

University of Groningen

Fiction and common ground

Semeijn, Merel

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.177806543](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.177806543)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Semeijn, M. (2021). *Fiction and common ground: a workspace account*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.177806543>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Bibliography

- Abreu Zavaleta, M. (2019). Weak speech reports. *Philosophical Studies*, 176(8):2139–2166.
- Abrusán, M. (forthcoming). Computing perspective shift in narratives. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Abusch, D. (1997). Sequence of tense and temporal de re. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 20(1):1–50.
- Akkoyunlu, E. A., Ekanadham, K., and Huber, R. V. (1975). Some constraints and tradeoffs in the design of network communications. *SIGOPS Operating Systems Review*, 9(5):67–74.
- Alchourron, C. E., Gardenfors, P., and Makinson, D. (1985). On the logic of theory change: Partial meet contraction and revision functions. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 50(2):510–530.
- Altshuler, D. and Maier, E. (2020a). Coping with imaginative resistance. manuscript. <https://philpapers.org/rec/ALTCWI>.
- Altshuler, D. and Maier, E. (2020b). Death on the freeway: Imaginative resistance as narrator accommodation. In Bhatt, R., Frana, I., and Menéndez-Benito, P., editors, *Making Worlds Accessible: Festschrift for Angelika Kratzer*. Amherst.
- Anand, P. and Hacquard, V. (2014). Factivity, belief and discourse. In Crnič, L. and Sauerland, U., editors, *The Art and Craft of Semantics: A Festschrift for Irene Heim*, volume 1, pages 69–90. MIT Working Papers in Linguistics.
- Anand, P. and Korotkova, N. (2019). Speech reports. lecture notes. <http://esslli2019.folli.info/courses/speech-reports/>.
- Andow, J. (2019). Why don't we trust moral testimony? *Mind & Language*, 35(4):456–474.
- Asher, N. (2011). *Lexical Meaning in Context: A Web of Words*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Bibliography

- Augustine, S. (1952 [395]). Lying. In Deferrari, R. J., editor, *Treatises on Various Subjects*, volume 16, pages 45–110. Catholic University of America Press, Washington, D.C.
- Badura, C. and Berto, F. (2019). Truth in fiction, impossible worlds, and belief revision. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 97(1):178–193.
- Bartha, P. (2010). *By Parallel Reasoning: The Construction and Evaluation of Analogical Arguments*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Barwise, J. (1988). Three views of common knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Knowledge, TARK '88*, pages 365–379, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Bary, C. and Maier, E. (2020). The landscape of speech reporting. manuscript. <https://ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/003526>.
- Bermúdez, J. L. (2003). *Thinking Without Words*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Bjurman Pautz, A. (2008). Fictional coreference as a problem for the pretense theory. *Philosophical Studies*, 141(2):147–156.
- Booth, W. (1961). *The Rhetoric of Fiction*. UCP, Chicago.
- Braithwaite, R. B. (1932). The nature of believing. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian society*, 33(1):129–146.
- Brandenburger, A. and Dekel, E. (1987). Common knowledge with probability 1. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 16(3):237–245.
- Brasoveanu, A. and Farkas, D. (2007). Say reports, assertion events and meaning dimensions. In Alboiu, G., Avram, A., Avram, L., and Isac, D., editors, *Pitar Mos: A Building with a View. Papers in Honour of Alexandra Cornilescu*, pages 175–196. Editura Universităţii din Bucureşti, Bucharest.
- Cargile, J. (1970). A note on “iterated knowings”. *Analysis*, 30(5):151–155.
- Carlson, G. N. (1982). Generic terms and generic sentences. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 11(2):145–181.
- Carson, T. L. (2006). The definition of lying. *Noûs*, 40(2):284–306.
- Clark, H. H. and Marshall, C. R. (1981). Definite reference and mutual knowledge. In Joshi, A. K., Webber, B. L., and Sag, I. A., editors, *Elements of Discourse Understanding*, pages 10–63. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Cohen, D. and Yemini, Y. (1979). Protocols for dating coordination. In *Proceedings of the fourth Berkeley conference on distributed data management and computer networks*, page 179–188. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.
- Collins, J. (2019). The diversity of fiction and copredication: An accommodation problem. *Erkenntnis*.
- Condoravdi, C. (2002). Temporal interpretation of modals. modals for the present and for the past. In Beaver, D., Kaufmann, S., Clark, B., and Casillas, L., editors, *The Construction of Meaning*, pages 59–88. CSLI, Stanford.
- Cooper, R. (2007). Copredication, dynamic generalized quantification and lexical innovation by coercion. In Bouillon, P., Danlos, L., and Kanzaki, K., editors, *Fourth International Workshop on Generative Approaches to the Lexicon*, pages 143–184.
- Cresswell, M. J. (1985). *Structured meanings: The Semantics of Propositional Attitudes*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Cresswell, M. J. and Von Stechow, A. (1982). "De re" belief generalized. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 5(4):503–535.
- Currie, G. (1990). *The Nature of Fiction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- David, M. (2015). The fragmented mind: Agency, belief, rationality. <https://philosophie-gewi.uni-graz.at/en/theoretical-philosophy/projects/the-fragmented-mind/>.
- Dynel, M. (2011). A web of deceit: A neo-gricean view on types of verbal deception. *International Review of Pragmatics*, 3(2):139–167.
- Eckardt, R. (2014). *The Semantics of Free Indirect Discourse*. Brill Publishers, Leiden.
- Elbourne, P. (2005). *Situations and Individuals*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Enç, M. (1996). Tense and modality. In Lappin, S., editor, *The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*, pages 345–358. Blackwell Publishers Ltd., Oxford.
- Evans, G. (1977). Pronouns, quantifiers and relative clauses. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, 8(3):467–536.
- Evans, G. (1982). *The Varieties of Reference*, volume 10. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Everett, A. (2013). *The Nonexistent*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Bibliography

- Fagin, R., Halpern, J., Moses, Y., and Vardi, M. (1995). *Reasoning About Knowledge*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Fagin, R., Halpern, J. Y., Moses, Y., and Vardi, M. Y. (1999). Common knowledge revisited. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 96(1):89–105.
- Fallis, D. (2009). What is lying? *Journal of Philosophy*, 106(1):29–56.
- Franzén, N. (forthcoming). Truth in fiction: In defense of the reality principle. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Friedell, M. F. (1969). On the structure of shared awareness. *Behavioral Science*, 14(1):28–39.
- Friend, S. (2008). Imagining fact and fiction. In Stock, K. and Thomson-Jones, K., editors, *New Waves in Aesthetics*, pages 150–169. Palgrave Macmillan UK, London.
- Friend, S. (2011a). Fictive utterance and imagining ii. *Supplementary Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, 85:163–180.
- Friend, S. (2011b). The great beetle debate: a study in imagining with names. *Philosophical Studies*, 153(2):183–211.
- Friend, S. (2012). Fiction as a genre. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, 112(2):179–209.
- Friend, S. (2017). The real foundation of fictional worlds. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 95(1):29–42.
- Gans, C. (1970). How snakes move. *Scientific American*, 222(6):82–99.
- García-Carpintero, M. (2013). Norms of fiction-making. *British Journal of Aesthetics*, 53(3):339–357.
- Geach, P. T. (1967). Intentional identity. *The Journal of Philosophy*, 64(20):627–632.
- Gendler, T. S. (2000). The puzzle of imaginative resistance. *Journal of Philosophy*, 97(2):55–81.
- Geurts, B. (1997). Communication as commitment sharing: speech acts, implicatures, common ground. *Theoretical linguistics*, 45(1-2):1–30.
- Geurts, B. (1999). *Presuppositions and Pronouns*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

- Geurts, B. (2020). Common ground as a normative condition. manuscript. https://www.academia.edu/43209457/Common_ground_as_a_normative_condition.
- Geurts, B., Beaver, D. I., and Maier, E. (2016). Discourse Representation Theory. In Zalta, E. N., editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, spring 2016 edition.
- Gotham, M. (2017). Composing criteria of individuation in copredication. *Journal of Semantics*, 34:333–371.
- Gray, J. N. (1978). Notes on data base operating systems. In Bayer, R., Graham, R. M., and Seegmüller, G., editors, *Operating Systems: An Advanced Course*, pages 393–481. Springer, Berlin.
- Green, M. (2017). Conversation and common ground. *Philosophical Studies*, 174:1587–1604.
- Grice, H. P. (1989). *Studies in the Way of Words*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Groenendijk, J. and Stokhof, M. (1991). Dynamic predicate logic. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 14(1):39–100.
- Grove, A. (1988). Two modellings for theory change. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 17(2):157–170.
- Gärdenfors, P. (1988). *Knowledge in Flux: Modeling the Dynamics of Epistemic States*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Gärdenfors, P. and Makinson, D. (1988). Revisions of knowledge systems using epistemic entrenchment. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning About Knowledge, TARK '88*, pages 83–95, San Francisco, CA, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Halpern, J. Y. and Moses, Y. (1990). Knowledge and common knowledge in a distributed environment. *Journal of the ACM*, 37(3):549–587.
- Hamm, F., Kamp, H., and Van Lambalgen, M. (2006). There is no opposition between formal and cognitive semantics. *Theoretical Linguistics*, 32(1):1–40.
- Hansson, S. O. (1994). Taking belief bases seriously. In Prawitz, D. and Westerståhl, D., editors, *Logic and Philosophy of Science in Uppsala: Papers from the 9th International Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, pages 13–28, Dordrecht. Springer.

Bibliography

- Hansson, S. O. (1998). Revision of belief sets and belief bases. In Dubois, D. and Prade, H., editors, *Handbook of Defeasible Reasoning and Uncertainty Management Systems Volume 3: Belief Change*, pages 17–75. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Harris, D. W. (forthcoming). Intentionalism and bald-faced lies. *Inquiry*.
- Heal, J. (1978). Common knowledge. *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 28(111):116–131.
- Heim, I. (1982). *The Semantics of Definite and Indefinite Noun Phrases*. PhD thesis, UMass Amherst.
- Heim, I. (1990). E-type pronouns and donkey anaphora. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 13:137–178.
- Hesse, M. B. (1966). *Models and Analogies in Science*. University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame.
- Hinterwimmer, S. (2017). Prominent protagonists. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 154:79–91.
- Hintikka, J. (1962). *Knowledge and Belief: An Introduction to the Logic of the Two Notions*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca.
- Hunter, D. (1981). Reference and meinongian objects. *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 14:23–36.
- Hunter, J. (2013). Structured contexts and anaphoric dependencies. *Philosophical Studies*, 168:35–58.
- Ichino, A. and Currie, G. (2017). Truth and trust in fiction. In Sullivan-Bissett, E., Bradley, H., and Noordhof, P., editors, *Art and Belief*, pages 63–82. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Jeshion, R. (2010). Singular thought: Acquaintance, semantic instrumentalism, and cognitivism. In Jeshion, R., editor, *New Essays on Singular Thought*, pages 105–141. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Kamp, H. (1981). A theory of truth and semantic representation. In Groenendijk, J. A. G., Janssen, T. M. V., and Stokhof, M. B. J., editors, *Formal Methods in the Study of Language, Part 1*, pages 277–322. Blackwell Publishers Ltd, Oxford.
- Kamp, H. (1990). Prolegomena to a structural account of belief and other attitudes. In Anderson, A. and Owens, J., editors, *Propositional Attitudes: The Role of Content in Logic, Language, and Mind*, pages 27–90. CSLI, Stanford.
- Kamp, H. (2015). Using proper names as intermediaries between labelled entity representations. *Erkenntnis*, 80(2):263–312.

- Kamp, H. (2018). Entity representations and articulated contexts: An exploration of the semantics and pragmatics of definite noun phrases. manuscript. <https://www.ims.uni-stuttgart.de/archiv/kamp/files/2018.kamp.articulated.contexts.pdf>.
- Kamp, H. (forthcoming). Sharing real and fictional reference. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Kamp, H. and Reyle, U. (1993). *From Discourse to Logic: an Introduction to Modaltheoretic Semantics in Natural Language, Formal Logic and Discourse Representation Theory*. Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Kaplan, D. (1968). Quantifying in. *Synthese*, 19(1-2):178–214.
- Kaufmann, M. and Kaufmann, S. (2020). Talking about sources. manuscript. <http://magdalena-kaufmann.uconn.edu/papers/givenPREFINAL.pdf>.
- Keiser, J. (2016). Bald-faced lies: how to make a move in a language game without making a move in a conversation. *Philosophical Studies*, 173(2):461–477.
- Keiser, J. (forthcoming). The limits of acceptance. *Inquiry*.
- Kim, H., Kneer, M., and Stuart, M. T. (2018). The context-dependence of imaginative resistance. In Cova, F. and Réhault, S., editors, *Advances in Experimental Philosophy of Aesthetics*, pages 194–224. Bloomsbury, London.
- Kissine, M. (2008). Why will is not a modal. *Natural Language Semantics*, 16:129–155.
- Klauk, T. (2014). Zalta on encoding fictional properties. *Journal of Literary Theory*, 8(2):234–256.
- Krawczyk, E. (2012). *Inferred propositions and the expression of the evidence relation in natural language: Evidentiality in Central Alaskan Yup'ik Eskimo and English*. PhD thesis, Georgetown University.
- Kripke, S. (1980). *Naming and Necessity*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Kripke, S. (2011). Vacuous names and fictional entities. In *Philosophical Troubles*, pages 52–74. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Kripke, S. (2013 [1973]). *Reference and Existence. The John Locke Lectures for 1973*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Lackey, J. (2013). Lies and deception: an unhappy divorce. *Analysis*, 73(2):236–248.

Bibliography

- Lamarque, P. (1990). Reasoning to what is true in fiction. *Argumentation*, 4(3):333–346.
- Leland, P. R. (2015). Rational responsibility and the assertoric character of bald-faced lies. *Analysis*, 75(4):550–554.
- Lewis, D. (1969). *Convention: A Philosophical Study*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Lewis, D. (1973). *Counterfactuals*. Blackwell Publishers Ltd., Oxford.
- Lewis, D. (1978). Truth in fiction. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 15(1):37–46.
- Lewis, D. (1979). Attitudes de dicto and de se. *The Philosophical Review*, 88(4):513–543.
- Liao, S. and Gendler, T. S. (2016). The problem of imaginative resistance. In Carroll, N. and Gibson, J., editors, *The Routledge Companion to Philosophy of Literature*, pages 405–418. Routledge, London.
- Luo, Z. (2012). Formal semantics in modern type theories with coercive subtyping. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 35(6):491–513.
- Maier, E. (2009a). Iterated de re: A new puzzle for the relational report semantics. In Riester, A. and Solstad, T., editors, *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 13*, pages 347–355.
- Maier, E. (2009b). Proper names and indexicals trigger rigid presuppositions. *Journal of Semantics*, 26(3):253–315.
- Maier, E. (2017). Fictional names in psychologicistic semantics. *Theoretical Linguistics*, 43(1-2):1–42.
- Maier, E. (2019). On the exceptionality of reported speech. *Linguistic Typology*, 23(1):197–205.
- Maier, E. and Semeijn, M. (forthcoming). Extracting fictional truth from unreliable sources. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Maitra, I. (2018). Lying, acting, and asserting. In Michaelson, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *Lying: Language, Knowledge, Ethics, and Politics*, pages 73–94. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Bibliography

- Mally, E. (1912). *Gegenstandstheoretische Grundlagen der Logik und Logistik*. Ergänzungsheft zu Bd. 148 der Zeitschrift für Philosophie und Philosophische Kritik. Barth, Leipzig.
- Marques, T. (2020). Disagreement with a bald-faced liar. *Ratio*, 33(4):255–268.
- Matravers, D. (2014). *Fiction and Narrative*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Meggle, G. (2003). Common belief and common knowledge. In Sintonen, M., Ylikoski, P., and Miller, K., editors, *Realism in Action: Essays in the Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, pages 251–258. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Meibauer, J. (2014). Bald-faced lies as acts of verbal aggression. *Journal of Language Aggression and Conflict*, 2:127–150.
- Meskin, A. and Weinberg, J. M. (2006). Imagine that! In Kieran, M., editor, *Contemporary Discussions in Aesthetics and the Philosophy of Art*, pages 222–235. Blackwell Publishers Ltd., Oxford.
- Meyer, J. J. C. and van der Hoek, W. (1995). *Epistemic Logic for AI and Computer Science*. Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science. Cambridge University Press.
- Monderer, D. and Samet, D. (1989). Approximating common knowledge with common beliefs. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 1(2):170–190.
- Murday, B. (2010). Two-dimensionalism and fictional names. In Lihoreau, F., editor, *Truth in Fiction*, pages 43–76. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston.
- Myhill, J. (1963). An alternative to the method of extension and intension. In Schilpp, P. A., editor, *The philosophy of Rudolf Carnap*, pages 299–310. Open Court Publishing Company, Chicago, IL, USA.
- Nebel, B. (1998). How hard is it to revise a belief base? In Dubois, D. and Prade, H., editors, *Belief Change, Handbook of Defeasible Reasoning and Uncertainty Management Systems*, pages 77–145. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Nichols, S. and Stich, S. (2000). A cognitive theory of pretense. *Cognition*, 74:115–47.
- Ninan, D. (2017). Names in fiction. *Theoretical Linguistics*, 43(1-2).
- Norton, J. D. (2021). The material theory of induction. manuscript. https://www.pitt.edu/~jdnorton/papers/material_theory/Material_Induction_March_14_2021.pdf.

Bibliography

- Panangaden, P. and Taylor, K. (1998). Concurrent common knowledge: Defining agreement for asynchronous systems. *Distributed Computing*, 6:73–93.
- Prior, A. (1967). *Past, Present and Future*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Pustejovsky, J. (1995). *The Generative Lexicon*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Quine, W. V. O. (1956). Quantifiers and propositional attitudes. *Journal of Philosophy*, 53(5):177–187.
- Recanati, F. (2009). Singular thought: In defense of acquaintance. In Jeshion, R., editor, *New essays on singular thought*, pages 141–188. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Recanati, F. (2012). *Mental Files*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Recanati, F. (2018). Fictional, metafictional, parafictional. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, 118(1):25–54.
- Recanati, F. (forthcoming-a). Entertaining as simulation. In Mras, G. and Schmitz, M., editors, *Force, Content and the Unity of the Proposition*. Routledge, London.
- Recanati, F. (forthcoming-b). Fictional reference as simulation. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Reid, T. (2011 [1785]). *Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man*. Cambridge Library Collection. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Rendsvig, R. and Symons, J. (2019). Epistemic logic. In Zalta, E. N., editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, Summer 2019 edition.
- Ross, J. (2012). *The Semantics of Media*. Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Russell, B. (1905). On denoting. *Mind*, 14(56):479–493.
- Ryan, M. (1980). Fiction, non-factuals, and the principle of minimal departure. *Topics in Catalysis*, 9(4):403–422.
- Ryan, M. (1991). *Possible Worlds, Artificial Intelligence, and Narrative Theory*. Indiana University Press, Bloomington, IN, USA.
- Sainsbury, R. M. (2014). Fictional worlds and fiction operators. In García-Carpintero, M. and Martí, G., editors, *Empty Representations*, pages 277–289. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Bibliography

- Salkie, R. (2010). Will: tense or modal or both? *English Language & Linguistics*, 14(2):187–215.
- Saul, J. M. (2012). Lying, misleading, and what is said: An exploration in philosophy of language and ethics. *Analysis*, 74(1):179–180.
- Schiffer, S. (1972). *Meaning*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Schiffer, S. (2003). *The Things We Mean*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Searle, J. R. (1975). The logical states of fictional discourse. *New Literary History*, 6(2):319–332.
- Semeijn, M. (2017). A Stalnakerian analysis of metafictional statements. In *Proceedings of the 21st Amsterdam Colloquium*. ILLC.
- Semeijn, M. (2019). Interacting with fictions: The role of pretend play in Theory of Mind acquisition. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, 10(1):113–132.
- Semeijn, M. and Bimpikou, S. (2019). Parafictional tense. poster for SPE11. <https://merelsemeijn.files.wordpress.com/2019/10/poster-final.pdf>.
- Semeijn, M. and Zalta, E. N. (2021). Revisiting the ‘wrong kind of object’ problem. *Organon F*, 28(1):168–197.
- Soames, S. (1985). Lost innocence. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 8(1):59–71.
- Sorensen, R. (2007). Bald-faced lies! Lying without the intent to deceive. *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly*, 88(2):251–264.
- Stalnaker, R. C. (1968). A theory of conditionals. In Rescher, N., editor, *Studies in Logical Theory: American Philosophical Quarterly Monograph Series 2*, volume 6, page 98–112. Blackwell Publishers Ltd., Oxford.
- Stalnaker, R. C. (1970). Pragmatics. *Synthese*, 22(1-2):272–289.
- Stalnaker, R. C. (1978). Assertion. In Cole, P., editor, *Pragmatics*, volume 9, pages 315–32. New York Academic Press, New York.
- Stalnaker, R. C. (1984). *Inquiry*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Stalnaker, R. C. (2002). Common ground. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 25(5-6):701–721.
- Stinchcombe, M. (1988). Approximate common knowledge. mimeograph. San Diego: University of California.

Bibliography

- Stock, K. (2011). Fictive utterance and imagining. *Supplementary Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, 85:145–161.
- Stokke, A. (2013). Lying and asserting. *Journal of Philosophy*, 110(1):33–60.
- Stokke, A. (2018). *Lying and Insincerity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Stokke, A. (forthcoming-a). Fictional names and individual concepts. *Synthese*.
- Stokke, A. (forthcoming-b). Protagonist projection, character focus, and mixed quotation. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Sæbø, K. J. (2013). Reports of specific indefinites. *Journal of Semantics*, 30(3):267–314.
- Toshiyuki, O. and Sharvit, Y. (2012). Embedded tenses. In Binnick, R. I., editor, *The Oxford Handbook of Tense and Aspect*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- van der Sandt, R. (1992). Presupposition projection as anaphora resolution. *Journal of Semantics*, 9(4):333–377.
- van Ditmarsch, H., Hendriks, P., and Verbrugge, R. (2020). Editors' review and introduction: Lying in logic, language, and cognition. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 12(2):466–484.
- van Ditmarsch, H., van Eijck, J., and Verbrugge, R. (2009). Common knowledge and common belief. In van Eijck, J. and Verbrugge, R., editors, *Discourses on Social Software, Texts in Logic and Games*, pages 99–122. Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam.
- van Inwagen, P. (1977). Creatures of fiction. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 14(4):299–308.
- van Inwagen, P. (2000). Quantification and fictional discourse. In Everett, A. and Hofweber, T., editors, *Empty Names, Fiction and the Puzzles of Non-Existence*, pages 235–47. CSLI, Stanford.
- Vanderschraaf, P. and Sillari, G. (2014). Common knowledge. In Zalta, E. N., editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, spring 2014 edition.
- Voltolini, A. (2019). Varieties of fiction operators: Methods and applications. In Capone, A., Carapezza, M., and Lo Piparo, F., editors, *Further Advances in Pragmatics and Philosophy: Part 2 Theories and Applications*, pages 199–210. Springer.
- von Fintel, K. and Heim, I. (2011). *Intensional Semantics*. MIT. unpublished textbook.

- von Stechow, A. and Zimmermann, E. (2005). A problem for a compositional treatment of de re attitudes. In Carlson, G. and Pelletier, F., editors, *Reference and Quantification: The Partee Effect*, pages 207–228. CSLI, Stanford.
- Walton, K. L. (1990). *Mimesis as Make-Believe: On the Foundations of the Representational Arts*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Weatherson, B. (2004). Morality, fiction, and possibility. *Philosopher's Imprint*, 4(3).
- Wieland, N. (forthcoming). Metalinguistic acts in fiction. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Williams, B. (2002). *Truth and Truthfulness: An Essay in Genealogy*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Williams, M. (1994). On the logic of theory base change. In MacNish, C., Pearce, D., and Pereira, L. M., editors, *Logics in Artificial Intelligence*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 86–105, Berlin. Springer.
- Yablo, S. (2002). Coulda, woulda, shoulda. In Gendler, T. S. and Hawthorne, J., editors, *Conceivability and Possibility*, pages 441–492. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Zalta, E. N. (1983). *Abstract Objects: An Introduction to Axiomatic Metaphysics*. Springer, Berlin.
- Zalta, E. N. (1988). *Intensional Logic and the Metaphysics of Intentionality*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Zalta, E. N. (2000). The road between pretense theory and abstract object theory. In Hofweber, T. and Everett, A., editors, *Empty Names, Fiction, and the Puzzles of Non-Existence*, pages 117–147. CSLI, Stanford.
- Zalta, E. N. (2003 [1987]). Erzählung als Taufe des Helden: Wie man auf fiktionale Objekte Bezug nimmt. *Zeitschrift für Semiotik*, 9(1–2):85–95. Translated (Arnold Günther) as Referring to fictional characters in *Dialectica*, 2(2003):243–254.
- Zucchi, A. (forthcoming). On the generation of content. In Maier, E. and Stokke, A., editors, *The Language of Fiction*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Zucchi, S. (2001). Tense in fiction. In Cecchetto, C., Chierchia, G., and Guasti, M. T., editors, *Semantic Interfaces: Reference, Anaphora and Aspect*. CSLI, Stanford.
- Zucchi, S. (2017). Games of make-believe and factual information. *Theoretical Linguistics*, 43(1-2).

