

## University of Groningen

### Living with Rheumatoid Arthritis

Benka, Jozef

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Benka, J. (2012). *Living with Rheumatoid Arthritis: do personal and social resources make a difference?*  
s.n.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# Is coping self-efficacy related to psychological distress in early and established rheumatoid arthritis patients?

Jozef Benka, Iveta Nagyova, Jaroslav Rosenberger, Zelmira Macejova, Ivica Lazurova, Jac J.L. van der Klink, Johan W. Groothoff, Jitse P. van Dijk

(submitted)

## Abstract

*Objective:* The study aimed to explore associations between coping self-efficacy and psychological distress in early and established rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients.

*Methods:* Two samples differing in disease duration were collected at outpatient rheumatology clinics in eastern Slovakia. The first sample consisted of 146 established patients with disease duration 12 years or more (age=58.02 SD=10.38 years; disease duration=16.08 SD = 3.60 years;86% women) and the second sample consisted of 102 early RA patients with the disease duration 4 years or less (age=53.25 SD=12.32; disease duration=2.8 SD=1.23 years;75% women). Patients underwent routine rheumatology check and completed questionnaires regarding functional disability, neuroticism and extraversion, coping self-efficacy and psychological distress. The data were analyzed using hierarchical linear regression models.

*Results:* Coping self-efficacy was significantly negatively associated with psychological distress in both samples with the strongest association with anxiety in the early RA. These associations remained significant after controlling for sociodemographic, disease related and personality variables. Psychological distress was further associated with disease activity, functional disability, neuroticism and extraversion, however, different patterns in respect to anxiety and depression and RA duration observed.

*Conclusion:* Coping self-efficacy accounted for unique variance in psychological distress even after controlling for the influence of disease activity, functional status and personality traits. The strongest association was observed with anxiety in early RA.

*Practical implications:* Management and intervention programs increasing self-efficacy for coping strategies might be beneficial for reducing anxiety and depression especially during the early phase of the disease.

## **Introduction**

The importance of coping resources has been well recognized in the current research within chronic diseases and especially those involving chronic pain and functional disability such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [1-5]. RA patients are often confronted with functional deterioration and physical disability and coping resources might become essential for managing the tasks of everyday life [6-11]. Findings throughout the literature generally suggest that the population affected by RA is about twice as likely to suffer from increased psychological distress in comparison with the normal population [12-15]. Determinants of psychological distress are not yet fully understood but in addition to the aspects of the disease such as pain, functional disability, and disease activity, coping resources have been shown to be uniquely associated with psychological distress [16,17].

Along with the medical treatment it is eventually and inevitably up to the individual patient to cope and manage different aspects of the disease [20-23]. Coping resources and especially coping styles have been often explored in order to understand why some patients are more negatively affected by their chronic condition than others [18,19]. For example Evers et al. [27] found that active coping with pain in RA was negatively associated with functional status prospectively and active coping with stress was associated with lower psychological distress in early RA patients. Further, avoidant coping was found to be positively related to fatigue and passive coping was positively related to anxiety and depression [28]. In addition, coping has been found to be a significant predictor of psychological distress prospectively [29]. A recent study conducted by Dirik and Karanci [30] shows that especially when the disease progresses a depletion of coping resources among RA patients can be observed, which is highly relevant especially for the future adaptation to the disease [30].

Next to the differences of coping styles the concept of self-efficacy as an important coping resource has also been successfully applied for studying different health related behaviors and self-management techniques. The research on self-efficacy in the RA context has so far mostly focused on the aspects of pain, functional disability or specific task performance [23,31,32]. However, while self-efficacy concerning symptom relief has been addressed by a number of studies [20,21,23] the self-efficacy of coping behavior has been less frequently explored even though it seems to be highly relevant in the context of disease related stress in RA. Being self-efficacious in one's coping abilities promotes adaptive coping responses especially during a prolonged period of chronic stress often present in RA [30].

In addition to coping resources personality traits such as neuroticism and extraversion have been found to be strongly related to psychological distress in RA patients [24,25]. We argue that the effect of these relevant personality traits should be controlled in order to address the specific effect of other personal resources such as coping self-efficacy which is proposed in this study. Personality differences especially in neuroticism have been shown to significantly affect the overall psychological adjustment to RA and especially the aspects of psychological functioning [33]. Personality traits are

---

understood to be generally stable even in the context of a long term chronic disease. Thus based on the previous research we especially focus on neuroticism which is a general predisposition to experiencing negative affective states and is closely related to psychological distress. However, personality traits cannot be easily modified and within this study will be used specifically to control for their influence when studying the association between coping self-efficacy and psychological distress.

While individual differences in personality and coping styles as well as specific self-efficacy have been addressed by previous studies in the RA context, this study aims to focus on the role of self-efficacy in using coping resources. It is hypothesized that coping self-efficacy will be negatively associated with depression and anxiety. It is also expected that after controlling for sociodemographic variables, disease related variables and especially individual differences in neuroticism and extraversion, coping self-efficacy will demonstrate a significant negative association with psychological distress. This association will be analyzed and tested in two samples consisting of patients at early and established stages of RA.

## **Methods**

### **Participants and procedure**

The study samples were recruited at rheumatology outpatient clinics in Eastern Slovakia. Two separate samples consisted of early RA patients with the disease duration of four years or less and established RA patients with the disease duration of 12 years or more. Essential inclusion criteria were the fulfillment of at least 4 criteria of the American College Rheumatology Criteria (ACR) [34] diagnosis within the above specified range of time and absence of other serious chronic diseases. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee and the patients provided informed consent prior to participation in the study. Participating patients underwent routine examination by a rheumatologist, participated in a structured interview and were asked to complete self report questionnaires.

In the established group 222 patients were approached and 157 (71%) agreed to participate. Additional 11 patients were omitted from the current study due to missing data leaving the rate of 146 patients (age=58.02; SD=10.38 years; disease duration=16.08 years; SD=3.60 years; 86% women). In the early patient group 143 patients were approached and 112 (78%) agreed to participate. Additional 10 patients were excluded due to missing data leaving the response rate of 102 patients (age=53.25; SD=12.32 years; disease duration=2.80 years; SD=1.23 years; 75% women).

## **Measures**

### **Clinical data**

Disease activity was assessed by Disease Activity Score (DAS 28) consisting of the Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) during the first hour and tender as well as swollen joint count and patients own assessment on a visual analogue scale. The total DAS 28 score was calculated according to the standard procedure.

### **Functional disability**

Functional disability was measured using the Groningen Activity Restriction Scale (GARS) developed by Suurmeijer et al. [35,36]. This scale consists of 18 items which can be divided into two subscales. The first subscale represents Activities of Daily Living and the second subscale represents Instrumental Activities of Daily Living. Respondents are asked to answer each question on a four-point Likert scale indicating how difficult it is for them to perform each activity. The total score consists of both subscales and ranges from 18-72 with higher scores indicating higher levels of functional disability. This instrument has been frequently used to measure functional disability among RA patients due to its excellent psychometric characteristics and sensitivity to the impact of RA on everyday activities. Cronbach's alpha in both samples yielded 0.96.

### **Personality**

Neuroticism and Extraversion were assessed by the Eysenck's Personality Questionnaire revised short scale (EPQ-RSS) [33, 39]. In this instrument patients were asked to answer 12 items with a yes/no response and the sum score was calculated to provide a single score for neuroticism and extraversion with a range 12-24. Higher score indicated more neuroticism and more extraversion. Cronbach's alpha in the studied samples reached values of 0.85 and 0.88 for extraversion and 0.82 and 0.85 for neuroticism.

### **Coping self-efficacy**

Coping self-efficacy was measured by the Coping Self-Efficacy scale (CSE) [40]. It is a 26-item measure of self-efficacy when coping with a challenge or threat. Patients were asked to answer each item of this questionnaire with the instruction: 'When things are not going well for you, or when you're having problems, how confident or certain are you that you can do the following'. Then the patients were asked to rate on 11-point scale the extent to which they believe they could perform each coping behavior. The instrument originally consists of three subscales representing self-efficacy for the use of problem focus coping strategies, the ability to stop unpleasant emotions and thoughts and the ability to get support from friends and family with higher score indicating better coping self-efficacy within each domain [40]. However due to high inter-correlations between the individual subscales

---

(correlation coefficients ranged from 0.74 to 0.89 in the early group and from 0.86 to 0.92 in the established group) the scale was treated as a single measure to avoid multi-collinearity. Cronbach's alpha based on these samples for the whole scale was 0.96 and 0.97.

### **Psychological distress**

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) has been applied to measure psychological distress. In this instrument patients were asked to answer questions assessing the level of recent depression or anxiety symptoms on a four-point Likert type scale. The score of each scale ranged from 0-21 and a higher score indicated more anxiety or more depression [43]. The scale was found to be sufficiently reliable with Cronbach's alpha of 0.80 and 0.79 for anxiety and 0.82 and 0.65 for depression.

### **Statistical analysis**

First, the means and frequencies in all measured variables of early and established RA patients were compared using independent t-tests and chi-square tests to detect differences. Next, the associations were analyzed using Pearson correlation coefficients and finally, the data were entered into hierarchical linear regression models in order to explore the association between coping self-efficacy and psychological distress after the influence of relevant disease related variables and neuroticism as well as extraversion was controlled. Hierarchical regression models were built of five steps applying the enter method. In the first step gender, age and disease duration were entered. The second step consisted of disease activity (DAS 28) and functional disability. In the third step personality variables neuroticism and extraversion were added and in the fourth step coping self-efficacy was entered in the model. The fifth step consisted of the interaction term of coping self-efficacy and functional disability to detect a possible moderation. The data were analyzed using SPSS, version 16.

## **Results**

### **Comparing early and established group**

Firstly the descriptive statistics were computed for samples of early and established RA patients and were checked for differences in means and frequencies of the measured variables as shown in Table 5.1. The established group was found to be older with more females but did not significantly differ in other measured variables.

Table 5.1 Comparison of the early and the established RA patient groups in measured variable

	Early RA (Disease duration ≤ 4 years)		Established RA (Disease duration ≥ 12 years)		p-value
	Mean/N	SD/%	Mean/N	SD/%	
Age	53.25	12.32	58.02	10.38	0.001
Disease duration (in years)	2.81	1.23	16.08	3.60	0.000
Gender (female)	76	75%	126	86%	0.019
Married (or living with a partner)	74	73%	99	69%	0.445
Living alone	10	10%	20	14%	0.339
Depression (HADS)	5.54	3.81	4.96	3.01	0.182
Anxiety (HADS)	7.12	3.81	6.38	3.77	0.131
Disease activity (DAS 28)	4.16	1.27	4.09	1.37	0.747
<i>FW (mm/ 1st hour)</i>	27.43	19.05	23.58	16.76	0.093
<i>Swollen joints</i>	3.25	4.63	2.98	3.83	0.614
<i>Sensitive joints</i>	10.22	8.36	11.16	8.45	0.385
<i>VAS (disease activity)</i>	5.30	2.25	5.15	2.07	0.588
Functional status (GARS)	32.31	12.01	34.03	11.25	0.251
Neuroticism (EPQ-RS)	19.27	3.52	19.61	3.20	0.431
Extraversion (EPQ-RS)	16.69	3.34	16.38	3.24	0.463
Coping self – efficacy (CSE)	20.58	5.23	19.34	5.54	0.075

Note 1: component of DAS28 are displayed in italics

Note 2: differences in means and frequencies were checked by independent t-tests and chi-square tests

## Correlates of anxiety and depression

Table 5.2 presents correlations of psychological distress in the two samples separately for anxiety and depression. In the early RA patient sample anxiety was associated with gender, worse functional disability, higher disease activity, higher neuroticism and lower coping self-efficacy. While depression showed a similar pattern of associations, it was found to also be associated with extraversion but was not associated with disease activity. In the established sample both anxiety and depression were associated with higher disease activity, higher neuroticism and lower coping self-efficacy while functional disability was associated only with depression. Lastly, neuroticism was found to be strongly and positively related to depression and anxiety in both samples.

## Regression models for anxiety in early and established RA patients

Two regression analyses were conducted in order to explore the associations of anxiety and depression with coping self-efficacy controlling for sociodemographic, disease related and personality variables. Hierarchical regression models were built for both patient groups separately entering an identical set of variables in both samples.

Gender, age and disease duration were entered in the first step and did not produce significant associations with anxiety. In the following steps functional disability and disease activity were found to be positively associated with anxiety in the early patient sample; however this association was found to be not significant among the established patients. Disease

activity remained positively associated with anxiety in the third and fourth steps only in the early RA sample. Neuroticism explained about the same amount of variance in both models and served as the most robust variable. Extraversion was not found to be significant throughout the whole model.

After controlling for the previously entered variables coping self-efficacy was found to be negatively associated with anxiety in both models but explained considerably more variance in the early RA sample. Next the interaction term of coping self-efficacy and functional disability was found to be significantly negatively associated with anxiety among early RA patients but this was not found in the established RA patients. The highest explained variance was observed among the early RA patients in anxiety (Adj.  $R^2 = 0.59$ ) while the model in established RA patients explained less variance (Adj.  $R^2 = 0.32$ ).

Table 5.2 Correlates of depression and anxiety for early and established groups

	Recent RA		Established RA	
	Depression	Anxiety	Depression	Anxiety
Age	0.06	0.10	-0.07	-0.12
Disease duration (in years)	0.03	0.11	0.16	0.04
Gender (female)	-0.20*	-0.20*	-0.10	-0.09
Disease activity (DAS 28)	0.15	0.31**	0.24**	0.18*
<i>FW (mm/ 1st hour)</i>	0.11	0.13	0.17*	0.04
<i>Swollen joints</i>	0.07	0.13	0.04	0.22**
<i>Sensitive joints</i>	0.13	0.33***	0.21**	0.13
<i>VAS (disease activity)</i>	0.29**	0.17	0.24**	0.19*
Functional status (GARS)	0.34***	0.32***	0.32**	0.11
Neuroticism (EPQ-RSS)	0.49***	0.63***	0.38***	0.56***
Extraversion (EPQ-RSS)	-0.29**	-0.11	-0.10	-0.12
Coping self – efficacy (CSE)	-0.45***	-0.59***	-0.47***	-0.40***

Note 1: \* $p \leq 0.05$ ; \*\* $p \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $p \leq 0.001$

Note 2: subscales of CSE and component variables of DAS28 are displayed in italics

### Regression models for depression in early and established RA patients

Following the same statistical procedure as for regressions on anxiety, associations with depression showed a different pattern. After controlling for gender, age and disease duration, functional disability was relatively consistently related to depression in both samples. While neuroticism was strongly and positively associated with depression in both models extraversion was negatively associated with depression only among the early RA patients. Similarly to the anxiety model coping self-efficacy was found to be negatively associated with depression in both groups when previous steps controlled for the influence of the entered variables. The strength of neuroticism was somewhat reduced in the established group when coping self-efficacy was introduced in the model. The interaction term of coping self-efficacy and functional disability was not significant in either of the models. The final model on depression was similarly more efficient when based in the early RA patients (Adj.  $R^2 = 0.36$ ) than in the established RA patients (Adj.  $R^2 = 0.28$ ).



Table 5.3 Associations of anxiety in early and established RA patient group (hierarchical regression analysis)

		Early RA patients					Established RA patients				
		Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4	Step 5	Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4	Step 5
1.	Gender	.090	.054	.108	.067	.058	-.141	-.158	-.029	-.040	-.038
	Age	-.181	-.082	-.009	-.028	.003	-.103	-.063	-.024	-.015	-.012
	RA duration	.072	.162	.119	.089	.103	.055	.024	-.019	-.036	-.036
2.	DAS 28		.227*	.147	.237**	.230**		.147	.119	.126	.131
	GARS		.228*	.117	.052	.078		.087	.021	-.037	-.034
3.	Neuroticism			.561***	.377***	.352***			.553***	.473***	.464***
	Extraversion			-.026	.015	-.001			.049	.076	.075
4.	CSE				-.426***	-.404***				-.214*	-.227**
	CSExGARS					-.174**					.045
$\Delta R^2$ %		5.3	13	28.2	13.4	2.7	2.8	3.3	27.1	3.2	0.2
Total $R^2$ %		5.3	18.3	46.5	59.9	62.7	2.8	6.2	33.3	36.5	36.6
Adj. $\Delta R^2$ %		2.4	14	42.5	56.5	59.0	0.08	2.8	29.9	32.8	32.5
F		1.820	4.288	11.665	17.381	17.148	1.368	1.837	9.829	9.831	8.742
Df1, Df2		3,98	5,96	7,94	8,93	9,92	3,142	5,140	7,138	8,137	9,136

Note 1: displayed values are betas ( $\beta$ )

Note 2: \*  $p \leq 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $p \leq 0.001$

Note 3: DAS28 – disease activity score; GARS – functional disability; CSE – coping self-efficacy

Table 5.4 Associations of depression in early and established RA patient group (hierarchical regression analysis)

		Early RA patients					Established RA patients				
		Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4	Step 5	Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4	Step 5
1.	Gender	.051*	.012	.058	.034	.028	-.107	-.155	-.083	-.100	-.102
	Age	-.195	-.170	-.114	-.125	-.107	-.105	-.055	-.036	-.023	-.026
	RA duration	-.007	.026	-.025	-.043	-.035	.168*	.110	.087	.060	.060
2.	DAS 28		-.036	-.064	-.011	-.015		.136	.116	.126	.120
	GARS		.343**	.252**	.214*	.229*		.283**	.246**	.160*	.156
3.	Neuroticism			.393***	.284**	.270*			.317***	.198*	.209*
	Extraversion			.221*	.197*	.206*			.002	.042	.042
4.	CSE				-.252**	-.239*				-.317***	-.302***
5.	CSExGARS					-.100					-.050
$\Delta R^2$ %		4.1	10.6	21.1	4.7	0.9	4.5	11.1	9.3	7.0	0.2
Total $R^2$ %		4.1	14.7	35.9	40.6	41.5	4.5	15.6	25.0	32.0	32.2
Adj. $\Delta R^2$ %		1.2	10.3	31.1	35.5	35.8	2.5	12.6	21.2	28.0	27.7
F		1.399	3.321	7.516	7.944	7.249	2.221	5.188	6.557	8.050	7.175
Df1, Df2		3,98	5,96	7,94	8,93	9,92	3,142	5,140	7,138	8,137	9,14

Note 1: displayed values are betas ( $\beta$ )

Note 2: \*  $p \leq 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $p \leq 0.01$

Note 3: DAS28 – disease activity score; GARS – functional disability; CSE – coping self-efficacy

## **Discussion and conclusion**

### **Discussion**

Firstly, it was hypothesized that coping self-efficacy would be negatively associated with psychological distress and that such relationships would remain even when relevant variables were controlled for. The results provided support for these hypothesized associations and showed that coping self-efficacy was found to be negatively related to both anxiety and depression. Evidence for this was found in both samples of early and established RA patients even when the socio-demographic variables, disease related variables, and personality variables (neuroticism, extraversion) were controlled for. Our study has generally found that patients reporting higher self-efficacy to carry out different coping behaviors reported less anxiety and less depression irrespective of the differences in disease related variables or personality differences. This was shown especially in the early RA patients where a large portion of their anxiety was explained by coping self-efficacy.

Secondly, the analysis provided certain evidence that coping-self efficacy may play a moderating role and reduce anxiety when faced with high functional disability in early RA. However, it has to be stressed that this was shown only in one model and the findings must be regarded as inconclusive and further research is required. Generally the findings of this study are in line with other studies concerning RA patients that have provided empirical support for the benefits of active coping in relation psychological distress [46-48]. The present findings are also in line with studies where the concept of self-efficacy has been applied to coping behaviors [20, 23,49-50]. However, this study presents a relatively newly introduced construct and shows that self-efficacy in coping behavior is significantly associated with psychological distress among both early and established RA patients [40].

Thirdly, it is especially worth noting that the strongest association was found in the early RA patient group between coping self-efficacy and anxiety. While depression has been addressed quite extensively in RA in the previous research our findings divert the attention to the relevance of anxiety as a relevant problem in the early stage of RA and its associations with coping self-efficacy.

Regarding personality variables neuroticism was positively associated with psychological distress in both studied samples as would be generally expected. When neuroticism was entered in the model it was the most robust variable explaining relatively high and comparable amount of variance in both samples. This is in line with other findings on RA samples [51]. Neuroticism as emotional instability has been shown to be associated with less adaptive coping styles and thus related to experiencing more psychological distress [33]. Extraversion was found to be negatively associated with depression only moderately and only in the early RA sample. This might imply that extraversion might serve as a protective factor at an early stage but no further evidence was found for this in the established group [26].

---

Generally, few differences were observed in medical variables and disease related variables when the two groups were compared. No significant differences in disease activity markers were detected in spite of the significant difference in disease duration. When the patients were included in the study they had already been medically treated which might have contributed to the relative stability of their condition. Prospective studies conducted on representative samples reported similar findings when the patients were followed from four up to thirteen years [44, 45]. Furthermore patients with early RA did not show higher coping self-efficacy than established patients [30]. While the data did not show differences in coping self-efficacy they showed that different patterns of associations existed for early and established patients regarding two aspects of psychological distress anxiety and depression.

### **Strengths and limitations**

The present study has explored the research question on two separate samples of RA patients with different disease duration. The fact that the models were significant at both early and established stages of the disease provides support for the validity of the findings. Furthermore the present analysis also allowed for controlling of disease related variables and eliminating the influence of differences in personality traits while exploring the specific effect of coping self-efficacy on psychological distress. However, it must also be said that the findings are based on cross-sectional analyses which creates limitations for drawing causal conclusions. Furthermore the appointed selection criteria for the length of disease duration for early and established patients was chosen rather arbitrarily even though based on substantial previous research focusing on social and psychological aspects of adaptation to RA where application of similar criteria can be found [7,16,17]. Lastly, this study applied a relatively new measure of coping self-efficacy which requires further methodological exploration.

### **Practice implications**

Coping self-efficacy can be improved by psychological interventions and can be addressed in RA patients by training programs or as part of the disease management programs. Monitoring such programs in the future might improve the understanding of the pathways of the relationships between coping self-efficacy and psychological distress. It might also be useful to screen patients for coping self-efficacy or the level of confidence to cope and manage the threats imposed directly or indirectly by RA. Based on the findings of this study the results are most relevant for the levels of anxiety in the early years of the disease.

### **Conclusion**

Patients who reported higher self-efficacy or confidence in performing coping behaviors showed lower psychological distress in both samples or

early and established RA even when differences in disease related variables and personality were controlled. Overall, the strongest associations were detected in the early patient sample between coping self-efficacy and anxiety. These findings imply that coping self-efficacy concept could be useful in further research in the context of RA and subsequently applied to patient care.

## **References**

- [1] Chapin MH. Coping With Chronic Illness and Disability: Theoretical, Empirical, and Clinical Aspects. *Rehab Counsel Bull*, 2009;52:259-259.
- [2] Rao K. Recent research in stress, coping and women's health. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*, 2009;22:188-193.
- [3] Bisschop MI, Knegsman DMW, Beekman ATF, Deeg DJH. Chronic diseases and depression: the modifying role of psychosocial resources. *Soc Sci Med*, 2004;59:721-733.
- [4] Sturgeon JA, Zautra AJ. Resilience: A New Paradigm for Adaptation to Chronic Pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*, 2010;14:105-112.
- [5] Keefe FJ, Somers TJ. Psychological approaches to understanding and treating arthritis pain. *Nat Re Rheumatol*. 2010;6:210-216.
- [6] Strand V, Khanna D. The impact of rheumatoid arthritis and treatment on patients' lives. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2010;28:S32-40.
- [7] Suurmeijer TP, Waltz M, Moum T, Guillemin F, van Sonderen FL, Briancon S, Sanderman R, van den Heuvel WJ. Quality of life profiles in the first years of rheumatoid arthritis: results from the EURIDISS longitudinal study. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2001;45:111-121.
- [8] Van Dyke MM, Parker JC, Smarr KL, Hewett JE, Johnson GE, Slaughter JR, Walker SE. Anxiety in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res*, 2004;51:408-412.
- [9] Michaud K, Wolfe F. Comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*, 2007;21:885-906.
- [10] Lok EYC, Mok CC, Cheng CW, Cheung EFC. Prevalence and Determinants of Psychiatric Disorders in Patients With Rheumatoid Arth. *Psychosom*, 2010;51:338-U120.
- [11] Hill CL, Gill T, Taylor AW, Daly A, Dal Grande E, Adams RJ. Psychological factors and quality of life in arthritis: a population-based study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:1049-1054.
- [12] Dickens C, McGowan L, Clark-Carter, Creed F. Depression in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *Psych Med* 2002;64:52-60.
- [13] Martens MP. Development of a Shortened Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale for Assessment of Depression in Rheumatoid Arthritis *Clin Rheumatol* 2006. ;26:1049-1054

- 
- [14] Sheehy C, Murphy E, Barry M. Depression in rheumatoid arthritis - underscoring the problem. *Rheumatol*, 2006;45:1325-1327.
- [15] Dickens C, Jackson J, Tomenson B, Creed F. Association of depression and rheumatoid arthritis. *Psychosom*, 2003;44:209-215
- [16] Krol B, Sanderman R, Suurmeijer T, Doeglas D, van Rijswijk M, van Leeuwen M. Medical, physical and psychological status related to early rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol*, 1995;14:143-150.
- [17] Nagyova I, Stewart RE, Macejova Z, van Dijk JP, van den Heuvel WJ. The impact of pain on psychological well-being in rheumatoid arthritis: the mediating effects of self-esteem and adjustment to disease. *Patient Educ Couns*, 2005;58:55-62.
- [18] Zautra AJ. Investigations of the ongoing stressful situations among those with chronic illness. *Am J Community Psychol* 1996;24:697-717.
- [19] Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, Geenen R, Jacobs JW, Bijlsma JW. Stress-vulnerability factors as long-term predictors of disease activity in early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Psychosom Res* 2003;55:293-302.
- [20] Barlow JH, Williams B, Wright C. The Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale in people with arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res* 1996;9:189-196.
- [21] Barlow JH. Understanding exercise in the context of chronic disease: an exploratory investigation of self-efficacy. *Percept.Mot.Skills* 1998;87:439-446.
- [22] Ramjeet J, Smith J, Adams M. The relationship between coping and psychological and physical adjustment in rheumatoid arthritis: a literature review. *J Clin Nurs* 2008;17:418-428.
- [23] Lowe R, Cockshott Z, Greenwood R, Kirwan JR, Almeida C, Richards P, Hewlett S. Self-efficacy as an appraisal that moderates the coping-emotion relationship: Associations among people with rheumatoid arthritis. *Psychol Health* 2008;23:155-174.
- [24] Long BC, Sangster JI. Dispositional optimism/pessimism and coping strategies: Predictors of psychosocial adjustment of rheumatoid and osteoarthritis patients. *J Appl Soc Psychol* 1993;23:1069-1091.
- [25] Persson LO, Berglund K, Sahlberg D. Psychological factors in chronic rheumatic diseases - A review - The case of rheumatoid arthritis, current research and some problems. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1999;28:137-144.
- [26] Amirkhan JH, Risinger RT, Swickert RJ. Extraversion: a "hidden" personality factor in coping?. *J Pers* 1995;63:189-212.
- [27] Evers AW, Kraaimaat WF, Geenen R, Jacobs JW, Bijlsma JW. Pain coping and social support as predictors of long-term functional disability and pain in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Behav Res Ther* 2003;41:1295-1310.
- [28] Scharloo M, Kaptein AA, Weinman JA, Hazes JMW, Breedveld FC, Rooijmans HGM. Predicting functional status in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:1686-1693.
- [29] Zautra AJ, Manne SL. Coping with Rheumatoid Arthritis: a review of a decade of research. *Ann of Behav Med* 1992;14:31-39.

- [30] Dirik G, Karanci AN. Psychological distress in rheumatoid arthritis patients: An evaluation within the conservation of resources theory. *Psychol Health* 2010;25:617-632.
- [31] Somers TJ, Shelby RA, Keefe FJ, Godiwala N, Lumley MA, Mosley-Williams A, Rice JR, Caldwell D. Disease severity and domain-specific arthritis self-efficacy: relationships to pain and functioning in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2010;62:848-856.
- [32] Gyurcsik NC, Brawley LR, Spink KS, Brittain DR, Fuller DL, Chad K. Physical Activity in Women With Arthritis: Examining Perceived Barriers and Self-Regulatory Efficacy to Cope. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2009;61:1087-1094.
- [33] Suurmeijer TPBM, Van Sonderen FLP, Krol B, Doeglas DM, Van den Heuvel WJA, Sanderman R. The relationship between personality, supportive transactions and support satisfaction, and mental health of patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Results from the Dutch part of the Euridiss study. *Soc Indicators Res.* 2005;73:179-197.
- [34] Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS, Healey LA, Kaplan SR, Liang MH, Luthra HS. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1988;31:315-324.
- [35] Kempen GI, Suurmeijer TPBM. The development of hierarchical polychotomous ADL-IADL scale for non-institutionalized elders. *Geront.* 1990:497.
- [36] Suurmeijer TP, Doeglas DM, Moum T, Briancon S, Krol B, Sanderman R, Guillemin F, Bjelle A, van den Heuvel WJ. The Groningen Activity Restriction Scale for measuring disability: its utility in international comparisons. *Am J Public Health* 1994;84:1270-1273.
- [37] Fishbain DA, Cole B, Cutler RB, Lewis J, Rosomoff HL, Rosomoff RS. Chronic pain and the measurement of personality: Do states influence traits? *Pain Med.* 2006;7:509-529.
- [38] Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, Geenen R, Jacobs JW, Bijlsma JW. Longterm predictors of anxiety and depressed mood in early rheumatoid arthritis: a 3 and 5 year followup. *J.Rheumatol.* 2002;29:2327-2336.
- [39] Sanderman R, Arrindell WA, Ranchor AV, Eysenck HJ, Eysenck SBG. Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ). Groningen: Noordelijk Centrum voor Gezondheidsvraagstukken 1991.
- [40] Chesney MA, Neilands TB, Chambers DB, Taylor JM, Folkman S. A validity and reliability study of the coping self-efficacy scale. *Br J Health Psychol* 2006;11:421-437.
- [41] Barlow JH, Cullen LA, Rowe IF. Comparison of knowledge and psychological well-being between patients with a short disease duration (= 10 years duration). *Patient Educ.Couns.* 1999;38:195-203.
- [42] Treharne GJ, Lyons AC, Hale ED, Goodchild CE, Booth DA, Kitas GD. Predictors of fatigue over 1 year among people with rheumatoid arthritis. *Psychol., Health Med.* 2008;13:494-504.

- 
- [44] Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:361-370.
- [45] Demange V, Guillemin F, Baumann M, Suurmeijer TP, Moum T, Doeglas D, Briancon S, van den Heuvel WJ. Are there more than cross-sectional relationships of social support and support networks with functional limitations and psychological distress in early rheumatoid arthritis? the European Research on Incapacitating Diseases and Social Support Longitudinal Study. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;51:782-791.
- [46] Strating MM, Suurmeijer TP, van Schuur WH. Disability, social support, and distress in rheumatoid arthritis: results from a thirteen-year prospective study. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006;55:736-744.
- [47] Zyrianova Y, Kelly BD, Sheehan J, McCarthy C, Dinan TG. The psychological impact of arthritis: the effects of illness perception and coping. *Ir J Med Sci* 2011:210.
- [48] Perrot S, Poiraudreau S, Kabir M, Bertin P, Sichere P, Serrie A, Rannou F. Active or Passive Pain Coping Strategies in Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis? Results of a National Survey of 4,719 Patients in a Primary, *Arthritis Care Res* 2008;59:1555-1562.
- [49] Taylor SE, Stanton AL. Coping resources, coping processes, and mental health. *Ann Rev of Clin Psych* 2007;3:377-401.
- [50] Barlow JH, Cullen LA, Rowe IF. Educational preferences, psychological well-being and self-efficacy among people with rheumatoid arthritis. *Patient Educ Couns* 2002;46:11-19.
- [51] Lorig K, Holman HR. Long-term outcomes of an arthritis self-management study: effects of reinforcement efforts. *Soc Sci Med* 1989;29:221-224.
- [52] Connor-Smith J, Flachsbart C. Relations between personality and coping: A meta-analysis. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2007;93:1080-1107.



