

University of Groningen

## RECS-E: Repeated Exploration and Commitment Scale in the domain of Education.

van der Gaag, Mandy; Kunnen, Saskia

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Early version, also known as pre-print

*Publication date:*

2013

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

van der Gaag, M., & Kunnen, S. (2013). RECS-E: Repeated Exploration and Commitment Scale in the domain of Education. University of Groningen.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# RECS-E

## Repeated Exploration and Commitment Scale – domain of Education

Mandy A.E. van der Gaag & E. Saskia Kunnen

2013

*Abstract.* In this concept document the Repeated Exploration and Commitment Scale in the domain of Education is introduced briefly. The purpose of the instrument is to assess exploration and commitment development in intensive longitudinal research designs. The theoretical framework is explained, and the instrument itself is presented in both a Dutch (original) and English (translated) version.

## **Introduction**

The repeated exploration and commitment scale in the domain of education is designed for repeated, frequent assessment of exploration and commitment in intensive longitudinal research designs. This version of the instrument is focused on the domain of education. However, the questions can be easily adapted to apply to other identity relevant domains.

## **Theoretical Background**

The main hypothesis underlying this instrument is that the nature of identity commitments depends on the time-scale on which it is measured. This instrument was developed, because to date, there are no instruments available that measure identity on a sufficiently small time-scale to allow for frequent longitudinal assessment.

Lichtwarck-Aschoff, Bosma, van Geert & Kunnen (2008) argued that identity can be conceptualized and measured on different time-scales (e.g. macro, meso, micro). If one is interested in identity on a macro-time scale, it may be suitable to measure identity with identity interviews like Marcia's Ego Identity Status Interview (1993) or the Groningen Identity Development Scale revised (Bosma, Kunnen & van der Gaag, 2012). These instruments require an individual to reflect on a broad range of issues in past, present and future, and in several domains of life like friendship, family, education, career, ideology, etc. This captures the content of identity commitments; beliefs about the self and environment, interests, goals etc. This qualitative information serves as a basis to identify the strength of commitments and the amount of exploration.

When frequently assessing development over time, measurements on a smaller time scale may be needed. A smaller time-scale in case of a questionnaire implies that the reflection required to answer the questions is limited to a smaller time-frame, and to narrower, concrete topics. The U-MICS (Crocetti, Rubini & Meeus, 2008) is a good example. This instrument requires reflection about the present and future, applied to particular elements in the environment (i.e. friends, school), in two (or three, in case there is an intimate relation) domains.

For frequent, say weekly or daily assessment over a prolonged period of time, like months or years, the measurements need to be even more concrete, and small in number, in order to reduce the impact such reflections may have on the processes of exploration and commitment itself. We introduce a measure that applies to a particular environment, is directed to the here and now, and captures different aspects of both exploration and commitment.

### **Model Underlying RECS-E**

It may be the most realistic to think of distinctions between time-scales as a gradual continuum, instead of an absolute distinction between two or three categories. However, we do propose that some qualitative differences are present between identity on a macro-level, and identity on a meso-level. We posit that identity on a macro-level consists of commitments to the content of identity (goals, interests etc.). Identity on a meso-level is a translation of this content of identity, to choices for particular environments (these friends, this school, this ideology). On a meso-level, these environments or contexts are the subject of exploration and commitment.

This macro/meso distinction is already implicitly present in the different existing methods to assess identity. Some methods, like the GIDS and ISI, could be said to have a macro-level focus, while the U-MICS has a more meso-level focus. The RECS-E also has a meso level focus, but the reflections are smaller in scope and do not include the future. We have included a bare minimum amount of questions that would still measure the different aspects of exploration and commitment. In figure 1 the model is illustrated, and what aspects are assessed by the RECS-E.

### **Existing Identity Constructs and RECS-E Items**

In appendix I and II an overview of the RECS-E items is presented. Item E1 ('exploration of fit') assesses the amount of exploration regarding the fit between the chosen commitment, and own interests, goals and preferences. This is related to the 'exploration in depth' construct introduced by Luyckx, Goossens, Soenens and Beyers (2006). Item E3 ('exploration of alternatives') measures to what extent a participant has been looking for alternatives to their current commitment, this is related to the 'reconsideration' construct introduced by Crocetti et al. (2008). Item C1 ('commitment to choice') can be considered an aspect of identification with commitment (Luyckx et al., 2006), this item reflects how confident the participants are of the commitment they have already made. Item C2 ('commitment to fit'), indicates how well a person feels that the chosen commitment fits him or herself, and can be considered a different aspect of the 'identification with commitment' construct (see also figure 1). Item E2 ('exploration of self') represents an exploration of own interests and future goals, which has been found an important factor in studies on identity development specifically in the domain of education and career (Germeijs & Verschueren, 2006), and is partly (i.e. exploring future goals) present in the 'exploration in depth' construct of Luyckx et al. (2006).



*Figure 1.* Hypothetical model of identity on two time-scales and its relation to the different RECS-E items. Item E3 and C1 assesses commitment to contexts on a meso level, while items E1 and C2 assess the fit between the macro level identity commitments and the meso level commitments to contexts. Note that on a macro level, only exploration is measured. In this model, assessing the strength of commitment on a macro level requires assessing the content of identity commitments, which requires a more elaborate procedure, like an identity interview.

## References

- Bosma, H.A., Kunnen, E.S., & van der Gaag, M.A.E. (2012). *Groningen Identity Development Scale revised*. Groningen: University of Groningen. Available at:  
<http://www.rug.nl/research/portal/publications/groninger-identity-development-scale-revised-gidsr%28719678f5-284f-4106-8c46-8d8c2b476992%29.html>
- Crocetti, E., Rubini, M., & Meeus, W. (2008). Capturing the dynamics of identity formation in various ethnic groups: Development and validation of a three-dimensional model. *Journal Of Adolescence*, *31*, 207-222. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2007.09.002
- Germeijs, V., & Verschueren, K. (2006). High School Students' Career Decision-Making Process: Development and Validation of the Study Choice Task Inventory. *Journal Of Career Assessment*, *14*, 449-471.
- Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A., Van Geert, P. L. C., Bosma, H. A., & Kunnen, E. S. (2008). Time and identity: A framework for research and theory formation. *Developmental Review*, *28*, 370-400. doi:10.1016/j.dr.2008.04.001
- Luyckx, K., Goossens, L., Soenens, B., & Beyers, W. (2006). Unpacking commitment and exploration: Preliminary validation of an integrative model of late adolescent identity formation. *Journal Of Adolescence*, *29*, 361-378. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2005.03.008
- Marcia, J.E. , Waterman, A.S. , Matteson, D.R. , Archer, S.L. , & Orlofsky, J.L. (1993). *Ego identity: A handbook for psychosocial research*. New York: Springer.

## **Appendix I and II:**

- I.** *RECS-E - Dutch version (original)*
- II.** *RECS-E - English version (translated from Dutch)*





