

University of Groningen

What's in a mood?

Helmich, Marieke A.

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.200101721](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.200101721)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2022

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Helmich, M. A. (2022). *What's in a mood? looking for dynamic predictors of individual improvement in depression*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.200101721>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

What's in a mood?

Looking for dynamic predictors of individual improvement in depression

Marieke A. Helmich

1. The road to recovery from depression seldom follows a simple straight line (Chapter 2).
2. A snapshot of the destabilisation in negative affect is of limited value as a predictor of the course of depressive symptom improvement (Chapter 3).
3. There is no one-size-fits-all definition of a “critical transition” in depression: methods must account for individual variation in what constitutes relevant change (Chapters 4 & 6).
4. Having a uniquely suitable dataset is no guarantee for the successful detection of early warning signals (Chapters 5, 7, & 8).
5. It is too early for early warning signals (Chapters 7 & 8).
6. Describing change processes in depression with dynamical systems ideas is easy, testing these principles empirically is not.
7. Alternative stable states in depression should not be oversimplified: symptoms can shift in clinically relevant ways other than from present to absent or vice versa.
8. We lack an empirically grounded understanding of the time scales over which depressive mood and symptoms change.
9. Patients learn more from the process of monitoring their mood in itself than from reflecting on a report a researcher shows them after four months.
10. “Everyone may be ordinary, but they’re not normal.” — Haruki Murakami
11. Good things can come from bad situations. — a simple truism

