

University of Groningen

Corrigendum to 'Propofol-based anaesthesia versus sevoflurane-based anaesthesia for living donor kidney transplantation

Nieuwenhuijs-Moeke, Gertrude J.; Nieuwenhuijs, Vincent B.; Seelen, Marc A. J.; Berger, Stefan P.; van den Heuvel, Marius C.; Burgerhof, Johannes G. M.; Ottens, Petra J.; Ploeg, Rutger J.; Leuvenink, Henri G. D.; Struys, Michel M. R. F.

Published in:
British Journal of Anaesthesia

DOI:
[10.1016/j.bja.2020.10.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.10.024)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nieuwenhuijs-Moeke, G. J., Nieuwenhuijs, V. B., Seelen, M. A. J., Berger, S. P., van den Heuvel, M. C., Burgerhof, J. G. M., Ottens, P. J., Ploeg, R. J., Leuvenink, H. G. D., & Struys, M. M. R. F. (2021). Corrigendum to 'Propofol-based anaesthesia versus sevoflurane-based anaesthesia for living donor kidney transplantation: results of the VAPOR-1 randomized controlled trial' (Br J Anaesth 2017; 118: 720-32). *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 126(1), 340-341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.10.024>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum to ‘Propofol-based anaesthesia versus sevoflurane-based anaesthesia for living donor kidney transplantation: results of the VAPOR-1 randomized controlled trial’ (*Br J Anaesth* 2017; 118: 720–32)

Gertrude J. Nieuwenhuijs-Moeke^{1,*}, Vincent B. Nieuwenhuijs^{2,3}, Marc A. J. Seelen⁴, Stefan P. Berger⁴, Marius C. van den Heuvel⁵, Johannes G. M. Burgerhof⁶, Petra J. Ottens², Rutger J. Ploeg^{2,7}, Henri G. D. Leuvenink² and Michel M. R. F. Struys^{1,8}


¹Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ²Department of Surgery, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ³Department of Surgery, Isala, Zwolle, The Netherlands, ⁴Department of Nephrology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁵Department of Pathology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁶Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁷Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK and ⁸Department of Basic and Applied Medical Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

*Corresponding author. E-mail: g.j.nieuwenhuijs-moeke@umcg.nl

During a post hoc analysis of data of the VAPOR-1 study, it came to light that an error has been made in the correction for volume of KIM-1 levels measured in urine on Day 9 (T11, 216 h), owing to a programming error in the applied formula. The authors

implemented the correct formula and recalculated the KIM-1 levels at Day 9.

This changes the KIM-1 graphs in Figure 2 to the following graphs:



In addition, values in Table 3 should be:

KIM-1 (pg mmol ⁻¹)	PROP	SEVO	PROSE	P
Day 9	172.7 (142.9–363.3)	287.0 (160.0–463.8)	255.4 (97.1–482.6)	0.715

The authors would like to emphasise that there are no mistakes in relation to the integrity of data. The overall results and conclusions of the study remain unchanged.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.
DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aex057>