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Abstract

Microvilli at the apical surface of enterocytes allow the efficient absorption of nutrients in the intestine. Ezrin activation by its phosphorylation at T567 is important for microvilli development, but how ezrin phosphorylation is controlled is not well understood. We demonstrate that a subset of kinases that phosphorylate ezrin closely co-distributes with apical recycling endosome marker rab11a in the subapical domain. Expression of dominant-negative rab11a mutant or depletion of the rab11a-binding motor protein myosin Vb prevents the subapical enrichment of rab11a and these kinases and inhibits ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli development, without affecting the polarized distribution of ezrin itself. We observe a similar loss of the subapical enrichment of rab11a and the kinases and reduced phosphorylation of ezrin in Microvillus inclusion disease, which is associated with MYO5B mutations, intestinal microvilli atrophy and mal-absorption. Thus, part of the machinery for ezrin activation depends on myosin Vb/rab11a-controlled recycling endosomes which, as we propose, may act as subapical signaling platforms that enterocytes use to regulate microvilli development and maintain human intestinal function.

Introduction

The establishment of apical-basal cell surface asymmetry, or cell polarity, is a crucial step in the development and maintenance of functional epithelial tissues. In parallel with the establishment of the apical plasma membrane domain, organelles also adopt a polarized distribution in epithelial cells. Well-known is the Golgi apparatus, which in polarized epithelial cells is typically positioned between the nucleus and the apical plasma membrane domain. In addition, a subpopulation of the recycling endosomal system, characterized by the presence of the small guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) rab11a, is enriched in close proximity to the apical surface (Goldenring et al, 1996). Recent work has demonstrated that these rab11a-positive recycling endosomes play an important role in the biogenesis of the apical plasma membrane domain and, in particular, ensure its specific macromolecular composition (Apodaca et al, 2012; Bryant et al, 2010; Gálvez-Santisteban et al, 2012; Golachowska et al, 2010; Winter et al, 2012).

In addition to its specific protein and lipid composition, numerous densely and uniformly packed finger-like projections filled with actin filament bundles that are tethered to the subapical actin web, called microvilli, represent a prominent structural feature of the apical plasma membrane. Microvilli are highly dynamic and can grow and shrink, proteins move up and down the microvilli membrane in response to signals, and microvilli generate vesicles that are shed into the apical lumen (McConnell et al, 2009) where they regulate epithelial-microbial interactions (Shifrin et al, 2012). Interestingly, (part of) the molecular pathway(s) of microvilli development has been demonstrated to constitute a separate branch of the epithelial cell polarity program driven by the serine/threonine liver kinase B1 (LKB1) (Gloerich et al, 2012; ten Klooster et al, 2009). Whether the apically enriched rab11a-positive recycling endosomes play a direct role in the development and organization of microvilli at the apical plasma membrane is not known.

Members of the ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) protein family and some of their interaction partners play a key role in the organization of the apical plasma membrane
and microvilli development in various types of epithelial cells (Fehon et al., 2010; LaLonde et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2006). Of these, ezrin is a protein-threonine kinase substrate and the only ERM protein expressed in the enterocytes of the small intestine (Berryman et al., 1993; Saotome et al., 2004). Loss of ezrin expression in the embryonic and adult mouse intestine causes microvillus atrophy and villus fusions (Casaletto et al., 2011; Saotome et al., 2004). Ezrin is present in a closed/inactive and an open/active conformation. In its active conformation, the N-terminal domain of ezrin binds integral proteins of the apical plasma membrane and peripheral proteins at the cytosolic side of the apical surface, while its C-terminal domain binds actin filaments (Bretscher et al., 1997). The conversion from an inactive to an active conformation and the exclusive apical localization of ezrin requires T567-phosphorylation (Bretscher et al., 1997; Fehon et al., 2010; Matsui et al., 1998). Microvilli abundance and/or length correlate well with the extent of ezrin phosphorylation. Several kinases have been implicated in the direct T567 phosphorylation of ezrin in intestinal epithelial cells, including protein kinase B2/Akt2 (Shiue et al., 2005), atypical protein kinase C-iota (aPKCi) (Wald et al., 2008), mammalian Sterile 20 (Ste20)-like kinase-4 (MST4) (Gloerich et al., 2012; ten Klooster et al., 2009), lymphocyte-oriented kinase (LOK) and Ste20-like kinase (SLK) (Viswanatha et al., 2012). Knockdown of each of these kinases in various intestinal epithelial cell models inhibits microvillus development at the apical plasma membrane (Gloerich et al., 2012; Shiue et al., 2005; ten Klooster et al., 2009; Viswanatha et al., 2012; Wald et al., 2008). Some of these kinases have been reported to localize to the apical microvillus membrane (Shiue et al., 2005; Viswanatha et al., 2012). In contrast, other ezrin-phosphorylating kinases appear to, at least partially, localize to undefined intracellular compartments. For instance, following the activation of the polarity protein LKB1, MST4 phosphorylates T567 in ezrin after being translocated to the enterocytes’ subapical domain by an unknown mechanism (ten Klooster et al., 2009). Also the location of the active aPKCi is not clear while its activating kinase phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (PDK1) associates with apical endosomes (Mashukova et al., 2012).

Here we investigated the contribution of the apical endosomal system to ezrin activation and the development of microvilli in intestinal epithelial cells. We demonstrate that i) aPKCi and MST4 closely co-distribute with rab11a and are enriched beneath the apical surface, ii) that rab11a activity and the rab11a effector and actin-based motor protein myosin Vb maintain this subapical position of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes, and iii) that myosin Vb loss-of-function in intestinal epithelial cell lines as well as in patients carrying MYO5B mutations causes an aberrant localization of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes with a concomitant inhibition of ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli development.
Results

The ezrin-phosphorylating kinases MST4 and aPKCi codistribute with rab11a in the subapical domain of intestinal epithelial cells

Phosphorylation of ezrin at T567 is a critical step in its activation required for apical microvillus development and organization. The kinases Akt2, LOK and SLK that were implicated in ezrin phosphorylation localize to the apical plasma membrane domain (Shiue et al., 2005; Viswanatha et al., 2012). For other kinases, the localization is less clear. We examined the subcellular distribution of two kinases, the Ste20 family kinase MST4 of the germinal center kinase III (GCKIII) family of kinases and atypical protein kinase C iota (aPKCi), which have been demonstrated to function in ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli development at the apical plasma membrane of intestinal epithelial LS174T-W4 and Caco-2 cells, respectively (Gloerich et al., 2012; ten Klooster et al., 2009; Wald et al., 2008). In villus intestinal epithelial cells in human duodenal biopsies endogenous MST4 localized just below the apical actin-rich microfilament zone at the apical aspect of the enterocytes. Line plots confirmed that the fluorescence intensity of MST4 (green line) peaked subjacent to the (filamentous actin-marked; red line) apical surface (fig. 1A), indicating that MST4 localized to intracellular compartments. Double-labeling experiments demonstrated that a significant fraction of MST4 closely codistributed with rab11a in the apical cytoplasm (Fig. 1B, MST4 labeling was pseudo-colored magenta in panel 3 and the white color in panel 5 is indicative for colocalization; Mander’s coefficient 0.82). Equally, a significant fraction of rab11a codistributed with MST4 (Mander’s coefficient 0.74). Fluorescence intensity plots of enlarged regions shown in figure 1B5 demonstrate that MST4 and rab11a closely codistribute in discrete structures (Fig. 1C and D). Quantification analyses of the double labelings were done by intensity correlation analysis (ICA). ICA addresses the staining relationship between two probes which is represented as the product of the differences from the mean (PDM) for each pixel (Li et al., 2004) (Fig 1B6). PDM values are positive when in an image the intensity of two fluorescent probes varies together (dependently), whereas negative PDM values reflect pixel intensities that vary asynchronously. The visibly subcellular co-appearance of MST4 and rab11a in conjunction with the quantitative analyses (Fig. 1B-D) demonstrated that significant fractions of the kinases and rab11a codistributed at the light microscopic level in discrete structures in the subapical domain of the enterocytes. Double labeling and intensity correlation analysis showed less colocalization of MST4 with rab8, another marker of recycling endosomes that appeared more scattered throughout the cytoplasm (Supplementary Fig. 1). Similar to MST4, endogenous T555-phosphorylated (activated) aPKCi was also enriched at the apical domain of human enterocytes, whereas total endogenous aPKCi was distributed more throughout the cytoplasm (Supplementary Fig. 2). As seen in line plots, the fluorescence intensity of (T555-phosphorylated) aPKCi (red line) peaked subjacent to the (F-actin-marked; green line) apical surface (Fig. 1E), where it partly colocalized with the recycling endosome marker rab11a (Fig. 1F-H; white color in panel F5 and PDM images in panel F6 are indicative for colocalization).
These data demonstrate that significant pools of MST4 and T555-phosphorylated aPKCi codistributed with subapically enriched rab11a in intestinal epithelial cells.

**The enrichment of MST4 and rab11a in the subapical domain is required for ezrin-T567 phosphorylation**

Given that two kinases previously implicated in ezrin phosphorylation and microvillus development at the apical surface of enterocytes (Gloerich et al, 2012; ten Klooster et al, 2009; Wald et al, 2008) closely codistributed with the apical recycling endosome marker rab11a, we hypothesized that the subcellular position of these endosomes in close proximity to the apical plasma membrane (c.f., Fig. 1) could contribute to ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli development at the apical surface. In order to test this hypothesis, we employed intestinal epithelial LS174T-W4 cells in which doxycycline-induced expression of the pseudo-kinase STRAD and resultant activation of the polarity protein LKB1 stimulates the overnight development of single cell apical-basolateral polarity (Baas et al, 2004; Gloerich et al, 2012; ten Klooster et al, 2009). It is well-established

---

**Figure 1.**

**Subcellular distribution of MST4 and aPKCi in in vivo enterocytes.**

A) Line plots showing the relative distribution of MST4 versus actin along the apical-basal axis of in vivo enterocytes. B) Double labeling for MST4 (1,3 [in 3 MST4 is pseudo-colored magenta]) and rab11a (2,4). Overlay image is shown in B5 where white color is indicative for colocalization. Positive (+)PDM images represent quantitative analysis of colocalization are shown in B6. C, D) enlargements of the boxes indicated in B5 and indicated fluorescence intensity plots of MST4 and rab11a. E) Line plots showing the relative distribution of aPKCi versus actin along the apical-basal axis of in vivo enterocytes. F) Double labeling for aPKCi (1,3 [in 3 aPKCi is pseudo-colored magenta]) and rab11a (2,4). Overlay image is shown in F5 where white color is indicative for colocalization. Positive (+)PDM images represent quantitative analysis of colocalization are shown in F6. G, H) enlargements of the boxes indicated in F5 and indicated fluorescence intensity plots of aPKCi and rab11a
Figure 2. Local cortical enrichment of rab11a and ezrin kinases correlates with local ezrin phosphorylation.

A) Codistribution of MST4, aPKCi and T567-phospho-ERM (all in red) with rab11a (in green) in induced (+Dox) s LS174T-W4 cells (arrows point to areas of codistribution). For MST4/rab11a and aPKCi-rab11a, PDM and positive (+) PDM images are presented. Dashed lines mark the outline of the cells.

B) Nocodazole (noco; 33µM at 37°C for 1 hr disperses rab11 endosomes (in green) without inhibiting the polarized distribution of ezrin (in red) in Dox-induced cells when compared to non-treated control Dox-induced cells (arrows point to the apical domain).

C) Nocodazole (noco) disperses MST4 (in green) without inhibiting the polarized distribution of cortical actin filaments (in red) in Dox-induced cells when compared to non-treated control Dox-induced cells (arrows point to the apical domains).

D) Nocodazole (noco) inhibits local ezrin phosphorylation (in green) in Dox-induced cells when compared to non-treated control Dox-induced cells (arrows point to the apical domain).

E) Brefeldin A (BFA; 100 ng/ml at 37°C for 1 h) causes accumulation of MST4 with rab11a in intracellular puncta while part of the rab11a maintains its local cortical accumulation (top row). Brefeldin A (BFA) inhibits local ezrin phosphorylation at the cortical site where rab11a accumulates (bottom row). Scale bars: 5µm.
in these cells that MST4 is important for ezrin phosphorylation and that the LKB1-triggered translocation of MST4 to the subapical domain correlates with ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli formation (Gloerich et al, 2012; ten Klooster et al, 2009). However, the intracellular compartments and machinery responsible for this translocation are unknown. In non-induced (i.e., non-polarized) LS174T-W4 cells MST4 and aPKCi (both in red) as well as rab11a (in green) appeared randomly distributed throughout the cytoplasm, and codistribution of the kinases and rab11a supported by PDM images was observed (Supplementary Fig. 3 [-dox], yellow arrows point to positive PDM puncta). aPKCi was also found in the nucleus, which has been reported previously in other cell types (Sabherwal et al, 2009). There was some T567-phosphorylated ezrin that appeared randomly localized at the cell cortex (Supplementary Fig. 3 [-dox], top row). In contrast, following doxycycline-induced Stradα expression and LKB1 activation (c.f., (ten Klooster et al, 2009)) aPKCi and MST4 (in red) translocated together with rab11a (in green) to sharply defined areas just beneath the cell cortex (Fig. 2A [+dox], arrows). PDM images supported the polarized codistribution of rab11a and the kinases (Fig. 2A). Notably, at those site(s) beneath the cell cortex where aPKCi, MST4 and rab11a co-accumulated, an increased phosphorylation of ezrin at T567 (in red) and development of microvilli was apparent (Fig. 2A [+dox], arrows).

To further investigate the apparent relationship between the subapical enrichment of rab11a and MST4 on the one hand and ezrin phosphorylation at the apical domain on the other hand, we disrupted the microtubule network in doxycycline-induced (i.e., polarized) cells with nocodazole. Microtubule disruption has previously been demonstrated to disperse rab11a-positive endosomes in polarized Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells (Casanova et al, 1999). Nocodazole effectively disrupted the microtubule organization in LS174T-W4 cells, as evidenced by a change from a filamentous to punctate beta-tubulin staining pattern (Supplementary Fig. 4A). Nocodazole did not inhibit the percentage of cells that displayed a clearly defined ezrin- (Fig. 2B; top row) or actin-enriched (Fig. 2C) surface cap. In contrast, nocodazole caused the dispersion of rab11a (Fig. 2B, bottom row) and MST4 (Fig. 2C, bottom row) and abolished their enrichment at the subapical domain. Concomitantly, nocodazole-treated cells displayed a significant reduction in the fluorescence intensity of phosphorylated ezrin labeling (Fig. 2D). The fluorescence intensity of phosphorylated ezrin was reduced with ~50% in nocodazole-treated cells, and the percentage of nocodazole-treated cells that displayed a distinguishable T567-phosphorylated ezrin cap was reduced by ~30%, when compared to non-treated control cells (Supplementary Fig 4B).

We then treated doxycycline-induced LS174T-W4 cells with brefeldin A, an inhibitor of ADP-ribosylating factors (Arfs), which have previously been shown to control the organization of rab11a-positive endosomes (Kondo et al, 2012; Shin et al, 2004). In brefeldin A-treated cells, MST4 was no longer enriched at the apical surface domain but accumulated with rab11a in distinct punctate structures (Fig. 2E, yellow arrows). Although a fraction of rab11a maintained its subapical enrichment, without the co-enrichment of MST4 in brefeldin A-treated cells (Fig. 2E, white arrow), this did not coincide with local stimulation of ezrin phosphorylation (Fig. 2E, white arrow, and supplementary Figure 4C).
These data indicate that it is the subapical enrichment of MST4 together with rab11a rather than that of rab11a as such that is required to stimulate local cortical ezrin phosphorylation. Taken together, these data demonstrate an intimate relationship between \(i\) the translocation to and accumulation of rab11a, MST4, and aPKCi at defined regions of the cell cortex and \(ii\) ezrin T567 phosphorylation at those regions.

**Rab11a and myosin Vb control the subapical enrichment of MST4 and ezrin phosphorylation at the apical surface**

The molecular mechanisms responsible for the apical enrichment of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes in polarized epithelial cells are not well understood (Winter et al, 2012), but likely involves the interaction of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes with the cytoskeleton. rab11a at recycling endosomes when in its GTP-bound state interacts with its effector and actin filament-based motor protein myosin Vb (Lapierre et al, 2001) which, in non-polarized cells has been reported to function as a tether for peripheral endocytic compartments (Kapitein et al, 2013; Provance et al, 2008).

In order to determine whether myosin Vb was involved in the subapical enrichment of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes and, hence, that of MST4 and aPKCi in intestinal epithelial cells, we inhibited the expression of myosin Vb by RNA interference (supplementary Fig. 5). Infection of cells with short hairpin (sh) RNA against myosin Vb in LS174T-W4 cells (visualized by green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression; see Methods) did not reduce the percentage of cells that displayed a polarized ezrin-enriched cap (Fig. 3A, G). The inhibition of myosin Vb expression, however, effectively prevented the doxycycline-triggered polarized translocation of rab11a (Fig. 3B, C, in red), and aPKCi (Fig. 3B, in green) and MST4 (Fig. 3C, in green) (and PDK1 (Supplementary Fig. 6) to the cell cortex, while non-infected GFP-negative cells appeared unaffected (Supplementary Fig. 6 and 7; compare to Fig. 2A [+dox]). Moreover, knockdown of myosin Vb inhibited the local stimulation of T567-phosphorylation of ezrin at the plasma membrane as evidenced by immunofluorescence microscopy and Western blot analyses (Fig. 3D-H), and this effect was completely rescued by the reintroduction of shRNA-resistant full-length human myosin Vb (Fig. 3F). In support of these data, a dominant-negative GDP-locked mutant of EGFP-rab11a that cannot bind myosin Vb failed to translocate to the cell cortex and inhibited ezrin phosphorylation (Fig. 4A, top row) without inhibiting the polarized distribution of ezrin (Fig. 4A, top row; Fig. 4B). As a control we transfected cells with wild-type EGFP-rab11a (Fig. 4B) or a constitutively active EGFP-rab11a mutant (Fig. 4A, bottom row; Fig. 4B), which did not display inhibitory effects on the translocation and subapical enrichment of EGFP-rab11a and ezrin phosphorylation. Similar to our observations in single LS174T-W4 cells, infection of monolayers of intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells with lentivirus producing shRNA against myosin Vb (supplementary Fig. 4) resulted in a significant reduction of T567-phosphorylated ezrin at the apical domain when compared to control Caco-2 cells (Fig. 5B, C), as evidenced by immunofluorescence microscopy and Western blot analyses.
Figure 3. Myosin Vb knockdown prevents the subapical enrichment of endosomes and kinases and inhibits local ezrin phosphorylation. A) Infection of LS174T-W4 cells with shRNA against myosin-Vb (GFP-positive cells) does not prevent the polarized distribution of ezrin at the plasma membrane. B,C) Infection of LS174T-W4 cells with shRNA against myosin-Vb (GFP-positive cells) prevents the polarized translocation of T555-aPKCı (B) and MST4 (C) with Rab11a endosomes to the plasma membrane. D) Infection of LS174T-W4 cells with shRNA against myosin-Vb (GFP-positive cells) inhibits local cortical ezrin phosphorylation. Compare all to uninfected (GFP-negative) cells or cells infected with shRNA against luciferase (Supplementary Fig 3). Arrows indicate the apical surface domain. E) pERM and ezrin expression in doxycyclin-induced control and myosin Vb knockdown LS174T-W4 cells. F) Calculated ratio (p-ERM/total ezrin) in doxycyclin-induced cells/(pERM/total ezrin) in non-induced cells. G) Percentage of LS174T-W4 cells that show doxycyclin-stimulated ezrin-phosphorylation at the cortex. H) Percentage of cells that show an ezrin or actin-positive apical cap. Scale bars: 5µm. Asterisk indicates p<0.05; ns: not significant. KD: knockdown.
Figure 4. Effects of rab11a mutants on rab11a distribution and ezrin phosphorylation. A) Dominant negative EGFP-Rab11a (Rab11a-DN; green) fails to accumulate at the actin- (blue) or ezrin- (red) enriched apical domain (white arrows) and inhibits local cortical ezrin phosphorylation (red), whereas B) constitutively active EGFP-rab11a (rab11a-CA) is without inhibitory effect. C) Quantification of the percentages of cells expressing EGFP-rab11a-WT, -DN, or –CA that show an ezrin- or actin-positive cap, or D) show a p-ERM-positive apical cap. Scale bars: 5µm.

We did not observe these effects following the infection of cells with lentivirus producing shRNA against luciferase as a negative control (Fig. 5A, C). Knockdown of myosin Vb in Caco-2 cells resulted in generally more sparse and disorganized apical microvilli and an increase in the apical cell surface area that displayed virtually no microvilli, as evidenced by scanning electron microscopy (Fig. 5D-F). The monolayer organization of the cells was maintained under these conditions as evidenced by the unchanged distribution of the tight junction-associated zona occludens (ZO)-1 protein at the apex of the cells’ lateral surfaces (Supplementary Fig. 8). Collectively, these data demonstrate that myosin Vb and rab11a control the subapical enrichment of MST4 and aPKCi and, concomitantly, contribute to the extent of T567 phosphorylation in ezrin at the apical plasma membrane and brush border development in cultured intestinal epithelial cell lines. Furthermore, our data indicates that this process is uncoupled from the establishment and maintenance of a local enrichment of ezrin.
Figure 5. Effect of MYO5B shRNA on ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli organization in Caco-2 cells. A, B) Immunofluorescence labeling of T567-phosphorylated ERM (large tilted x-y and upper x-z image) and ezrin (lower x-z image) in control (D) and myosin Vb knockdown (E) cells. GFP (green) marks transduced cells. Arrows and asterisks in x-y and x-z images, respectively, show the presence (A) or absence (B) of T567-phosphorylated ERM. C) Expression of ezrin, T567-phosphorylated ezrin, actin and GAPDH. D,E) Scanning electron micrographs of the apical surface of control and knockdown cells. F) Semi-quantitative analysis of microvilli density per unit surface area, on a scale from ‘+++’ (very dense; see panel D for a representative ‘+++’-marked surface area) to ‘–’ (no microvilli; see panel E for a representative ‘–’-marked surface area). Scale bars: 5µm.

Redistribution of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes and inhibition of ezrin phosphorylation in enterocytes of patients with Microvillus inclusion disease with MYO5B mutations

Mutations in the gene encoding myosin Vb, MYO5B, have been identified in patients with microvillus inclusion disease (MVID) (Erickson et al, 2008; Müller et al, 2008; Szperl et al, 2011), a rare and fatal disease characterized by intestinal malabsorption and microvillus atrophy (Cutz et al, 1989; Phillips and Schmitz, 1992; Rüemmele et al, 2006).

However, neither the T567 phosphorylation status of ezrin nor the distribution of MST4 and aPKCi has been examined in MVID enterocytes. This was investigated in tissue from a previously reported MVID patient carrying a homozygous MYO5B nonsense mutation (c.4366C>T, p.1456X) that results in reduced mRNA expression while resultant protein is predicted to lack rab11a-binding sites (Szperl et al, 2011). In contrast to the
Figure 6.
Subcellular distribution of MST4 and aPKCi in \textit{in vivo} MVID enterocytes. \textbf{A)} Line plots showing the relative distribution of MST4 versus actin along the apical-basal axis of \textit{in vivo} MVID enterocytes. \textbf{B)} Double labeling for MST4 (1,3 [in 3 MST4 is pseudo-colored magenta]) and rab11a (2,4). Overlay image is shown in B5 where white color is indicative for colocalization. Positive (+)PDM images represent quantitative analysis of colocalization are shown in B6. \textbf{C, D)} enlargements of the boxes indicated in B5 and indicated fluorescence intensity plots of MST4 and rab11a. \textbf{E)} Line plots showing the relative distribution of aPKCi versus actin along the apical-basal axis of \textit{in vivo} enterocytes. \textbf{F)} Double labeling for aPKCι (1,3 [in 3 aPKCι is pseudo-colored magenta]) and rab11a (2,4). Overlay image is shown in F5 where white color is indicative for colocalization. Positive (+)PDM images represent quantitative analysis of colocalization are shown in F6. \textbf{G, H)} enlargements of the boxes indicated in F5 and indicated fluorescence intensity plots of aPKCι and rab11a.

Subapical localization of MST4 in age-matched control human enterocytes (c.f., Fig. 1A), MST4 was not observed at the subapical domain of MVID enterocytes but, instead, was redistributed with rab11a to the supra-nuclear region (Fig. 6A, B). The apical cytoplasm of MVID enterocytes was largely devoid of rab11a, supporting the data from cultured cells that myosin Vb controls the translocation of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes to the apical plasma membrane domain (c.f., Fig. 3B). In MVID enterocytes, MST4 and rab11a both redistributed to the supra-nuclear region (Fig. 6A, B) where they showed considerable overlap (Fig. 6B3-6, C, D). MST4 co-localized at only few puncta with transferrin receptor (TfR) (Supplementary Fig. 9A) and some co-localization of MST4 with rab8 (Supplementary Fig. 9B) and with the cis-Golgi matrix protein GM130 (Supplementary Fig. 9C) was observed, the latter consistent with the previously reported interaction between both proteins. Similar to MST4, we did not observe T555-phosphorylated aPKCι at the apical domain of MVID enterocytes (c.f., Fig. 1E-H) but, instead, aPKCι-T555 and rab11a redistributed together to the supranuclear region (Fig. 6E-H). Total aPKCι remained uniformly and
diffusely distributed across the MVID enterocytes and overall fluorescence intensity of T555-phosphorylated aPKCι did not change (Supplementary Fig. 10A, B), suggesting that specifically the phosphorylation of aPKCι at T555 in recycling endosomes had occurred in a different location. Consistent with this, PDK1, the kinase responsible for phosphorylating aPKCι at T555 (Mashukova et al, 2012), displayed a similar supra-nuclear re-localization with rab11a in MVID enterocytes (Supplementary Fig. 10C).

Figure 7. Stainings in MVID tissues. A) T567-phosphorylated ERM, actin and DNA in control and MVID enterocytes. B) ezrin, actin and DNA in control and MVID enterocytes. Lower inserts in A: actin/chromatin staining alone. Upper insert in right micrograph in panel A: actin and T567-phosphorylated ERM-decorated microvillus inclusion (arrow) observed in an other part of the same specimen. Insert in B: enlarged area highlighting the absence of colocalization of the two proteins (arrows). Arrows in B, A (left panel): areas of colocalization. Arrows in A (middle/right panel): subapically localized T567-phosphorylated ERM. Bars 10 μm. Asterisks: lumen. C) Representative electron micrograph of MVID enterocytes. Insert shows an enlargement of the microvillus inclusion. Arrows point to the apical surface. D) CD10 and hematoxylin staining in control and MVID intestine. Black and white arrows point to villi fusions and secondary apical lumens, respectively. Bar 100μm.
When examining the phosphorylation status and localization of ezrin in MVID enterocytes we detected only minimal T567-phosphorylated ezrin in most of the MVID enterocytes, in contrast to the predominant expression of T567-phosphorylated ezrin at the apical surface of control enterocytes (Fig. 7A). While phosphorylated ezrin at the apical plasma membrane of MVID enterocytes was noticeably absent (Fig. 7A), some enterocytes showed phosphorylated ezrin in the subapical cytoplasm that co-localized with actin and therefore may represent microvillus inclusions (Fig. 7A, upper insert). In addition to a reduction in T567-phosphorylated ezrin, ezrin itself was mis-localized from a predominant apical surface localization to intracellular compartments (Fig. 7B). Furthermore, apical actin was disorganized (Fig. 5A (insert)) and a reduction in co-localization of ezrin and actin was observed. In agreement with these data, we observed severe microvillus atrophy by transmission electron microscopy analysis of duodenal biopsies (Fig. 7C). Finally, we observed in the MVID duodenum (not earlier recognized) fusions of villi (Fig. 7D, black arrows) and formation of secondary apical lumens (Fig. 7D, white arrows), which are prominent features of the intestine in ezrin knockout mice (Casaletto et al, 2011; Saotome et al, 2004). Together with the data in cultured cells, these data indicate that MYO5B mutations in the enterocytes of MVID patients cause the redistribution of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes and ezrin-phosphorylating kinases away from the apical plasma membrane domain and, concomitantly, inhibit the T567-phosphorylation of ezrin, brush border formation and possibly villus architecture.

Discussion

The structural and compositional identity of the apical plasma membrane of intestinal epithelial cells is critical for the exchange of molecules with the gut lumen and essential for survival. As the predominant structural apical surface specializations, microvilli play an important role in intestinal epithelial function, and loss of apical microvilli correlates with several intestinal diseases involving mal-absorption and cancer. Many in vitro and in vivo studies have implicated the actin-organizing scaffold protein ezrin, its interacting proteins, and subapical actin filament organization as a pivotal component of the machinery controlling microvilli development and organization at the apical surface of epithelial cells (reviewed by Fehon et al, 2010). The activation of ezrin by phosphorylation at T567, which allows the protein to unfold and link actin filaments to the apical surface, is a prerequisite for proper microvilli development. Various kinases can phosphorylate ezrin and stimulate microvilli development in intestinal epithelial cells and, evidently, these kinases need to be in close proximity to ezrin. Some of these kinases, e.g. LOK, SLK, and Akt2 have been demonstrated to localize in the microvilli membrane at the apical surface (Shiue et al, 2005; Viswanatha et al, 2012), while the localization of other kinases, notably aPKCi and MST4, was not clear. Here, we demonstrated that aPKCi-T555 (as well as its activating kinase PDK1) and MST4, previously demonstrated to contribute to apical T567-phosphorylation and localization of ezrin and consequently to apical microvilli formation
in intestinal epithelial cells (Ten Klooster et al, 2009, Gloerich et al, 2012), did not localize predominantly to the apical surface. Instead, these kinases co-distributed both in vivo and in vitro with rab11a, a small GTPase that predominantly decorates apical recycling endosomes, in discrete compartments beneath the apical plasma membrane domain.

We reasoned that if the subapical enrichment of these rab11a-positive endosomes and the kinases contributed to the T567 phosphorylation of ezrin, then the misplacement of these endosomes would be predicted to inhibit ezrin phosphorylation and microvilli development at the apical domain. The molecular mechanisms that control the position of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes in intestinal epithelial cells are not well understood (Winter et al, 2012). Here, we demonstrated with shRNA-mediated knockdown and subsequent rescue experiments that loss of the actin-based motor and rab11a effector protein myosin Vb effectively prevented the subapical enrichment of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes, as well as that of MST4 and aPKCi, in intestinal epithelial cells without inhibiting the LKB1-induced cell polarization process. In agreement with these results, the expression of a dominant negative rab11a mutant that is unable to bind myosin Vb prevented in these cells the polarized positioning of rab11a-positive endosomes at the apical domain without perturbing the polarized enrichment of ezrin. Of interest, we did not observe a focused clustering of rab11a around the centrosome after loss of myosin Vb function as previously shown for ciliated cells (Lapierre et al, 2001; Roland et al, 2011), which suggests a different organization of the apical cytoplasm and cytoskeleton in the (non-ciliated) enterocytes. The loss of rab11a-positive endosomes from the apical domain of the cells following myosin Vb knockdown may reflect a defective tethering of the endosomes at the cell periphery (Kapitein et al, 2013; Provance et al, 2008) or a defect in the collective surface-directed movement of the recycling endosomal system, as has been proposed recently for the collective outward movement of rab11-reeling endosomes in oocytes (Schuh, 2011). In line with recent reports (Gloerich et al, 2012; ten Klooster et al, 2009), the inhibition of MST4 positioning following the overexpression of dominant negative rab11a or knockdown of myosin Vb resulted in the inhibition of ezrin T567 phosphorylation and microvilli formation. It should be noted that this inhibition of ezrin phosphorylation was not complete, which may have been due to the presence of additional ezrin T567-phosphorylating kinases such as LOK, SLK and/or Akt2 (Shiue et al, 2005; Viswanatha et al, 2012). Possibly, multiple kinases act (together or in a spatiotemporal regulated manner) to control the extent of ezrin T567 phosphorylation, which directly relates to the density and uniformity of microvilli at brush border surfaces (Zhu et al, 2008). Our data suggest that rab11a and myosin Vb control the apical enrichment of MST4 and aPKCi and, in this way, contribute to the T567 phosphorylation of ezrin at the apical plasma membrane domain and brush border development. It should be noted that although quantitative image analyses clearly demonstrated that significant fractions of the kinases and rab11a colocalize at the light microscopic level, immuno-electron microscopy will be needed to unambiguously determine whether the kinases directly associate with the membrane of rab11a-decorated apical recycling endosomes or may be in a different way dependent
on rab11a/myosin Vb for their subapical enrichment. Nonetheless, the observation that experimental manipulation of the subcellular redistribution of rab11a (with myosin Vb shRNA, rab11a mutants, nocodazole) was closely matched by a similar redistribution of the kinases indicates their dependent localization. This process is uncoupled from the establishment and maintenance of apical surface polarity as such. It is of interest to note that loss of rab11a or myosin Vb function in hepatocytes did inhibit cell polarity (Wakabayashi et al, 2005), which raises intriguing questions about the role of the apical recycling endosomal system in relation to aspects of apical polarity in different types of epithelial cells (Golachowska et al, 2012).

An important finding was that rab11a was similarly depleted from the apical cytoplasm and accumulated in the supranuclear region of enterocytes of a patient diagnosed with Microvillus inclusion disease (MVID) and carrying a homozygous mutation in the MYO5B gene (Szperl et al, 2011). In addition, MST4 and aPKCi were displaced with rab11a from the apical domain to the supranuclear region. These observations in MVID enterocytes are consistent with a defective subcellular positioning of rab11a-positive recycling endosomes and the kinases to the apical domain of the cells and in agreement with our myosin Vb knockdown experiments. Concomitant with the supranuclear retention of the kinases, the T567-phosphorylation and predominant apical localization of ezrin, the only ERM protein family member in the small intestine (Berryman et al, 1993; Saotome et al, 2004), was inhibited in MVID enterocytes. These findings in MVID enterocytes underscore the (patho) physiological relevance of brush border control by recycling endosomes.

Ezrin knockout in the mouse intestine not only affected microvilli but also caused villus fusions (Casaletto et al, 2011; Saotome et al, 2004). Analysis of small intestinal biopsies of two MVID patients revealed villi fusions at discrete spots along their lateral domain. Secondary lumens, i.e. apical lumens that appeared not exposed to the gut lumen, accompanied the villus fusions in the MVID intestine. The combined villus and microvillus defects likely cause a severe reduction in the absorptive capacity of the intestine in MVID. The comparable defects in villus architecture in the intestine of ezrin knockout mice (Casaletto et al, 2011; Saotome et al, 2004) and MVID (this study) further support that ezrin loss-of-function as a downstream consequence of myosin Vb loss-of-function is part of MVID pathogenesis. Notably, also phenotypic differences exist between the small intestine in ezrin knockout mice and MVID patients. Brush border enzymes such as alkaline phosphatase and sucrase isomaltase, for instance, accumulated intracellularly in MVID enterocytes (Cutz et al, 1989; Phillips et al, 1985; Phillips et al, 2004) but remained at the apical surface of intestinal epithelial cells in ezrin knockout mice (Casaletto et al, 2011; Saotome et al, 2004). Furthermore, microvillus inclusions have not been reported in the enterocytes of ezrin-knockout mice (Saotome et al, 2004). Loss of apical ezrin phosphorylation may thus account for the microvillus atrophy but not for the intracellular retention of brush border proteins and microvillus inclusions in MVID enterocytes. In line with the demonstration that brush border development in intestinal epithelial cells constitutes a separate branch of the apical-basal polarity program (Gloerich et al, 2012;
ten Klooster et al, 2009), these phenotypic hallmarks of MVID may thus be uncoupled at the mechanistic level downstream of myosin Vb loss-of-function.

Recently a novel function for recycling endosomes, in addition to their classical role in vesicular trafficking, as multifunctional platforms on which molecular machines are assembled to suit different cellular functions was proposed (Gould and Lippincott-Schwartz, 2009). In line with this, our collective data support a model in which rab11a via its interaction with myosin Vb maintains a subapical signaling platform in the form of apical recycling endosomes that allows MST4 and aPKCi to contribute to the activation of ezrin and, in this way, contribute to the (dynamic) structural organization of the intestinal brush border membrane (see model in supplementary Fig. 11).

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

LS417T-W4 and Caco-2 cell culture was as described previously (Gloerich et al, 2012; ten Klooster et al, 2009).

**Patients**

Material of two MVID patients and one FHL5 patient was used in this study. MVID patient 1 is a Dutch-Moroccan boy from consanguineous parents who was born at term and admitted at the hospital three days after birth because of excessive diarrhea and dehydration. Introduction of oral feeding failed due to progression of diarrhea and total parenteral nutrition support was started. Jejunum biopsy showed almost total villous atrophy and microvillus inclusions, confirming the diagnosis of MVID. **MYO5B** gene sequencing revealed a homozygous stop codon in exon 33 (c.4366C>T). MVID patient 2 is a Caucasian boy from non-consanguineous parents. At the age of two months he was admitted because of prolonged icterus. During the course of admittance he developed diarrhea, which progressed in severity. Enteral feeding failed as a result of progression of diarrhea upon introduction and total parenteral nutrition was started. **MYO5B** gene sequencing revealed compound heterozygous mutations including a de novo non-conservative substitution mutation in exon 12 (c.1540T>C) and a maternally derived mutation in intron 33 (c.4460-1G>C) 2 (Szperl et al, 2011).

**Immunofluorescence labelling of tissues and cells**

Cells were fixed with 3.7% PFA at room temperature for 20 min. Cells were incubated with 0.1M glycine in PBS for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.2% TritonX-100 for 10 min and blocked with 3% FCS in PBS for 1 h and incubated with primary antibodies at 37°C for 2 h, except for anti-rab11a antibodies (4°C for 16 h). Cells were incubated with Cy5- or AlexaFluor-543-conjugated secondary antibodies and DRAQ5/DAPI at 37°C for 30 min. Sections of formalin-fixed samples were deparaffinised, rehydrated, washed with PBS and subjected to epitope retrieval with citric acid pH6.0 in a microwave for 20 min.
Non-specific binding sites were blocked with 5% FCS in PBS overnight. Primary antibodies (Table S1) were diluted in blocking solution with 0.05% Tween-20 at 37°C for 2h followed by incubation with AlexaFluor-488- or -543-conjugated secondary antibodies. Nuclei were stained with DRAQ5. All slides were mounted with DAKO mounting medium.

**Fluorescence microscopy and analysis:**

Specimens were examined and images were taken with a TCS SP2 AOBS CLSM (Leica). Image analysis and histogram adjustments were performed with MacBiophotonics ImageJ. For tissues staining, images were processed by Huygens Pro deconvolution software using classical maximum likelihood estimation (CMLE) with a theoretical PSF calculated by feeding the microscope settings. The channel backgrounds/channel were set at 30 and quality change threshold at 0.3%. This helped in reducing background without losing resolution. To isolate brighter features, output images were processed using Gaussian Filter plugin (value 0.7) in MBP ImageJ. For quantification of co-localization, the JACOP plugin for ImageJ was used. In brief, shot noise for each channel was arithmetically subtracted from raw images. Non-specific staining was arithmetically subtracted. Images were median filtered (value 1.5), and individual cells were analysed for co-localization using Mander’s correlation coefficient with threshold set as described earlier (Pollock et al, 2010). As a negative control the Mander’s coefficient was determined for co-localization of the protein of interest with the nuclear stain DRAQ5. Positive control (set to 100% co-localization) for Mander’s coefficient was determined by using one primary antibody and two secondary antibodies tagged with AlexaFluor-488- and -543 against the primary antibody. Student’s t-test was used to determine statistical significance between conditions. PDM images were obtained by processing raw images for shot noise, background subtraction by median filter, thresholding (as mentioned above) and using Intensity correlation analysis (ICA) plugin (with crosshair of 3 pixels). Pseudo-colouring was done by changing LUTs to magenta and green. Segmented line ROIs were drawn on linear structures on one channel and profile plots were made for corresponding channels. The line plots for indicating LS174T-W4 cells and tissue were made by connecting dots of means with SEM error bars in Graphpad prism. Fluorescent intensities of pERM in apical brush borders were quantified by making ROIs around the caps in the corresponding actin channels and mean fluorescent intensity quantified.

**Electron microscopy**

Freshly obtained biopsy samples were processed for transmission electron microscopy as described (Szperl et al, 2011). For scanning electron microscopy, cells were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate overnight at 4°C and post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M cacodylate buffer at room temperature for 1 h. After dehydration samples were critical-point dried from carbon dioxide and sputter-coated with 5 nm palladium/gold, and imaged at 2KV using a JEOL-JSM6301F scanning electron microscope.
Myosin Vb knockdown and rescue experiments

The shRNA target sequence, region 2333-2351 of human MYO5B cDNA (target sequence GGCTGCAGAAGGTGAAATA) was cloned into the shRNA expression vector. A target sequence in the Luciferase gene was used as a control. For rescue experiments, full length human MYO5B was cloned after which the shRNA target sequence was mutated (5’-ggctTcaAaa AgtTaaata-3’, mutations in capitals) by site-directed mutagenesis (QuickChange, Agilent Technologies). ShRNA-resistant MYO5B cDNA was introduced into the lentiviral expression vector pLenti-CMV/TO-Hygro-DEST, by recombination. DNA sequencing was performed at each step of cloning (ServiceXS, the Netherlands). PCR reactions were performed according to manufacturer’s instructions for Vent DNA polymerase (NEB). The cloned MYO5B gene does not contain ExonD.

For the production of lentivirus HEK293T cells were plated onto poly-L-Lysine-coated plates in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% sodium pyruvate. Cells were transfected with CMVdR8.1, VSV-G, and pMID-i-2 using CaCl2 and Hank’s balanced salt solution. Medium was changed after 17 h. After 24 h virus-containing medium was collected, filtered and stored at -80°C. Caco-2 cells were transduced with lentivirus diluted in DMEM with 10% FCS. Expression of GFP in cells was indicative for successful transduction, as also evidenced by reduced myosin Vb mRNA. In other experiments, Caco-2 cells were plated on Transwell filters (Corning, 0.4-micron pore size) and 48 h later transduced with virus in the presence of polybrene for 16 h, and cultured for another 4 days. LS417T-W4 cells were transduced with lentivirus for knockdown (supplementary Fig. S1A) on two consecutive days and after 48 hours trypsinized and plated in the presence (or absence) of doxycycline for 16 h.

Cell Transfection

LS174T-W4 cells were transfected with EGFP-rab11a-WT, EGFP-rab11a-DN or EGFP-rab11a-CA mutants (gift from dr. R.E. Pagano, Mayo Clinic and Foundation, Rochester, MN/USA, and described in (Choudhury et al, 2002)) using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocols.

qRT-PCR

RNA was extracted from cells following the manufacturer’s instructions (Invisorb Spin Cell RNA mini kit, Westburg). cDNA was synthesized using poly-dT primer and SuperscriptII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. qRT primers were designed by using Primer-3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu) (Table S1). Reactions were run on an ABI7500 (Applied Biosystems). Cycling conditions comprised 15 min polymerase activation at 95°C and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 30 sec.

Western blot analysis

Cells were lysed using ice-cold lysis buffer (RIPA: 150mM sodium chloride, 1% Triton X 100, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50mM Tris pH 8.0) with protease inhibitors (Sigma).
Protein concentration was determined (Biorad). For Western blotting of phospho-ERM or phospho-aPKCi cells were lysed by directly adding 100ul of 2XSDS-Laemlli buffer on ice for 10 min, after which the lysate was boiled for 5 min, centrifuged at 13.000 RPM and stored at -80°C. 30-50µg protein were separated on a 7.5% acrylamide gel and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin blocking solution for 1 h, incubated with primary appropriate secondary antibodies, and analyzed on an Odyssey Licor infrared scanner.
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## Supplementary Information

### Supplementary Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>company</th>
<th>dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ezrin</td>
<td>TebuBio</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T567-P ERM</td>
<td>Cell Signalling</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mst4</td>
<td>Epitomics</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab11a</td>
<td>BD Bioscience</td>
<td>1:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab8</td>
<td>Abnova</td>
<td>1:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPKCi</td>
<td>BD Bioscience</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T555-P PKCi</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giantin</td>
<td>Covance</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin receptor</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM130</td>
<td>BD Bioscience</td>
<td>1:200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488- and -543-conjugated secondary antibodies</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRAQ5 was from Cell Signaling (1:5000).
**Supplementary Figure 1.**
Analysis of colocalization between MST4 (red) and rab8 (green) in control patient. Enlargement of the boxed areas are shown on the right. Mst was pseudo-colored magenta. White color in the merged image is indicative for colocalization. Positive (+)PDM image is shown. Asterisk indicate the position of the intestinal lumen. Chromatin (blue) is stained with DRAQ5. Scale bar 10µm.

**Supplementary Figure 2.**
Analysis of colocalization between aPKCi or aPKC1-T555 (red) with ezrin or actin (green), respectively, in control patient. Asterisk indicates the position of the intestinal lumen. Chromatin (blue) is stained with DRAQ5. Scale bar 10µm.

**Supplementary Figure 3.**
Codistribution of MST4, aPKCi and T567-phospho-ERM (all in red) with rab11a (in green) in non-induced (-Dox) LS174T-W4 cells (arrows point to areas of codistribution). For MST4/ rab11a and aPKC1-rab11a, PDM images and positive (+)PDM images are presented. Scale bar 5µm.
**Supplementary Figure 4.**

A) Immunolabeling for actin (red) and tubulin (green) and the merged images in control and nocodazole (noco)-treated doxycycline (+dox)-induced LS174T-W4 cells. Insert shows high magnification of the boxed area. Arrows point to tubular tubulin staining (top row) and fragmented tubulin staining (bottom row). Scale bar 5µm. B) Quantification of the percentage of cells with a distinguishable p-ERM cap (left) and quantification of the intensity of pERM staining (normalized to actin) (right) in control (cont) and nocodazole (noco)-treated cells. C) Quantification of the percentage of cells with a distinguishable p-ERM cap in control and BFA-treated cells.

**Supplementary Figure 5.**

Myosin Vb mRNA expression level in LS174T-W4 (A) and Caco-2 (B) cells (parental, infected with lentivirus expressing shRNA against myosin Vb, and double infected with lentivirus expressing shRNA against myosin Vb and lentivirus expressing a shRNA-resistant wild type full length MYO5B).
Supplementary Figure 6. Analysis of colocalization between PDK1 and rab11a in LS174T-W4 cells. PDK1 (blue) and rab11a (red) in doxycycline-stimulated LS174T-W4 cells transduced with shRNA against myosin Vb. Infected cells (infect) and non-infected cells (uninfect) are GFP-positive (green) and GFP-negative, respectively. Arrow points to the area at the cortex where PDK1 and rab11a colocalize. Also PDM and positive (+)PDM images are shown. Scale bar 5µm.

Supplementary Figure 7. Shown are the control (GFP-negative) cells of cultures treated with shRNA against myosin Vb. In these cells the polarized distribution of rab11a, MST4 and aPKCi, and pERM are comparable to non-treated cells. Also PDM and positive (+)PDM images are shown. Scale bar 5µm.
Supplementary Figure 8. Fluorescence intensity of rab11a, MST4, PDK1, aPKCi-T555 with p-ERM along the cell perimeter of GFP-negative (un-infected) and GFP-positive (infected) LS174T-W4 cells after lentivirus treatment. Line plots show average of minimal 5 cells per condition. Note the loss of the clear peak of fluorescence intensity in the infected (myosin Vb knockdown) cells as opposed to the un-infected (control) cells, indicating inhibition of the polarized distribution of the proteins at the cell cortex.
Supplementary Figure 9. Analysis of colocalization between MST4 and transferrin receptor (TfR, A), rab8a (B) or GM130 (C) in MVID enterocytes. A) MST4 (red) and TfR (green) in MVID patient. Enlargement of the boxed areas are shown on the right where MST4 is pseudo-colored magenta and also positive (+) PDM images are shown. B) MST4 (red) and rab8a (green) in MVID patient. Enlargement of the boxed areas are shown on the right where MST4 is pseudo-colored magenta and also positive (+) PDM images are shown. C) MST4 (red) and GM130 (green) in MVID patient. Enlargement of the boxed areas are shown on the right where MST4 is pseudo-colored magenta and also positive (+) PDM images are shown. Asterisks indicate the position of the intestinal lumen. Chromatin (blue) is stained with DRAQ5.
Supplementary Figure 10. Distribution of aPKC (red; A) and aPKC-T555 (red; B) and ezrin or actin, respectively (green) in MVID patient enterocytes. C) Analysis of colocalization between Rab11a and PDK1 in MVID enterocytes. PDK1 (red, magenta) and Rab11a (green) in MVID patient. Enlargement of the boxed areas are shown on the right where PDK1 is pseudo-colored magenta. Positive (+) PDM images indicate areas of colocalization. Boxed area in the merged panel is enlarged and line plots show fluorescence intensity of the two proteins. Chromatin (blue) is stained with DRAQ5.

Supplementary Figure 11. Cartoon depicting model. See main text for full explanation.