Total-body PET and highly stable chelators together enable meaningful <sup>89</sup>Zr-antibody PET studies up to 30 days after injection. J Nucl Med. 2020;61:453-60.
- 30. Boellaard R, Delgado-Bolton R, Oyen WJG, Giammarile F, Tatsch K, Eschner W, et al. FDG PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour imaging: version 2.0. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2014;42:328-54.
- 31. Weber WA. Use of PET for monitoring cancer therapy and for predicting outcome. J Nucl Med. 2005;46:983-95.
- 32. Shankar LK, Hoffman JM, Bacharach S, Graham MM, Karp J, Lammertsma AA, et al. Consensus

- recommendations for the use of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET as an indicator of therapeutic response in patients in National Cancer Institute Trials. J Nucl Med. 2006;47:1059-66.
- 33. Freedman NMT, Sundaram SK, Kurdziel K, Carrasquillo JA, Whatley M, Carson JM, et al. Comparison of SUV and Patlak slope for monitoring of cancer therapy using serial PET scans. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003;30:46-53.
- 34. Huang SC. Anatomy of SUV. Nucl Med Biol. 2000;27:643-6.
- 35. Lammertsma AA, Hoekstra CJ, Giaccone G, Hoekstra OS. How should we analyse FDG PET studies for monitoring tumour response? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2006;33:S16-21.
- 36. Karakatsanis NA, Lodge MA, Tahari AK, Zhou Y, Wahl RL, Rahmim A. Dynamic whole body PET parametric imaging: I. Concept, acquisition protocol optimization and clinical application Nicolas. Phys Med Biol. 2014;49:1012-6.
- 37. Karakatsanis NA, Zhou Y, Lodge MA, Casey ME, Wahl RL, Zaidi H, et al. Generalized whole-body patlak parametric imaging for enhanced quantification in clinical PET. Phys Med Biol. 2015;60:8643-73.
- 38. Patlak CS, Blasberg RG. Graphical evaluation of blood-to-brain transfer constants from multiple-time uptake data. Generalizations. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 1985;5:584-90.
- 39. Yaqub M, Bahce I, Voorhoeve C, Schuit RC, Windhorst AD, Hoekstra OS, et al. Quantitative and simplified analysis of <sup>11</sup>C-erlotinib studies. J Nucl Med. 2016;57:861-6.
- 40. Dagogo-jack I, Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. Nat Publ Gr. 2017;15:81-94.
- 41. Borghammer P, van den Berge N. Brain-first versus gut-first Parkinson's disease: a hypothesis. J Parkinsons Dis. 2019;9:S281-95.
- 42. Willyard BC. How gut bacteria alter the brain. Nature. 2006;590:22-5.
- 43. Zhu S, Jiang Y, Xu K, Cui M, Ye W, Zhao G, et al. The progress of gut microbiome research related to brain disorders. J Neuroinflammation. 2020;17:1-20.
- 44. Klingelhoefer L, Reichmann H. Pathogenesis of Parkinson disease the gut brain axis and environmental factors. Nat Publ Gr. 2015;11:625-36.
- 45. Wang H, Liu JS, Peng SH, Deng XY, Zhu DM, Javidiparsijani S, et al. Gut-lung crosstalk in pulmonary involvement with inflammatory bowel diseases. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:6794-804.
- 46. Cvetkovic L, Régis C, Richard C, Derosa L, Leblond A, Malo J, et al. Physiologic colonic uptake of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG on PET/CT is associated with clinical response and gut microbiome composition in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48:1550-9.
- 47. d'Ettorre G, Ceccarelli G, Marazzato M, Campagna G, Pinacchio C, Alessandri F, et al. Challenges in the management of SARS-CoV2 Infection: the role of oral bacteriotherapy as complementary therapeutic strategy to avoid the progression of COVID-19. Front Med. 2020;7:1-7.
- 48. Di Renzo L, Gualtieri P, Pivari F, Soldati L, Attinà A, Leggeri C, et al. COVID-19: is there a role for immunonutrition in obese patient? J Transl Med. 2020;18:1-22.
- 49. Crawford MS, Nordgren TM, McCole DF. Every breath you take: impacts of environmental



- dust exposure on intestinal barrier function-from the gut-lung axis to COVID-19. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2021;320:G586-600.
- 50. Dang AT, Marsland BJ. Microbes, metabolites, and the gut-lung axis. Mucosal Immunol. 2019;12:843-50.
- 51. Segarra AB, Prieto I, Ramírez-Sánchez M. Is there a link between depression, neurochemical asymmetry and cardiovascular function? AIMS Neurosci. 2020;7:360-72.
- 52. Werner RA, Hess A, Koenig T, Diekmann J, Derlin T, Melk A, et al. Theranostics Molecular imaging of inflammation crosstalk along the cardio-renal axis following acute myocardial infarction. Theranostics. 2021;11:7984-94.
- 53. Battaglini D, Robba C, Lopes A, Samary S, Silva PL, Pizzol FD, et al. Brain-heart interaction after acute ischemic stroke. Crit Care. 2020;24:1-12.
- 54. Lecoq P, Morel C, Prior JO, Visvikis D, Gundacker S, Auffray E, et al. Roadmap toward the 10 ps time-of-flight PET challenge. Phys Med Biol. 2021;65:1-94.
- Daube-witherspoon, Margaret E Viswanath V, Werner ME, Karp JS. Performance characteristics of long axial field-of-view scnners with axial gaps. IEEE Trans Radiat Plasma Med Sci. 2022;5:322-30.
- 56. Conti M. Why is TOF PET reconstruction a more robust method in the presence of inconsistent data? Phys Med Biol. 2011;56:155-68.
- 57. Zhang J, Knopp MI, Knopp M V. Sparse Detector Configuration in SiPM Digital Photon Counting PET: a Feasibility Study. Mol Imaging Biol. 2019;21:447-53.
- 58. Stockhoff M, Decuyper M, Van Holen R, Vandenberghe S. High-resolution monolithic LYSO detector with 6-layer depth-ofinteraction for clinical PET. Phys Med Biol. 2021;66: 155014.
- 59. Lamprou E, Gonzalez AJ, Sanchez F, Benlloch JM. Exploring TOF capabilities of PET detector blocks based on large monolithic crystals and analog SiPMs. Phys Medica. 2020;70:10-8.
- Van Dam HT, Borghi G, Seifert S, Schaart DR. Sub-200 ps CRT in monolithic scintillator PET detectors using digital SiPM arrays and maximum likelihood interaction time estimation. Phys Med Biol. 2013;58:3243-57.
- 61. Di Francesco A, Bugalho R, Oliveira L, Pacher L, Rivetti A, Rolo M, et al. TOFPET2: a high-performance ASIC for time and amplitude measurements of SiPM signals in time-of-flight applications. J Instrum. 2016;11:C03042.
- 62. Lamprou E, Gonzalez-Montoro A, Canizares G, Ilisie V, Barrio J, Sanchez F, et al. Characterization of TOF-PET detectors based on monolithic blocks and ASIC-readout. arXiv:1806.08715. 2018;3-6.
- 63. Jamil A, Ziegler T, Hufschmidt P, Li G, Michel T, Ostrovskiy I, et al. VUV-sensitive silicon photomultipliers for xenon scintillation light detection in nEXO. IEEE Trans Nucl Sci. 2018;65:2823-33.
- 64. Renner J, Romo-Luque C, Aliaga RJ, Álvarez V, Ballester F, Benlloch-Rodríguez JM, et al. Monte Carlo characterization of PETALO, a full-body liquid xenon-based PET detector. J Instrum. 2022;17:P05044.
- 65. Gomez-Cadenas JJ, Benlloch-Rodríguez JM, Ferrario P, Monrabal F, Rodríguez J, Toledo JF.

- Investigation of the coincidence resolving time performance of a PET scanner based on liquid xenon: a Monte Carlo study. arXiv:1604.04106. 2016;11.
- Zhu R-Y. Presentation on CEPC Crystal Calorimetry. Topical workshop on the CEPC Calorimetry, Beijing, China. 2019. Available from: http://www.hep.caltech.edu/~zhu/talks/ryz\_190314\_CEPC\_ summary.pdf
- 67. Moskal P, Kowalski P, Shopa RY, Raczyński L, Baran J, Chug N, et al. Simulating NEMA characteristics of the modular total-body J-PET scanner An economic total-body PET from plastic scintillators. Phys Med Biol. 2021;66:175015
- 68. Moskal P, Stępień E. Prospects and clinical perspectives of total-body PET imaging using plastic scintillators. PET Clin. 2020;15:439-52.
- 69. Gajos A, Kaminska D, Moskal P, Czerwiński E. Method for reconstructing multi-tracer metabolic and morpho-metric images and tomography system for multi-tracer metabolic and morphometric imaging. Patent number: US 10339676, 2017.
- 70. Moskal P, Kisielewska D, Curceanu C, Czerwiński E, Dulski K, Gajos A, et al. Feasibility study of the positronium imaging with the J-PET tomograph. Phys Med Biol. 2019;64:055017.
- Moskal P, Moskal I, Moskal G. A TOF-PET tomograph and a method of imaging using a TOF-PET tomograph, based on a probability of production and lifetime of a positronium. Patent number: WO 2015/028604 A1. 2015.
- 72. Miyata M, Tomita H, Watanabe K, Kawarabayashi J, Iguchi T. Development of TOF-PET using Cherenkov Radiation. Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology. 206;43:339-343.
- 73. Ooba T, Fukushima T, Kawai H, Konishi M. Proposal of Cherenkov TOFPET with Silica Aerogel. IEEE 2004;6:3781-3784.
- 74. Gonzalez-Montoro A, Pourashraf S, Cates JW, Levin CS. Cherenkov radiation-based coincidence time resolution measurements in BGO scintillators. Front Phys. 2022;10:1-12.
- 75. AFBR-S4N33C013 NUV-HD Single silicon photomultiplier data sheet. Available from: https://www.broadcom.com/products/optical-sensors/silicon-photomultiplier-sipm/afbr-s4n33c013
- 76. Gola A, Acerbi F, Capasso M, Marcante M, Mazzi A, Paternoster G, et al. NUV-sensitive silicon photomultiplier technologies developed at fondazione Bruno Kessler. Sensors. 2019:9;308.
- 77. van Sluis J, de Jong JR, Schaar J, Noordzij W, van Snick JH, Dierckx RAJO, et al. Performance characteristics of the digital Biograph Vision PET/CT system. J Nucl Med. 2019;60:1031-6.
- 78. Rausch I, Ruiz A, Valverde-Pascual I, Cal-González J, Beyer T, Carrio I. Performance evaluation of the Philips Vereos PET/CT System according to the NEMA NU2-2012 standard. J Nucl Med. 2018;60:561-7.



