

University of Groningen

Involvement in bottom-up energy transitions

Goedkoop, Fleur

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.166748756](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.166748756)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Goedkoop, F. (2021). *Involvement in bottom-up energy transitions: the role of local and contextual embeddedness*. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.166748756>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

REFERENCES

- Afshartous, D., & Preston, R. A. (2011). Key Results of Interaction Models with Centering. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2011.11889620>
- Aiken, G. T. (2012). Community Transitions to Low Carbon Futures in the Transition Towns Network (TTN). *Geography Compass*, 6(2), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2011.00475.x>
- Aitken, M. (2010). Wind power and community benefits: Challenges and opportunities. *Energy Policy*, 38(10), 6066–6075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.05.062>
- Allison, P. D. (2009). *Fixed effects regression models*. SAGE publications.
- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A ladder of participation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 35, 216–224.
- Austin, W. G., & Worchel, S. (1986). *Psychology of intergroup relations*. Burnham Inc Pub.
- Axelrod, R. (1984). *The Evolution of Cooperation*. Basic Books, New York.
- Axelrod, R., & Hamilton, W. D. (1981). The evolution of cooperation. *Science*, 211, 1390–1396.
- Axsen, J., & Kurani, K. S. (2012). Social Influence, Consumer Behavior, and Low-Carbon Energy Transitions. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 37(1), 311–340. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-062111-145049>
- Bale, C. S., McCullen, N. J., Foxon, T. J., Rucklidge, A. M., & Gale, W. F. (2013). Harnessing social networks for promoting adoption of energy technologies in the domestic sector. *Energy Policy*, 63, 833–844.
- Bamberg, S., Rees, J. H., & Seebauer, S. (2015). Collective climate action: Determinants of participation intention in community-based pro-environmental initiatives. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 43, 155–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.06.006>
- Barabási, A. L. (2002). *Linked: The New Science of Networks*. New York: Plume.
- Barnett, J., Burningham, K., Walker, G., & Cass, N. (2012). Imagined publics and engagement around renewable energy technologies in the UK. *Public Understanding of Science*, 21(1), 36–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662510365663>
- Barr, S., & Devine-Wright, P. (2012). Resilient communities: sustainabilities in transition. *Local Environment*, 17(5), 525–532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2012.676637>
- Barry, J., Ellis, G., & Robinson, C. (2008). Cool rationalities and hot air: a rhetorical approach to understanding debates on renewable energy. *Global Environmental Politics*, 8(2), 67–98.
- Bauwens, T. (2016). Explaining the diversity of motivations behind community renewable energy. *Energy Policy*, 93, 278–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.03.017>
- Bauwens, T. (2017). *Designing institutions for collective energy action: the roles of renewable energy cooperatives in a polycentric low-carbon transition*. Université de Liège.
- Bauwens, T. (2019). Analyzing the determinants of the size of investments by community renewable energy members: Findings and policy implications from Flanders. *Energy Policy*, 129(841–852).
- Bauwens, T., Gotchev, B., & Holstenkamp, L. (2015). What drives the development of community energy in Europe? The case of wind power cooperatives. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 12, 136–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2015.12.016>
- Baxter, J., & Eyles, J. (1997). Evaluating qualitative research in social geography: Establishing “rigour” in interview analysis. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 22(4), 505–525. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0020-2754.1997.00505.x>
- Bekkers, V., Edelenbos, J., Nederhand, J., Steijn, B., Tummers, L., & Voorberg, W. (2014). The social innovation perspective in the public sector: Co-creation, self-organization and meta-governance. In *Innovation in the public sector: linking capacity and leadership* (pp. 223–244). Palgrave Macmillan.

References

- Bell, D., Gray, T. I. M., & Haggett, C. (2005). The “social gap” in wind farm siting decisions: Explanations and policy responses. *Environmental Politics, 14*(4), 460–477. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010500175833>
- Bettencourt, B. A., Brewer, M. B., Croak, M. R., & Miller, N. (1992). Cooperation and the reduction of intergroup bias: The role of reward structure and social orientation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 28*(4), 301–319. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(92\)90048-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(92)90048-0)
- Bicchieri, C. (1990). Norms of cooperation. *Ethics, 100*(4), 838–861.
- Bickerstaff, K., Devine-Wright, P., & Butler, C. (2015). Living with low carbon technologies: An agenda for sharing and comparing qualitative energy research. *Energy Policy, 84*, 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2015.04.015>
- Bickerstaff, K., Walker, G., & Bulkeley, H. (2013). *Energy justice in a changing climate: social equity and low-carbon energy*. Zed Books Ltd.
- Blind, P. K. (2007). Building trust in government in the twenty-first century: Review of literature and emerging issues. *7th Global Forum on Reinventing Government Building Trust in Government*, 26–29.
- Bock, V., Polach, C., Kunze, C., Maaß, O., & Grundmann, P. (2015). Bioenergy as a socio-technical system: The nexus of rules, social capital and cooperation in the development of bioenergy villages in Germany. *Energy Research & Social Science, 6*, 128–135.
- Bodin, Ö., & Crona, B. I. (2005). Information network topologies for enhanced local adaptive management. *Environmental Management, 35*, 175–193.
- Bodin, Ö., & Crona, B. I. (2009). The role of social networks in natural resource governance: What relational patterns make a difference? *Global Environmental Change, 19*(3), 366–374.
- Bomberg, E., & McEwen, N. (2012). Mobilizing community energy. *Energy Policy, 51*, 435–444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.08.045>
- Boon, F. P., & Dieperink, C. (2014). Local civil society based renewable energy organisations in the Netherlands: Exploring the factors that stimulate their emergence and development. *Energy Policy, 69*, 297–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.01.046>
- Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (1997). Network Analysis of 2-Mode Data. *Social Networks, 19*(3), 243–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-21742-6_16
- Borgatti, S. P., Mehra, A., Brass, D. J., & Labianca, G. (2009). Network analysis in the social sciences. *Science, 323*(April), 892–896.
- Bouman, T., & Steg, L. (2019). Motivating Society-wide Pro-environmental Change. *One Earth, 1*(1), 27–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2019.08.002>
- Braithwaite, V., & Levi, M. (1998). *Trust and governance*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Brant, R. (1990). Assessing Proportionality in the Proportional Odds Model for Ordinal Logistic Regression. *Biometrics, 46*(4), 1171–1178.
- Brewer, G. D., & Stern, P. C. (2005). *Decision making for the environment: Social and behavioral science research priorities*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Briet, M., Klandermans, B., & Kroon, F. (1987). *How women become involved in the women's movement of the Netherlands*.
- Buchan, D. (2012). *The Energiewende-Germany's Gamble*. The Oxford Institute for Energy Studies.
- Burningham, K., Barnett, J., & Walker, G. (2015). An Array of Deficits: Unpacking NIMBY Discourses in Wind Energy Developers' Conceptualizations of Their Local Opponents. *Society and Natural Resources, 28*(3), 246–260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2014.933923>

- Burt, R. S. (1997). The contingent value of social capital. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 339–365.
- Cameron, L., Rutland, A., Hossain, R., & Petley, R. (2011). When and why does extended contact work? the role of high quality direct contact and group norms in the development of positive ethnic intergroup attitudes amongst children. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 14(2), 193–206. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430210390535>
- Cartwright, D., & Zander, A. (1968). *Group dynamics; research and theory*. Harper and Row.
- Cass, N., Walker, G., & Devine-Wright, P. (2010). Good neighbours, public relations and bribes: The politics and perceptions of community benefit provision in renewable energy development in the UK. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, 12(3), 255–275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2010.509558>
- Catney, P., MacGregor, S., Dobson, A., Hall, S. M., Royston, S., Robinson, Z., Ormerod, M., & Ross, S. (2014). Big society, little justice? Community renewable energy and the politics of localism. *Local Environment*, 19(7), 715–730. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2013.792044>
- CBS. (2018a). Retrieved from: <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/37296NED/table?dl=2DA8A>
- CBS. (2018b). Retrieved from: <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/visualisaties/inkomensverdeling>
- CBS. (2018c). Retrieved from: <https://longreads.cbs.nl/trends18/maatschappij/cijfers/onderwijs/>
- CBS. (2018d). *Stijging gepensioneerden vlakt af*. <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/nieuws/2019/40/stijging-aantal-gepensioneerden-vlakt-af>
- Centola, D., & Macy, M. W. (2007). Complex Contagions and the Weakness of Long Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113(3), 702–734.
- Cheibub, J. A., Przeworski, A., Limongi Neto, F. P., & Alvarez, M. M. (1996). What makes democracies endure? *Journal of Democracy*, 7(1), 39–55.
- Chmutina, K., & Goodier, C. I. (2014). Alternative future energy pathways : Assessment of the potential of innovative decentralised energy systems in the UK. *Energy Policy*, 66, 62–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.10.080>
- Christakis, N. A., & Fowler, J. H. (2009). *Connected. The Surprising Power of Social Networks and How They Shape Our Lives*. Little, Brown and Company.
- Cialdini, R. B. (2003). Crafting normative messages to protect the environment. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 12(4), 105–109.
- Cialdini, R. B., Reno, R., & Kallgren, C. A. (1990). A focus theory of normative conduct: recycling the concept of norms to reduce littering in public places. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58, 1015.
- Clarke, J. (2005). New Labour's citizens: activated, empowered, responsabilized, abandoned? *Critical Social Policy*, 25(4), 447–463.
- Clarke, J. (2013). In search of ordinary people: The problematic politics of popular participation. *Communication, Culture & Critique*, 6(2), 208–226.
- Coffé, H., & Geys, B. (2005). Institutional performance and social capital: An application to the local government level. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 27(5), 485–501.
- Coleman, J. S. (1990). *Foundations of social capital*. Belknap.
- Collins, R. (1988). *Theoretical Sociology*. New York: HBJ Publishers.
- Cook, K. S. (2005). Networks, norms, and trust: the social psychology of social capital 2004 cooley mead award address. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 4–14.

References

- Cowell, R., Bristow, G., & Munday, M. (2011). Acceptance, acceptability and environmental justice: The role of community benefits in wind energy development. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 54(4), 539–557. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2010.521047>
- Coyne, I. T. (1997). Sampling in qualitative research. Purposeful and theoretical sampling; merging or clear boundaries? *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 26(3), 623–630. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.1997.t01-25-00999.x>
- Creamer, E., Aiken, G. T., Van Veelen, B., Walker, G., & Devine-Wright, P. (2019). Community renewable energy: What does it do? Walker and Devine-Wright (2008) ten years on. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 57(101223).
- Creamer, E., Allen, S., & Hagggett, C. (2019). 'Incomers' leading 'community-led' sustainability initiatives: A contradiction in terms? *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 37(5), 946–964. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263774X18802476>
- Dalton, R. J., & Wattenberg, M. P. (2002). *Parties without partisans: Political change in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford University Press on Demand.
- De Moor, T. (2015). *The dilemma of the commoners: Understanding the use of common-pool resources in long-term perspective*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dear, M. (1992). Understanding and overcoming the NIMBY syndrome. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 58(3), 288–300. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944369208975808>
- Deaux, K., & Martin, Daniela. (2003). Interpersonal Networks and Social Categories : Specifying Levels of Context in Identity Processes. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 66(2), 101–117.
- Degenne, A., & Forsé, M. (1999). *Introducing social networks*. Sage.
- Delhey, J., Newton, K., & Welzel, C. (2011). How general is trust in “most people”? Solving the radius of trust problem. *American Sociological Review*, 76(5), 786–807. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122411420817>
- Della Porta, D. (1988). Recruitment processes in clandestine political organizations. *International Social Movement Research*, 1, 155–169.
- Department of Energy & Climate Change. (2013). Community energy: Call for evidence. *Decc, June*. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/207920/community_energy_call_for_evidence.pdf
- Devine-Wright, P. (2007). Reconsidering public attitudes and public acceptance of renewable energy technologies : a critical review. *Architecture, Working Pa*(February), 1–15. http://geography.exeter.ac.uk/beyond_nimbyism/deliverables/bn_wp1_4.pdf
- Devine-Wright, P. (2013). Explaining “NIMBY” Objections to a Power Line: The Role of Personal, Place Attachment and Project-Related Factors. *Environment and Behavior*, 45(6), 761–781. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916512440435>
- Devine-Wright, P., & Wiersma, B. (2013). Opening up the “local” to analysis: Exploring the spatiality of UK urban decentralised energy initiatives. *Local Environment*, 18(10), 1099–1116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2012.754742>
- Dietz, T. (2015). Environmental value. In D. S. T. Brosch (Ed.), *Oxford Handbook of Values* (pp. 329–349). Oxford University Press.
- Dietz, T., & Whitley, C. T. (2018). Environmentalism, norms, and identity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(49), 12334–12336. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1817487115>

- Dijkstra, J. (2013). Put your money where your mouth is : Reciprocity , social preferences , trust and contributions to public goods. *Rationality and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1043463113492305>
- Dijkstra, J., & Bakker, D. M. (2017). Relative power: Material and contextual elements of efficacy in social dilemmas. *Social Science Research*, *62*, 255–271.
- Dijkstra, J., & Van Assen, M. A. (2013). Network public goods with asymmetric information about cooperation preferences and network degree. *Social Networks*, *35*(4), 573–582. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2013.08.005>
- Dóci, G., & Vasileiadou, E. (2015). “Let’s do it ourselves” Individual motivations for investing in renewables at community level. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *49*, 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.051>
- Duijn, M., Van Buuren, A., Edelenbos, J., Van Popering-Verkerk, J., & Van Meerkerk, I. (2019). Community-based initiatives in the Dutch water domain: the challenge of double helix alignment. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT*, *35*(3), 383–403. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2019.1575189>
- Dunlap, R. E., & Brulle, R. J. (2015). *Climate Change and Society: Sociological Perspectives*. Oxford University Press.
- DuPuis, E. M., & Goodman, D. (2005). Should we go “home” to eat?: Toward a reflexive politics of localism. *Journal of Rural Studies*, *21*(3), 359–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2005.05.011>
- Dyer, J. H., & Chu, W. (2003). The role of trustworthiness in reducing transaction costs and improving performance: Empirical evidence from the United States, Japan, and Korea. *Organization Science*, *14*(1), 57–68.
- Dykstra, P. A., Kalmijn, M., Knijn, T., Komter, A. E., Liefbroer, A. C., & Mulder, C. H. (2005). *Codebook of the Netherlands kinship panel study*. Nederlands Interdisciplinair Demografisch Instituut.
- Easley, D., & Kleinberg, J. (2010). Networks, Crowds, and Markets: Reasoning about a Highly Connected World. *Science*, *81*, 744. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511761942>
- Easterbrook, M., & Vignoles, V. L. (2012). *Different groups, different motives: identity motives underlying changes in identification with novel groups*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212444614>
- Edelenbos, J., van Meerkerk, I., & Schenk, T. (2018). The evolution of community self-organization in interaction with government institutions: Cross-case insights from three countries. *The American Review of Public Administration*, *48*(1), 52–66.
- Ellis, G., Barry, J., & Robinson, C. (2007). Many ways to say “no”, different ways to say “yes”: Applying Q-Methodology to understand public acceptance of wind farm proposals. In *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* (Vol. 50, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640560701402075>
- Etzioni, A. (1993). *The Spirit of Community: Rights, Responsibilities, and the Communitarian Agenda*. Crown; New York.
- Faust, K. (1997). Centrality in affiliation networks. *Social Networks*, *19*(2), 157–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8733\(96\)00300-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8733(96)00300-0)
- Feld, S. L. (1981). The Focused Organization of Social. *American Journal of Sociology*, *86*(5), 1015–1035.
- Fernandez, R. M., & McAdam, D. (1988). Social networks and social movements: Multiorganizational fields and recruitment to Mississippi Freedom Summer. *Sociological Forum*, *3*(3), 357–382.
- Fernandez, R. M., & McAdam, D. (1989). Multiorganizational fields and recruitment to social movements. *International Social Movement Research*, *2*, 315–343.

References

- Festinger, L. (1954). A Theory of Social Comparison Processes. *Human Relations*, 7, 117–140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>
- Fielding, K. S., & Hornsey, M. J. (2016). A Social Identity Analysis of Climate Change and Environmental Attitudes and Behaviors: Insights and Opportunities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 121. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00121>
- Fiorino, D. J. (1989). Environmental risk and democratic process: a critical review. *Colum. J. Envtl. L.*, 14, 501.
- Fischbacher, U., Gächter, S., & Fehr, E. (2001). Are people conditionally cooperative? Evidence from a public goods experiment. *Economics Letters*, 71(3), 397–404.
- Flache, A., & Dijkstra, J. (2015). Rationality in Society. In D. W. (Editor-in-chief) (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of the social & behavioral sciences* (pp. 907–912). Elsevier.
- Freeman, L. C. (1978). Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, 1(3), 215–239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(78\)90021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(78)90021-7)
- Freeman, L. C., Roeder, D., & Mulholland, R. R. (1979). Centrality in social networks: ii. experimental results. *Social Networks*, 2(2), 119–141. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(79\)90002-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(79)90002-9)
- Frey, B. S. (1997). On the relationship between intrinsic and extrinsic work motivation. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 4(15), 427–439.
- Fritsche, I., Barth, M., Jugert, P., Masson, T., & Reese, G. (2018). A Social Identity Model of Pro-Environmental Action (SIMPEA). *Psychological Review*, 125, 245–269. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000090>
- Gamson, W. A. (1968). Stable unrepresentation in American society. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 12(2), 15–21.
- Geels, F. W. (2002). Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes: a multi-level perspective and a case-study. *Research Policy*, 31(8–9), 1257–1274. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(02\)00062-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(02)00062-8)
- Geels, F. W., & Schot, J. W. (2007). Typology of sociotechnical transition pathways. *Research Policy*, 36(3), 399–417. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.01.003>
- Gifford, R., & Nilsson, A. (2014). Personal and social factors that influence pro-environmental concern and behaviour: A review. *International Journal of Psychology*, 49(3), 141–157. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12034>
- Gilchreist, A. (2000). Design for Living: The Challenge of Sustainable Communities. In *Sustainable Communities: The Potential for Eco-Neighbourhoods* (pp. 147–59).
- Gladwell, M. (2000). *The Tipping Point: How Little Things Can Make a Big Difference*. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Goedkoop, F., & Devine-Wright, P. (2016). Partnership or placation? the role of trust and justice in the shared ownership of renewable energy projects. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 17, 135–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.04.021>
- Gould, R. V. (1991). Multiple Networks and Mobilization in the Paris Commune, 1871. *American Sociological Review*, 56, 716–729.
- Gould, R. V. (1993). Collective Action and Network Structure. *American Journal of Sociology*, 58, 182–196.
- Granovetter, M. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380.
- Granovetter, M. (1978). Threshold Models of Collective Behavior. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(6), 1420–1443.
- Granovetter, M. (1983). The Strength of Weak Ties: A Network Theory Revisited. *Sociological Theory*, 1, 201–233.

- Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, *91*(3), 481–510.
- Granovetter, M., & Soong, R. (1983). Threshold models of diffusion and collective behavior. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, *9*(3), 165–179. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0022250X.1983.9989941>
- Greenberg, M. R. (2014). Energy policy and research: The underappreciation of trust. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *1*, 152–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2014.02.004>
- Greenberg, M. R., Dyen, S., & Elliott, S. (2013). The public's preparedness: Self-reliance, flashbulb memories, and conservative values. *American Journal of Public Health*, *103*(6), 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.301198>
- Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K., & Van Buuren, S. (2011). Mice: multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *J Stat Softw*, *45*(3), 1–67.
- Gross, C. (2007). Community perspectives of wind energy in Australia: The application of a justice and community fairness framework to increase social acceptance. *Energy Policy*, *35*(5), 2727–2736. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2006.12.013>
- Haggett, C. (2008). Over the sea and far away? A consideration of the planning, politics and public perception of offshore wind farms. *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, *10*(3), 289–306. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15239080802242787>
- Haggett, C., Creamer, E., Harnmeijer, J., Parsons, M., & Bomberg, E. (2013). *Community Energy in Scotland : the Social Factors for Success*. 1–25.
- Hardin, G. (1968). The tragedy of the commons. *Science*, *162*, 1243–1248.
- Hardin, R. (2002). *Trust and trustworthiness*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Hargreaves, T., Hielscher, S., Seyfang, G., & Smith, A. (2013). Grassroots innovations in community energy: The role of intermediaries in niche development. *Global Environmental Change*, *23*(5), 868–880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.02.008>
- Haslam, S. A. (2004). *Psychology in Organizations*. Sage.
- Hatzl, S., Seebauer, S., Fleiß, E., & Posch, A. (2016). Market-based vs. grassroots citizen participation initiatives in photovoltaics: A qualitative comparison of niche development. *Futures*, *78–79*, 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2016.03.022>
- Healey, P. (2015). Citizen-generated local development initiative: Recent English experience. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, *19*(2), 109–118.
- Hedström, P., & Bearman, P. S. (2009). What is analytical sociology all about? An introductory essay. In *The Oxford handbook of analytical sociology* (pp. 3–14).
- Heiskanen, E., Johnson, M., Robinson, S., Vadovics, E., & Saastamoinen, M. (2010). Low-carbon communities as a context for individual behavioural change. *Energy Policy*, *38*(12), 7586–7595. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.07.002>
- Hielscher, S., Seyfang, G., & Smith, A. (2013). Grassroots innovations for sustainable energy: exploring niche-development processes among community-energy initiatives. In *Innovations in Sustainable Consumption*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781001349.00017>
- Hinshelwood, E. (2003). Making friends with the sustainable livelihoods framework. *Community Development Journal*, *38*(3), 243–254. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/38.3.243>
- Hoffman, S. M., & High-Pippert, A. (2010). From private lives to collective action: Recruitment and participation incentives for a community energy program. *Energy Policy*, *38*, 7567–7574. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.06.054>

References

- Hooghe, M., & Stolle, D. (2003). *Generating social capital: Civil society and institutions in comparative perspective*. (D. Hooghe, M., & Stolle (Ed.)). Springer.
- Hoppe, T., Graf, A., Warbroek, B., Lammers, I., & Lepping, I. (2015). Local governments supporting local energy initiatives: Lessons from the best practices of Saerbeck (Germany) and Lochem (The Netherlands). *Sustainability*, 7(2), 1900–1931. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/su7021900>
- Hopper, J. R., & Nielsen, J. M. (1991). Recycling as altruistic behavior: Normative and behavioral strategies to expand participation in a community recycling program. *Environment and Behavior*, 23(2), 195–220. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0013916591232004>
- Huijts, N. M. A., Molin, E. J. E., & Steg, L. (2012). Psychological factors influencing sustainable energy technology acceptance : A review-based comprehensive framework. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(1), 525–531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.08.018>
- Ilcan, S., & Basok, T. (2004). Community government: voluntary agencies, social justice, and the responsabilization of citizens. *Citizenship Studies*, 8(2), 129–144. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/1362102042000214714>
- Inglehart, R. (1990). Values, ideology, and cognitive mobilization in new social movements. *Challenging the Political Order*, 43–66.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2018). *An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5° C Above Pre-industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change, Sustainable Development*.
- IPCC. (2018). Summary for Policymakers SPM. *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5°C above Pre-Industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change*, 32. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/SR15_SPM_version_report_LR.pdf
- Jachimowicz, J. M., Hauser, O. P., O'Brien, J. D., Sherman, E., & Galinsky, A. D. (2018). The critical role of second-order normative beliefs in predicting energy conservation. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 2, 757–764. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0434-0>
- Jackson, M. O. (2008). Diffusion through Networks. In *Social and Economic Networks* (pp. 185–222). Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvc4m4gh1.10>
- Jackson, T. (2005). Motivating sustainable consumption. *Sustainable Development Research Network*, 29(1), 30–40.
- Jager, W. (2006). Stimulating the diffusion of photovoltaic systems: A behavioural perspective. *Energy Policy*, 34(14), 1935–1943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2004.12.022>
- Jans, L., Bouman, T., & Fielding, K. S. (2018). A Part of the Energy “In Crowd”: Changing People’s Energy Behavior via Group-Based Approaches. *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, 16(1), 35–41. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MPE.2017.2759883>
- Jans, L., Leach, C. W., Garcia, R. L., & Postmes, T. (2015). The development of group influence on in-group identification: A multilevel approach. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 18(2), 190–209. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430214540757>
- Joffe, H. (2011). *Qualitative research methods in mental health and psychotherapy: A guide for students and practitioners* (A. R. Harper, D., & Thompson (Ed.)). John Wiley & Sons.
- Johansen, K., & Emborg, J. (2018). Wind farm acceptance for sale? Evidence from the Danish wind farm co-ownership scheme. *Energy Policy*, 117, 413–422. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.01.038>

- Jørgensen, M. L., Anker, H. T., & Lassen, J. (2020). Distributive fairness and local acceptance of wind turbines: The role of compensation schemes. *Energy Policy*, *138*(111294). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111294>
- Kadushin, C. (2012). *Understanding social networks: Theories, concepts, and findings*. OUP USA.
- Kalkbrenner, B. J., & Roosen, J. (2016). Citizens' willingness to participate in local renewable energy projects: The role of community and trust in Germany. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *13*, 60–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2015.12.006>
- Kemp, R., & Rotmans, J. (2005). *The Management of the Co-Evolution of Technical, Environmental and Social Systems*.
- Kim, H., & Bearman, P. S. (1997). The Structure and Dynamics of Movement Participation. *American Sociological Review*, *62*(1), 70–93. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2657453>
- Kitts, J. A. (1999). *Not in our Backyard: Solidarity, Social Networks, and the Ecology of Environmental Mobilization*. *69*(4), 551–574. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1999.tb00886.x>
- Knoke, D., & Yang, S. (2011). Network Fundamentals. *Social Network Analysis*, 4–15. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412985864.n2>
- Koirala, B., Araghi, Y., Kroesen, M., Ghorbani, A., Hakvoort, R., & Herder, P. (2018). Trust, awareness, and independence: Insights from a socio-psychological factor analysis of citizen knowledge and participation in community energy systems. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *38*, 33–40. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.01.009>
- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the Gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior? *Environmental Education Research*, *8*(3), 239–260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620220145401>
- Kollock, P. (1994). The emergence of exchange structures: An experimental study of uncertainty, commitment, and trust. *American Journal of Sociology*, *100*(2), 313–345. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/230539>
- Kollock, P. (1998). Social Dilemmas : The Anatomy of Cooperation. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *24*, 183–214. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.183>
- Kullberg, J., & Ras, M. (2018). *Wonen*. De Sociale Staat van Nederland 2018. <https://digitaal.scp.nl/ssn2018/wonen>
- LaClau, E., & Mouffe, C. (1985). *Hegemony & Socialist Strategy. Towards a Radical Democratic Politics*. Verso Press, London.
- Leach, C. W., van Zomeren, M., Zebel, S., Vliek, M. L. W., Pennekamp, S. F., Doosje, B., Ouwerkerk, J. W., & Spears, R. (2008). Group-level self-definition and self-investment: a hierarchical (multicomponent) model of in-group identification. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *95*(1), 144–165. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.144>
- Leibenath, M., & Otto, A. (2014). Competing Wind Energy Discourses, Contested Landscapes. *Landscape Online*, *38*(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3097/LO.201438>
- Lindenberg, S. (2014). Sustainable cooperation needs tinkering with both rules and social motivation. *Journal of Bioeconomics*, *16*(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10818-013-9172-6>
- Liu, L., Bouman, T., Perlaviciute, G., & Steg, L. (2019). Effects of trust and public participation on acceptability of renewable energy projects in the Netherlands and China. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *53*(September 2018), 137–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.03.006>

References

- Loorbach, D., & Rotmans, J. (2006). Managing transitions for sustainable development. In *Understanding industrial transformation* (pp. 187–206). https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4418-6_10
- Lubell, M. (2004). Collaborative watershed management: A view from the grassroots. *Policy Studies Journal*, 32(3), 341–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2004.00069.x>
- Lubell, M., Vedlitz, a., Zahran, S., & Alston, L. T. (2006). Collective Action, Environmental Activism, and Air Quality Policy. *Political Research Quarterly*, 59(1), 149–160. <https://doi.org/10.1177/106591290605900113>
- Macy, M. W. (1990). Learning Theory and the Logic of Critical Mass. *American Sociological Review*, 55(6), 809–826. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2095747>
- Magnani, N., & Osti, G. (2016). Does civil society matter? Challenges and strategies of grassroots initiatives in Italy's energy transition. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 13, 148–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2015.12.012>
- Maly, C. (2014). Legal aspects of local engagement: Land planning and citizens' financial participation in wind energy projects. In *Renewable Energy Law in the EU*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4337/9781783473199.00020>
- Manly, C. A., & Wells, R. S. (2014). Reporting the Use of Multiple Imputation for Missing Data in Higher Education Research. *Res High Educ*, 56, 397–409. <https://doi.org/DOI.10.1007/s11162-014-9344-9>
- Marshall, M. N. (1996). Sampling for qualitative research. *Family Practice*, 13(6), 522–526. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/fampra/13.6.522>
- Martiskainen, M. (2017). The role of community leadership in the development of grassroots innovations. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 22, 78–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2016.05.002>
- Marwell, G., & Oliver, P. E. (1993). *The critical mass in collective action*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511663765>
- Marwell, G., Oliver, P. E., & Prael, R. (1988). Social networks and collective action: A theory of the critical mass. III. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(3), 502–534. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/229028>
- Masson, T., & Fritsche, I. (2014). Adherence to climate change-related ingroup norms: Do dimensions of group identification matter? *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 44(5), 455–465. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2036>
- McAdam, D. (1986). *Recruitment to High-Risk Activism : The Case of Freedom Summer*. 92(1), 64–90. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/228463>
- McAdam, D., & Diani, M. (2003). *Social Movements and Networks: Relational Approaches to Collective Action*. Oxford University Press.
- McAdam, D., & Paulsen, R. (1993). Specifying the Relationship Between Social Ties and Activism. *American Journal of Sociology*, 99(3), 640–667. <https://doi.org/10.1086/230319>
- McPherson, M. (1982). Hypernetwork sampling: Duality and differentiation among voluntary organizations. *Social Networks*, 3(4), 225–249. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(82\)90001-6](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(82)90001-6)
- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L., & Cook, J. M. (2001). Birds of a Feather: Homophily in Social Networks. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 27, 415–444. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.415>

- Merton, R. K. (1968). The Matthew Effect in Science. *Science*, *159*(3810), 56–63.
- Midden, C. J. H., & Huijts, N. M. A. (2009). The Role of Trust in the Affective Evaluation of Novel Risks : The Case of CO 2 Storage. *Risk Analysis*, *29*(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2009.01201.x>
- Middlemiss, L. (2011). The effects of community-based action for sustainability on participants' lifestyles. *Local Environment*, *16*(3), 265–280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2011.566850>
- Middlemiss, L., & Parrish, B. D. (2010). Building capacity for low-carbon communities: The role of grassroots initiatives. *Energy Policy*, *38*(12), 7559–7566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.07.003>
- Mignon, I., & Bergek, A. (2016). System- and actor-level challenges for diffusion of renewable electricity technologies: an international comparison. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *128*, 105–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2015.09.048>
- Misztal, B. (1996). *Trust in modern societies*. Polity Press: Cambridge.
- Mollenhorst, G., Völker, B., & Flap, H. (2008). Social contexts and personal relationships: The effect of meeting opportunities on similarity for relationships of different strength. *Social Networks*, *30*(1), 60–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2007.07.003>
- Motivaction. (2017). *Monitor 1-meting, kennis, houding en gedrag van het Nederlandse publiek met betrekking tot de energietransitie*.
- Mulugetta, Y., Jackson, T., & Van der Horst, D. (2010). Carbon reduction at community scale. *Energy Policy*, *38*(12), 7541–7980. [https://doi.org/S0301-4215\(10\)00421-0](https://doi.org/S0301-4215(10)00421-0)
- Munniksma, A., Stark, T. H., Verkuyten, M., Flache, A., & Veenstra, R. (2013). Extended intergroup friendships within social settings: The moderating role of initial outgroup attitudes. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, *16*(6), 752–770. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430213486207>
- Nannestad, P. (2008). What Have We Learned About Generalized Trust, If Anything? *Annual Review of Political Science*, *11*(1), 413–436. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.060606.135412>
- Nolan, J. M., Schultz, P. W., Cialdini, R. B., Goldstein, N. J., & Griskevicius, V. (2008). Normative social influence is underdetected. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *34*(7), 913–923. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167208316691>
- Nolden, C. (2013). Governing community energy-Feed-in tariffs and the development of community wind energy schemes in the United Kingdom and Germany. *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.08.050>
- Nooteboom, B. (2007). Social capital, institutions and trust. *Review of Social Economy*, *65*(1), 29–53. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/00346760601132154>
- North, D. C. (1991). Institutions. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *5*(1), 97–112. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1257/jep.5.1.97>
- Oliver, P. E. (1984). "If You Don't Do it, Nobody Else Will": Active and Token Contributors to Local Collective Action. *American Sociological Review*, *49*(5), 601–610. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2095418>
- Olson, M. (1965). *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Harvard University Press.
- Opp, K. D., & Gern, C. (1993). Dissident Groups, Personal Networks, and Spontaneous Cooperation: The East German Revolution of 1989. *American Sociological Review*, *659*–680. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2096280>

References

- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*. Cambridge university press. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511807763>
- Ostrom, E. (1998). A behavioral approach to the rational choice theory of collective action: Presidential address, American Political Science Association, 1997. *American Political Science Review*, *92*(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2585925>
- Ostrom, E. (2000). Collective action and the evolution of social norms. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *14*(3), 137–158. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.14.3.137>
- Ostrom, E. (2012). Nested Externalities and Polycentric Institutions: Must We Wait for Global Solutions to Climate Change before Taking Actions at Other Scales? *Economic Theory*, *49*(2), 353–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00199-010-0558-6>
- Ostrom, E., & Walker, J. (2003). *Trust and Reciprocity, Interdisciplinary Lessons from Experimental Research*. Russel Sage Foundation.
- Ostrom, V., Tiebout, C. M., & Warren, R. (1961). The Organization of Government in Metropolitan Areas: A Theoretical Inquiry. *The American Political Science Review*, *55*(4), 831–842. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0003055400125973>
- Oteman, M., Kooij, H. J., & Wiering, M. A. (2017). Pioneering renewable energy in an economic energy policy system: The history and development of Dutch grassroots initiatives. *Sustainability*, *9*(4), 550. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/su9040550>
- Oteman, M., Wiering, M. A., & Helderma, J. K. (2014). The institutional space of community initiatives for renewable energy: a comparative case study of the Netherlands, Germany and Denmark. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, *4*(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-0567-4-11>
- Passy, F. (2003). Social networks matter. But how. *Social Movements and Networks: Relational Approaches to Collective Action*, 21–48. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/0199251789.003.0002>
- Paxton, P. (2002). Social capital and democracy: An interdependent relationship. *American Sociological Review*, 254–277. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3088895>
- Paxton, P. (2007). Association memberships and generalized trust: A multilevel model across 31 countries. *Social Forces*, *86*(1), 47–76. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/sof.2007.0107>
- Peattie, K. (2010). Green Consumption: Behavior and Norms. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, *35*, 195–228. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-032609-094328>
- Perlaviciute, G., & Steg, L. (2014). Contextual and psychological factors shaping evaluations and acceptability of energy alternatives: Integrated review and research agenda. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *35*, 361–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.003>
- Pettigrew, T. F., Christ, O., Wagner, U., & Stellmacher, J. (2007). Direct and indirect intergroup contact effects on prejudice: A normative interpretation. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, *31*(4), 411–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2006.11.003>
- Pichler, F., & Wallace, C. (2007). Patterns of formal and informal social capital in Europe. *European Sociological Review*, *23*(4), 423–435. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcm013>
- Postmes, T., Haslam, S. A., & Jans, L. (2013). A single-item measure of social identification: Reliability, validity, and utility. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *52*(4), 597–617. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12006>
- Postmes, T., Haslam, S. A., & Swaab, R. I. (2005). Social influence in small groups: An interactive model of social identity formation. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *16*(1), 1–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463280440000062>

- Postmes, Tom, Haslam, S. A., & Jans, L. (2013). A single-item measure of social identification: Reliability, validity, and utility. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 52*(4), 597–617. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12006>
- Poteete, A. R., Janssen, M. A., & Ostrom, E. (2010). *Working together: collective action, the commons, and multiple methods in practice*. Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9781400835157>
- Pretty, J., & Ward, H. (2001). Social Capital and the Environment. *World Development, 29*–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(00\)00098-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00098-X)
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). The prosperous community: Social capital and public life. *The American Prospect, 13*, 35–42.
- Putters, K. (2014). *Rijk geschakeerd: Op weg naar de participatiesamenleving*.
- Rees, J. H., & Bamberg, S. (2014). Climate protection needs societal change: Determinants of intention to participate in collective climate action. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 44*(5), 466–473. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2032>
- REScoop.eu. (2019). *A landmark day for Europe's march towards energy democracy, European Parliament and Council Reach Agreement on the Renewables Directive*.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations*. New York: Free Press. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780203710753-35>
- Rogers, J. C., Simmons, E. A., Convery, I., & Weatherall, A. (2008). Public perceptions of opportunities for community-based renewable energy projects. *Energy Policy, 36*(7), 2507–2515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.07.028>
- Rogers, J. C., Simmons, E. A., Convery, I., & Weatherall, A. (2012). Social impacts of community renewable energy projects: findings from a woodfuel case study. *Energy Policy, 42*, 239–247. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.11.081>
- Rotmans, J., & Loorbach, D. (2009). Complexity and transition management. *Journal of Industrial Ecology, 13*(2), 184–196. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2009.00116.x>
- Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Introduction to Special Topic Forum: Not so Different after All: A Cross-Discipline View of Trust. *Academy of Management Review, 23*(3), 393–404.
- Ruggiero, S., Martiskainen, M., & Onkila, T. (2018). Understanding the scaling-up of community energy niches through strategic niche management theory: Insights from Finland. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 170*(581–590). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.144>
- Sabatini, F. (2009). Social capital as social networks: A new framework for measurement and an empirical analysis of its determinants and consequences. *The Journal of Socio-Economics, 38*(3), 429–442. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2008.06.001>
- Schelling, T. C. (1978). *Micromotives and macrobehavior*. WW Norton & Company.
- Schneider, M., Scholz, J., Lubell, M., Mindruta, D., & Edwardsen, M. (2003). Building Consensual Institutions: networks and the National Estuary Program. *American Journal of Political Science, 47*, 143–158. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1540-5907.00010>
- Schwencke, A. M. (2019). *De lokale energie monitor 2019*. <https://www.hieropgewekt.nl/lokale-energie-monitor>
- Scottish Government Good Practice Principles: Shared Ownership of Onshore Renewable Energy Projects. (2015).

References

- Seyfang, G. (2009). *Low-carbon currencies: the potential of time banking and local money systems for community carbon-reduction*.
- Seyfang, G., & Haxeltine, A. (2012). *Growing grassroots innovations : exploring the role of community-based initiatives in governing sustainable energy transitions*. 30, 381–400. <https://doi.org/10.1068/c10222>
- Seyfang, G., Hielscher, S., Hargreaves, T., Martiskainen, M., & Smith, A. (2014). A grassroots sustainable energy niche? Reflections on community energy in the UK. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 13, 21–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2014.04.004>
- Seyfang, G., Jin, J., & Smith, A. (2013). A thousand flowers blooming ? An examination of community energy in the UK. *Energy Policy*, 61, 977–989. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.06.030>
- Seyfang, G., & Smith, A. (2007). Grassroots innovations for sustainable development: Towards a new research and policy agenda. *Environmental Politics*, 16(4), 584–603. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010701419121>
- Shared Ownership Taskforce: Report to DECC*. (2014).
- Siegrist, M., & Cvetkovich, G. (2000). Perception of Hazards : The Role of Social Trust and Knowledge. *Risk Analysis*, 5(20), 713–720. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0272-4332.205064>
- Slee, B. (2015). Is there a case for community-based equity participation in Scottish on-shore wind energy production? Gaps in evidence and research needs. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.08.064>
- Sloot, D., Jans, L., & Steg, L. (2018). Can community energy initiatives motivate sustainable energy behaviours? The role of initiative involvement and personal pro-environmental motivation. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 57(November 2017), 99–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2018.06.007>
- Sloot, D., Jans, L., & Steg, L. (2019). In it for the money, the environment, or the community? Motives for being involved in community energy initiatives. *Global Environmental Change*, 57(May), 101936. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101936>
- Sokona, Y., Mulugetta, Y., & Gujba, H. (2012). Widening energy access in Africa : Towards energy transition. *Energy Policy*, 47, 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.03.040>
- Sønderskov, K. M. (2008). Environmental group membership, collective action and generalised trust. *Environmental Politics*, 17(1), 78–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010701811673>
- Sørensen, H. C., Hansen, J., & Vølund, P. (2001). Experience from the establishment of Middelgrunden 40 MW offshore wind farm. *The European Wind Energy Conference*, 2–6.
- Sovacool, B. K. (2014). What are we doing here? Analyzing fifteen years of energy scholarship and proposing a social science research agenda. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 1, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2014.02.003>
- Sperling, K. (2017). How does a pioneer community energy project succeed in practice? The case of the Samsø Renewable Energy Island. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 71(February 2016), 884–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.116>
- Steg, L., Perlaviciute, G., & van der Werff, E. (2015). Understanding the human dimensions of a sustainable energy transition. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 805. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00805>
- Stern, P. C. (2000). New environmental theories: toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407–424. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00640994>
- Stets, J. E., & Burke, P. J. (2000). Identity Theory and Social Identity Theory. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 63, 224–237. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2695870>

- Stichting Samen Energieneutraal. (n.d.). <https://www.samenenergieneutraal.nl/>
- Stirling, A. (2005). Opening up or closing down? Analysis, participation and power in the social appraisal of technology. *Citizenship and Globalisation*, 218–231.
- Strachan, P. A., Cowell, R., Ellis, G., Sherry-Brennan, F., & Toke, D. (2015). Promoting Community Renewable Energy in a Corporate Energy World. *Sustainable Development*, 23(2). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sd.1576>
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of social conflict. *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations*, 2, 33–47.
- Team, R. C. (2017). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Terwel, B. W., Mors, E., & Daamen, D. D. L. (2012). International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control It's not only about safety : Beliefs and attitudes of 811 local residents regarding a CCS project in Barendrecht. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 9, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.02.017>
- Thomas, E. F., McGarty, C., & Mavor, K. (2016). Group interaction as the crucible of social identity formation: A glimpse at the foundations of social identities for collective action. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 19(2), 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430215612217>
- Thompson, M., Ellis, R., & Wildavsky, A. (1990). *Cultural Theory*. West View. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9780429501180>
- Tindall, D. B. (2002). Social Networks, Identification and Participation in an Environmental Movement: Low-medium Cost Activism within the British Columbia Wilderness Preservation Movement. *Canadian Review of Sociology*, 39(4), 414–452.
- Toke, D. (2005). Explaining wind power planning outcomes: Some findings from a study in England and Wales. *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2004.01.009>
- Turner, J.C. (1991). *Social influence*. Open University Press.
- Turner, John C. (1991). *Social influence*. Open University Press.
- UK Department of Energy & Climate Change (DECC). (2009). *The UK Renewable Energy Strategy*.
- UK Department of Energy & Climate Change (DECC). (2014). *Community Energy Strategy: Full Report. January*, 1–108.
- Valente, T. W. (1996). Social network thresholds in the diffusion of innovations. *Social Networks*, 18(1), 69–89. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(95\)00256-1](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(95)00256-1)
- Valente, T. W. (2010). *Social networks and health: Models, methods, and applications*. Oxford University Press.
- Valente, T. W. (2012). Network interventions. *Science*, 336(6090), 49–53. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217330>
- Van Buuren, S. (2007). Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 16(3), 219–242.
- Van Buuren, S. (2018). *Flexible imputation of missing data*. CRC press.
- Van Buuren, S., Brand, J. P., Groothuis-Oudshoorn, C. G., & Rubin, D. B. (2006). Fully conditional specification in multivariate imputation. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 76(12), 1049–1064.
- Van den Bos, K., & Lind, E. A. (2002). Uncertainty management by means of fairness judgments. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601\(02\)80003-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2601(02)80003-x)

References

- Van der Horst, D., & Toke, D. (2010). Exploring the landscape of wind farm developments; local area characteristics and planning process outcomes in rural England. *Land Use Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2009.05.006>
- Van Der Schoor, T., & Scholtens, B. (2015). Power to the people: Local community initiatives and the transition to sustainable energy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *43*, 666–675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.10.089>
- van der Werff, E., & Steg, L. (2016). The psychology of participation and interest in smart energy systems: Comparing the value-belief-norm theory and the value-identity-personal norm model. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *22*, 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.08.022>
- Van Veelen, B. (2013). *The role of local contexts in the development of community renewable energy initiatives in the Scottish Highlands*.
- Van Veelen, B. (2018). Negotiating energy democracy in practice: governance processes in community energy projects. *Environmental Politics*, *27*(4), 644–665. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2018.1427824>
- Vatn, A. (2005). *Institutions and the Environment*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Völker, B., Flap, H., & Lindenberg, S. (2007). When Are Neighbourhoods Communities ? Community in Dutch Neighbourhoods. *European Sociological Review*, *23*(1), 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcl022>
- Walker, G. (2008). What are the barriers and incentives for community-owned means of energy production and use? *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.09.032>
- Walker, G. (2011). The role for ‘community’ in carbon governance. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *2*(5), 777–782. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.137>
- Walker, G., & Cass, N. (2007). Carbon reduction, “the public” and renewable energy: Engaging with socio-technical configurations. *Area*, *39*(4), 458–469. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.2007.00772.x>
- Walker, G., & Devine-Wright, P. (2008). Community renewable energy: What should it mean? *Energy Policy*, *36*(2), 497–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2007.10.019>
- Walker, G., Devine-Wright, P., & Barnett, J. (2014). Symmetries, expectations, dynamics and contexts: A framework for understanding public engagement with renewable energy projects UK. In K. Burningham, N. Cass, H. Devine-Wright, G. Speller, J. Barton, B. Evans, Y. Heath, D. Infield, J. Parks, & KateTheobald (Eds.), *Renewable energy and the public* (pp. 33–46). Routledge.
- Walker, G., Devine-Wright, P., Hunter, S., High, H., & Evans, B. (2010). Trust and community: Exploring the meanings, contexts and dynamics of community renewable energy. *Energy Policy*, *38*(6), 2655–2663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.05.055>
- Walker, G., Hunter, S., Devine-Wright, P., Evans, B., & Fay, H. (2007). Harnessing community energies: explaining and evaluating community-based localism in renewable energy policy in the UK. *Global Environmental Politics*, *7*(2), 64–82. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1162/glep.2007.7.2.64>
- Warbroek, B. (2019). *The grassroots energy transition: the success and governance of local low-carbon energy initiatives*.
- Warbroek, B., Hoppe, T., Bressers, H., & Coenen, F. (2019). Testing the social, organizational, and governance factors for success in local low carbon energy initiatives. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *58*(August), 101269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101269>
- Webb, T. L., & Sheeran, P. (2006). Does changing behavioral intentions engender behavior change? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, *132*(2), 249. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.2.249>

- Weenig, M. W. H., & Midden, C. J. H. (1991). Communication Network Influences on Information Diffusion and Persuasion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61(5), 734–742. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.61.5.734>
- Williamson, O. E. (1979). Transaction-cost economics: the governance of contractual relations. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 22(2), 233–261.
- Wittmayer, J. M., Avelino, F., Van Steenberghe, F., & Loorbach, D. (2017). Actor roles in transition: Insights from sociological perspectives. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 24, 45–56. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2016.10.003>
- Wolsink, M. (2007). Wind power implementation: The nature of public attitudes: Equity and fairness instead of “backyard motives.” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 11(6), 1188–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2005.10.005>
- Wüstenhagen, R., Wolsink, M., & Burer, M. J. (2007). Social acceptance of renewable energy innovation: An introduction to the concept. *Energy Policy*, 35(5), 2683–2691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2006.12.001>
- Yee, T. W. (2010). The VGAM package for categorical data analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 32(10), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v032.i10>
- Yee, T. W. (2015). *Vector generalized linear and additive models: with an implementation in R*. Springer.
- Zand, D. E. (2016). Reflections on trust and trust research: then and now. *Journal of Trust Research*, 5581(March). <https://doi.org/10.1080/21515581.2015.1134332>
- Zucker, L. G. (1986). Production of trust: Institutional sources of economic structure 1840-1920. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Ed.), *Research in organizational behavior* (8th ed., pp. 53–111). JAI Press.