

University of Groningen

Priceless policies

Schuitema, Geertje

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2010

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Schuitema, G. (2010). *Priceless policies: factors influencing the acceptability of transport pricing policies*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

References

- Abrahamse, W., Steg, L., Gifford, R., & Vlek, C. (2009). Factors influencing car use for commuting and the intention to reduce it: A question of self-interest or morality? *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, *12*, 317-324.
- Abrahamse, W., Steg, L., Vlek, C., & Rothengatter, T. (2007). The effect of tailored information, goal setting, and tailored feedback on household energy use, energy-related behaviors, and behavioral antecedents. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *27*, 265-276.
- Adams, J. S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (10 ed.) (pp. 267-299). New York: Academic Press.
- Ahlstrand, I. (1998). The rise and fall of the heroic transport plan for Stockholm. *Transport Policy*, *5*, 205-211.
- Ahlstrand, I. (2001). The politics and economics of transport investment and pricing in Stockholm. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, *35*, 473-489.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *50*, 179-211.
- Anderson, W., & Patterson, M. (2008). Effects of social value orientations on fairness judgments. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, *148*, 223-245.
- AVV-MON (Adviesdienst Verkeer en Vervoer - Mobiliteits Onderzoek Nederland) (2007). *Mobiliteitsonderzoek Nederland 2006: Tabellenboek*. Rotterdam, the Netherlands: Rijkswaterstaat, AVV.
- Bamberg, S., & Rölle, D. (2003). Determinants of people's acceptability of pricing measures: Replication and extension of a causal model. In J. Schade & B. Schlag (Eds.), *Acceptability of transport pricing strategies* (pp. 235-248). Oxford: Elsevier Science.
- Banister, D. (2008). The sustainable mobility paradigm. *Transport Policy*, *15*, 73-80.
- Bazerman, M. H., Loewenstein, G. F., & White, S. B. (1992). Reversals of preference in allocation decisions: Judging an alternative versus choosing among alternatives. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *37*, 220-240.
- Bazerman, M. H., White, S. B., & Loewenstein, G. F. (1995). Perceptions of fairness in interpersonal and individual choice situations. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *4*, 39-43.
- Bethwaite, J., & Tompkinson, P. (1996). The ultimatum game and non-selfish utility functions. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *17*, 259-271.
- Brehm, J. W. (1972). Responses of loss of freedom: A theory of psychological reactance. New York: General Learning Press.
- Brookhuis, K. A., Cornelie, J. G., Hof, T., Van Arem, B., & Hoedemaeker, M. (2009). Driving with a congestion assistant; Mental workload and acceptance. *Applied Ergonomics*, *40*, 1019-1025.
- Bureau Goudappel Coffeng (1997). *Marktprofiel van een filerijder*. Eindrapport Deventer:

- Bureau Goudappel Coffeng (in Dutch).
- Button, K. (1993). *Transport economics*. Aldershot: Edward Elgar.
- CBS (Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek) statline (2009). *Personenauto's naar provincie*. Available at: www.statline.cbs.nl.
- CfIT (Commission for Integrated Transport) (2001). *The CfIT report 2001: Public attitudes to transport in England*. Available at: <http://www.cfit.gov.uk/reports/mori/index.htm>.
- CfIT (2006). World review of road pricing, phase 2, Final report. London, UK: CfIT.
- Chapman, L. (2007). Transport and climate change: a review. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 15, 354-367.
- Clayton, S. (2000). Models of justice in the environmental debate. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56, 459-474.
- Commission for Transport (2004). *Attitudes to road pricing*. London, UK: CfIT.
- Cvetkovich, G., & Earle, T. C. (1994). The construction of justice: A case study of public participation in land management. *Journal of Social Issues*, 50, 161-178.
- Dawes, R. M. (1980). Social dilemmas. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 31, 169-193.
- De Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2006). The role of value orientations in evaluating quality of life consequences of a transport pricing policy. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 11, 160-165.
- De Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2008). Value orientations to explain environmental attitudes and beliefs: How to measure egoistic, altruistic and biospheric value orientations. *Environment & Behavior*, 40, 330-354.
- De Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2009a). Morality and prosocial behavior: The role of awareness, responsibility, and norms in the Norm Activation Model. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 149, 425-449.
- De Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2009b). Mean or green: Which values can promote stable pro-environmental behavior? *Conservation Letters*, 2, 61-66.
- Deutsch, M. (1975). Equity, equality, and need: What determines which value will be used as the bases of distributive justice? *Journal of Social Issues*, 31, 137-149.
- Deutsch, M. (1985). *Distributive justice*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Diekmann, K. A. (1997). 'Implicit justifications' and self-serving group allocations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 18, 3-16.
- Diekmann, K. A., Samuels, S. M., Ross, L., & Bazerman, M. H. (1997). Self-interest and fairness in problems of resource allocation: Allocators versus recipients. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72, 1061-1074.
- Dutch Ministry of Transport (2007). Making a start on a price per kilometre. Overview of preparatory research for the government decision on a price per kilometre. The Hague: Dutch Ministry of Transport.
- Dutch Ministry of Transport (2009). *Voortgangsrapportage 2 anders betalen voor mobiliteit*. The Hague: Dutch Ministry of Transport (in Dutch).
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1993). *The psychology of attitudes*. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1998). Attitude structure and function. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology, Vols. 1 and 2 (4th ed.)* (pp. 269-322). New York, NY, US: McGraw-Hill.
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (2007). The advantages of an inclusive definition of attitude.

- Social Cognition*, 25, 582-602.
- ECMT (European Conference of Ministers of Transport) (2006). *Trends in the Transport Sector 1970-2004*. Brussels, Belgium: ECMT & OECD publications.
- Eddington, R. (2006). The Eddington transport study: Transport's role in sustaining the UK's productivity and competitiveness. Norwich, UK: HMSO.
- Eek, D., Biel, A., & Gärling, T. (1998). The effect of distributive justice on willingness to pay for municipality child care: An extension of the GEF hypothesis. *Social Justice Research*, 11, 121-142.
- Eek, D., Biel, A., & Gärling, T. (2001). Cooperation in asymmetric social dilemmas when equality is perceived as unfair. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 31, 649-666.
- Eliasson, J., Hultkrantz, L., Nerhagen, L., & Rosqvist, L. S. (2009). The Stockholm congestion charging trial 2006: Overview of effects. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 43, 240-250.
- Eriksson, L., Garvill, J., & Nordlund, A. M. (2006). Acceptability of travel demand management measures: The importance of problem awareness, personal norm, freedom, and fairness. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 26, 15-26.
- Eriksson, L., Garvill, J., & Nordlund, A. M. (2008). Acceptability of single and combined transport policy measures: The importance of environmental and policy specific beliefs. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 42, 1117-1128.
- EU (European Union) (2003). Europe at a crossroads: The need for sustainable transport. Brussels: EU.
- Fujii, S., Gärling, T., Jakobsson, J., & Jou, R. C. (2004). A cross-country study of fairness and infringement on freedom as determinants of car owners' acceptance of road pricing. *Transportation*, 31, 285-295.
- Gärling, T., Fujii, S., Gärling, A., & Jakobsson, C. (2003). Moderating effects of social value orientation on determinants of proenvironmental behavior intention. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 23, 1-9.
- Gärling, T., Jakobsson, C., Loukopoulos, P., & Fujii, S. (2008). Public acceptability of road pricing. In E. T. Verhoef, M. C. J. Bliemer, L. Steg, & B. Van Wee (Eds.), *Pricing in road transport: A multi-disciplinary perspective* (pp. 193-208). Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar.
- Gärling, T., & Schuitema, G. (2007). Travel demand management targeting reduced private car use: Effectiveness, public acceptability and political feasibility. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63, 139-153.
- Garvill, J. (1999). Choice of transportation mode: Factors influencing drivers' willingness to reduce personal car use and support car regulations. In M. Foddy, M. Smithson, S. Schneider, & M. Hogg (Eds.), *Resolving Social Dilemmas* (pp. 263-279). Philadelphia: Psychology Press.
- Gaunt, M., Rye, T., & Allen, S. (2007). Public acceptability of road user charging: The case of Edinburgh and the 2005 referendum. *Transport Reviews*, 27, 85-102.
- Gawronski, B., & Bodenhausen, G. V. (2006). Associative and propositional processes in evaluation: An integrative review of implicit and explicit attitude change. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132, 692-731.
- Gehlert, T., & Nielsen, O. A. (2007). *Triangulation of data sources for analysing car drivers' responses to road pricing in Copenhagen*. Paper presented at the European Transport

Conference, 17-19 October, Noordwijkerhout, The Netherlands.

- Geller, E. S. (1989). Applied behavior analysis and social marketing: An integration for environmental preservation. *Journal of Social Issues, 45*, 17-36.
- Groot, A. T. J. (2001). Het Nederlandse fijn stof beleid en de effecten van verkeersmaatregelen. De omgang van overheden met fijn stof problematiek, de bijdrage van verkeer en het beleid dat overheden voeren. Petten, The Netherlands: Energie Centrum Nederland (in Dutch).
- Gudmundsson, H., Ericsson, E., Hugosson, M. B., & Rosqvist, L. S. (2009). Framing the role of decision support in the case of Stockholm congestion charging trial. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 43*, 258-268.
- Handgraaf, M. J. J., Van Dijk, E., Wilke, H. A. M., & Vermunt, R. C. (2004). Evaluability of outcomes in ultimatum bargaining. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 95*, 97-106.
- Harland, P., Staats, H., & Wilke, H. A. M. (1999). Explaining proenvironmental intention and behavior by personal norms and the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 29*, 2505-2528.
- Hegtvedt, K. A., & Cook, K. S. (2001). Distributive justice: Recent theoretical developments and applications. In J. Sanders, V. L. Hamilton, J. Sanders, & V. L. Hamilton (Eds.), *Handbook of justice research in law* (pp. 93-132). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Hendrickx, L., & Nicolaij, S. (2004). Temporal discounting and environmental risks: The role of ethical and loss-related concerns. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 24*, 409-422.
- Isaksson, K., & Richardson, T. (2009). Building legitimacy for risky policies: The cost of avoiding conflict in Stockholm. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 43*, 251-257.
- Ison, S. (2000). Local authority and academic attitudes to urban road pricing: A UK perspective. *Transport Policy, 7*, 269-277.
- Jaensirisak, S., Wardman, M., & May, A. D. (2005). Explaining variations in public acceptability of road pricing schemes. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy, 39*, 127-153.
- Jakobsson, C., Fujii, S., & Gärling, T. (2000). Determinants of private car users' acceptance of road pricing. *Transport Policy, 7*, 153-158.
- Johansson, B. (2009). Will restrictions on CO2 emissions require reductions in transport demand? *Energy Policy, 37*, 3212-3220.
- Joireman, J. A., Lasane, T. P., Bennett, J., Richards, D., & Solaimani, S. (2001). Integrating social value orientation and the consideration of future consequences within the extended norm activation model of proenvironmental behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 40*, 133-155.
- Joireman, J. A., Van Lange, P. A. M., & Van Vugt, M. (2004). Who cares about the environmental impact of cars? Those with an eye toward the future. *Environment and Behavior, 36*, 187-206.
- Jones, P. M. (1991a). Gaining public support for road pricing through a package approach. *Traffic Engineering and Control, 32*, 194-196.
- Jones, P. M. (1991b). UK public attitudes to urban traffic problems and possible countermeasures: A poll of polls. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy,*

9, 245-256.

- Jones, P. (2003). Acceptability of transport pricing strategies: Meeting the challenge. In J. Schade & B. Schlag (Eds.), *Acceptability of transport pricing strategies* (pp. 27-62). Oxford: Elsevier Science.
- Kahneman, D., Knetsch, J. L., & Thaler, R. (1986a). Fairness as a constraint on profit seeking: entitlements in the market. *The American Economic Review*, 76, 728-741.
- Kahneman, D., Knetsch, J. L., & Thaler, R. H. (1986b). Fairness and the assumptions of economics. *The Journal of Business*, 59, 285-300.
- Kahneman, D. (1992). Reference points, anchors, norms, and mixed feelings. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 51, 296-312.
- Kitamura, R., Fujii, S., & Pas, E. (1997). Time-use data, analysis and modelling: Toward the next generation of transportation planning methodologies. *Transport Policy*, 4, 225-235.
- Kottenhoff, K., & Brundell-Freij, K. (2009). The role of public transport for feasibility and acceptability of congestion charging: The case of Stockholm. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 43, 297-305.
- Larsen, O. I. (1995). The toll cordons in Norway: An overview. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 3, 187-197.
- Lerner, M. J. (2003). The justice motive: Where social psychologists found it, how they lost it, and why they may not find it again. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7, 388-399.
- Lindenberg, S., & Steg, L. (2007). Normative, gain and hedonic goal frames guiding environmental behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63, 117-137.
- Loewenstein, G. F., Thompson, L., & Bazerman, M. H. (1989). Social utility and decision making in interpersonal contexts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57, 426-441.
- Menon, A. (2000). ERP in Singapore: A perspective one year on. *Traffic Engineering and Control*, 41, 40-45.
- Merland, S. (1995). Generalised and advanced urban debiting innovations. The GAUDI project 3. The Trondheim toll ring. *Traffic Engineering and Control*, 36, 150-155.
- Messick, D. M. (1993). Equality as a decision heuristic. In: B.A. Mellers, B. A. and J. Baron (Eds.), *Psychological perspectives on justice* (pp 11-31). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Messick, D., & Schell, T. (1992). Evidence for an equality heuristic in social decision making. *Acta Psychologica*, 80, 311-323.
- Messick, D. M., & McClintock, C. G. (1968). Motivational basis of choice in experimental games. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 4, 1-25.
- Messick, D. M., & Sentis, K. P. (1979). Fairness and preference. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 15, 418-434.
- Miljöavgiftkansliet (2006). *Fakta och resultat från Stockholmsförsöket. Second edition. Stockholms Stad*. Available at:
<http://www.stockholmsforsoket.se/upload/Rapporter/Fakta%20och%20resultat%20stockholmsf%C3%B6rs%C3%B6ket%20aug%202006.pdf> (in Swedish).
- Moore, D., & Loewenstein, G. (2004). Self-interest, automaticity, and the psychology of conflict of interest. *Social Justice Research*, 17, 189-202.
- Nationaal Platform Anders Betalen voor Mobiliteit (2005). *Anders betalen voor mobiliteit* The

- Hague, The Netherlands: Nationaal Platform Anders Betalen voor Mobiliteit (in Dutch).
- Nolan, J. M., Schultz, P. W., Cialdini, R. B., Goldstein, N. J., & Griskevicius, V. (2008). Normative social influence is underdetected. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *34*, 913-923.
- Nordlund, A. M., & Garvill, J. (2003). Effects of values, problem awareness, and personal norm on willingness to reduce personal car use. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *23*, 339-347.
- Odeck, J., & Bråthen, S. (1997). Public attitudes towards toll roads. *Transport Policy*, *4*, 73-83.
- Odeck, J., & Bråthen, S. (2002). Toll financing in Norway: The success, failures and perspective for the future. *Transport Policy*, *9*, 253-260.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (1997). *Towards sustainable transportation*. Paris: OECD Publications.
- OECD (2001). *Environmental outlook*. Paris: OECD Publications.
- OECD (2008). *OECD Environmental Outlook to 2030*. Paris: OECD publications.
- Poortinga, W., Steg, L., Vlek, C., & Wiersma, G. (2003). Household preferences for energy-saving measures: A conjoint analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *24*, 49-64.
- Ramjerdi, F., Minken, H., & Østmo, K. (2004). Norwegian urban tolls. In G. Santos (Ed.), *Road pricing: theory and evidence* (pp. 237-249). Oxford, UK: Elsevier Ltd.
- Raux, C., & Souche, S. (2004). The acceptability of urban road pricing: A theoretical analysis applied to the experience in Lyon. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, *38*, 191-216.
- Rawls, J. (1999). *A theory of justice (2nd ed.)*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Rienstra, S. A., Rietveld, P., & Verhoef, E. T. (1999). The social support for policy measures in passenger transport. A statistical analysis for the Netherlands. *Transportation Research D*, *4*, 181-200.
- Santos, G. (2004b). Urban road pricing in the U.K. In G. Santos (Ed.), *Road pricing: theory and evidence* (pp. 209-235). Oxford, UK: Elsevier Ltd.
- Santos, G. (2004a). *Road pricing: Theory and evidence*. Oxford: Elsevier Ltd.
- Santos, G., Li, W. W., & Koh, T. H. (2004). Transport policies in Singapore. In G. Santos (Ed.), *Road pricing: theory and evidence* (pp. 251-282). Oxford, UK: Elsevier Ltd.
- Schade, J., & Schlag, B. (2000). *Acceptability of urban transport pricing*. Helsinki: VATT.
- Schade, J., & Schlag, B. (2003). Acceptability of urban transport pricing strategies. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, *6*, 45-61.
- Schlag, B., & Schade, J. (2000). Public acceptability of traffic management in Europe. *Traffic Engineering and Control*, *41*, 314-318.
- Schlag, B., & Teubel, U. (1997). Public acceptability of transport pricing. *IATSS Research*, *21*, 134-142.
- Schuitema, G., Steg, L., & Vlek, C. A. J. (2007). Are pricing policies effective in changing car use? *IATSS Research*, *31*, 21-31.
- Schuitema, G., Ubbels, B., Steg, L., & Verhoef, E. (2008). Car user's acceptability of a kilometre charge. In E. Verhoef, M. C. J. Bliemer, L. Steg, & B. Van Wee (Eds.), *Road pricing in transport: A multi-disciplinary perspective* (pp. 209-226). Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar.

- Schultz, P. W., Gouveia, V. V., Cameron, L. D., Tankha, G., Schmuck, P., & Franek, M. (2005). Values and their relationship to environmental concern and conservation behavior. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 36*, 457-475.
- Schwartz, S. H. (1977). Normative influences on altruism. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 10). New York: Academic Press.
- Schwarz, N. (2007). Attitude construction: Evaluation in context. *Social Cognition, 25*, 638-656.
- Small, K. A. (1992). Using the revenues from congestion pricing. *Transportation, 19*, 359-381.
- Small, K. A., & Gomez-Ibañez, J. A. (1998). Road pricing for congestion management: the transition from theory to policy. In K. J. Button & E. T. Verhoef (Eds.), *Road pricing, traffic congestion and the environment. Issues of efficiency and social feasibility* (pp. 213-246). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Steg, E. M. (1996). Gedragsverandering ter vermindering van het autogebruik: theoretische analyse en empirische studie over probleembesef, verminderingsbereidheid en beoordeling van beleidsmaatregelen. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen: Faculteit der Psychologische, Sociologische en Pedagogische Wetenschappen (dissertation)
- Steg, L. (2003). Factors influencing the acceptability and effectiveness of transport pricing. In J. Schade & B. Schlag (Eds.), *Acceptability of transport pricing strategies* (27 ed., pp. 187-202). Oxford: Elsevier Science.
- Steg, L. (2005). Car use: Lust and must. Instrumental, symbolic and affective motives for car use. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 39*, 147-162.
- Steg, L., Dreijerink, L., & Abrahamse, W. (2005). Factors influencing the acceptability of energy policies: A test of VBN theory. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25*, 415-425.
- Steg, L., Dreijerink, L., & Abrahamse, W. (2006). Why are energy policies acceptable and effective? *Environment and Behavior, 38*, 92-111.
- Steg, L., & Schuitema, G. (2007). Behavioural responses to transport pricing: A theoretical analysis. In T. Gärling & L. Steg (Eds.), *Threats to the quality of urban life from car traffic: Problems, causes, and solutions* (pp. 347-366). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Steg, L., Tillema, T., Van Wee, B., & Schuitema, G. (2008). Firm's perception and acceptance of transport pricing. In E. Verhoef, M. C. J. Bliemer, L. Steg, & B. Van Wee (Eds.), *Road pricing in transport: A multi-disciplinary perspective* (pp. 250-269). Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar.
- Steg, E. M., & Vlek, C. (1997). The role of problem awareness in willingness-to-change car use and in evaluating relevant policy measures. In J. A. Rothengatter & E. Carbonell Vaya (Eds.), *Traffic & transport psychology: Theory and application* (pp. 465-476). UK: Elsevier Science Ltd.
- Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues, 56*, 407-424.
- Stern, P. C., & Dietz, T. (1994). The value basis of environmental concern. *Journal of Social Issues, 50*, 65-84.
- Stockholmförsöket (2005). *Information leaflet*. Available at: www.stockholmsforsoket.se.
- Stockholmförsöket (2006). Facts and results from the Stockholm trials: Final version, December 2006. Stockholm, Sweden: Stockholmförsöket.

- Stradling, S. G., Meadows, M. L., & Beatty, S. (1999). *Factors affecting car use choices*. Napier University, Edinburgh, UK: Transport Research Institute.
- Tesser, A. (1978). Self-generated attitude change. In B. Leonard (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Volume 11 ed., pp. 289-338). New York: Academic Press.
- TfL (Transport for London) (2004). *Impacts monitoring: Second annual report*. London, UK: TfL.
- TfL (2006). *Impacts Monitoring: Fourth Annual Report*. London, UK: TfL
- TfL (2007). *Impacts Monitoring: Fifth Annual Report*. London, UK: TfL
- Thøgersen, J. (1996). Recycling and morality: A critical review of the literature. *Environment & Behavior, 28*, 536-558.
- Thorpe, N. (2002). Public acceptance of road-user charging. A case-study of the toll-rings in Norway. *IATSS Research, 26*, 17-27.
- Tretvik, T. (2003). Urban road pricing in Norway: Public acceptability and travel behaviour. In J. Schade & B. Schlag (Eds.), *Acceptability of transport pricing strategies* (pp. 77-92). Oxford, UK: Elsevier Science.
- Tyler, T. R. (2000). Social justice: Outcome and procedure. *International journal of Psychology, 35*, 117-125.
- Ubbels, B. (2006). *Road pricing: Effectiveness, acceptance and institutional aspects*. Amsterdam: Free University (dissertation).
- Ubbels, B., & Verhoef, E. (2007). The economics of transport pricing. In T. Gärling & L. Steg (Eds.), *Threats to the quality of life from car traffic: problems, causes, and solutions* (pp. 325-345). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) (1999). *GEO 2000: Global environmental outlook 2000*. London, UK: Earthscan.
- UNEP (2001). *Transport and the global environment: Accounting for GHG reductions in policy analysis*. Paris, France: United Nations Environmental Programme.
- Vägverket (2006). *Trail implementation of a congestion tax in Stockholm 3 January - 31 July 2006*. Available at: www.stockholmsforsoket.se.
- Van Vugt, M., Meertens, R. M., & Van Lange, P. A. M. (1995). Car versus public transportation? The role of social value orientations in a real-life social dilemma. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 25*, 258-278.
- Van Vugt, M., Van Lange, P. A. M., & Meertens, R. M. (1996). Commuting by car or public transportation? A social dilemma analysis of travel mode judgments. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 26*, 373-395.
- Van Wee, B. (2007). Environmental effects of urban traffic. In T. Gärling & L. Steg (Eds.), *Threats to the quality of urban life from car traffic: Problems, causes, and solutions* (pp. 11-32). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Verhoef, E. T. (1996). *Economic efficiency and social feasibility in the regulation of road transport externalities*. Amsterdam: Thesis Publishers Amsterdam (dissertation).
- Verhoef, E. (2008). Road transport pricing: Motivation, objectives and design from an economic perspective. In E. T. Verhoef, M. C. J. Bliemer, L. Steg, & B. Van Wee (Eds.), *Pricing in road transport: A multi-disciplinary perspective* (pp. 6-26). Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar.
- Vlek, C. (2007). *Societal management of sustainable transportation: International policy*

- review and socio-behavioural recommendations. In T. Gärling & L. Steg (Eds.), *Threats to the quality of urban life from car traffic: Problems, causes, and solutions* (pp. 425-449). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Wade-Benzoni, K. A. (2002). A golden rule over time: Reciprocity in intergenerational allocation decisions. *The Academy of Management Journal*, *45*, 1011-1028.
- Wade-Benzoni, K. A., Hernandez, M., Medvec, V., & Messick, D. (2008). In fairness to future generations: The role of egocentrism, uncertainty, power, and stewardship in judgments of intergenerational allocations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *44*, 233-245.
- Wade-Benzoni, K. A., & Tost, L. P. (2009). The egoism and altruism of intergenerational behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *13*, 165-193.
- Walster, E., Berscheid, E., & Walster, G. W. (1973). New directions in equity research. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *25*, 151-176.
- WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) (2004). *Mobility 2030: Meeting the challenges to sustainability*. Geneva, Switzerland: WBCSD Sustainable Mobility Project.
- Wilke, H. A. M. (1991). Greed, efficiency and fairness in resource management situations. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *2*, 165-187.
- Winslott-Hiselius, L., Brundell-Freij, K., Vagland, A., & Byström, C. (2009). The development of public attitudes towards the Stockholm congestion trial. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, *43*, 269-282.
- Wolsink, M. (2007). Wind power implementation: The nature of public attitudes: Equity and fairness instead of 'backyard motives'. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *11*, 1188-1207.
- Worldwatch Institute (2006). *State of the world: Our urban future 2007*. Washington: Worldwatch Institute.
- Yamagishi, T. (1986). The structural goal/expectation theory of cooperation in social dilemmas. In E. J. Lawler (Ed.), *Advances in group processes*, Vol. 3 (pp. 51-87). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Zlatev, M., Pahl, S., & White, M. (2010). Perceived risk and benefit for self and others as predictors of smokers' attitudes towards smoking restrictions. *Psychology & Health*, *25*, 167-182.