

University of Groningen

Understanding acts of creativity

Tolkamp, Gerben

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.225413600](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.225413600)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2022

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Tolkamp, G. (2022). *Understanding acts of creativity: an Examination of the Creative Process through three different conceptual lenses*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen, SOM research school. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.225413600>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, A. (1995). Sequence Analysis: New Methods for Old Ideas. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 21, 93–113. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.21.080195.000521>
- Acar, O., Tarakci, M., & Van Knippenberg, D. (2019). Creativity and innovation under constraints: A cross-disciplinary integrative review. *Journal of Management*, 45, 96–121. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206318805832>
- Aguinis, H., & Bakker, R. M. (2021). Time is of the essence: Improving the conceptualization and measurement of time. *Human Resource Management Review*, 31, 100763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100763>
- Aguinis, H., Villamor, I., & Ramani, R. S. (2021). MTurk Research: Review and Recommendations. *Journal of Management*, 47, 823–837. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320969787>
- Aisenbrey, S., & Fasang, A. E. (2010). New Life for Old Ideas: The “Second Wave” of Sequence Analysis Bringing the “Course” Back Into the Life Course: *Sociological Methods & Research*, 38, 420–462. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124109357532>
- Akgün, A. E., Keskin, H., Lynn, G., & Dogan, D. (2012). Antecedents and consequences of team sensemaking capability in product development projects. *R&D Management*, 42(5), 473–493. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9310.2012.00696.x>
- Amabile, T. M. (1983). The social psychology of creativity: A componential conceptualization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 357–376. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.45.2.357>
- Amabile, T. M. (1997). On doing what you love and loving what you do. *California Management Review*, 40, 39–58. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/41165921>
- Amabile, T. M., Barsade, S. G., Mueller, J. S., & Staw, B. M. (2005). Affect and creativity at work. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50, 367–403.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.2005.50.3.367>

Amabile, T. M., Conti, R., Coon, H., Lazenby, J., & Herron, M. (1996). Assessing the work environment for creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, *39*, 1154–1184.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/256995>

Ancona, D. G., Goodman, P. S., Lawrence, B. S., & Tushman, M. L. (2001). Time: A New Research Lens. *Academy of Management Review*, *26*, 645–663.

<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.5393903>

Anderson, N., Potočnik, K., & Zhou, J. (2014). Innovation and Creativity in Organizations: A State-of-the-Science Review, Prospective Commentary, and Guiding Framework.

Journal of Management, *40*, 1297–1333. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314527128>

Anseel, F., Lievens, F., Schollaert, E., & Choragwicka, B. (2010). Response Rates in Organizational Science, 1995–2008: A Meta-analytic Review and Guidelines for Survey Researchers. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, *25*, 335–349.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-010-9157-6>

Baer, J., & Kaufman, J. C. (2008). Gender Differences in Creativity. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, *42*, 75–105. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.2008.tb01289.x>

Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Euwema, M. C. (2005). Job resources buffer the impact of job demands on burnout. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *10*, 170–180.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.10.2.170>

Barends, A. J., & De Vries, R. E. (2019). Noncompliant responding: Comparing exclusion criteria in MTurk personality research to improve data quality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *143*, 84–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2019.103856>

Basadur, M. (1995). Optimal Ideation-Evaluation Ratios. *Creativity Research Journal*, *8*, 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj0801_5

Basadur, M., & Head, M. (2001). Team performance and satisfaction: A link to cognitive

- style within a process framework. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 35(4), 227–248.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.2001.tb01048.x>
- Batey, M. (2012). The Measurement of Creativity: From Definitional Consensus to the Introduction of a New Heuristic Framework. *Creativity Research Journal*, 24, 55–65.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2012.649181>
- Beal, D. J., Weiss, H. M., Barros, E., & MacDermid, S. M. (2005). An episodic process model of affective influences on performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90, 1054–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.6.1054>
- Becker, T. E., Atinc, G., Breaugh, J. A., Edwards, J. R., & Spector, P. E. (2016). Statistical control in correlational studies: 10 essential recommendations for organizational researchers. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37, 157–167.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2053>
- Beghetto, R. A., & Corazza, G. E. (2019). *Dynamic perspectives on creativity: New directions for theory, research, and practice in education*. Springer Nature.
- Beghetto, R. A., & Karwowski, M. (2019). Unfreezing Creativity: A Dynamic Micro-longitudinal Approach. In *Dynamic perspectives on creativity: New directions for theory, research, and practice in education* (pp. 7–25). Springer Nature.
- Benedek, M., Jauk, E., Sommer, M., Arendasy, M., & Neubauer, A. C. (2014). Intelligence, creativity, and cognitive control: The common and differential involvement of executive functions in intelligence and creativity. *Intelligence*, 46, 73–83.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2014.05.007>
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 238–246. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.107.2.238>
- Bink, M. L., & Marsh, R. L. (2000). Cognitive Regularities in Creative Activity. *Review of General Psychology*, 4, 59–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.4.1.59>

- Binnewies, C., Ohly, S., & Sonnentag, S. (2007). Taking personal initiative and communicating about ideas: What is important for the creative process and for idea creativity? *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 16*, 432–455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320701514728>
- Binnewies, C., & Wörnlein, S. C. (2011). What makes a creative day? A diary study on the interplay between affect, job stressors, and job control. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 32*, 589–607. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.731>
- Bledow, R., Rosing, K., & Frese, M. (2013). A dynamic perspective on affect and creativity. *Academy of Management Journal, 56*, 432–450. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0894>
- Boldt, G. (2019). Artistic creativity beyond divergent thinking: Analysing sequences in creative subprocesses. *Thinking Skills and Creativity, 34*, 100606. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2019.100606>
- Botella, M., & Lubart, T. I. (2019). From Dynamic Processes to a Dynamic Creative Process. In *Dynamic perspectives on creativity: New directions for theory, research, and practice in education* (pp. 261–278). Springer Nature.
- Botella, M., Zenasni, F., & Lubart, T. (2011). A Dynamic and Ecological Approach to the Artistic Creative Process of Arts Students: An Empirical Contribution: *Empirical Studies of the Arts, 29*, 17–38. <https://doi.org/10.2190/em.29.1.b>
- Bujacz, A., Dunne, S., Fink, D., Gatej, A. R., Karlsson, E., Ruberti, V., & Wronska, M. K. (2016). Why Do We Enjoy Creative Tasks? Results from a Multigroup Randomized Controlled Study. *Thinking Skills and Creativity, 19*, 188–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2015.11.002>
- Busse, T. V., & Mansfield, R. S. (1980). Theories of the creative process: A review and a perspective. *The Journal of Creative Behavior, 14*, 91–103.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.1980.tb00232.x>

- Byrne, B. M. (1994). *Structural equation modeling with EQS and EQS/Windows: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. Sage.
- Cacioppo, J. T., Petty, R. E., Feinstein, J. A., & Jarvis, W. B. G. (1996). Dispositional differences in cognitive motivation: The life and times of individuals varying in need for cognition. *Psychological Bulletin*, *119*(2), 197–253. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.119.2.197>
- Caniëls, M. C. J. (2019). Proactivity and supervisor support in creative process engagement. *European Management Journal*, *37*, 188–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2018.04.002>
- Carmeli, A., & Schaubroeck, J. (2007). The influence of leaders' and other referents' normative expectations on individual involvement in creative work. *Leadership Quarterly*, *18*, 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.11.001>
- Chater, N., & Loewenstein, G. (2016). The under-appreciated drive for sense-making. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, *126*, 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2015.10.016>
- Chermahini, S. A., & Hommel, B. (2012). Creative mood swings: Divergent and convergent thinking affect mood in opposite ways. *Psychological Research*, *76*(5), 634–640. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00426-011-0358-z/figures/1>
- Comer, D. R. (1995). A Model of Social Loafing in Real Work Groups. *Human Relations*, *48*, 647–667. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679504800603>
- Conti, R., Collins, M. A., & Picariello, M. L. (2001). The impact of competition on intrinsic motivation and creativity: considering gender, gender segregation and gender role orientation. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *31*, 1273–1289. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(00\)00217-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(00)00217-8)
- Cropley, M., & Zijlstra, F. (2011). Work and rumination. In *Handbook of stress in the*

occupations (pp. 503–532).

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4337/9780857931153.00061>

- da Costa, C. G., Zhou, Q., & Ferreira, A. I. (2018). State and Trait Anger Predicting Creative Process Engagement-The Role of Emotion Regulation. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, *54*, 5–19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.236>
- Dasari, D., Shou, G., & Ding, L. (2017). ICA-Derived EEG correlates to mental fatigue, effort, and workload in a realistically simulated air traffic control task. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *11*, 297. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00297/bibtex>
- De Bloom, J., Kinnunen, U., & Korpela, K. (2015). Recovery processes during and after work. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *57*, 732–742. <https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0000000000000475>
- De Bloom, J., Ritter, S., Kühnel, J., Reinders, J., & Geurts, S. (2014). Vacation from work: A “ticket to creativity”? The effects of recreational travel on cognitive flexibility and originality. *Tourism Management*, *44*, 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.03.013>
- de Jonge, J., Spoor, E., Sonnentag, S., Dormann, C., & van den Tooren, M. (2012). “Take a break?!” Off-job recovery, job demands, and job resources as predictors of health, active learning, and creativity. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, *21*, 321–348. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2011.576009>
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., & Halbesleben, J. R. B. (2015). Productive and Counterproductive Job Crafting: A Daily Diary Study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *20*, 457–469. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039002>
- Diliello, T., Houghton, J., & Dawley, D. (2011). Narrowing the creativity gap: The moderating effects of perceived support for creativity. *The Journal of Psychology*, *145*, 151–172. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2010.548412>

- Drazin, R., Glynn, M. A., & Kazanjian, R. K. (1999). Multilevel Theorizing about Creativity in Organizations : A Sensemaking Perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, *24*, 286–307. <https://doi.org/10.2307/259083>
- Du, Y., Zhang, L., & Zhang, Z. (2019). Resources Matter: Combined Influence of Job Demands and Job Control on Creative Process Engagement. *The Journal of Psychology*, *153*, 141–160. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2018.1503588>
- Eisenberger, R. (1992). Learned industriousness. *Psychological Review*, *99*, 248–267. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.99.2.248>
- Eisenberger, R., & Rhoades, L. (2001). Incremental effects of reward on creativity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *81*, 728–741. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.81.4.728>
- Elsbach, K. D., & Hargadon, A. B. (2006). Enhancing Creativity Through “Mindless” Work: A Framework of Workday Design. *Organization Science*, *17*, 470–483. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1060.0193>
- Eschleman, K., Madsen, J., Alarcon, G., & Barelka, A. (2014). Benefiting from creative activity: The positive relationships between creative activity, recovery experiences, and performance-related outcomes. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, *87*, 579–598. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12064>
- Feather, N. T. (1982). *Expectations and actions: Expectancy-value models in psychology*. Routledge.
- Filstad, C. (2014). The politics of sensemaking and sensegiving at work. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, *26*(1), 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-03-2012-0016>
- Finke, R. A., Ward, T. B., & Smith S. M. (1992). *Creative cognition: Theory, research and applications*. The MIT Press.
- Fisher, C. D., & Noble, C. S. (2004). A within-person examination of correlates of

- performance and emotions while working. *Human Performance*, 17, 145–168.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327043hup1702_2
- Foldy, E. G., Goldman, L., & Ospina, S. (2008). Sensegiving and the role of cognitive shifts in the work of leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 19, 514–529.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2008.07.004>
- Ford. (1996). A Theory of individual creative action in multiple social domains. *Academy of Management Review*, 21, 1112–1142. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/259166>
- Fowler, M., & Highsmith, J. (2001). The agile manifesto. *Software Development*, 8, 28–35.
- Friedrich, T. L., & Mumford, M. D. (2009). The effects of conflicting information on creative thought: A source of performance improvements or decrements? *Creativity Research Journal*, 21, 265–281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400410902861430>
- Fürst, G., Ghisletta, P., & Lubart, Todd I. (2012). The Creative Process in Visual Art: A Longitudinal Multivariate Study. *Creativity Research Journal*, 24, 283–295.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2012.729999>
- Fürst, G., Ghisletta, P., & Lubart, T. I. (2017). An experimental study of the creative process in writing. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 11, 202–215.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000106>
- Gabardinho, A., Ritschard, G., Studer, M., & Müller, N. S. (2009). Extracting and Rendering Representative Sequences. *International Joint Conference on Knowledge Discovery, Knowledge Engineering, and Knowledge Management*, 94–106.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19032-2_7
- George, J. M., & Jones, G. R. (2000). The Role of Time in Theory and Theory Building: *Journal of Management*, 26, 657–684. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920630002600404>
- Gilson, L. L., Lim, H. S., D’Innocenzo, L., & Moye, N. (2012). One Size Does Not Fit All: Managing Radical and Incremental Creativity. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 46(3),

- 168–191. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.12>
- Gilson, L. L., & Madjar, N. (2011). Radical and Incremental Creativity: Antecedents and Processes. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 5, 21–28.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017863>
- Gilson, L. L., & Shalley, C. E. (2004). A little creativity goes a long way: An examination of teams' engagement in creative processes. *Journal of Management*, 30, 453–470.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2003.07.001>
- Gombert, L., Rivkin, W., & Schmidt, K. H. (2020). Indirect effects of Daily Self-Control Demands on Subjective Vitality via Ego Depletion – How Daily Psychological Detachment Pays Off. *Applied Psychology*, 69, 325–250.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12172>
- Goncalo, J. A., Vincent, L. C., & Audia, P. G. (2010). Early creativity as a constraint on future achievement. In D. Cropley, A. Cropley, J. Kaufman, & M. Runco (Eds.), *The dark side of creativity* (pp. 114–133). Cambridge University Press.
- Guay, F., Vallerand, R. J., & Blanchard, C. (2000). On the assessment of situational intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. *Motivation and Emotion*, 24, 175–213.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005614228250>
- Guilford, J. P. (1967). *The nature of human intelligence*. McGraw-Hill.
- Gupta, S., & Jain, S. K. (2013). A literature review of lean manufacturing. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*, 8(4), 241–249.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2013.825074>
- Hackman, R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16, 250–279.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(76\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7)
- Harms, M., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Derrick, D. C. (2020). The role of information search in

- creative problem solving. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 14, 367–380. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000212>
- Harrison, S. H., & Wagner, D. T. (2016). Spilling outside the box: The effects of individuals' creative behaviors at work on time spent with their spouses at home. *Academy of Management Journal*, 59, 841–859. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2013.0560>
- Henker, N., Sonnentag, S., & Unger, D. (2015). Transformational Leadership and Employee Creativity: The Mediating Role of Promotion Focus and Creative Process Engagement. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 30(2), 235–247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-014-9348-7>
- Hennessey, B. A., & Amabile, T. M. (1998). Reality, intrinsic motivation, and creativity. *American Psychologist*, 53(6), 674–675. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.53.6.674>
- Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist*, 44, 513–524. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513>
- Hobfoll, S. E. (2002). Social and Psychological Resources and Adaptation. *Review of General Psychology*, 6, 307–324. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.6.4.307>
- Hobfoll, S. E., Halbesleben, J., Neveu, J.-P., & Westman, M. (2018). Conservation of Resources in the Organizational Context: The Reality of Resources and Their Consequences. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 5, 103–128. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032117-104640>
- Hockey, G. R. J. (1997). Compensatory control in the regulation of human performance under stress and high workload: A cognitive-energetical framework. *Biological Psychology*, 45, 73–93. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0511\(96\)05223-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0511(96)05223-4)
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A*

Multidisciplinary Journal, 6, 1–55.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>

Hunter, S. T., Bedell-Avers, K. E., Hunsicker, C. M., Mumford, M. D., & Ligon, G. S.

(2008). Applying Multiple Knowledge Structures in Creative Thought: Effects on Idea Generation and Problem-Solving. *Creativity Research Journal*, 20, 137–154.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10400410802088779>

Illies, J. J., & Reiter-Palmon, R. (2004). The effects of type and level of personal

involvement on information search and problem solving. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 34, 1709–1729. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2004.tb02794.x>

Karasek, R. A. (1979). Job Demands, Job Decision Latitude, and Mental Strain: Implications for Job Redesign. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24, 285.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2392498>

Karwowski, M., Lebuda, I., Szumski, G., & Firkowska-Mankiewicz, A. (2017). From

moment-to-moment to day-to-day: Experience sampling and diary investigations in adults' everyday creativity. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 11(3),

309–324. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000127>

Kaufman, J. C. (2011). *Individual differences in creativity*. Wiley Blackwell.

Keele, L., & Kelly, N. J. (2006). Dynamic Models for Dynamic Theories: The Ins and Outs of Lagged Dependent Variables. *Political Analysis*, 14, 186–205.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpj006>

Kennedy, R., Clifford, S., Burleigh, T., Waggoner, P. D., Jewell, R., & Winter, N. J. G.

(2021). The shape of and solutions to the MTurk quality crisis. *Cambridge.Org*.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2020.6>

Kline, R. B. (1998). Software review: Software programs for structural equation modeling:

Amos, EQS, and LISREL. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 16, 343–364.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/073428299801600407>

Kozhevnikov, M. (2007). Cognitive Styles in the Context of Modern Psychology: Toward an Integrated Framework of Cognitive Style. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133, 464–481.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.3.464>

Kruglanski, A. W. (1990). Lay Epistemic Theory in Social-Cognitive Psychology. In *Psychological Inquiry* (Vol. 1, pp. 181–197).

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0103_1

Kühnel, J., De Bloom, J., & Bledow, R. (2017). Take a Break! Benefits of Sleep and Short Breaks for Daily Work Engagement. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 26(4), 481–491. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2016.1269750>

Li, C. R., Yang, Y., Lin, C. J., & Liu, J. (2020). The complex within-person relationship between individual creative expression and subsequent creative process engagement. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 29, 822–840.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2020.1787382>

Lim, J., Wu, W. chau, Wang, J., Detre, J. A., Dinges, D. F., & Rao, H. (2010). Imaging Brain Fatigue from Sustained Mental Workload: An ASL Perfusion Study of the Time-On-Task Effect. *NeuroImage*, 49, 3426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.020>

Liu, H., Ji, Y., & Dust, S. B. (2021). “Fully Recharged” Evenings? The Effect of Evening Cyber Leisure on Next-Day Vitality and Performance through Sleep Quantity and Quality, Bedtime Procrastination, and Psychological Detachment, and the Moderating Role of Mindfulness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106, 990–1006.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000818>

Liu, Y., Vriend, T., & Janssen, O. (2019). To Be (Creative), or not to Be (Creative)? A Sensemaking Perspective to Creative Role Expectations. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-019-09669-0>

- Lubart, T. I. (2001). Models of the creative process: Past, present and future. *Creativity Research Journal*, *13*, 295–308. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj1334>
- Lubart, T. I. (2009). In search of the writer's creative process. In *The psychology of creative writing*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lubart, T. I., & Guignard, J.-H. (2004). The Generality-Specificity of Creativity: A Multivariate Approach. In *Creativity: From potential to realization*. (pp. 43–56). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10692-004>
- Lucas, B. J., & Nordgren, L. F. (2015). People Underestimate the Value of Persistence for Creative Performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *109*, 232–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000030>
- Lucas, B. J., & Nordgren, L. F. (2020). The creative cliff illusion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(33), 19830–19836. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2005620117/-/dcsupplemental>
- Ma, H. H. (2009). The effect size of variables associated with creativity: A meta-analysis. *Creativity Research Journal*, *21*, 30–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400410802633400>
- Madjar, N., Greenberg, E., & Chen, Z. (2011). Factors for Radical Creativity, Incremental Creativity, and Routine, Noncreative Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *96*, 730–743. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022416>
- Mahmood, M., Uddin, M. A., & Fan, L. (2019). The influence of transformational leadership on employees' creative process engagement: A multi-level analysis. *Management Decision*, *57*, 741–764. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-07-2017-0707>
- Maitlis, S. (2005). the Social Processes of Organizational Sensemaking. *Academy of Management Journal*, *48*(1), 21–49. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2005.15993111>
- Malhotra, N. K., Kim, S. S., & Patil, A. (2006). Common method variance in IS research: A

- comparison of alternative approaches and a reanalysis of past research. *Management Science*, 52(12), 1865–1883. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0597>
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (1987). Validation of the five-factor model of personality across instruments and observers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 81–90. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.1.81>
- McKay, A. S., & Gutworth, M. B. (2021). Temporal individual differences and creativity: An exploratory investigation. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 15, 295–312. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000292>
- Medeiros, K. E., Partlow, P. J., & Mumford, M. D. (2014). Not too much, not too little: The influence of constraints on creative problem solving. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 8, 198–210. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036210>
- Medsker, G. J., Williams, L. J., & Holahan, P. J. (1994). A review of current practices for evaluating causal models in organizational behavior and human resources management research. *Journal of Management*, 20, 439–464. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-2063\(94\)90022-1](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-2063(94)90022-1)
- Meijman, T. F. (1997). Mental fatigue and the efficiency of information processing in relation to work times. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 20, 31–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8141\(96\)00029-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8141(96)00029-7)
- Meijman, T. F., & Mulder, G. (1998). Psychological aspects of workload. In *A handbook of work and organizational psychology*. (pp. 15–44). Psychology Press.
- Montag, T., Maertz, C. P., & Baer, M. (2012). A Critical Analysis of the Workplace Creativity Criterion Space. *Journal of Management*, 38, 1362–1386. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206312441835>
- Morgeson, F. P., & Humphrey, S. E. (2006). The Work Design Questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and validating a comprehensive measure for assessing job design and the

- nature of work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91, 1321–1339.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.6.1321>
- Mumford, M. D., Antes, A. L., Caughron, J. J., Connelly, S., & Beeler, C. (2010). Cross-field differences in creative problem-solving skills: A comparison of health, biological, and social sciences. *Creativity Research Journal*, 22, 14–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10400410903579510>
- Mumford, M. D., Baughman, W. A., Supinski, E. P., & Maher, M. A. (1996). Process-Based Measures of Creative Problem-Solving Skills: II. Information Encoding. *Creativity Research Journal*, 9, 77–88. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj0901_7
- Mumford, M. D., Baughman, W. A., Threlfall, K. V., Supinski, E. P., & Costanza, D. P. (1996). Process-based measures of creative problem-solving skills: I. Problem construction. *Creativity Research Journal*, 9, 63–76.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj0901_6
- Mumford, M. D., & Gustafson, S. B. (1988). Creativity Syndrome: Integration, Application, and Innovation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103, 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.103.1.27>
- Mumford, M. D., Lonergan, D. C., & Scott, G. (2002). Evaluating creative ideas: Processes, standards, and context. *Inquiry: Critical Thinking across the Disciplines*, 22, 21–30.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5840/inquiryctnews20022213>
- Mumford, M. D., Medeiros, K. E., & Partlow, P. J. (2012). Creative thinking: Processes, strategies, and knowledge. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 46, 30–47.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.003>
- Mumford, M. D., Mobley, M. I., Reiter-Palmon, R., Uhlman, C. E., & Doares, L. M. (1991). Process Analytic Models of Creative Capacities. *Creativity Research Journal*, 4, 91–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419109534380>

- Mumford, M. D., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Redmond, M. R. (1994). Problem Construction and Cognition: Applying Problem Representations in Ill-Defined Domains. In M. A. Runco (Ed.), *Problem Finding, Problem Solving and creativity* (pp. 3–39). Wiley.
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. (2019). *Mplus. The comprehensive modelling program for applied researchers: user's guide, 5*.
- Neuberg, S. L., & Newsom, J. T. (1993). Personal Need for Structure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 65*, 113–131. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.65.1.113>
- Ng, T. W. H., & Feldman, D. C. (2008). The relationship of age to ten dimensions of job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 93*, 392–423. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.2.392>
- Nijstad, B. A., De Dreu, C. K. W., Rietzschel, E. F., & Baas, M. (2010a). The dual pathway to creativity model: Creative ideation as a function of flexibility and persistence. *European Review of Social Psychology, 21*, 34–77. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463281003765323>
- Nijstad, B. A., De Dreu, C. K. W., Rietzschel, E. F., & Baas, M. (2010b). The dual pathway to creativity model: Creative ideation as a function of flexibility and persistence. *European Review of Social Psychology, 21*(1), 34–77. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463281003765323>
- Ohly, S., Sonnentag, S., Niessen, C., & Zapf, D. (2010). Diary studies in organizational research : an introduction and some practical recommendations. *Journal of Personnel Psychology, 9*, 79–93. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1866-5888/a000009>
- Osborn, A. F. (1953). *Applied imagination*. Scribner's. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1954-05646-000>
- Perry-Smith, J. E., & Mannucci, P. V. (2017). From creativity to innovation: The social

- network drivers of the four phases of the idea journey. *Academy of Management Review*, 42, 53–79. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0462>
- Petty, R. E., Harkins, S. G., Williams, K. D., & Latane, B. (1977). The effects of group size on cognitive effort and evaluation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 3, 579–582. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/014616727700300406>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 879. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63, 539–569. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100452>
- Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2012). Advantages of Monte Carlo Confidence Intervals for Indirect Effects. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 6(2), 77–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2012.679848>
- Pringle, A., & Sowden, P. T. (2017). Unearthing the creative thinking process: Fresh insights from a think-aloud study of garden design. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 11, 344–358. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000144>
- Redmond, M. R., Mumford, M. D., & Teach, R. (1993). Putting creativity to work: Effects of leader behavior on subordinate creativity. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 55, 120–151. [https://doi.org/Redmond, M. R., Mumford, M. D., & Teach, R. \(1993\).](https://doi.org/Redmond, M. R., Mumford, M. D., & Teach, R. (1993).)
- Reiter-Palmon, R., Forthmann, B., & Barbot, B. (2019). Scoring divergent thinking tests: A review and systematic framework. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 13, 144–152. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000227>

- Reiter-Palmon, R., & Illies, J. J. (2004). Leadership and creativity: Understanding leadership from a creative problem-solving perspective. *Leadership Quarterly, 15*, 55–77.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.12.005>
- Reiter-Palmon, R., Illies, M. Y., Kobe Cross, L., Buboltz, C. B., & Nimps, T. (2009). Creativity and Domain Specificity: The Effect of Task Type on Multiple Indexes of Creative Problem-Solving. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 3*, 73–80.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013410>
- Reiter-Palmon, R., Mumford, M. D., O'Connor-Boes, J., & Runco, M. A. (1997). Problem construction and creativity: The role of ability, cue consistency, and active processing. *Creativity Research Journal, 10*, 9–23.
- Reiter-Palmon, R., & Robinson, E. J. (2009). Problem Identification and Construction: What Do We Know, What Is the Future? *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 3*, 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014629>
- Rickards, T. (1999). Brainstorming Revisited: A Question of Context. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 91*–110. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2370.00006>
- Rietzschel, E. F., Nijstad, B. A., & Stroebe, W. (2007). Relative accessibility of domain knowledge and creativity: The effects of knowledge activation on the quantity and originality of generated ideas. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 43*, 933–946.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2006.10.014>
- Rogers, W. (1994). Regression standard errors in clustered samples. *Stata Technical Bulletin, 3*, 19–23.
https://econpapers.repec.org/article/tsjstbull/y_3a1994_3av_3a3_3ai_3a13_3asg17.htm
- Rosing, K., Bledow, R., Frese, M., Baytalskaya, N., Lascano, J. J., & Farr, J. L. (2018). The temporal pattern of creativity and implementation in teams. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 91*, 798–822. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12226>

- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02>
- Rubenstein, L. D. V., Callan, G. L., Neumeister, K. S., Ridgley, L. M., & Hernández Finch, M. (2020). How problem identification strategies influence creativity outcomes. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 60, 101840. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEDPSYCH.2020.101840>
- Runco, M. A. (2019). Creativity as a Dynamic, Personal, Parsimonious Process. In *Dynamic perspectives on creativity: New directions for theory, research, and practice in education* (pp. 181–188). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99163-4_10
- Runco, M. A., & Chand, I. (1995). Cognition and creativity. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7, 243–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02213373>
- Runco, M. R., Illies, J. J., & Eisenman, R. (2005). Creativity, originality, and appropriateness: What do explicit instructions tell us about their relationships? *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 39, 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2162-6057.2005.tb01255.x>
- Schulberg, D. (1999). Chaos theory and creativity. In *Encyclopedia of creativity*. Academic Press.
- Schwaber, K. (1997). Scrum Development Process. In *Business Object Design and Implementation* (pp. 117–134). Springer London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0947-1_11
- Scott, G., Leritz, L. E., & Mumford, M. D. (2004). The Effectiveness of Creativity Training: A Quantitative Review. *Creativity Research Journal*, 16, 361–388. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326934crj1604_1
- Scott, S. G., & Bruce, R. A. (1994). Determinants of Innovative Behavior: A Path Model of Individual Innovation in the Workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37, 580–

607. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256701>

- Seo, M. G., Bartunek, J. M., & Barrett, L. F. (2010). The role of affective experience in work motivation: Test of a conceptual model. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 31*, 951–968.
- Shalley, Christina E., Gilson, Lucy L., & Blum, T. C. (2009). Interactive effects of growth need strength, work context, and job complexity on self-reported creative performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 52*, 489–505.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2009.41330806>
- Shalley, C. E., & Gilson, L. L. (2004). What leaders need to know: A review of social and contextual factors that can foster or hinder creativity. *Leadership Quarterly, 15*, 33–53.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.12.004>
- Shalley, C. E., Zhou, J., & Oldham, G. R. (2004). The effects of personal and contextual characteristics on creativity: Where should we go from here? *Journal of Management, 30*, 933–958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.06.007>
- Shotter, J. (1993). *Conversational realities: Constructing life through language*. Sage.
- Silvia, P. J., Beaty, R. E., Nusbaum, E. C., Eddington, K. M., Levin-Aspensson, H., & Kwapil, T. R. (2014). Everyday Creativity in Daily Life: An Experience-Sampling Study of “Little c” Creativity. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 8*, 183–188.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035722>
- Simonton, D. K. (1997). Creative Productivity: A Predictive and Explanatory Model of Career Trajectories and Landmarks. *Psychological Review, 104*(1), 66–89.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.104.1.66>
- Smerek, R. E. (2009). Sensemaking and Sensegiving: Leadership Processes of New College Presidents. (*Doctoral Dissertation*).
- Snijders, T. A. B., & Bosker, R. J. (2015). *Multilevel Analysis: an introduction to basic and*

- advanced multilevel modeling* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Sonnentag, S. (2011). Recovery from fatigue: The role of psychological detachment. In *Cognitive fatigue: Multidisciplinary perspectives on current research and future applications* (pp. 253–272). <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2010-06007-012>
- Sonnentag, S., Binnewies, C., & Mojza, E. J. (2010). Staying Well and Engaged When Demands Are High: The Role of Psychological Detachment. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 95*, 965–976. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020032>
- Sonnentag, S., & Fritz, C. (2015). Recovery from job stress: The stressor-detachment model as an integrative framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 36*, 72–103. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1924>
- Sonnentag, S., & Zijlstra, F. R. (2006). Job Characteristics and Off-Job Activities as Predictors of Need for Recovery, Well-Being, and Fatigue. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 91*, 330–350. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.2.330>
- Spector, P. E. (2019). Do not cross me: Optimizing the use of cross-sectional designs. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 34*, 125–137. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-018-09613-8>
- Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological Empowerment in the Workplace : Dimensions , Measurement , and Validation. *Academy of Management, 38*, 1442–1465. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/256865>
- Steed, L. B., Swider, B. W., Keem, S., & Liu, J. T. (2021). Leaving work at work: A meta-analysis on employee recovery from work. *Journal of Management, 47*, 867–897. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206319864153>
- Steele, L. M. (2019). Temporal Dynamics of Creativity and Motivation: What We Know, What We Do Not, and a Few Suggestions for Filling the Gap. In *Creativity and Innovation in Organizations* (pp. 105–136). Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315192598-6>

Steiger, J. H. (1998). A note on multiple sample extensions of the RMSEA fit index.

Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 5, 411–419.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519809540115>

Sternberg, R. J. (1988). A three-facet model of creativity. In *The nature of creativity:*

Contemporary psychological perspectives (pp. 125–147). Cambridge University Press.

Stroebe, W., Nijstad, B. A., & Rietzschel, E. F. (2010). Beyond Productivity Loss in

Brainstorming Groups. The Evolution of a Question. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 43, pp. 157–203). Academic Press Inc.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(10\)43004-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(10)43004-X)

Studer, M. (2013). *WeightedCluster library manual: A practical guide to creating typologies of trajectories in the social sciences with R*.

Studer, M., & Ritschard, G. (2016). What matters in differences between life trajectories: a

comparative review of sequence dissimilarity measures. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)*, 179, 481–511.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/rssa.12125>

Ten Brummelhuis, L. L., & Bakker, A. (2012). Staying Engaged During the Week: The

Effect of Off-Job Activities on Next Day Work Engagement. *American Psychologist*, 67, 545–556. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029213>

Tett, R. P., & Burnett, D. D. (2003). A Personality Trait-Based Interactionist Model of Job

Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 500–517.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.3.500>

Thompson, E. R. (2007). Development and validations of an internally reliable short-form of

the positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS). *Journal of Cross-Cultural*

Psychology, 38, 227–242. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022106297301>

- Thompson, M., Naccarato, M. E., Parker, K. C., & Moskowitz, G. B. (2013). The personal need for structure and personal fear of invalidity measures: Historical perspectives, current applications, and future directions. *Cognitive Social Psychology*, 25–45.
- Tierney, P., & Farmer, S. M. (2004). The Pygmalion process and employee creativity. *Journal of Management*, 30, 413–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2002.12.001>
- Tierney, P., Farmer, S. M., & Graen, G. B. (1999). An examination of leadership and employee creativity: The relevance of traits and relationships. *Personnel Psychology*, 52, 591–620. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1999.tb00173.x>
- To, M. L., Fisher, C. D., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2015). Unleashing angst: Negative mood, learning goal orientation, psychological empowerment and creative behaviour. *Human Relations*, 68(10), 1601–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726714562235>
- To, M. L., Fisher, C. D., Ashkanasy, N. M., & Rowe, P. A. (2012). Within-person relationships between mood and creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97, 599–612. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026097>
- Unsworth, K. L. (2001). Unpacking Creativity. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2), 289–297. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/259123>
- Unsworth, K. L., & Clegg, C. W. (2010). Why do employees undertake creative action? *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 83, 77–99. <https://doi.org/10.1348/096317908X398377>
- Vahle-Hinz, T., Mauno, S., De Bloom, J., & Kinnunen U. (2017). Rumination for innovation? Analysing the longitudinal effects of work-related rumination on creativity at work and off-job recovery. *Work and Stress*, 31, 315–337. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2017.1303761>
- Van Yperen, N. W., & Snijders, T. A. B. (2000). A multilevel analysis of the demands–control model: Is stress at work determined by factors at the group level or the

- individual level? *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5, 182–190.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.5.1.182>
- Volmer, J., Spurk, D., & Niessen, C. (2012). Leader-member exchange (LMX), job autonomy, and creative work involvement. *Leadership Quarterly*, 23, 456–465.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.10.005>
- Ward, T. B. (1994). Structured Imagination: The Role of Category Structure in Exemplar Generation. *Cognitive Psychology*, 27(1), 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.1994.1010>
- Ward, T. B. (2004). Cognition, creativity, and entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 19, 173–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(03\)00005-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(03)00005-3)
- Ward, T. B., Smith, S. M., & Vaid, J. E. (1997). *Creative thought: an investigation of conceptual structures and processes*. American Psychological Association.
- Watts, L. L., Steele, L. M., Medeiros, K. E., & Mumford, M. D. (2019). Minding the gap between generation and implementation: Effects of idea source, goals, and climate on selecting and refining creative ideas. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 13, 2–14. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000157>
- Webster, D. M., Richter, L., & Kruglanski, A. W. (1996). On Leaping to Conclusions When Feeling Tired: Mental Fatigue Effects on Impressional Primacy. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 32(2), 181–195.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/JESP.1996.0009>
- Weick, K. E. (1995). *Sensemaking in organizations* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Weinberger, E., Wach, D., Stephan, U., & Wegge, J. (2018). Having a creative day: Understanding entrepreneurs' daily idea generation through a recovery lens. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 33, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2017.09.001>
- Williams, L. J., & McGonagle, A. K. (2016). Four research designs and a comprehensive analysis strategy for investigating common method variance with self-report measures

- using latent variables. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 31(3), 339–359.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-015-9422-9>
- Woodman, R. W., Sawyer, J. E., & R.W., G. (1993). Toward a theory of organizational creativity. *Academy of Management Review*, 18(2), 293–321.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/258761>
- Wu, S. (2021). *Exploiting individual creative inputs for team creativity: a role differentiation approach*.
- Yuan, F., & Woodman, R. (2010). Innovative Behavior In The Workplace : The Role Of Performance And Image Outcome Expectations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53, 323–342. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.49388995>
- Zhang, X., & Bartol, K. M. (2010). Linking Empowering Leadership and Employee Creativity: the Influence of Psychological Empowerment, Intrinsic Motivation, and Creative Process Engagement. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 53, 107–128.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25684309>
- Zhang, Xiaomeng, & Bartol, K. M. (2010). The influence of creative process engagement on employee creative performance and overall job performance: A curvilinear assessment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95, 862–873. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020173>
- Zhou, J. (2003). When the Presence of Creative Coworkers Is Related to Creativity: Role of Supervisor Close Monitoring, Developmental Feedback, and Creative Personality. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 413–422. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.3.413>
- Zhou, J., & Hoever, I. J. (2014). Research on Workplace Creativity: A Review and Redirection. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 333–359. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091226>
- Zhou, Q., & Pan, W. (2015). A Cross-Level Examination of the Process Linking

Transformational Leadership and Creativity: The Role of Psychological Safety Climate.

Human Performance, 28, 405–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08959285.2015.1021050>