

University of Groningen

Ballast water treatment system testing

van Slooten, Cees

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.172082815](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.172082815)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
van Slooten, C. (2021). *Ballast water treatment system testing: assessing novel treatments and validating compliance methods*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.172082815>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

1. Ballast water treatment systems must solve the conundrum of disinfecting ballast water without causing harm to the crew, general public and the environment.
2. Even the most effective active substances to disinfect ballast water are useless when adequate neutralization methods and inline sensors for dosing control and residual discharge monitoring are not available.
3. The contribution of heterotrophic bacteria relative to dead organic material in challenging ballast water treatment systems during type approval testing should be further investigated.
4. The lack of regulating discharge of heterotrophic bacteria may lead to high bacterial growth in ballast water as a side-effect of treating $\geq 50 \mu\text{m}$ and 10-50 μm organisms.
5. It is an uphill battle to further improve automated image analysis software to better distinguish living and dead organisms in ballast water. Instead, the addition of motion tracking solves many limitations of camera-based ballast water compliance tools.
6. Measuring ATP levels of a wide variety of 10-50 μm organisms in various stages of their growth cycle at concentrations between 5 and 50 cells mL^{-1} will improve pass/fail levels for ATP-based ballast water compliance tools.
7. Successful ballast water compliance tools are easy to use and yield quick results.
8. Without enforcement any regulation is powerless.
9. To truly appreciate someone, you must learn to accept the good as well as the bad.