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ABSTRACT Understanding the role of electrostatics in protein stability requires knowledge of these interactions in both the folded and unfolded states. Electrostatic interactions can be probed experimentally by characterizing ionization equilibria of titrating groups, parameterized as pK\textsubscript{a} values. However, pK\textsubscript{a} values of the unfolded state are rarely accessible under native conditions, where the unfolded state has a very low population. Here, we report pK\textsubscript{a} values under nondenaturing conditions for two unfolded fragments of the protein G B1 domain that mimic the unfolded state of the intact protein. pK\textsubscript{a} values were determined for carboxyl groups by monitoring their pH-dependent \textsuperscript{13}C chemical shifts. Monte Carlo simulations using a Gaussian chain model provide corrections for changes in electrostatic interactions that arise from fragmentation of the protein. Most pK\textsubscript{a} values for the unfolded state agree well with model values, but some residues show significant perturbations that can be rationalized by local electrostatic interactions. The pH-dependent stability was calculated from the experimental pK\textsubscript{a} values of the folded and unfolded states and compared to experimental stability data. The use of experimental pK\textsubscript{a} values for the unfolded state results in significantly improved agreement with experimental data, as compared to calculations based on model data alone.

INTRODUCTION

Electrostatic interactions are important for protein solubility (1) and function, e.g., catalysis and proton transport (2–5), and modulate protein stability (6,7). Experimental information on electrostatic interactions is accessible by measurement of protonation equilibria of ionizable groups, which are typically reported as pK\textsubscript{a} values. NMR spectroscopy offers a direct and site-specific method to determine pK\textsubscript{a} values by measuring chemical shifts as a function of pH (8,9), providing a rich source of data (10,11). Significant perturbations away from the intrinsic values in short peptides are seen in many proteins (12). Perturbed pK\textsubscript{a} values report on long-range electrostatic interactions (13,14), specific interactions such as salt bridges (15), and the functional importance of catalytic groups in enzyme active sites (3).

The pH-dependent stability of a protein can be calculated if the pK\textsubscript{a} values of all ionizable groups are known (16). Since protein stability is determined from the free-energy difference between the unfolded and folded states, the pK\textsubscript{a} values of both states need to be known (Fig. 1). However, it is not straightforward to measure pK\textsubscript{a} values of the unfolded state, because it normally has a very low population under native conditions. To date, there has been only a single report where pK\textsubscript{a} values were determined experimentally for an unfolded state under nondenaturing conditions (17). Indirect methods use denaturing conditions, and the pK\textsubscript{a} values of the unfolded state under native conditions are estimated by extrapolation to zero denaturant (18).

This approach has the drawback that the unfolded state may have different characteristics under native and denaturing conditions (19). The unfolded state has also been assessed through stability data for mutants of the N-terminal domain of protein L9, barnase, and CI2, where strong electrostatic interactions persist in the unfolded state (20–22). Calculated pK\textsubscript{a} values for random-coil-like models of unfolded proteins have given good agreement with experimental stability data in a number of cases (6,23–28). Here, we take an alternative experimental approach and use intrinsically unfolded fragments as a model for the unfolded state of the intact protein (29–32).

Recently, we determined the pK\textsubscript{a} values for the folded state of a variant of the B1 domain of Streptococcal protein G with mutations T2Q, N8D, and N37D (PGB1-QDD) (Fig. 2) (33). Based on the experimental pK\textsubscript{a} values for the folded state and a Gaussian chain model of the unfolded state, we calculated the pH-dependent stability and compared it with that determined by denaturation at a series of pH values (34). The results revealed deviations between the calculated and experimental stability curves (35), indicating that the unfolded state includes electrostatic interactions that are not adequately described by the Gaussian chain model. To refine the description of the unfolded state, we have measured pK\textsubscript{a} values for two PGB1 fragments, comprising residues 1–41 and 41–56, respectively, which are unfolded under nondenaturing conditions and serve as a model of the unfolded state of the intact protein (36,37).

Submitted May 11, 2010, and accepted for publication August 27, 2010.

*Correspondence: Sara.Linse@biochemistry.lu.se

Abbreviations used: HSQC, heteronuclear single quantum coherence; N\textsubscript{A}, Avogadro’s number.

Editor: Bertrand Garcia-Moreno.

© 2010 by the Biophysical Society

0006-3495/10/11/3365/9 $2.00
doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2010.08.078
The fragments were derived from a highly stable PGB1 variant denoted PGB1-QDD (34) that contains the T2Q mutation to avoid N-terminal degradation (38) and the N8D and N37D mutations to avoid heterogeneity due to deamidation (39). Side-chain carboxyl \( ^{13}\text{C} \) chemical shifts were monitored as a function of pH to yield pK\textsubscript{a} values of all acidic groups in the unfolded fragments. We carried out Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of the electrostatic interactions in the unfolded states of intact PGB1-QDD and the two fragments to assess to what extent cleavage of the peptide chain affects the pK\textsubscript{a} values. Furthermore, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to gain structural insights into some highly shifted pK\textsubscript{a} values observed in the unfolded state. The agreement is significantly improved compared to the previous calculations based purely on a Gaussian chain model of the unfolded state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning, expression, and purification of \(^{13}\text{C}-\) and \(^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled PGB1 fragments

Detailed description about cloning, expression, and purification of PGB1-QDD fragments can be found elsewhere (see Bauer et al. (36) and Supporting Material). In short, the fragments were expressed in minimal medium containing 13.7 mM \(^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl} \) and 12.5 mM \(^{13}\text{C}\)-glucose, purified on chitin matrix, cleaved from the intein and chitin-binding domain by dithiothreitol, further purified by gel filtration and desalted. Purified peptides were analyzed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry to confirm peptides of correct size. Far-UV CD spectra (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Material) indicate that the fragments are unfolded over the pH range.

NMR spectroscopy

NMR spectra were recorded on a 500-MHz Varian Unity Plus spectrometer at 25°C. Data processing was performed using the NMRPipe program suite (40), and Sparky (T. Goddard and T. Kneller, unpublished results) was used for assignment and peak analysis. All spectra needed for peak assignment were recorded using samples of 1 mM \(^{13}\text{C}-^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled N41 (the N-terminal fragment of PGB1-QDD covering residues 1–41) or C16 (the C-terminal fragment of PGB1-QDD covering residues 41–56) at pH 5.0 in 90% H\(_2\)O/10% D\(_2\)O, 0.1 mM Na\textsubscript{3}N, 0.1 mM Na\textsubscript{3}N, and 0.1 mM 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonic acid. Assignments were based on SO-FAST versions (42) of HNCACB, HNCACO, HNCO, HNCOCA, HNCA, H(C)CO (43–48), together with CCONH (49) and \(^{15}\text{N}\)-HSQC (50,51) spectra recorded using BioPack (Varian, Palo Alto, CA). The side-chain carboxyl groups were assigned from an H(C)CO experiment (52) or by correlating the side-chain carboxyl \(^{13}\text{C} \) chemical shifts with the backbone amide proton chemical shift of the following residue (17).

pH titration

\(^{13}\text{C}-^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled N41 or C16 was dissolved in 90% H\(_2\)O/10% D\(_2\)O containing 0.1 mM Na\textsubscript{3}N, and 0.1 mM 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonic acid to a protein concentration of 0.3 mM. The sample did not include any added Na\textsubscript{3}Cl, but the counterion concentration should amount to ~1 mM. The initial pH was 5.42 for the N41 sample and 6.48 for the C16 sample. The N41 sample was split into two separate samples to obtain a common starting point for upward and downward titrations.

The pH was measured using an MP225 pH meter equipped with a U402-M3-S7/200 combination electrode, calibrated with standard solutions of pH 4.01, 7.00, and 9.21 (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH). The pH was adjusted with microliter additions of 0.2 M HCl or NaOH. The concentration of added salt at the end of each titration amounted to 0.03 M. The pH was corrected by 0.04 units to account for the 10% D\(_2\)O in the sample (53). Spectra were collected in steps of ~0.25 pH units from pH 1.5–13 for N41 and from pH 2–6.5 for C16.

The titration of acidic groups in N41 was followed by correlating the side-chain carboxyl carbon with the backbone amide proton (17). Spectra were recorded using 2048 and 64 complex points in \(\omega_2 \) (1H) and \(\omega_1 \) (13C), respectively. The spectral widths were 8012 and 1250 Hz for the 1H and 13C dimensions, respectively. For C16, which contains only four carboxyl groups, the titration was followed using an H(C)CO experiment in which the side-chain carboxyl carbon is correlated with the H\(^+\) and H\(^-\) protons of Asp and Glu, respectively (52). At each pH value a H(C)CO spectrum was recorded using 1024 and 64 complex points in \(\omega_1 \) (1H) and \(\omega_2 \) (13C), respectively. The spectral widths were 8012 and 1250 Hz for the 1H and 13C dimensions, respectively. A \(^{15}\text{N}\) HSQC spectrum was recorded at each pH value to verify that the sample remained intact and to detect structural changes. All spectra were processed with a cosine window function in both dimensions and zero-filled before Fourier transformation.

FIGURE 2 Primary and secondary structure of intact PGB1-QDD. The arrow indicates the point of fragmentation. The acidic residues are in bold.
**Data analysis**

The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation with an added Hill parameter ($n_H$) (54) (Eq. 1) was fitted to the side-chain $^{13}$C chemical shift data.

$$
\delta_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\delta_{\text{HA}} - \delta_{\text{A}} - 10^{pK_a(pH-pK_a)})}{(1 + 10^{n_H(pH-pK_a)})} \quad (1)
$$

where $\delta_{\text{obs}}$ is the observed chemical shifts at a given pH, and $\delta_{\text{HA}}$ and $\delta_{\text{A}}$ are the chemical shifts of the protonated and deprotonated states, respectively. pH, $pK_a$, and the acid dissociation constant, $K_a$, are defined as follows:

$$
pH = -\log_{10}(a_{H^+}) \quad (2)
$$

$$
pK_a = -\log_{10}K_a \quad (3)
$$

$$
K_a = \frac{(a_{H^+} \times a_{A^-})}{(a_{AH})} \quad (4)
$$

With the assumption $\gamma_A = -\gamma_{HA} = 1$, the acid dissociation constant can be written as

$$
K_a = \frac{(a_{H^+} \times [A^-])}{[AH]}. \quad (5)
$$

Nonlinear least-squares regression analysis of Eq. 1 was performed using Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software, Essex Junction, VT). The standard errors from the fit are presented in Table 1 and the uncertainty in pH was estimated to be ±0.05 pH units. The proton binding capacitance of the whole protein was obtained by summing up the capacitance from all residues.

**Calculation of pH-dependent stability using generated $pK_a$ values**

Assuming that the charge state of the protein does not affect its structure, the pH-dependent stability of a protein is expected to be a direct function of the difference in charge between the native and unfolded states and can hence be calculated from $pK_a$ values for both states (16). The free energy of unfolding, $\Delta G_f^\circ(pH)$, for a protein depends on the charge of its residues in the folded and unfolded states according to

$$
\delta \Delta G_f^\circ(pH) = \sum_i \int_{pH} 2.3RT(q_i^U - q_i^D) \delta pH \quad (6)
$$

where $q_i^U$ and $q_i^D$ represent the fractional charges of residue $i$ in the folded and unfolded states, respectively, which can be calculated from the $pK_a$ values according to

$$
q_i^\pm = \frac{Q}{1 + 10^{n_H(pH-pK_a)}} \quad (7)
$$

where $Q$ denotes the formal charge of the ionized group (−1 for acidic residues and +1 for basic ones).

The stability curve calculated from Eqs. 6 and 7 reflects pH-dependent contributions only; therefore, a constant offset (baseline stability) was added to qualitatively compare the curves to the previously determined pH-dependent stability of PGB1-QDD (34). The offset was determined by minimizing the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between the two stability curves.

**MD simulations on intact, folded PGB1-QDD**

Atomic MD simulations of intact PGB1-QDD, protonated according to pH 7, were performed in the isobaric-isothermal ensemble (NPT) using explicit solvent and the Amber 9 program (56) with the ff99 nonpolarizable force field. The temperature was kept at 298 K using the Anderson thermostat, and the Berendsen barostat was used to maintain a constant external pressure of 1 atm. The protein and counterions were solvated in 3300 SPC/E water molecules (57) in a cubic simulation box with periodic boundaries. A spherical cut-off of 12 Å was applied for all nonbonded interactions and the particle-mesh Ewald summation method was used to take into account long-range electrostatics. After 1 ns equilibration, production runs were sampled for 15 ns with a 2-fs timestep. Ensemble averages of the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) were sampled for each residue by rolling a ball (radius 1.5 Å) over the fluctuating protein surface. The starting structure for the simulations was generated using the pdb file 1pgb, where T2Q, N8D, and N37D mutations were introduced using Swiss model (58). Intrinsic $pK_a$ values were used to determine the charge state of titratable residues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Residue-specific $pK_a$ values and Hill parameters in the folded and unfolded states of PGB1-QDD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$pK_a$ Folded*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E15</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E19</td>
<td>3.90 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D22</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E27</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D36</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D37</td>
<td>6.51 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D40</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E42</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D46</td>
<td>3.84 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D47</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E56</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-term</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values previously determined (33).
†Values obtained by fitting Eq. 1 to side-chain $^{13}$C chemical shift data. The standard errors from the fit are shown and the errors in pH are estimated to be ±0.05 units.
‡Values obtained by correcting for cleavage into fragments.
§Values obtained from MC simulations of pair-wise electrostatic interactions.
Gaussian chain model to correct for cleavage

Differences in electrostatic interactions between the intact chain and fragments are due to introduction of new termini and changes in long-range Coulombic interactions due to loss of the complementary part of the chain. The Gaussian chain model (28) should be a good model to capture these differences and was used to evaluate the difference in pKₐ values between unfolded intact protein and unfolded fragments. The model is presented in depth elsewhere (see Lindman et al. (35) and Supporting Material) and accounts for direct Coulombic interactions. At each pH, the average charges of all negative residues and C-termini in the intact protein and in N41 and C16 were obtained. Titration curves were obtained by performing the simulations at pH values 1–8, and Eq. 7 was fit to data to obtain pKₐ values.

Experimental pKₐ values of the fragments were corrected for cleavage and introduction of new N- and C-termini. From MC simulations, using the Gaussian chain model, the pKₐ values of unfolded, intact chain and unfolded fragments could be calculated. The corrected values were calculated as

\[
pK_{\text{a,corr}} = pK_{\text{a,exp}} + \left( pK_{\text{a,intact}} - pK_{\text{a,fragment}} \right)
\]

MC simulations on intact, folded PGB1-QDD

Coarse-grained Metropolis Monte Carlo simulations of a single PGB1-QDD molecule in a salt solution were performed in the canonical ensemble (NVT) at 298 K using the freely available Faunus framework (59). The procedure and parameters used have been described in detail elsewhere (60,61). In short, amino acid residues in the protein are reduced to single spheres that can be either charged or neutral, depending on the residue type and protonation state. Salt particles are explicitly described by mobile, charged particles, whereas the solvent is treated as a dielectric continuum. Coordinate space is sampled over all salt positions, protonation states, and—if the protein is flexible—backbone configurations. In the case of flexible proteins, neighboring residues are connected via a harmonic potential,

\[
u(r) = k_B T f'(r - r_{eq})^2
\]

with the spring constant \(f = 0.1 \text{Å}^2\) and an equilibrium distance \(r_{eq} = 4.9 \text{Å}\) (average amino acid mass center separation in the PDB). Statistical mechanical ensemble averages of the charge state, \(z_i\), of all titratable residues are sampled as a function of pH and fitted to Eq. 7 to obtain acid dissociation constants and Hill parameters.

RESULTS

The charge states of all carboxyl groups in the N-terminal fragment (residues 1–41) (N41) and the C-terminal fragment (residues 41–56) (C16) of PGB1-QDD (for sequence details, see Fig. 2) could be monitored using the chemical shift of the \(^{13}\text{C}\) carboxyl nucleus as a reporter. The two Asp and two Glu residues in C16 were assigned by correlating the chemical shift of the carboxyl \(^{13}\text{C}\) with the amide proton of the following residue (17). All 12 side-chain carboxyl groups and the C-terminus were thus identified and showed pH-dependent chemical shifts (Fig. 3). We note that a few residues (most notably D40) display different pKₐ values for \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) (nonlinear titrations in Fig. 3). We showed previously that side-chain \(^{13}\text{C}\) gives more reliable pKₐ values (33), whereas \(^1\text{H}\) probably reflects titration also of nearby residues. Fig. 4 shows the side-chain chemical shifts versus pH together with the fitted modified Henderson-Hasselbalch model (Eq. 1). The obtained residue-specific pKₐ values and Hill parameters are listed in Table 1. The Hill parameter is commonly introduced to get improved fit to nonideal titration data (8,54) but also has a physical

![FIGURE 3](image-url)
meaning (33). For a Hill parameter of \( <1 \), the titrating group senses repulsion that changes linearly with pH, and a Hill parameter of \( >1 \) means that the attraction changes linearly with pH. For \( n_{\text{H}} = 1 \), the electrostatic energy is constant throughout the titration.

In Fig. 5, the pK\textsubscript{a} values of the unfolded state are compared to model values and to those for the folded state. In general, the experimental pK\textsubscript{a} values for the unfolded state agree well with the model values, i.e., 4.0 for Asp and 4.4 for Glu (62). Most of the residue-specific shifts in pK\textsubscript{a} value occur in the same direction as in the folded protein, whereas the pK\textsubscript{a} values of D8 and E56 are shifted in opposite directions in the folded and unfolded states. Deprotonation of D8 is unfavorable in the folded protein with a pK\textsubscript{a} value of 4.9, but it seems to be favorable in the unfolded fragment where the pK\textsubscript{a} value is reduced to 3.7. E56 has a downshifted pK\textsubscript{a} of 3.8 in the folded state despite being the C-terminal residue with an additional carboxyl group. This observation is rationalized by the presence of a hydrogen bond between K10 and E56 in the folded state, which cannot exist in the fragment. Indeed, in the unfolded fragment the expected repulsion between the two carboxylates is noticeable, causing the pK\textsubscript{a} value of E56 to increase to 4.7. D36, D37, and D40 form a cluster of negatively charged residues, which may focus the attraction of protons and cause an increase in pK\textsubscript{a} values. D36, D37, and D40 also show low values of the Hill parameter, indicating that these residues are electrostatically coupled. In the folded protein, D37 has a highly upshifted pK\textsubscript{a} value of 6.5, whereas in the unfolded fragment, this residue has a marginally upshifted pK\textsubscript{a} value of 4.2.

Proton binding capacitance curves (33,55,63) offer a convenient means of comparing the pH-dependent variation in charge states between the folded and unfolded states. In Fig. 6, the derivatives of the normalized titration data are plotted. The derivative for an isolated residue would have
a maximum peak height of 0.57 at pH = pKₐ. A lower and broader capacitance curve signifies electrostatic coupling, but the area below the curve is retained. Fig. 6 reveals that the proton binding capacitance curves are sharpest for the unfolded state, with peak heights closer to the ideal value of 0.57. Fig. 7 compares the total proton binding capacitances of the intact, folded protein and the unfolded fragments. It is clear that the capacitance curves for the unfolded fragments are closer to ideal than are those for the folded protein. Together, Figs. 5–7 indicate that most of the electrostatic coupling is removed in the unfolded state so that each residue titrates more or less independently of the others. This is in stark contrast to the folded state, where broad and asymmetric capacitance curves reflect significant electrostatic coupling between residues.

Cleaving the protein and separating the fragments necessarily alters the electrostatic interactions to some extent. The shift in pKₐ values due to fragmentation and separation, were estimated by MC simulations of a Gaussian chain model as a function of pH followed by fitting of Eq. 7. The calculated difference between fragments and intact protein reports on the effect of new charged termini and loss of long-range interactions with the other fragment and were used to correct the experimental pKₐ values measured for the fragments (Eq. 8). Although the Gaussian chain model does not reproduce accurately the charge distribution of the unfolded state (35), we believe that it is accurate in capturing the difference in pKₐ values between unfolded intact protein and fragments. Although the pKₐ value of E42 is shifted by 0.5 pH units, most residues are not significantly affected by fragmentation (Table 1). It is reasoned that the E42 shift stems from the favorable interaction with the introduced N-terminus at G41. The remaining three side-chain carboxyl groups in C16 have pKₐ values shifted by 0.1 pH unit. The pKₐ value of D40 seems to be unaffected by the new C-terminus at G41, which probably compensates for the removal of E42.

The coarse-grained MC simulations of intact PGB-QDD predict small pKₐ downshifts, but neglect the solvation penalty of burial. Thus, the experimentally observed upshifts do not seem to originate from site-site electrostatics, but are more likely due to desolvation effects associated with side-chain burial. Therefore, we performed atomistic MD simulations of the intact chain, sampling the SASA involving the side-chains of aspartates and glutamates. SASA for the aspartic acids varies between 61 and 105 Å² (Table 2). The upshifted pKₐ values measured for D8 and D37 may reflect partial burial of these residues. Although the average SASA values for D8 and D37 are not significantly different from those of D22, D46, and D47, the fluctuations in SASA around the mean values, ⟨A²⟩ − ⟨A⟩², of D8 and D37 are up to 10 times greater than for the other aspartates. Statistical mechanical perturbation theory (64) shows that the fluctuation amplitude is related to the free energy of perturbing (burial or exposure) the side chain away from its mean solvent accessibility, i.e., \( G(A) \propto (A - \langle A \rangle)^2 / \langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2 \). We conclude that D8 and D37 populate conformations with low SASA and that these populations are expected to increase upon protonation (no net charge on the side chain).

**DISCUSSION**

The work presented here provides pKₐ values in a model of the unfolded state of a protein under nondenaturing conditions. The results imply that in the unfolded state, most residues have pKₐ values close to the model values and charge capacitance curves close to ideal. The approach of measuring pKₐ values in fragments has the advantage that the unfolded state can be studied under nativelike conditions without the addition of denaturants.

A thermodynamic cycle links the equilibria of protein folding in the charged and uncharged states (Fig. 1).

**TABLE 2** Average side-chain SASA and SASA fluctuations for glutamates and aspartates in intact folded PGB1-QDD as obtained from atomic-scale MD simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>⟨A⟩ (Å²)</th>
<th>⟨A²⟩ − ⟨A⟩² (Å⁴)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D22</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D36</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D37</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D40</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D46</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D47</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E15</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E19</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E27</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E42</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E56</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pH-dependent stability of the protein can be calculated from the difference in $pK_a$ values between the folded and unfolded states (Eq. 6), based on the assumption that the structural ensembles of the folded and unfolded states are unaffected by changes in electrostatic charge. Here, we present the results of such calculations based on experimental $pK_a$ values for the intact folded protein and for the unfolded fragments and compare these to experimental denaturation data (Fig. 8). We observe a significant improvement in the agreement (RMSD 4.3 kJ/mol) compared with previous results based on calculated $pK_a$ values for the unfolded state using a Gaussian chain model (RMSD 6.8 kJ/mol) (35). When $pK_a$ values for the unfolded state are corrected for the change in electrostatic interactions due to protein fragmentation, the agreement between the calculated and experimental stability is further improved across the entire pH range (RMSD 2.4 kJ/mol) (Fig. 8). In our previous article (35), we outlined two extreme scenarios that would explain the pH-dependent stability; either the $pK_a$ values for all residues in the unfolded state are upshifted by 0.2 pH units or the $pK_a$ value for one residue (D37) is upshifted by 1.9 pH units. The results from the unfolded fragments support the first scenario. The residual deviations may be due to changes in the structures of the folded and/or unfolded states in response to altered electrostatics during the pH titration. This may yield additional pH-induced changes in stability of non-Coulombic origin. Furthermore, the experimental stability data are based on thermal denaturation, which involves extrapolation from high temperature. Again, the conformational ensembles may be affected by changes in temperature. Finally, cleaving the unfolded chain into fragments will result in disruption of any residual tertiary interactions, as discussed above.

The $pK_a$ values measured for the unfolded fragments also enable us to deduce mechanistic details underlying the $pK_a$ shifts in the folded protein. In the case of PGB1-QDD, the largest $pK_a$ shifts are seen for D8, D37, D47, E56, and E19, which we discuss briefly below. It is apparent from our results obtained for the fragments that the $pK_a$ values of the mutated residues D8 and D37 in the folded state are not shifted due to repulsion inherent in the sequence, but must be a consequence of partial burial upon folding. This conclusion is further strengthened by the results from MD simulations. The low $pK_a$ value of E56 in the folded state is not maintained in the unfolded fragment, indicating that local electrostatic attractions are not the cause of the downshift; rather, it appears that the effect is due to the hydrogen bond to K10. In the case of D22, the downshifted $pK_a$ value is maintained to some extent in the unfolded fragment, indicating that part of the large downshift in the folded state is due to local electrostatic interactions. However, the major part of the downshift is probably caused by the hydrogen bond to T25 (65). The same effect is seen for D47, where the downshifted $pK_a$ value can be traced partly to a hydrogen bond between the Y45 and D47 side chains (65). E19 is proximal to the N-terminus in the folded protein, but this interaction is removed in the fragment where the side chain has a $pK_a$ close to the ideal value and the charge capacitance is decreased.

The results obtained in this and previous studies of PGB1-QDD reiterates the conclusion that electrostatic interactions on the protein surface cause minor $pK_a$ shifts relative to shifts arising from desolvation or specific hydrogen bonds (11,66). The analysis of proton binding capacitance curves adds insight into the origin of $pK_a$ shifts, and pure electrostatic interactions can be detected.

In conclusion, unfolded fragments of PGB1-QDD serve as a useful model for the unfolded state of the intact protein under non-denaturing conditions. The accuracy is improved when correcting for changes in electrostatic interactions caused by cleavage of the protein. Moreover, the analysis of proton binding capacitance reveals that even in this highly charged protein, most of the electrostatic interactions are largely diminished in the unfolded state.

**FIGURE 8** Comparison of experimental with calculated pH-dependent stability curves for PGB1-QDD. Curves represent experimental stability data at different pH values from denaturation studies (34) (solid circles); calculated stability based on experimental $pK_a$ values for the folded (33) and unfolded (this study) states (dotted line); calculated stability based on experimental $pK_a$ values for the folded state and corrected $pK_a$ values for the unfolded state, determined from the difference between the intact protein and cleaved fragments in their electrostatic interactions, as obtained from MC simulations using a Gaussian chain model (solid line); calculated stability based on experimental $pK_a$ values in the folded state (33) and calculated $pK_a$ values in the unfolded state assuming a Gaussian chain, as reported previously (35) (dashed line). The curves were shifted vertically to minimize the RMSD.

**SUPPORTING MATERIAL**

Supporting text, one figure, seven equations, and additional references are available at http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/supplemental/S0006-3495(10)01180-X.
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