

University of Groningen

## Dimensionality assesment with factor analysis methods

Barendse, Mariska

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2015

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Barendse, M. (2015). *Dimensionality assesment with factor analysis methods*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. [S.n.].

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# Bibliography

- Agresti, A. (2002). *Categorical Data Analysis*. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Agresti, A. & Yang, M.-C. (1987). An empirical investigation of some effects of sparseness in contingency tables. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 5(1), 9–21.
- Asparouhov, T. & Muthén, B. O. (2006). Robust chi square difference testing with mean and variance adjusted test statistics. *Mplus Web Notes: No. 10*. Retrieved from <http://www.statmodel.com/download/webnotes/webnote10.pdf>.
- Asparouhov, T. & Muthén, B. O. (2007). Computationally efficient estimation of multilevel high-dimensional latent variable models. In *Proceedings of the 2007 JSM meeting in Salt Lake City, Utah, Section on Statistics in Epidemiology*, (pp. 2531–2535).
- Asparouhov, T. & Muthén, B. O. (2010a). Computing the strictly positive Satorra-Bentler chi-square test in Mplus. *Mplus Web Notes: No. 12*. Retrieved from <http://www.statmodel.com/download/webnotes/webnote12.pdf>.
- Asparouhov, T. & Muthén, B. O. (2010b). Simple second order chi-square correction. *Mplus Technical Appendix*. Retrieved from [http://www.statmodel.com/download/WLSMV\\_new\\_chi21.pdf](http://www.statmodel.com/download/WLSMV_new_chi21.pdf).
- Barendse, M. T., Oort, F. J., & Garst, G. J. A. (2010). Using restricted factor analysis with latent moderated structures to detect uniform and nonuniform measurement bias; a simulation study. *Advances in Statistical Analysis*, 94(2), 117–127.
- Barendse, M. T., Oort, F. J., & Timmerman, M. E. (2014). Using exploratory factor analysis to determine the dimensionality of discrete responses. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, (ahead-of-print), 1–15.
- Barendse, M. T., Oort, F. J., Werner, C. S., Ligtoet, R., & Schermelleh-Engel, K. (2012). Measurement bias detection through factor analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 19(4), 561–579.

- Bartholomew, D. J. & Leung, S. O. (2002). A goodness of fit test for sparse 2p contingency tables. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *55*(1), 1–15.
- Beauducel, A. (2001). On the generalizability of factors: The influence of changing contexts of variables on different methods of factor extraction. *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, *6*(1), 69–96.
- Beauducel, A. & Herzberg, P. Y. (2006). On the performance of maximum likelihood versus means and variance adjusted weighted least squares estimation in cfa. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *13*(2), 186–203.
- Bohrnstedt, G. W. & Goldberger, A. S. (1969). On the exact covariance of products of random variables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *64*(328), 1439–1442.
- Boker, S., Neale, M., Maes, H., Wilde, M., Spiegel, M., Brick, T., Spies, J., Estabrook, R., Kenny, S., Bates, T., et al. (2011). OpenMx: An open source extended structural equation modeling framework. *Psychometrika*, *76*(2), 306–317.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). *Structural Equations with Latent Variables*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Boulton, A. J. (2011). *Fit Index Sensitivity in Multilevel Structural Equation Modeling*. PhD thesis, Doctoral dissertation Kansas, University of Kansas.
- Briggs, N. E. & MacCallum, R. C. (2003). Recovery of weak common factors by maximum likelihood and ordinary least squares estimation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *38*(1), 25–56.
- Browne, M. W. (1984). Asymptotically distribution-free methods for the analysis of covariance structures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *37*(1), 62–83.
- Browne, M. W. (1995). Covariance structures. In *Topics in Applied Multivariate Analysis*, (pp. 72–141). ID M. Hawkins. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University.
- Browne, M. W. (2001). An overview of analytic rotation in exploratory factor analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *36*(1), 111–150.
- Browne, M. W. & Cudeck, R. (1992). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *21*(2), 230–258.

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Conway, J. M. & Huffcutt, A. I. (2003). A review and evaluation of exploratory factor analysis practices in organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 6(2), 147–168.
- D'Haenens, E., Van Damme, J., & Onghena, P. (2010). Multilevel exploratory factor analysis: illustrating its surplus value in educational effectiveness research. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 21(2), 209–235.
- Dolan, C. V. (1994). Factor analysis of variables with 2, 3, 5 and 7 response categories: A comparison of categorical variable estimators using simulated data. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 47(2), 309–326.
- Fabrigar, L. R., Wegener, D. T., MacCallum, R. C., & Strahan, E. J. (1999). Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. *Psychological Methods*, 4(3), 272–299.
- Flora, D. B. & Curran, P. J. (2004). An empirical evaluation of alternative methods of estimation for confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Psychological Methods*, 9(4), 466–491.
- Gaskin, C. J. & Happell, B. (2014). On exploratory factor analysis: A review of recent evidence, an assessment of current practice, and recommendations for future use. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 51(3), 511–521.
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J. B., Stern, H. S., & Rubin, D. B. (2013). *Bayesian Data Analysis*. Texts in statistical science series. London, United Kingdom: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Gelman, A. & Rubin, D. B. (1992). Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences. *Statistical Science*, 457–472.
- Geweke, J. (1992). Evaluating the accuracy of sampling-based approaches to the calculation of posterior moments. In *Bayesian Statistics*, (pp. 169–193). JM Bernardo, JO Berger, AP Dawid, AFM Smith (Eds.) University Press.
- Gonzalez-Roma, V., Hernandez, A., & Gomez-Benito, J. (2006). Power and type I error of the mean and covariance structure analysis model for detecting differential item functioning in graded response items. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 41(1), 29–53.
- Hayashi, K., Bentler, P. M., & Yuan, K.-H. (2007). On the likelihood ratio test for the number of factors in exploratory factor analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 14(3), 505–526.

- Hernández, A. & González-Romá, V. (2003). Evaluating the multiple-group mean and covariance structure analysis model for the detection of differential item functioning in polytomous ordered items. *Psicothema*, *15*(2), 322–327.
- Holgado-Tello, F. P., Chacón-Moscoso, S., Barbero-García, I., & Vila-Abad, E. (2010). Polychoric versus pearson correlations in exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis of ordinal variables. *Quality & Quantity*, *44*(1), 153–166.
- Hooper, D., Coughlan, J., & Mullen, M. (2008). Structural equation modelling: Guidelines for determining model fit. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, *6*(1), 53–60.
- Hu, L. & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *6*(1), 1–55.
- Jak, S., Oort, F. J., & Dolan, C. V. (2010). Measurement bias and multidimensionality; an illustration of bias detection in multidimensional measurement models. *Advances in Statistical Analysis*, *94*(2), 129–137.
- Jak, S., Oort, F. J., & Dolan, C. V. (2013). A test for cluster bias: Detecting violations of measurement invariance across clusters in multilevel data. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *20*(2), 265–282.
- Jak, S., Oort, F. J., & Dolan, C. V. (2014). Measurement bias in multilevel data. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *21*(1), 31–39.
- Johnson, D. R. & Creech, J. C. (1983). Ordinal measures in multiple indicator models: A simulation study of categorization error. *American Sociological Review*, *48*(3), 398–407.
- Jöreskog, K. G. (1990). New developments in LISREL: Analysis of ordinal variables using polychoric correlations and weighted least squares. *Quality & Quantity*, *24*(4), 387–404.
- Jöreskog, K. G. (1994). On the estimation of polychoric correlations and their asymptotic covariance matrix. *Psychometrika*, *59*(3), 381–389.
- Jöreskog, K. G. & Moustaki, I. (2001). Factor analysis of ordinal variables: A comparison of three approaches. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *36*(3), 347–387.
- Katsikatsou, M., Moustaki, I., Yang-Wallentin, F., & Jöreskog, K. G. (2012). Pairwise likelihood estimation for factor analysis models with ordinal data. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, *56*(12), 4243–4258.

- Klein, A. G. (2007). Quasi-ML 3.10-quick reference manual. *Unpublished Paper, The University of Western Ontario.*
- Klein, A. G. & Moosbrugger, H. (2000). Maximum likelihood estimation of latent interaction effects with the LMS method. *Psychometrika*, *65*(4), 457-474.
- Klein, A. G. & Muthén, B. O. (2007). Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation of structural equation models with multiple interaction and quadratic effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *42*(4), 647-673.
- Lee, S.-Y. (2007). *Structural Equation Modeling: A Bayesian Approach*. Chichester, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.
- Lee, S.-Y., Poon, W.-Y., & Bentler, P. (1990). Full maximum likelihood analysis of structural equation models with polytomous variables. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, *9*(1), 91-97.
- Lee, S.-Y. & Song, X.-Y. (2012). *Basic and Advanced Bayesian Structural Equation Modeling: With Applications in the Medical and Behavioral Sciences*. Chichester, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.
- Lord, F. & Novick, M. (1968). *Statistical Theories of Mental Test Scores*. Oxford, England: Addison-Wesley.
- Lunn, D., Spiegelhalter, D., Thomas, A., & Best, N. (2009). The BUGS project: Evolution, critique and future directions. *Statistics in Medicine*, *28*(25), 3049-3067.
- MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *1*(2), 130.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Preacher, K. J., & Hong, S. (2001). Sample size in factor analysis: The role of model error. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *36*(4), 611-637.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S., & Hong, S. (1999). Sample size in factor analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *4*(1), 84.
- MacCallum, R. C., Zhang, S., Preacher, K. J., & Rucker, D. D. (2002). On the practice of dichotomization of quantitative variables. *Psychological Methods*, *7*(1), 19-40.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, K.-T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *11*(3), 320-341.

- Maydeu-Olivares, A. (2006). Limited information estimation and testing of discretized multivariate normal structural models. *Psychometrika*, *71*(1), 57–77.
- Maydeu-Olivares, A. & Joe, H. (2006). Limited information goodness-of-fit testing in multidimensional contingency tables. *Psychometrika*, *71*(4), 713–732.
- Meade, A. W. & Lautenschlager, G. J. (2004). A monte-carlo study of confirmatory factor analytic tests of measurement equivalence/invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *11*(1), 60–72.
- Mellenbergh, G. J. (1989). Item bias and item response theory. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *13*(2), 127–143.
- Meredith, W. (1993). Measurement invariance, factor analysis and factorial invariance. *Psychometrika*, *58*(4), 525–543.
- Molenaar, D. (2012). *Testing distributional assumptions in psychometric measurement models with substantive applications in psychology*. PhD thesis, Doctoral dissertation Amsterdam, University of Amsterdam.
- Moosbrugger, H., Schermelleh-Engel, K., Kelava, A., & Klein, A. G. (2009). Testing multiple nonlinear effects in structural equation modeling: A comparison of alternative estimation approaches. In *Structural equation modeling in educational research: Concepts and applications*, (pp. 103–136). Sense Publishers, Rotterdam.
- Moustaki, I. (2003). A general class of latent variable models for ordinal manifest variables with covariate effects on the manifest and latent variables. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *56*(2), 337–357.
- MRC Biostatistics Unit (2006). Dic: Deviance Information Criterion. <http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/software/bugs/the-bugs-project-dic/>. Approached at 30 July 2014.
- Muthén, B. O. (1984). A general structural equation model with dichotomous, ordered categorical, and continuous latent variable indicators. *Psychometrika*, *49*(1), 115–132.
- Muthén, B. O. (1989a). Latent variable modeling in heterogeneous populations. *Psychometrika*, *54*(4), 557–585.
- Muthén, B. O. (1989b). Multiple-group structural modelling with non-normal continuous variables. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *42*(1), 55–62.

- Muthén, B. O. (1994). Multilevel covariance structure analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 22(3), 376–398.
- Muthén, B. O. (1998-2004). Mplus technical appendices. *Unpublished technical report*. Retrieved from <https://www.statmodel.com/download/techappen.pdf>.
- Muthén, B. O. & Asparouhov, T. (2003). Modeling interactions between latent and observed continuous variables using maximum-likelihood estimation in Mplus. *Mplus Web Notes: No. 6*. Retrieved from <http://statmodel2.com/download/webnotes/webnote6.pdf>.
- Muthén, B. O. & Asparouhov, T. (2012). Bayesian structural equation modeling: a more flexible representation of substantive theory. *Psychological Methods*, 17(3), 313.
- Muthén, B. O. & Asparouhov, T. (2013). BSEM measurement invariance analysis. *Mplus Web Notes: No. 6*. Retrieved from <https://www.statmodel.com/examples/webnotes/webnote17.pdf>.
- Muthén, B. O., Du Toit, S. H., & Spisic, D. (1997). Robust inference using weighted least squares and quadratic estimating equations in latent variable modeling with categorical and continuous outcomes. *Unpublished technical report*. Retrieved from [http://pages.gseis.ucla.edu/faculty/muthen/articles/Article\\_075.pdf](http://pages.gseis.ucla.edu/faculty/muthen/articles/Article_075.pdf).
- Muthén, B. O. & Kaplan, D. (1985). A comparison of some methodologies for the factor analysis of non-normal likert variables. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 38(2), 171–189.
- Muthén, B. O. & Kaplan, D. (1992). A comparison of some methodologies for the factor analysis of non-normal likert variables: A note on the size of the model. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 45(1), 19–30.
- Muthén, L. K. & Muthén, B. O. (2007). *Mplus: Statistical analysis with latent variables: User's guide*. Muthén & Muthén.
- Muthén, L. K. & Muthén, B. O. (2010). *Mplus: Statistical analysis with latent variables: User's guide*. Muthén & Muthén.
- Navas-Ara, M. J. & Gómez-Benito, J. (2002). Effects of ability scale purification on the identification of DIF. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 18(1), 9.
- Neale, M. C., Boker, S. M., Xie, G., & Maes, H. H. (2002). *Mx: Statistical modeling*. Richmond: Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Psychiatry.



- Olsson, U. (1979). Maximum likelihood estimation of the polychoric correlation coefficient. *Psychometrika*, *44*(4), 443–460.
- Olsson, U. H., Foss, T., & Breivik, E. (2004). Two equivalent discrepancy functions for maximum likelihood estimation: Do their test statistics follow a non-central chi-square distribution under model misspecification? *Sociological Methods & Research*, *32*(4), 453–500.
- Olsson, U. H., Troye, S. V., & Howell, R. D. (1999). Theoretic fit and empirical fit: The performance of maximum likelihood versus generalized least squares estimation in structural equation models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *34*(1), 31–58.
- Oort, F. J. (1991). Theory of violators: Assessing unidimensionality of psychological measures. In W. K. F. Steyer, R. & W. K. F. (Eds.), *Psychometric methodology* (pp. 377–381). Stuttgart, Germany: Gustav Fischer.
- Oort, F. J. (1992). Using restricted factor analysis to detect item bias. *Methodika*, *6*, 150–166.
- Oort, F. J. (1996). *Using Restricted Factor Analysis in Test Construction*. PhD thesis, Doctoral dissertation Amsterdam, University of Amsterdam.
- Oort, F. J. (1998). Simulation study of item bias detection with restricted factor analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *5*(2), 107–124.
- Oort, F. J. (2011). Likelihood-based confidence intervals in exploratory factor analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *18*(3), 383–396.
- Plummer, M., Best, N., Cowles, K., & Vines, K. (2006). CODA: Convergence diagnosis and output analysis for MCMC. *R News*, *6*(1), 7–11.
- Preacher, K. J. & MacCallum, R. C. (2003). Repairing Tom Swift's electric factor analysis machine. *Understanding Statistics: Statistical Issues in Psychology, Education, and the Social Sciences*, *2*(1), 13–43.
- R Core Team (2014). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- R Development Core Team (2010). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., Skrondal, A., & Pickles, A. (2004). Generalized multilevel structural equation modeling. *Psychometrika*, *69*(2), 167–190.

- Raftery, A. E. & Lewis, S. M. (1992). [practical markov chain monte carlo]: Comment: One long run with diagnostics: Implementation strategies for markov chain monte carlo. *Statistical Science*, 7, 493–497.
- Raftery, A. E. & Lewis, S. M. (1995). The number of iterations, convergence diagnostics and generic metropolis algorithms. In *Practical Markov Chain Monte Carlo* (W.R. Gilks, D.J. Spiegelhalter and, (pp. 115–130). London, United Kingdom: Chapman and Hall.
- Reiser, M. & Lin, Y. (1999). A goodness-of-fit test for the latent class model when expected frequencies are small. *Sociological Methodology*, 29(1), 81–111.
- Reiser, M. & Vandenberg, M. (1994). Validity of the chi-square test in dichotomous variable factor analysis when expected frequencies are small. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 47(1), 85–107.
- Rhemtulla, M., Brosseau-Liard, P. E., & Savalei, V. (2012). When can categorical variables be treated as continuous? a comparison of robust continuous and categorical sem estimation methods under suboptimal conditions. *Psychological Methods*, 17(3), 354–373.
- Rigdon, E. E. & Ferguson Jr, C. E. (1991). The performance of the polychoric correlation coefficient and selected fitting functions in confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 491–497.
- Roberts, G. O. (1996). Markov chain concepts related to sampling algorithms. In W. R. Gilks, S. Richardson, & D. J. Spiegelhalter (Eds.), *Markov chain Monte Carlo in practice* (pp. 45–57). London, United Kingdom: Springer.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1–36.
- Ryu, E. & West, S. G. (2009). Level-specific evaluation of model fit in multi-level structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 16(4), 583–601.
- Satorra, A. & Bentler, P. M. (1994). Corrections to test statistics and standard errors in covariance structure analysis. In A. van Eye & C. C. C. (Eds.) (Eds.), *Latent Variables Analysis: Applications to Developmental Research* (pp. 399–419). Sage Publications, Inc; Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Satorra, A. & Bentler, P. M. (2001). A scaled difference chi-square test statistic for moment structure analysis. *Psychometrika*, 66(4), 507–514.
- Satorra, A. & Bentler, P. M. (2010). Ensuring positiveness of the scaled difference chi-square test statistic. *Psychometrika*, 75(2), 243–248.

- Schermelleh-Engel, K., Werner, C. S., Klein, A. G., & Moosbrugger, H. (2010). Nonlinear structural equation modeling: is partial least squares an alternative? *Advances in Statistical Analysis*, *94*(2), 167–184.
- Schmitt, N. & Kuljanin, G. (2008). Measurement invariance: Review of practice and implications. *Human Resource Management Review*, *18*(4), 210–222.
- Sivo, S. A., Fan, X., Witta, E. L., & Willse, J. T. (2006). The search for” optimal” cutoff properties: Fit index criteria in structural equation modeling. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, *74*(3), 267–288.
- Snijders, T. & Bosker, R. (1999). *Multilevel Analysis: An Introduction to Basic and Advanced Multilevel Modeling*. Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Best, N. G., Carlin, B. P., & Van Der Linde, A. (2002). Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, *64*(4), 583–639.
- Spilt, J. L., Koomen, H. M., & Jak, S. (2012). Are boys better off with male and girls with female teachers? a multilevel investigation of measurement invariance and gender match in teacher–student relationship quality. *Journal of School Psychology*, *50*(3), 363–378.
- Steiger, J. H., Shapiro, A., & Browne, M. W. (1985). On the multivariate asymptotic distribution of sequential chi-square statistics. *Psychometrika*, *50*(3), 253–263.
- Tanner, M. A. & Wong, W. H. (1987). The calculation of posterior distributions by data augmentation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *82*(398), 528–540.
- Tate, R. (2003). A comparison of selected empirical methods for assessing the structure of responses to test items. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *27*(3), 159–203.
- Ten Holt, J. C., van Duijn, M. A., & Boomsma, A. (2010). Scale construction and evaluation in practice: A review of factor analysis versus item response theory applications. *Psychological Test and Assessment Modeling*, *52*(3), 272–297.
- Vandenberg, R. J. & Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, *3*(1), 4–70.

- Varin, C. (2008). On composite marginal likelihoods. *Advances in Statistical Analysis*, *92*(1), 1–28.
- Varin, C., Reid, N. M., & Firth, D. (2011). An overview of composite likelihood methods. *Statistica Sinica*, *21*(1), 5–42.
- Vasdekis, V. G., Cagnone, S., & Moustaki, I. (2012). A composite likelihood inference in latent variable models for ordinal longitudinal responses. *Psychometrika*, *77*(3), 425–441.
- Verhagen, A. J. (2012). *Bayesian Item Response Theory Models for Measurement Variance*. PhD thesis, Doctoral dissertation Twenty, University of Twente.
- Wirth, R. & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis: current approaches and future directions. *Psychological methods*, *12*(1), 58.
- Woods, C. M. & Grimm, K. J. (2011). Testing for nonuniform differential item functioning with multiple indicator multiple cause models. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *35*(5), 339–361.
- Xi, N. (2011). *A composite likelihood approach for factor analyzing ordinal data*. PhD thesis, Doctoral dissertation Columbus, OH: Ohio State University.
- Yang-Wallentin, F., Jöreskog, K. G., & Luo, H. (2010). Confirmatory factor analysis of ordinal variables with misspecified models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *17*(3), 392–423.
- Yu, C.-Y. (2002). *Evaluating cutoff criteria of model fit indices for latent variable models with binary and continuous outcomes*. PhD thesis, Doctoral dissertation Los Angeles, University of California.
- Yuan, K.-H. & Bentler, P. M. (1998). Normal theory based test statistics in structural equation modelling. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *51*(2), 289–309.
- Yuan, K.-H. & Bentler, P. M. (2000). Three likelihood-based methods for mean and covariance structure analysis with nonnormal missing data. *Sociological Methodology*, *30*(1), 165–200.
- Zhang, J. & Stout, W. (1999). Conditional covariance structure of generalized compensatory multidimensional items. *Psychometrika*, *64*(2), 129–152.

