

University of Groningen

New Problems for Moral Anti-Realism

Kassenberg, Erik Pieter

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.175044982](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.175044982)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Kassenberg, E. P. (2021). *New Problems for Moral Anti-Realism*. University of Groningen.
<https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.175044982>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

1. The metaphysical argument from queerness does not support a moral error theory.
2. Focusing on negative moral fixed points allows realists to avoid many of the objections to positive conceptual moral truths.
3. Expressivism cannot easily explain why certain considerations appear morally irrelevant as a matter of conceptual fact.
4. Relativism cannot be reconciled with conceptual moral truths without incurring a substantial amount of objectivity.
5. Rejecting conceptual moral truths is more problematic for relativism and expressivism than moral error theory.
6. The first-order argument for moral error theory does not avoid an impasse between moral error theorists and moral realists.